COUNTY OF ORANGE 2021-2029 HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE (Second Draft, Strikethroughs)

Proposed General Plan Amendment H 20-01

New Language is **Underlined/Deleted Language** is **Struck**

Edits following the First Draft are Highlighted



October 21, 2021

SECOND DRAFT

COUNTY OF ORANGE HOUSING ELEMENT

ADOPTED

DECEMBER MONTH 10 XX, 2013 2022

CONTENTS

(TO UPDATE WITH FINAL DRAFT)

1.	Introduction]
	Purpose	
	Citizen Participation	
	Consistency with State Planning Law	
	General Plan Consistency	
2		
2.	Community Profile and Needs Assessment	
	Population Trends and Characteristics	
	Employment Trends	
	Household Characteristics	
	Housing Cost	
	Housing Needs	
	Existing Needs	
	Special Needs Groups	
	Growth Needs	
	Preservation of Assisted Units at Risk of Conversion	
	Inventory of At-Risk Units Cost of Preservation versus Replacement	
2	•	
3.	Constraints	
	Governmental Constraints	
	Non-Governmental Constraints	
	Fair Housing	
4.	Resources and Opportunities	
	Availability of Sites for Housing Production	
	Financial Resources	
	Opportunities for Energy Conservation	
5.	Housing Action Plan	179
	Goals, Strategies, and Actions	179
	Action Plan Summary	
	Quantified Objectives	210
	<u>List of Tables</u>	
Table	2-1 Population Trends 2000-2020 – Unincorporated Orange County	
Table		
Table		
Table Table		
Table		
Table	The state of the s	
Table		
<u>Table</u>		<u>ne</u>
	Metropolitan Statistical Area	
<u>Table</u>	2-9 Orange County Household Composition 2010-2019	

Table 2-10	Household Size by Tenure (Owner vs. Renter) – Unincorporated Orange
	County
Table 2-11	Median Household Income
Table 2-12	Housing by Type
Table 2-13	Household Tenure (Owner vs. Renter)
Table 2-14	Housing Vacancy
Table 2-15	Age of Housing Stock
Table 2-16	Kitchen and Plumbing Facilities
Table 2-17	Housing Rehabilitation Need – Unincorporated Orange County – 2019-2020
Table 2-18	Low- and Moderate- Income Units Constructed, Converted, Demolished, an
	Replaced in the Coastal Zone – 1982-2020
Table 2-19	Income Categories and Affordable Housing Costs – Orange County
<u>Table 2-20</u>	Orange County Median Home Prices – 2021
<u>Table 2-21</u>	Median Gross Rent by Bedrooms in Orange County (2015-2019)
<u>Table 2-22</u>	Overcrowding
<u>Table 2-23</u>	Household Cost Burden - Orange County
<u>Table 2-24</u>	Renter Overpayment by Income Category – Orange County Unincorporated
	Area
<u>Table 2-25</u>	Housing Problems for all Households by Tenure – Orange County
<u>Table 2-26</u>	Elderly Households by Tenure (Owner vs. Renter) Orange County
	Unincorporated Area
<u>Table 2-27</u>	Household Size by Tenure (Owner vs. Renter) – Unincorporated Orange
	<u>County</u>
<u>Table 2-28</u>	Household Type by Tenure (Owner vs. Renter) – Unincorporated Orange
	<u>County</u>
<u>Table 2-29</u>	Persons with Disabilities by Age – Orange County
<u>Table 2-30</u>	Emergency Shelter Resources
<u>Table 2-31</u>	Transitional Housing Resources
<u>Table 2-32</u>	Permanent Supportive Housing Resources
<u>Table 2-33</u>	Agricultural Employment – Orange County and SCAG Region
Table 3-1	Residential Land Use Categories – Orange County General Plan
Table 3-2	Summary of Affordable Projects: 2006 – 2020 Orange County
Table 3-3	Summary of Residential Zoning Regulations – County of Orange
Table 3-4	Residential Parking Requirements – County of Orange
Table 3-5	FY 2021-22 Planning and Development Fees
Table 3-6	Development Impact Fees
Table 3-7	Representative Multi-Family Development Cost Example
Table 3-8	Disposition of Loan Applications by Race/Ethnicity— Anaheim-Santa Ana-
	Irvine MSA/MD
Table 4-1	Local and State Regional Opportunity Indicators for Place and People

X-iv December 10, 2013 4 of 333

Table 4-2	Transit Indicators by Region, Orange County, 2021
Table 4-3	Population by Disability Type
Table 4-4	Population by Familial Status
Table 4-5	Households by Income
Table 4-6	Cost Burden
Table 4-7	Household Tenure
Table 4-8	Households by Overcrowding
Table 4-9	Overcrowded Housing Units by Type
Table 4-10	Number of Housing Units by Year Built
Table 4-11	Regional Housing Growth Needs – Unincorporated Orange County
Table 5-1	Summary of RHNA Status and Sites Inventory
Table 5-2	Affordable Housing Assisted with Former OCDA Funds – 2006-2020
Table 6-1	Summary of Housing Needs by Income Category – 2021-2029
Table 6-2	Action Plan Summary
Table 6-3	New Construction – Quantified Objectives Summary 2021-2029
Table 6-4	<u>Preservation and Assistance – Quantified Objectives Summary, 2021-2029</u>

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 4-1	Dissimilarity Index with White Population in Orange County
Figure 4-2	R/ECAP Areas in Orange County
Figure 4-3	Regional Opportunity Index: People, 2014
Figure 4-4	Regional Opportunity Index: Place, 2014
Figure 4-4a	Regional Opportunity Index: Place, 2014
Figure 4-5	AllTransit Performance Score – Orange County
Figure 4-6	CalEnviro Screen 3.0, Orange County
Figure 4-7	CalEnviro Screen 3.0, Orange County, Parcel 6059087805
Figure 4-8	CalEnviro Screen 3.0, Orange County, Parcel 6059087806
Figure 4-9	CalEnviro Screen 3.0, Orange County, Parcel 6059052404
	Figure B-1 through B-19 - Potential Sites to Accommodate County of Orange
2021-2029	RHNA Unincorporated Orange County (Land Use Capacity)

APPENDICES

Appendix A – Evaluation of the 2008-2014 2014- 2021 Housing Element

Appendix B – Land Inventory

Appendix C – Public Participation Summary

LIST OF TABLES

(TO UPDATE TABLE NUMBERS AND PAGES WITH FINAL DRAFT)

Table X2-1	Population Trends 2000-201220 Unincorporated Orange County	7
	Population Growth Forecast (2012-2040)	
	Age Distribution - Unincorporated Orange County - 2018	
	Age Distribution of Census Designated Places 20109	 8
	Ethnicity Racial and Ethnic Breakdown for Orange County	<u>9</u>
	Labor Force Orange County	10
	Employment by Occupation	11
	Job Location for Residents	11
	Projected Job Growth by Industry 20018-20128 Santa Ana-Anaheim	
	Irvine Metropolitan Statistical Area	 12
Table X2-8	Orange County Household Composition 2010-2019	14
	Household Size by Tenure (Owner vs. Renter) Unincorporated Orange	
	County	14
Table X2-10	Median Household Income	15
Table X2-11	Housing by Type	17
	Household Tenure (Owner vs. Renter)	17
	Housing Vacancy	19
	Age of Housing Stock by Tenure (Owner vs. Renter)	20
	Kitchen and Plumbing Facilities by Tenure (Owner vs. Renter)	21
	Housing Rehabilitation Need County of Orange Target Areas	
	Unincorporated Orange County	22
Table X2-17	Low and Moderate Income Units Constructed, Converted, Demolished, and	
	Replaced in the Coastal Zone 1982-201220.	24
Table X2-18	Income Categories and Affordable Housing Costs Orange County	25
	Orange County Median Home Prices 201221	25
	- Overcrowding	30
	Overpayment by Income Category Orange County Unincorporated Area	31
	Spending on Rent Orange County Unincorporated Area	
	Elderly Households by Tenure (Owner vs. Renter) Orange County	
	Unincorporated Area	35
Table X2-23	Household Size by Tenure (Owner vs. Renter) Unincorporated Orange	
	County	36
Table X2-24	Household Type by Tenure (Owner vs. Renter) Unincorporated Orange	
	County	37
	Persons with Disabilities by Age Orange County	39
Table X2-26	Emergency Shelter Resources	47
Table X2-27	Transitional Housing Resources	51
Table X2-28	Permanent Supportive Housing Resources	 55
Table X2-29	Agricultural Employment Unincorporated vs. Orange County SCAG	
	Region	 60
Table X2-30	Regional Housing Growth Needs Unincorporated Orange County	 61
	Summary of At Risk Units	62
	Estimated Monthly Subsidy to Very Low Income Residents	64
	Residential Land Use Categories Orange County General Plan	
	Summary of Affordable Projects: 2006 - 201220 Orange County	 7 0
Table X2-35	Summary of Residential Zoning Regulations County of Orange	76

X-vi

CHAPTER X – HOUSING ELEMENT

Table X2-36	Residential Parking Requirements County of Orange	85
Table X2-37	FY 2021-22 Planning and Development Fees	 102
	Development Impact Fees	
	Representative Multi-Family Development Cost Example	
	Land Inventory vs. RHNA Orange County Unincorporated Area	
	Affordable Housing Assisted with Former OCDA Funds 2006-20120	
	Proposed Housing Successor Agency Affordable Housing Assistance	
10010112 12	2013-2021	174
Table X2-43	Summary of Housing Needs by Income Category 2014-2021-2029	
	Action Plan Summary	
	New Construction — Quantified Objectives Summary 2014-2021-2029*	
	Rehabilitation - Quantified Objectives Summary, 2014-2021	
	Preservation and Assistance — Quantified Objectives Summary, 2013-	210
Table AL-4/		211
	2021	<u>/</u> 1 1

X. HOUSING ELEMENT



1. Introduction

Purpose

The purpose of the Housing Element is to ensure the County establishes policies, procedures and incentives in its land use planning and development activities that will result in the maintenance and expansion of the housing supply to adequately accommodate households currently and future population living and expected to live in the unincorporated County. It institutes policies that will guide County decision-making, and establishes an action program to implement housing goals through 20219.

Each jurisdiction within the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) region is required to adopt the<u>ir 2013-2021 2021-2029 hH</u>ousing e<u>E</u>lement by October 15, 201321. Although some County housing programs operate within cities, the County's Housing Element primarily addresses housing

issues in the unincorporated areas. Foremost among these issues is the provision of a mix of housing types to meet the needs of all economic segments of the unincorporated area. In response, the Housing Element makes provisions for affordable and accessible housing for special needs groups in the community, and is designed to provide guidance in the maintenance of existing affordable housing. These commitments are an expression of the statewide housing goal of "decent housing and a suitable living environment for every California family."

Citizen Participation

California Government Code requires that local government make a diligent effort to achieve public participation from all economic segments of the community in the development of the hHousing eElement. In the preparation of the Housing Element Update, a number of organizations and agencies that provide housing, or housing related services, were contacted. Responses from these groups helped guide the Housing Needs Assessment portion of the Housing Element, as well as the aAction pPlan.

Citizen involvement was accomplished in a number of different ways. A series of m-Meetings were as held with the County Housing Element Resource Team comprised of recognized leaders from business, housing advocacy, social service providers, environmental non-profit organizations, the Building Industry Association, major landowners and developers, and non-profit builders., and the Orange County Grand Jury. Finally, study sessions The Draft Housing Element was posted on the County's website to facilitate public access and comments. (https://ocds.ocpublicworks.com/service-areas/oc-development-services/planning-development/current-projects/all-districts-2). Community workshops were hosted by OC Development Services, and public hearings were held by the Orange County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors. This proactive outreach effort ensured broad involvement throughout the analytical and policy development phases of the project.

Drafts of the Housing Element were posted on the County's website (www.ocplanning.net https://ocds.ocpublieworks.com/service-areas/ocdevelopment-services/planning-development/current-projects/all-districts-2).

Appendix C provides additional detail regarding opportunities for public involvement along with a summary of public comments and how those comments have been addressed in the Housing Element.

X-2 December 10, 2013

Consistency with State Planning Law

The Housing Element is one of the seven General Plan elements mandated by the State of California. Sections 65580 to 65590 of the California Government Code contain the legislative mandate for the housing element. State law requires that the County's Housing Element consist of "an identification and analysis of existing and projected housing needs and a statement of goals, policies, quantified objectives, financial resources, and scheduled programs for the preservation, improvement and development of housing" (§65583). In addition, the housing element shall identify adequate sites for housing, including rental housing, factory-built housing, and mobile homes, and shall make adequate provision for the existing and projected needs of all economic segments of the community.

There is no single approved format for a Housing Element. Instead, State law defines components of issues that must be addressed. A Housing Element should clearly identify and address, at a minimum, each component listed below:

The eElement shall contain all of the following.

- 1. Review of existing Housing Element.
- 2. An assessment of existing and projected housing and employment trends to assess a locality's housing needs for all income levels.
- 3. An inventory of resources relevant to meeting housing needs.
- 4. An inventory of constraints relevant to the meeting of these needs.
- 5. A statement of the community's goals, quantified objectives, and policies relative to the maintenance, preservation, improvement and development of housing.

A program that sets forth an eight-year schedule of actions the local government is undertaking or intends to undertake to implement the policies and achieve the goals and objectives of the hHousing eElement.

General Plan Consistency

The Housing Element is one of nine elements of the Orange County General Plan. The goals, policies, standards and proposals within this <u>eE</u>lement relate directly to, and are consistent with all other <u>eE</u>lements. The County's Housing Element identifies programs and resources required for the preservation, improvement and development of housing to meet the existing and projected needs of its population.

The Housing Element is affected by development policies contained in the Land Use Element, which establishes the location, type, intensity and distribution of land uses throughout the County, and defines the land use build-out potential. In designating the location and density of residential development, the Land Use Element places an upper limit on the number and types of housing units constructed in the unincorporated County. The acreage designated for a range of commercial and office uses creates employment opportunities for various income groups. The presence and potential for jobs affects the current and future demand for housing at various income levels in the County.

The Public Services and Facilities Element, Resources Element, Safety Element, and Noise Element of the General Plan also affect the implementation of the Housing Element. Together, these Elements establish policies for providing essential infrastructure to all housing units, regulate the amount and variety of open space and recreation areas, delineate acceptable noise levels in residential areas, and establish programs to provide for the safety of the residents. In sum, policies contained in General Plan Elements directly affect the quality of life for all unincorporated County citizens.

The Eight-Year Action Plan contained in Section $\frac{\sqrt{5}}{\text{(Housing Action Plan)}}$ includes the County's commitments for implementation actions through October 20219.

Housing Element Organization

The Housing Element is comprised of the following major components:

- The Community Profile and Needs Assessment (Section 2) contains an overview of the county's population, housing and employment characteristics in the context of regional trends, as well as a discussion of existing and future housing needs, including special needs such as the elderly and large families, and the unincorporated area's fair share of regional growth needs.
- Section <u>HI3</u> contains a review of housing constraints and resources, including governmental and market constraints to the maintenance, improvement and development of housing.
- Section IV4 presents a review of the previous Housing Element, including a discussion of the appropriateness of goals and policies, the effectiveness of programs, and the progress in achieving quantified objectives.

X-4 December 10, 2013

•	The goals, policies and programs that will guide the County's
	actions through 2024 $\underline{9}$ are presented in Section $\underline{\vee}\underline{5}$.

2. COMMUNITY PROFILE AND NEEDS ASSESSMENT

The County of Orange is located along the Pacific Ocean between Los Angeles County to the north and northwest, San Bernardino County to the northeast, Riverside County to the east, and San Diego County to the southeast. A somewhat rectangular landmass, Orange County stretches approximately 40 miles along the coast and extends inland approximately 20 miles, covering 798 square miles.

The housing needs of the County are determined by demographic characteristics of the population (age, household size, employment, and/or ethnicity), and the characteristics of housing available to that population (e.g., number of units, tenure, size, cost). The regional housing market is seldom static, constantly changing with dynamic social and economic factors. As County demographics and household socioeconomic conditions change, different housing opportunities arise and/or must be created to meet demand. This section explores the characteristics of the existing and projected population and housing stock in order to define the extent of unmet housing needs in unincorporated Orange County. This information helps to provide direction in updating the County's Housing Element goals, policies, and programs.

Population Trends and Characteristics

Between 2000 and 201220, Orange County grew by over 209348,000 people, or approximately 712%. However, as a result of incorporations and annexations, the population of the unincorporated portions of the county declined by about 293.6% to 119,698 128,421 persons (**Table X-1**).

Table X-1 Table 2-1
Population Trends 2000-204220 –
Unincorporated Orange County

	2000	2010	20 12 20	Growth 2000-2010	Growth 2010- 2012	Growth 2000-20 12 20	
Unincorporated			119,698			-46,487	28.8%
area	168,1 65 <u>32</u>	121,160	128,421	-38.8%	-1.2%	-39,711	<u>-23.6%</u>
			3,055,792			209,503	7.4%
County total	2,846,289	3,010,232	<u>3,180,491</u>	5.4%	1.5%	<u>334,202</u>	<u>11.7%</u>

Source: U.S. Census Bureau Decennial Census, California State Department of Finance, 20120 E-5 FReport, SCAG Local Housing Data Pre-Certified Local Housing Data 2020

<u>Table 2-2 provides population projections through 2040 for unincorporated Orange County, as calculated by the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) 2016-2040 Regional Growth Forecast. The County of</u>

Orange unincorporated population is forecasted to grow by 49.2 %. In comparison, Orange County's population as a whole is forecasted to grow by 12.7 %.

<u>Table 2-2</u> <u>Population Growth Forecast (2012-2045)</u>

<u>Jurisdiction</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2035</u>	<u>2040</u>	<u>Percent Change</u> <u>2012-2040</u>			
Unincorporated Area	121,160	128,421	177,900	180,100	<u>48.6%</u>			
County Total	3,010,232	3,180,491	3,499,000	3,535,000	<u>17.4%</u>			
Source: SCAG 2016-2040 Regional Growth Forecast by Jurisdiction Report								

Age Composition

The age breakdown of a population is an important factor in evaluating housing needs and projecting the direction of future housing development. According to the 2010 Census 2019 American Community Survey data shown in Table 2-3, residents in their prime working years (25-64) comprised about 5360.8% of the unincorporated population. About 114.4% of residents were "senior citizens" age 65 and older. Generally, the population of the unincorporated area was similar to the county as a whole The median age of persons living in census designated places in Orange County increased between 2010 to 2019, except for the Las Flores Census Designated Place (CDP), which decreased by about 1% (Table X-24).

Table X-2 Table 2-3
Age Distribution – 20198
Unincorporated Orange County

	Unin	corporated	Orange (County		
	Perso					
Age Group	ns	Percent	Persons	Percent		
	34,22					
under 18 years	2	28%	736,659	24%		
18 24 years	8,913	7%	305,286	10%		
	30,84					
25 44 years	8	25%	852,571	28%		
	33,49					
45-64 years	6	28%	766,039	26%		
65 74 years	7,279	6%	187,454	6%		
75 84 years	4,641	4%	112,703	4%		
85 and over	1,761	1%	49,520	2%		
	121,1					
Total Population	60	100%	3,010,232	100%		
	Under				<u>50-64</u>	65 years +
	<u>5</u>	5 to 19		35 to 49	<u>Years</u>	-
	<u>years</u>	<u>years</u>	20 to 34 years	<u>years</u>		
<u>2010</u>	6.6%	21.4%	21.0%	22.9%	17.1%	11.2%
<u>2015</u>	6.1%	19.8%	21.3%	21.1%	18.9%	12.8%
2019	5.9%	18.9%	21.1%	20.1%	19.6%	14.4%
	<u>S</u> 0	urce: American	Community Survey,	5-year Estimate	s, 2010, 20	15 <u>, 2019</u>

X-8 December 10, 2013
14 of 333

Table 2-4 Age Distribution by Census Designation Place

Census Designated Place	2010	2019
Coto de Caza CDP	42.2	<u>45.7</u>
Ladera Ranch CDP	32.4	<u>34.3</u>
Las Flores CDP	33.2	<u>32.3</u>
Midway City CDP	37.1	<u>39.9</u>
North Tustin CDP	45.6	<u>49.1</u>
Rossmoor CDP	45.5	<u>45.6</u>
Sunset Beach CDP	43.9	<u>N/A</u>
Orange County	36.2	<u>37.8</u>

Source: Census 2010, Summary File 1 General Population and Housing Characteristics Demographic Profile 1 American Community Survey, 5-Year Estimates, 2019.

Note: Median age data available for Unincorporated CDPs only, not entire unincorporated area

Racial and Ethnic Composition

Table X-3 shows racial and ethnic characteristics for the county <u>as a whole</u>. About 2134% of <u>unincorporated area Orange County</u> residents were Hispanic compared to 349% <u>eounty state</u>wide. About 621% of <u>unincorporated area Orange County</u> were white compared to just 4459.7% <u>eounty state</u>wide. Asians comprised the next largest minority group, with 1220.5% of <u>unincorporated area Orange County</u> and 1814.5% <u>eounty state</u>wide.

Table X-3 Table 2-5
Ethnicity

Racial and Ethnic Breakdown for Orange County

-	Unincorporated		Orange	Orange County					
-	Persons	Percent	Persons	Percent					
Not Hispanic or									
Latino	95,827	79.1%	1,997,259	66.3%					
-White	75,535	62.3%	1,328,499	44.1%					
-Black or African									
American	1,170	1.0%	44,000	1.5%					
-American									
Indian/Alaskan Native	279	0.2%	6,216	0.2%					
-Asian	15,034	12.4%	532,477	17.7%					
-Native									
Hawaiian/Pacific									
Islander	293	0.2%	8,357	0.3%					
Other races or 2+									
races	3,516	2.9%	77,710	2.6%					
Hispanic or Latino									
(any race)	25,333	20.9%	1,012,973	33.7%					
Total	121,160	100%	3,010,232	100%					
Source: Census 2010, S	Summary File								
1, Tables P8/9	•								
				American Indian	<u>Asian</u>	Native Hawaiian or	Some Other	Two or More	Hispanic or
			Black or African	and Alaska		Other Pacific	Race	Races	Latino
		<u>White</u>	<u>American</u>	Native		<u>Islander</u>			
Orange County		61.0%	1.8%	0.5%	20.5%	0.3%	11.9%	4.1%	34.1%
<u>California</u>		59.7%	5.8%	0.8%	14.5%	0.4%	14.0%	4.9%	39.0%
Source: American Co	ommunity Surv	ey, 5-Year Est	timates, 2019						

Employment Trends

Current employment and projected job growth have a significant influence on housing needs during this planning period. **Table-X-4 2-6** shows that about 65.6% of working-age persons in the unincorporated area entire County were in the workforce, as reported by recent Census 2019 American Community Survey estimates. This table shows that the workforce characteristics of the unincorporated population are similar to those countywide. About 56% of unincorporated County residents worked at home, and just over one-third 34% were not in the labor force (i.e., unemployed or not seeking work).

Table X-4 Table 2-6
Labor Force - Orange County

	Unincorpoi	rated 2010	Orange Co	unty 2019
	Persons Percent		Persons	Percent
	40,901	65.8%	1,559,264	67.3%
In labor force	1,559,264	<u>67.30%</u>	1,671,054	<u>65.60%</u>
	2,886	4.6%	66,404	4.7%
-Work at home	<u>66,404</u>	<u>4.70%</u>	<u>99,736</u>	<u>6.40%</u>
	21,235	34.2%	756,518	32.7%
Not in labor force	<u>756,518</u>	<u>32.70%</u>	877,328	<u>34.40%</u>
	6,445	10.4%	227,427	23.1%
-With social security income	<u>227,427</u>	<u>23.10%</u>	<u>278,565</u>	<u>26.80%</u>
	62,136		2,315,782	
Total Population age 16+	2,315,782	100.0%	2,548,382	100.0%
Source: Census American Community, 2006-2010 -				
Unincorporated data is included for CDPs only				

In 20108, approximately 4652.3% of the unincorporated working residents were employed in management and professional occupations (**Table X-5**2-7). A significant number of workers (2924.6%) were employed in sales and office related occupations. A relatively low proportion of workers (121.5%) were employed in service-related occupations. Blue collar occupations such as machine operators, assemblers, farming, transportation, handlers and laborers constituted about 1311% of the workforce.

X-10 December 10, 2013

Table X-5	<u> Table 2-7</u>
Employment b	y Occupation

	Unincorporated		Orange	County
Occupation	Persons	Percent	Persons	Percent
Management professional and related	24,786	46.1%	568,087	39.4%
Management, professional and related	<u>32,503</u>	<u>52.3%</u>	<u>2,616,827</u>	<u>34.2%</u>
Service	6,733	12.5%	224,743	15.6%
Service	<u>7,146</u>	<u>11.5%</u>	<u>1,500,551</u>	<u>19.6%</u>
Sales and office	15,403	28.7%	392,314	27.2%
Sales and office	<u>15,294</u>	<u>24.6%</u>	<u>1,743,617</u>	<u>22.8%</u>
Construction, extraction, and maintenance	3,216	6.0%	105,652	7.3%
Construction, extraction, and maintenance	2,560	<u>4.1%</u>	<u>675,894</u>	8.8%
Production, transportation, and material moving	3,599	6.7%	151,212	10.5%
Production, transportation, and material moving	4,623	<u>7.5%</u>	<u>1,118,977</u>	<u>14.6%</u>
	53,737		1,442,008	
Total Employment	<u>62,126</u>	100%	<u>7,655,866</u>	100%
Source: Census American Community Survey 2006 2010, Table 2020	C24060 SCAG Lo	ocal Housing Data	Pre-Certified Loca	l Housing Data

According to recent Census estimates, about 84% of employed residents worked in Orange County, while approximately 16% of all workers were employed outside the county (Table X-6).

Table X-6

Job Location for Residents

	Orange County					
Job Location	Persons Persons	Percent				
Work in Orange County	1,181,354	83.7%				
Work in another California county	224,080	15.9%				
Work outside California	6,066	0.4%				
Total workers age 16+	1,411,500	100%				
Source: Census ACS 2006 2010. County to County Commute Flows, Table 1, CA State Department of Finance						

Future housing needs are affected by the number and type of new jobs created during this planning period. **Table X-7 2-8** shows projected job growth by industry for the Santa Ana-Anaheim-Irvine Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) (Orange County) for the period 20018-20128. Total employment in Orange County is expected to grow by 87.2% between 20018 and 20128. The overall growth is expected to add 13526,5300 new jobs and bring the employment of Orange County to over 1,756890,000 by 20128.

Generally, residents who are employed in well-paying occupations have less difficulty obtaining adequate housing than residents in low paying occupations. Table X-7 2-8 illustrates the growth trend in low-wage service industries such as health care and social assistance, and accommodation and food services.

Table X-7 <u>Table 2-8</u> Projected Job Growth by Industry – 200<u>18</u>-204<u>28</u> Santa Ana-Anaheim-Irvine Metropolitan Statistical Area

Santa Ana-Ananeim-irvine Metropolitan Statistical Area Annual Average					
NAMOO				F	01
NAICS	, , , , , ,		yment		nt Change
Code	Industry Title	20018	20128	Jobs	Percent
	Total Employment	1,620,600	1,756,100	135,500	8.4
		1,764,000	1,890,300	126,300	7.2
	Self-Employment (A)	124,300	127,800	3,500	2.8
		<u>109,100</u>	<u>117,300</u>	<u>8,200</u>	<u>7.5</u>
	Unpaid Family Workers (B)	1,200 —	1,300 —	100 —	8.3 —
	Private Household Workers (C)	8,900	13,000	4,100	46.1
	Trivate riouseriola workers (6)	1,700	1,600	(100)	-5.9
	Total Farm	4,600	4,600	0	0.0
	Total Fairi	2000	1900	(100)	-5.0
	Total Nonfarm	1,481,600	1,609,400	127,800	8.6
	Total Nonlami	1,651,200	1,769,500	118,300	7.2
1133,21	Mining and Logging	600	600	0	0.0
		500	400	(100)	-20.0
23	Construction	91,200	99,500	8,300	9.1
20	Constitution	106,300	113,000	<u>6,700</u>	6.3
31-33	Manufacturing	174,100	168,000	6,100	3.5
31 33	Manufacturing	160,700	156,900	(3,800)	-2.4
22,42-49	Trade, Transportation, and Utilities	271,600	292,800	21,200	7.8
22,42-47	Trade, transportation, and offittes	<u>261,600</u>	270,800	9,200	3.5
42	Wholesale Trade	86,700	92,000	5,300	6.1
42	Wholesale Hade	50,700 <u>79,800</u>	92,000 <u>81,400</u>	3,300 <u>1,600</u>	2.0
44-45	Retail Trade	155,600	168,700		8.4
44-43	Retail Trade	152,600		13,100 3,400	0.4 2.2
22,48-49	Transportation, Warehousing, and Utilities	29,300	156,000 32,100	2,800	9.6
22,40-49	Transportation, wateriousing, and offices	29,300 <u>29,200</u>	33,400	4,200	7.0 14.4
48-49	Transportation and Warehousing	25,400	27,300	1,900	7.5
40-49	Transportation and warehousing	26,200	30,200	4,000	15.3
51	Information	30,100	29,800	4,000 -300	13.3 -1.0
31	IIIIOIIIIalioii				
52-53	Financial Activities	<u>26,700</u>	29,700	3,000 F 000	11.2
02-03	Financial Activities	113,100	118,100	5,000	4.4
F2	Einanga and Inguinanga	118,700	126,100	7,400	6.2
52	Finance and Insurance	76,100	80,500	4,400	5.8
F2	Deal Estate and Deats lead to a firm	79,300	84,300	<u>5,000</u>	6.3
53	Real Estate and Rental and Leasing	37,000	37,600	600	1.6
F 4 F 7		39,400	41,800	2,400	6.1
54-56	Professional and Business Services	266,600	300,100	33,500	12.6
	15.	317,000	346,500	29,500	9.3
55	Management of Companies and Enterprises	26,100	27,000	900	3.4
F./	41.1.0	36,700	38,700	2,000	5.4
56	Admin/Support and Waste Mgmt & Remediation	124,500	136,400	11,900	9.6
		<u>151,300</u>	163,600	12,300	<u>8.1</u>
61-62	Education Svcs, Health Care, and Social Assistance	150,700	183,900	33,200	22.0
		224,700	263,600	38,900	<u>17.3</u>
61	Educational Services (Private)	23,600	27,400	3,800	16.1
		29,300	33,900	4,600	<u>15.7</u>
62	Health Care and Social Assistance	127,100	156,500	29,400	23.1
		<u>195,400</u>	229,700	34,300	<u>17.6</u>
71-72	Leisure and Hospitality	176,400	195,900	19,500	11.1
		<u>222,600</u>	<u>242,200</u>	<u>19,600</u>	<u>8.8</u>
71	Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation	36,800	40,500	3,700	10.1

		Annual Average			
NAICS		Employment		Employment Change	
Code	Industry Title	200 <u>18</u>	20 1 28	Jobs	Percent
		51,000	56,500	<u>5,500</u>	<u>10.8</u>
72	Accommodation and Food Services	139,700	155,400	15,700	11.2
		<u>171,700</u>	<u>185,700</u>	14,000	<u>8.2</u>
81	Other Svcs (excludes Private Household Workers)	46,500	49,600	3,100	6.7
		51,400	53,700	2,300	<u>4.5</u>
	Government	160,800	171,100	10,300	6.4
		161,200	<u>166,600</u>	<u>5,400</u>	<u>3.3</u>
	Federal Government	11,700	11,600	-100	-0.9
		<u>11,100</u>	<u>11,200</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>0.9</u>
	State and Local Government	149,000	159,500	10,500	7.0
		<u>150,200</u>	<u>155,400</u>	5,200	3.5 12.5
	State Government	28,000	31,500	3,500	12.5
		32,000	33,900	<u>1,900</u>	5.9
	Local Government	121,000	128,000	7,000	5.8
		<u>118,200</u>	<u>121,500</u>	<u>3,300</u>	<u>2.8</u>

Source: California Employment Development Department, March 2009 Benchmark April 2021

Industry detail may not add up to totals due to independent rounding

Household Characteristics

Before current housing problems can be understood and future needs anticipated, To understand current housing concerns and anticipated future needs, housing occupancy characteristics need to be identified. The following is an analysis of household size and income characteristics. By definition a "household" consists of all the people occupying a dwelling unit, whether or not they are related. A single person living in an apartment is a household, just as a couple with two children living in the same dwelling unit is considered a household. By definition a "family" is two or more persons living together who are related by blood or marriage. As defined within the County's Zoning Code, "a household includes all people occupying a single dwelling unit. A household shall also mean all people occupying two (2) dwelling units on the same site if both units are used as group homes owned or operated by the same operator" (7-9-95.1).

Household Composition and Size

Over In 2019, over 870% of households in the unincorporated area Orange County consisted of families, and about 4231% had school-age children. In comparison, in 2010, family households with school-age children were about 3% higher. All other household types remained about the same between these 9 years. About 1521% of unincorporated Orange County households were a single person living alone, compared to over 20% countywide (Table X-8 2-9).

⁽A) Self-Employed persons work for profit or fees in their own business, profession, trade, or farm. Only the unincorporated self-employed are included in this category. The estimated and projected employment numbers include all workers who are primarily self-employed and wage and salary workers who hold a secondary job as a self-employed worker.

⁽B) Unpaid family workers are those persons who work without pay for 15 or more hours per week on a farm or in a business operated by a member of the household to whom they are related by birth or marriage.

⁽C) Private Household Workers are employed as domestic workers whose primary activities are to maintain the household. Industry employment is based on the Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages (QCEW) program.

Table X-8 Table 2-9
Orange County Household Composition 2010-2019

	Unincorporate	ed 2010	Orange Coun	ty 2019
Household Type	Households	Households Percent		Percent
	38,302		992,781	
Total households	<u>992,781</u>	100%	<u>1,037,492</u>	100%
	30,849	80.5%	708,491	71.4%
Family households	708,491	71.4%	<u>744,011</u>	<u>71.7%</u>
	16,034	41.9%	335,587	33.8%
-with own children under 18	<u>335,587</u>	<u>33.8%</u>	<u>320,601</u>	<u>30.9%</u>
	7,453	19.5%	284,290	28.6%
Non-family households	284,290	28.6%	<u>293,481</u>	28.3%
	5,608	14.6%	207,849	20.9%
-Householder living alone	207,849	20.9%	<u>218,835</u>	<u>21.1%</u>
	not available		2.99	
Average household size	2.99	_	<u>3.01</u>	-
Source: Conque 2010, Summary File 1, Conoral	Donulation and Housing	Characteristics	Domographic Profile 1	Amorican

Source: Census 2010, Summary File 1- General Population and Housing Characteristics- Demographic Profile 1, American Community Survey, 5-Year Estimates, 2019

In many respects there are notable differences between renter and owner households. According to the 20109 American Community Survey data Census, over a quarter 18% of unincorporated renter households consisted of one person living alone, a significantly higher proportion than for owners (1½%). However, at the other end of the spectrum, 1 Large households of 5 or more were more prevalent among owners renters (153.6%) than renters owners (114.5%). Table X-9 2-10 describes household size distribution by tenure.

Table X-9 Table 2-10

Household Size by Tenure (Owner vs. Renter) –

Unincorporated Orange County

	Own	ners	Ren	iters
Household Size	Households	Percent	Households	Percent
	2,092	11%	548	26%
1 person households	<u>3,878</u>	<u>12.0%</u>	<u>1708</u>	<u>18.3%</u>
	7,110	36%	480	22%
2 person households	<u>11,293</u>	<u>35.0%</u>	<u>2457</u>	<u>26.3%</u>
	3,378	17%	431	20%
3 person households	<u>5,734</u>	<u>17.8%</u>	<u>2,055</u>	<u>22.0%</u>
	4,303	22%	440	21%
4 person households	<u>6,716</u>	<u>20.8%</u>	<u>1656</u>	<u>17.7%</u>
	2,068	11%	172	8%
5 person households	<u>2,985</u>	<u>9.3%</u>	<u>918</u>	<u>9.8%</u>
	542	3%	26	1%
6 person households	<u>1018</u>	<u>3.2%</u>	<u>355</u>	<u>3.8%</u>
	181	1%	37	2%
7+ person households	<u>639</u>	2.0%	205	2.2%
	19,674		2,134	
Total households 32,263		100%	9.354	100%
Source: Census 2010, Summary File				
American Community Survey, 2014-2	2018, 5-Year Estimates			

X-14

Household Income

Household income is a primary factor affecting housing needs in a community. According to recent the 2019 Census estimates, the median household income in Orange County was \$74,344 90,234, approximately 189.93% higher than the statewide median income of \$60,88375,235 (Table X-10). The table also shows the income differences between different areas of the county. The Coto de Caza and Ladera Ranch Census Designated Places (CDPs) had the highest incomes while the Midway City CDP area was lowest.

Table X-10 Table 2-11
Median Household Income

Median Household

	Median Household	% of County
Jurisdiction	Income	Median Income
	\$60,883	82%
California	<u>\$75,235</u>	<u>83.4%</u>
	\$74,344	
Orange County	<u>\$90,234</u>	<u>100%</u>
	\$160,655	216%
Coto de Caza CDP	<u>\$199,056</u>	<u>220.6%</u>
	\$123,089	166%
Ladera Ranch CDP	<u>\$161,348</u>	<u>178.8%</u>
	\$109,599	147%
Las Flores CDP	<u>68,625</u>	<u>76.1%</u>
	\$48,403	65%
Midway City CDP	\$40,059	<u>44.4%</u>
	\$111,355	150%
North Tustin CDP	<u>\$156,176</u>	<u>173.1%</u>
	\$109,662	148%
Rossmoor CDP	<u>\$127,891</u>	<u>141.7%</u>
	\$56,136	76%
Sunset Beach CDP	<u>N/A</u>	N/A
Source: Census American Commu	ınity Survey, 2006-2010, Ta	ble S1903 <u>2019</u>

The State of California uses five income categories for the purpose of determining housing affordability and need in communities <u>based on area median income</u> (AMI), which refers to the midpoint of the income distribution for a specific geographic area, as defined by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban <u>Development (HUD) using data from the U.S. Census Bureau</u>. This method is consistent with definitions of low- and moderate-income households used in various Federal and State housing programs – e.g., Section 8 and State Density Bonus Law. These categories are as follows:

- Extremely Low Income − 30% or less of AMI (area median income)
- **Very Low Income** 50% or less of AMI;
- **Low Income** 51% to 80% of AMI;
- Moderate Income 81% to 120% of AMI; and
- **Above Moderate Income** more than 120% of AMI.

The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) annually develops median household income estimates for the purpose of determining program eligibility. According to HUD, the 201320 median household income for Orange County, which includes all cities and unincorporated areas, was \$87,200-103,000.

Housing Inventory and Market Conditions

This section summarizes the housing inventory in the unincorporated county and prevailing market conditions.

Housing Stock Profile

Table X-11 2-12 summarizes the distribution of housing by type in the unincorporated portions of Orange County as of 201220. Throughout the unincorporated areas of Orange County, single-family detached (SFD) units characterize the most abundant household type (775.2%); larger multi-family projects of five or more units represent 910.3% of the stock; and single-family attached units, such as condominiums, represent 101% of the total households.

Looking at the change from 2000 to 201220, **Table X-11 2-12** shows the housing stock of the unincorporated area has decreased by about 30.62% due to annexations and incorporations. The County of Orange has lost 168 unincorporated acres due to annexations since 2014. In particular, there has been a 9.5% decrease in acres from 176,553 acres in 2014 to the currently existing 176,385 acres within the unincorporated County areas.

Table X-11	Tak	ole	2-12
Housing	by	Ту	ре

	20	00	20 12	220	Gro	wth
Structure Type	Units	Percent	Units	Percent	Units	Percent
Unincorporated Area						
			30,465	77%	-8,260	21.3%
Single-family detached	38,725	63%	31,909	75.2%	-6,816	-17.6%
			3,800	10%	-5,638	59.7%
Single-family attached	9,438	15%	<u>4,674</u>	<u>11%</u>	-4,764	-50.48%
			872		-1,658	-65.5%
Multi-family 2-4 units	2,530	4%	<u>862</u>	2%	-1,668	-65.9%
			3,551	9%	-6,374	-64.2%
Multi-family 5+ units	9,925	16%	<u>4,356</u>	<u>10.3%</u>	-5,569	<u>-56.1%</u>
			631	2%	88	16.2%
Mobile homes	543	1%	<u>632</u>	<u>1.5%</u>	89	<u>16.4%</u>
			39,319		-21,842	35.7%
Total Units	61,161	100%	42,433	100%	<u>-18,728</u>	<u>-30.62%</u>
Orange County						
			535,361	51%	45,704	9.3%
Single-family detached	489,657	51%	556,760	<u>50.1%</u>	<u>-67,103</u>	<u>-13.7%</u>
			127,669		2,967	2.4%
Single-family attached	124,702	13%	<u>132,709</u>	12%	<u>8,007</u>	<u>6.4%</u>
			91,781	9%	2,977	3.4%
Multi-family 2-4 units	88,804	9%	<u>94,718</u>	<u>8.5%</u>	<u>5,914</u>	<u>6.6%</u>
			264,026	25%	30,155	12.9%
Multi-family 5+ units	233,871	24%	<u>293,712</u>	26.4%	<u>59,841</u>	<u>25.6%</u>
			33,524		1,074	
Mobile homes	32,450	3%	33,522	3%	<u>1,072</u>	3.3%
			1,052,361		82,877	8.5%
Total Units	969,484	100%	<u>1,111,421</u>	100%	<u>141,937</u>	<u>14.6%</u>
Source: California Department of Fi	nance, Table E-5	, 20 12 20				

Tenure

Table X-12 2-13 shows tenure (owner vs. renter) for the unincorporated areas compared to Orange County as a whole. According to the 2010 Census 2018 American Community Survey, 787.5% of housing units in the unincorporated area were owner-occupied, compared to 597.4% countywide.

Table X-12 Table 2-13 Household Tenure (Owner vs. Renter)

	Unincorporated		Orange County	
Tenure	Units Percent		Units	Percent
	29,739		588,313	59.3%
Owner occupied	32,263	77. 6 5%	592,269	<u>57.4%</u>
	8,563		404,468	40.7%
Renter occupied	9,354	22. <u>45</u> %	440,104	42.6%
	38,302		992,781	
Total occupied units	41,617	100%	<u>1,032,373</u>	100%
Source: Census 2010, Summary File 1 - Ge	neral Population an	d Housing Characteris	tics- Demographic Pro	ofile 1 American

December Month 10XX, 201321

Community Survey 2014-2018, U.S. Census Bureau

23 of 333

Vacancy Rates

The vacancy rate is an indicator of the general availability of housing. It also reflects how well available units meet the current housing market demand. A low vacancy rate suggests that households may have difficulty finding housing within their price range; a high vacancy rate may indicate either an imbalance between household characteristics and the type of available units, an oversupply of housing, or special situations such as in areas where there are vacation homes.

The availability of vacant housing units provides households with choices on different unit types to accommodate changing needs (e.g., single persons, newly married couples, and elderly households typically need smaller units than households with school age children). A low vacancy rate may contribute to higher market rents and prices, and may limit the choices of households in finding adequate housing. It may also be related to overcrowding, as discussed later.

Table X-13 2-14 provides 20109 Census American Community Survey occupancy and tenure characteristics for the unincorporated areas compared to Orange County as a whole. Owner occupied housing units in unincorporated area represented 77.5% of all occupied housing units, whereas those in the County as a whole represented a lower 57.4% indicating a higher rate of rental units. The data indicated a 11.48% rental vacancy rate in the unincorporated area and a 26.3% rental vacancy rate in the County as a whole. These figures indicate a strong real estate market with relatively low vacancy – at the lowest in unincorporated area (3.8%) than in the County as a whole (5.7%).

X-18

Table X-13	Table 2-14
Housing '	Vacancy

	Uninco	orporated	Orange (County
	Units	Percent	Units	Percent
	39,937		1,048,907	
Total housing units	42,433	100%	<u>1,100,449</u>	100%
	38,302	95.9%	992,781	94.7%
Occupied units	40,804	96.2%	<u>1,037,492</u>	94.3%
	29,739	74.5%	588,313	56.1%
-Owner occupied	<u>31,623</u>	<u>77.5%</u>	<u>595,272</u>	<u>57.4%</u>
	8,563	21.4%	404,468	38.6%
-Renter occupied	<u>9,181</u>	<u>22.5%</u>	442,220	<u>42.6%</u>
	1,635	4.1%	56,126	5.4%
Vacant units	<u>1,629</u>	3.8%	62,957	<u>5.7%</u>
	442	1.1%	25,254	2.4%
-For rent	<u>192</u>	<u>11.8%</u>	<u>16,547</u>	<u>26.3%</u>
	329	0.8%	8,434	0.8%
-For sale	<u>456</u>	<u>28.0%</u>	<u>6,124</u>	9.7%
	25	0.1%	1,327	0.1%
-Rented or sold, not occupied	<u>401</u>	<u>24.6%</u>	<u>9,492</u>	<u>15.1%</u>
	343	0.9%	10,806	1.0%
-For seasonal or occasional use	<u>363</u>	<u>22.3%</u>	<u>18,865</u>	<u>30%</u>
	371	0.9%	8,209	0.8%
-All other vacantscies	<u>218</u>	<u>13.4%</u>	<u>11,929</u>	<u>19%</u>

Source: Census 2010, Summary File 1 General Population and Housing Characteristics Demographic Profile 1 American Community Survey, 5-Year Estimates, 2019; SCAG Local Housing Data Pre-Certified Local Housing Data 2020

Age and Condition of Housing Stock

Age is one measure of housing stock conditions and a factor for determining the need for rehabilitation. Without proper maintenance, housing units deteriorate over time. Thus, units that are older are more likely to be in need of major repairs (e.g., a new roof or plumbing). As a general principle, houses 30 years or older are considered aged and are more likely to require moderate to major repairs. In addition, older houses may not be built to current standards for fire and earthquake safety. According to recent Census 2019 American Community Survey data, about 572.9% of owner-occupied units and 53% of rental units in the unincorporated area were built before 19890 and are approximately 30 years old or greater (Table X-14 2-15); 41.5% are 60 years or older. This compares to 87% of units in the County as a whole, which were built before 1990 and 37.1% which were built before 1960.

Table X-14 Table 2-15
Age of Housing Stock by Tenure (Owner vs. Renter)

	Uninc	orporated	Orange	County
Year Built	Units	Percent	Units	Percent
Owner occupied	29,658		599,032	
<u>Total Units</u>	<u>42,433</u>	100%	<u>1,100,449</u>	100%
2000 or later	5,658	19%	47,943	8%
2014 to present	<u>1,612</u>	3.8%	29,369	<u>2.7%</u>
-1980-1999	6,691	23%	165,891	28%
<u>2010-2013</u>	<u>806</u>	<u>1.9%</u>	<u>22,261</u>	<u>2.0%</u>
- 1960-1979	8,314	28%	271,257	45%
<u>2000-2009</u>	<u>9,081</u>	<u>21.4%</u>	<u>91,455</u>	<u>8.3%</u>
- 1940 1959	8,242	28%	101,094	17%
<u>1990-1999</u>	6,323	<u>14.9%</u>	128,774	<u>11.7%</u>
-1939 or earlier	753	3%	12,847	2%
<u>1980-1989</u>	2,928	6.9%	<u>163,803</u>	<u>14.9%</u>
Renter occupied	7,595	100%	385,471	100%
<u>1970-1979</u>	<u>4,074</u>	9.6%	256,739	<u>23.3%</u>
2000 or later	1,940	26%	30,754	8%
<u>1960-1969</u>	<u>6,959</u>	<u>16.4%</u>	214,045	<u>19.5%</u>
- 1980-1999	1,636	22%	106,045	28%
<u>1950-1959</u>	<u>8,784</u>	<u>20.7%</u>	143,431	<u>13.0%</u>
- 1960-1979	2,460	32%	182,022	47%
<u>1940-1949</u>	<u>721</u>	<u>1.7%</u>	<u>23,121</u>	<u>2.1%</u>
- 1940-1959	1,276	17%	54,599	14%
1939 and earlier	<u>1,146</u>	<u>2.7%</u>	<u>27,451</u>	<u>2.5%</u>
1939 or earlier	283	4%	12,051	3%
Source: Census American Com	munity, 2006-2010, 5 y	year estimate. Table B251:	27 5-Year Estimates, 20	19

As reported in recent Census estimates, only 0.1% of owner-occupied units and 1.1% of rental units in the unincorporated area lacked complete kitchen facilities while 0.2% of owner-occupied units and 1.3% of rental units lacked complete plumbing facilities (**Table X-15** <u>2-16</u>). There may also be units that require rehabilitation or replacement despite possessing complete kitchen and plumbing facilities.

X-20

Table X-15 <u>Table 2-16</u>
Kitchen and Plumbing Facilities by Tenure (Owner vs. Renter)

	Unincor	porated	Orange County		
	Units	Percent	Units	Percent	
Owner occupied	32,473	100.0%	598,752	100.0%	
No Telephone Service Available	<u>424</u>	<u>1.00%</u>	<u>21,459</u>	<u>1.95%</u>	
- Complete kitchen facilities	32,448	99.9%	596,899	99.7%	
Lacking Plumbing Facilities	<u>144</u>	0.34%	4,292	<u>0.39%</u>	
Lacking complete kitchen facilities	25	0.1%	1,853	0.3%	
Lacking Complete Kitchen Facilities	<u>424</u>	<u>1.00%</u>	<u>14,196</u>	<u>1.29%</u>	
Renter occupied	7,322	100.0%	375,249	100.0%	
- Complete kitchen facilities	7,244	98.9%	368,176	98.1%	
Lacking complete kitchen facilities	78	1.1%	7,073	1.9%	
Owner occupied	32,473	100.0%	598,752	100.0%	
- Complete plumbing facilities	32,411	99.8%	597,050	99.7%	
Lacking complete plumbing facilities	62	0.2%	1,702	0.3%	
Renter occupied	7,322	100.0%	375,249	100.0%	
Complete plumbing facilities	7,227	98.7%	373,715	99.6%	
Lacking complete plumbing facilities	95	1.3%	1,534	0.4%	
Source: Census American Community Surve	ey 2005-20 0 19, <u>SCAC</u>	S Local Housing Data	Pre-Certified Local H	lousing Data 2020	

To more accurately determine the condition of the housing stock, the County conducted a <u>survey recent assessment</u> of <u>code enforcement concerns within unincorporated Orange</u> County <u>unincorporated area residents within specific target areas</u>. The survey was mailed to owners of record of single—and multifamily units and does not reflect the needs of renters. The homeowners surveyed appear to be, in general, long term residents of the County and of low—to moderate—income level. Table X-16 2-17 summarizes the results of the survey assessment.

Table X-16 Table 2-17

Housing Rehabilitation Need –

County of Orange Target Areas Unincorporated Orange County – 2019-2020

		Number of Survey					
		Respondents In Need of					
	Number of Survey	Housing Rehabilitation					
	Respondents Number of	Number of Property	% of Households In				
Unincorporated	Property Maintenance	Maintenance Complaints	Need of Housing				
Target Area	Complaints in 2020	in 2019	Rehabilitation				
Anaheim Island	72. 72	21 54	29.17%				
Back Bay	203 <u>2</u>	16 5	7.88%				
Costa Mesa Islands	203 <u>2</u>	10 <u>5</u>	7.0070				
Colonia	12 <u>1</u>	3 6	25%				
Coto De Caza	12 1	3 <u>0</u>	2570				
Cypress	89 <u>1</u>	20 <u>5</u>	22.47%				
Foothill/Trabuco	07 <u>1</u>	20 <u>3</u>	22.4770				
El Modena	70 8	18 2	25.71%				
Ladera Ranch	<u> </u>		2017 170				
Northeast El Modena	59 N/A	10 1	16.95%				
Las Flores		_					
Inner Canyons	210 <u>76</u>	36 <u>30</u>	17.14%				
Midway City	_	_					
Mac Island	23 69	7 146	30.43%				
North Tustin							
Midway City	128 23	35- 42	27.34%				
Orange Park Acres							
Olive Island	16 <u>3</u>	5 <u>4</u>	31.25%				
Placentia Islands							
Rustic Island	10 <u>4</u>	6 <u>2</u>	60%				
Rancho Mission Viejo							
Sherwood Forest	122 <u>18</u>	32 <u>34</u>	26.23%				
Rossmoor							
Southwest Anaheim	28 - <u>16</u>	12 <u>17</u>	42.86%				
Silverado-Modjeska							
West Anaheim	219 <u>1</u>	50- 10	22.83%				
Yorba Linda Islands							
Source: Housing and Community Development Department Consolidated Plan 2000-2004-OC Public Works 2020							

This survey indicated that about one-fifth of the structures in target areas were in need of rehabilitation. A 2009 housing conditions survey found between 0% to 30% of units in target areas to be in fair to poor condition and requiring moderate to substantial rehabilitation, or replacement.

In the entire unincorporated area it is estimated that between 5% and 10% of all units require some form of structural repair, which is an estimated 2,000 to 4,000 units based on the total of about 39,000 housing units in the unincorporated area. Of these, it is estimated that 10% or 200 to 400 units, may be in such isrepair that they require replacement.

Based on the assessment of recent property maintenance complaints in 2019 and 2020, most of the concerns are located in the Anaheim Islands, Midway City,

X-22

North Tustin and Orange Park Acres. The complaints vary from the collection of junk and debris, lack of yard maintenance, storage of inoperable vehicles, unpermitted buildings, and animals/insects. Approximately 29 of the 300 total unincorporated properties with maintenance complaints in 2020 are noted as having unpermitted or substandard units; therefore approximately 1% of the total units may need to be replaced or rehabilitated.

Housing Units Demolished or Converted in the Coastal Zone

State law requires that coastal jurisdictions monitor and report the number of lowand moderate-income ("L/M") housing units within the Coastal Zone that are constructed or lost due to demolition or conversion to non-residential uses since 1982.

The amount of unincorporated territory within the Coastal Zone has decreased considerably since 1982 due to the incorporation of Dana Point and Laguna Niguel as well as annexations to the Cities of Laguna Beach, Newport Beach, and Huntington Beach. The only major unincorporated area with residential development in the Coastal Zone is Emerald Bay. Bolsa Chica, Laguna Coast Wilderness Park, Aliso-Wood Canyon Regional Park and Banning Ranch are the other major unincorporated coastal areas. **Table X-17** 2-18 shows the number of Low/Moderate income housing units constructed, demolished, converted and replaced within the Coastal Zone since 1982.

- A total of 33 units were demolished or converted between 1982 and 1988 but the income category of these units was not recorded.
- Between 1989 and 2000, 15 units were demolished and replaced including several in Emerald Bay which were demolished as a result of fire damage during the devastating fires that occurred in October 1993. The income category for these 15 units was not recorded. No L/M units were converted from residential to non-residential use during this time.
- From 2001 through 2012, 262 units were demolished including 221 units in a mobile home park.
- From 2012 to 2020, 23 units were demolished. The income category of these units is unknown.

Table X-17 Table 2-18 Low_ and Moderate_ Income Units Constructed, Converted, Demolished, and Replaced in the Coastal Zone – 1982-201220

	Units			Units
Time Period	Constructed	Units Demolished	Units Converted	Replaced
1982-1988	1278 b	29 a	4 a	n.a
1989-2000	0	15 a	0	15 a
2001-2012	77 a	262 a	0	n.a
2012-2020	<u>27</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>n/a</u>
Total	13 55 <u>82</u> a	3 06 29 a	4 a	15 ^a

Notes

- a. Numbers reflect total units, not just Low/Moderate units. Income category not available
- b. Numbers reflect only Low/Moderate units.
- Source: Orange County, OC Public Works, OC Planning Development Services

Housing Cost

Housing Affordability Criteria

State law establishes five income categories for purposes of housing programs based on the area (i.e., county) median income ("AMI"): extremely-low (30% or less of AMI), very-low (31-50% of AMI), low (51-80% of AMI), moderate (81-120% of AMI) and above moderate (over 120% of AMI). Housing affordability is based on the relationship between household income and housing expenses. According to HUD and the California Department of Housing and Community Development¹, housing is considered "affordable" if the monthly payment is no more than 30% of a household's gross income. In some areas (such as Orange County), these income limits may be increased to adjust for high housing costs.

Table X-18 2-19 shows 201320 affordable rent levels and estimated affordable purchase prices for housing in Orange County² by income category. Based on state-adopted standards, the maximum affordable monthly rental housing costs for <u>four-person</u> extremely-low-income households is \$723961, while the maximum affordable housing costs for <u>four-person</u> very-low-income households is \$1,204601. The maximum affordable housing cost for <u>four-person</u> low-income households is \$1,9262,561, while the maximum for <u>four-person</u> moderate-income households is \$2,6163,090.

-

¹ HCD memo of 2/25/2013 (http://www.hcd.ca.gov/hpd/hrc/rep/state/inc2k13.pdf)

² Affordable rent and purchase prices are based on county median income.

Table X-18
Income Categories and Affordable Housing Costs –
Orange County

20 13 20 County Median Income = \$87,200			Affordable
<u>\$103,000</u>	Income Limits	Affordable Rent	Price (est.)
Extremely Low (<30%)	\$28,900	\$723	_
	<u>\$38,450</u>	<u>\$961</u>	<u>\$125,800</u>
Very Low (31-50%)	\$48,150	\$1,204	_
	<u>\$64,050</u>	<u>\$1,601</u>	<u>\$245,000</u>
Low (51-80%)	\$77,050	\$1,926	\$260,000
	<u>\$102,450</u>	<u>\$2,561</u>	<u>\$424,000</u>
Moderate (81-120%)	\$104,650	\$2,616	\$400,000
	<u>\$123,600</u>	<u>\$3,090</u>	<u>\$522,700</u>
Above moderate (120%+)	\$104,650+	\$2,616+	\$400,000+

Assumptions:

- -Based on a family of 4
- -30% of gross income for rent or Principal/Interest/Taxes/Insurance
- -10% down payment, 4.5% interest, 1.25% taxes & insurance, \$200 HOA dues

2020 HCD income limits; 30% gross household income as affordable housing cost; 15% of monthly affordable cost for taxes and insurance; 10% down payment; and 4.5% interest rate for a 30-year fixed-rate mortgage loan. Utilities based on Orange County Utility Allowance.

Source: Cal. HCD; J.H. Douglas & Associates Orange County Housing Authority 2020 Utility Allowance Schedule and California Department of Housing and Community Development, 2020 income limits, and Kimley Horn and Associates Assumptions

Maximum purchase prices are more difficult to determine due to variations in mortgage interest rates and qualifying procedures, down payments, special tax assessments, homeowner association fees, property insurance rates, etc. With this caveat, the maximum home purchase prices by income category shown in **Table X-18 2-19** have been estimated based on typical conditions.

For-Sale Housing

Existing housing resale price statistics for calendar year 201221 are shown in **Table X-19**20.

Table X-19 Table 2-20
Orange County Median Home Prices – 201221

		Median Price* (thousands)		
City	ZIP	SFD	Condo	
Countywide		\$ 500 <u>1,000</u>	\$ 287 <u>617</u>	
Aliso Viejo	92656	92656 \$ 535 <u>864</u>		
Anaheim	92801	\$ 320 _695	\$ 250- 494	
Anaheim	92802	\$ 350 -736	\$ 250 - <u>539</u>	
Anaheim	92804	\$ 345 - <u>730</u>	\$ 165 _442	
Anaheim	92805	\$ 320 _687	\$ 237 _ <u>531</u>	
Anaheim	92806	\$ 380 - <u>769</u>	\$ 224_ 483	
Anaheim Hills	92807	\$ 443 <u>918</u>	\$ 204 - <u>543</u>	

		Median Price* (thousands)		
City	ZIP	SFD	Condo	
Anaheim Hills	92808	\$ 575- 1,000	\$ 301 578	
Balboa Island	92662	\$ 1,495 -3,230	n/a- 2,550	
Brea	92821	\$ 467- 854	\$ 295 -589	
Brea	92823	\$ 522 1,010	n/a 787	
Buena Park	90620	\$ 350- 734	\$ 210- 575	
Buena Park	90621	\$ 315- 751	\$ 330- 626	
Capistrano Beach	92624	\$ 610- 1,460	\$ 406 -905	
Corona del Mar	92625	\$ 1,668 -3,820	\$ 1,000 -2,080	
Costa Mesa	92626	\$ 507 -1.010	\$ 305- 641	
Costa Mesa	92627	\$ 529 1.170	\$ 353 765	
Cypress	90630	\$ 430 .843	\$ 263 -575	
Dana Point	92629	\$ 700- 1,520	\$ 390 -822	
Foothill Ranch	92610	\$ 545- 937	\$ 240- 540	
Fountain Valley	92708	\$ 530- 970	\$ 195 -529	
Fullerton	92831	\$ 450- 839	\$ 217- 448	
Fullerton	92832	\$ 339- 724	\$ 180- 470	
Fullerton	92833	\$ 390 -816	\$ 326 -564	
Fullerton	92835	\$ 552 1,020	\$ 197- 455	
Garden Grove	92840	\$ 355 -743	\$ 180- 447	
Garden Grove	92841	\$ 364- 772	\$ 220 481	
Garden Grove	92843	\$ 345 727	\$ 180- 450	
Garden Grove	92844	\$ 342. 715	\$ 228- 492	
	92845	\$ 424 -833	\$ 210 -514	
Garden Grove				
Huntington Beach	92646	\$ 575 <u>1,070</u>	\$ 300 - <u>626</u>	
Huntington Beach	92647	\$ 500 - <u>932</u>	\$ 220_483	
Huntington Beach	92648	\$ 755 <u>1,400</u>	\$ 390 - <u>762</u>	
Huntington Beach	92649	\$ 700- 1,360	\$ 296 _647	
Irvine	92602	\$ 819- 1,410	\$ 441 <u>875</u>	
Irvine	92603	\$ 1,560 <u>1,980</u>	\$ 510 - <u>938</u>	
Irvine	92604	\$ 545 <u>976</u>	\$ 383 _731	
Irvine	92606	\$ 630- 1,020	\$400- <u>679</u>	
Irvine	92612	\$ 550 - <u>904</u>	\$ 418 <u>745</u>	
Irvine	92614	\$ 690 -967	\$ 390 -701	
Irvine	92618	\$ 815 _ <u>1,400</u>	\$ 385 <u>879</u>	
Irvine	92620	\$ 728 _1,190	\$ 410 - <u>852</u>	
La Habra	90631	\$ 368 _799	\$ 155 - <u>452</u>	
La Palma	90623	\$ 480 - <u>891</u>	\$ 225 <u>548</u>	
Ladera Ranch	92694	\$ 675 _1,070	\$ 335 - <u>665</u>	
Laguna Beach	92651	\$ 1,273 - <u>2,970</u>	\$ 773 _1,670	
Laguna Hills	92653	\$ 560 <u>1,120</u>	\$ 230 - <u>536</u>	
Laguna Niguel	92677	\$ 636 <u>1,140</u>	\$ 279 <u>584</u>	
Laguna Woods	92637	n/a 423	\$ 205 <u>387</u>	
Lake Forest	92630	\$ 480 _897	\$ 204 _491	
Los Alamitos	90720	\$ 700 - <u>1,190</u>	\$ 365 - <u>749</u>	
Midway City	92655	\$ 360 _851	\$ 216- 494	
Mission Viejo	92691	\$ 475 <u>890</u>	\$ 199 <u>741</u>	
Mission Viejo	92692	\$ 535 <u>930</u>	\$ 343 _617	
Newport Beach	92661	\$ 1,588 <u>4,050</u>	\$ 668 <u>1880</u>	
Newport Beach	92663	\$ 1,385 <u>2,750</u>	\$ 489 <u>1130</u>	
Newport Coast	92657	\$ 2,237- 4,620	\$ 835 <u>1730</u>	
Orange	92865	\$ 420- 807	\$ 328 -559	
Orange	92866	\$ 490- 825	\$ 169 -534	
Orange	92867	\$ 485 -951	\$ 325- 648	
Orange	92868	\$ 320 -700	\$ 190- 420	
Orange	92869	\$ 549 -974	\$ 259 <u>545</u>	

		Median Price* (thousands)		
City	ZIP	SFD	Condo	
Placentia	92870	\$ 455 - <u>836</u>	\$ 250 - <u>538</u>	
Rancho St. Margarita	92688	\$ 481 <u>849</u>	\$ 259 <u>537</u>	
San Clemente	92672	\$ 623 -1,420	\$ 350 - <u>882</u>	
San Clemente	92673	\$ 701 _1,230	\$ 339 784	
San Juan Capistrano	92675	\$ 520 -1,200	\$ 240 - <u>553</u>	
Santa Ana	92701	\$ 282 664	\$ 120 - <u>350</u>	
Santa Ana	92703	\$ 300- 657	\$ 160 - <u>453</u>	
Santa Ana	92704	\$ 330 -708	\$ 150 -407	
Santa Ana	92705	\$ 638 -1,250	\$ 193 445	
Santa Ana	92706	\$ 373 _811	\$ 150 -401	
Santa Ana	92707	\$ 290- 671	\$ 162 404	
Seal Beach	90740	\$ 705 - <u>1,290</u>	\$ 224 N/A	
Silverado	92676	\$ 357 _918	n/a-N/A	
Stanton	90680	\$ 265 - <u>651</u>	\$ 215 - <u>472</u>	
Sunset Beach	90742	\$ 2,825 -2,460	\$ 950 _1720	
Trabuco Canyon	92678	\$ 353 932	n/a 649	
Trabuco Canyon	92679	\$ 680 - <u>1,190</u>	\$ 250 - <u>568</u>	
Tustin	92780	\$ 430 - <u>835</u>	\$ 185 - <u>477</u>	
Tustin	92782	\$ 715 <u>1,160</u>	\$ 365 - <u>672</u>	
Villa Park	92861	\$ 998 _1,590	n/a N/A	
Westminster	92683	\$ 387 -824	\$ 315 - <u>558</u>	
Yorba Linda	92886	\$ 590 - <u>1,090</u>	\$ 245 - <u>631</u>	
Yorba Linda	92887	\$ 650 <u>1,150</u>	\$ 230 - <u>536</u>	

Notes: *Shown in thousands

Data for January 201219 retrieved on May 20, 2021 through December 201220

Source: DataQuick Information Systems

The table shows that the median price for resale single-family detached homes countywide was \$51,000,000 while for resale condos, the median price was \$287617,000. Based on the estimated affordable purchase prices shown in Table X-18, many most resale condos and some single-family detached for-sale units were affordable to lower- or moderate-income households. These data illustrate the fact that public subsidies are generally required to reduce sales prices to a level that is affordable to very-low-income buyers.

Rental Housing

According to the <u>ACS data for the County as a whole apartment research firm</u> RealFacts, rents monthly median gross rent in Orange County reached an all-time high in 2012 with a countywide average total of \$1,604 1,854 in 2019. In the wake of the financial crisis of 2008-2009 average rents in Orange County declined from \$1,603 in 2008 to \$1,473 in early 2010, but growing demand has allowed the market to fully recover those temporary losses. As **Table 2-21** shows, the median gross rent has increased for all unit sizes between 2015 and 2019. Studio apartments experienced the most rent increase with an approximate 30 percent increase since 2015. Units with at least 5 bedrooms had the lowest percent increase at 13.1 percent. Overall, the median gross rent increased by 19.8 percent

between 2015 and 2019. Real estate professionals expect rents to continue rising in the near future as growing demand exceeds the pace of new apartment construction.

<u>Table 2-21</u> <u>Median Gross Rent by Bedrooms in Orange County (2015-2019)</u>

<u>Unit Size</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	Percent Change 2015-2019
Studio	<u>\$1,115</u>	<u>\$1,164</u>	<u>\$1,256</u>	<u>\$1,358</u>	<u>\$1,457</u>	<u>30.7%</u>
1 Bedroom	<u>\$1,255</u>	<u>\$1,307</u>	<u>\$1,384</u>	<u>\$1,479</u>	<u>\$1,574</u>	<u>25.4%</u>
2 Bedrooms	<u>\$1,572</u>	<u>\$1,627</u>	<u>\$1,711</u>	<u>\$1,794</u>	<u>\$1,869</u>	<u>18.9%</u>
3 Bedrooms	<u>\$2,054</u>	<u>\$2,130</u>	<u>\$2,185</u>	<u>\$2,277</u>	<u>\$2,372</u>	<u>15.5%</u>
<u>4 Bedrooms</u>	<u>\$2,391</u>	<u>\$2,441</u>	<u>\$2,535</u>	<u>\$2,617</u>	<u>\$2,741</u>	<u>14.6%</u>
5 or More Bedrooms	<u>\$2,472</u>	<u>\$2,639</u>	<u>\$2,624</u>	<u>\$2,655</u>	<u>\$2,796</u>	<u>13.1%</u>
Median Gross Rent	<u>\$1,548</u>	<u>\$1,608</u>	<u>\$1,693</u>	<u>\$1,777</u>	<u>\$1,854</u>	<u>19.8%</u>
Source: American Community Surve	ey, 5-Year E	stimates, 20	015, 2016, 2	017, 2018, .	<u> 2019.</u>	

When market rents are compared to the amounts lower-income households can afford to pay (Table X-18), it is clear that very-low- and extremely-low-income households have a difficult time finding housing without overpaying. The gap between market rent for an average apartment and affordable rent at the very-low-income level is about \$400 per month, while the gap at the extremely-low-income level is \$881 per month. However, at the low-income and moderate-income levels, households have a much better chance of finding affordable rentals. The affordable payment for a 4-person low-income household falls between \$1,205 and \$1,926.

Housing Needs

The following analysis of current housing conditions in unincorporated Orange County presents housing needs and concerns relative to various segments of the population. This analysis relies in part on the County's Consolidated Plan, which addresses the needs of the lower-income segment of the community and strategies to address those needs.

X-28

Several factors will influence the need for new housing in Orange County in coming years. The three major needs categories considered in this element include:

- Existing housing needs resulting from the overcrowding, overpayment or substandard housing conditions;
- Housing needs of "special needs groups" such as the elderly, large families, homeless, and disabled; and
- Housing needs resulting from population growth.

Demographic and market conditions analysis indicates that the number of households at the extreme ends of the income spectrum will continue to grow ("polarization of income" phenomenon), while the traditional middle income segments' participation in the housing market will decline both in size and activity.

In terms of specific housing needs, home ownership and first-time homebuyer programs are important for moderate- to above moderate-income population in achieving home ownership. Lower-income groups will need the most assistance in meeting the increasingly higher cost burdens associated with owning a home, but for the most part these groups will be unable to purchase homes in the County. The needs of lower income groups, therefore, are usually met by the rental market.

In sum, since Orange County has a fairly large population of affluent homeowners, greater attention needs to be placed on the affordability gap in the resale of smaller and more moderately priced homes to lower-income and first-time homebuyers. Attention will need to be placed in creating more opportunities for larger families in the rental market as well.

Existing Needs

Overcrowding

Overcrowding is often closely related to household income and the cost of housing. The U.S. Census Bureau considers a household to be overcrowded when there is more than one person per room, excluding bathrooms and kitchens, with severe overcrowding when there are more than 1.5 occupants per room. **Table X-20** 2-22 summarizes recent Census estimates of overcrowding for the unincorporated area as compared to the entire county.

Table X-20 Table 2-22 Overcrowding

	Unincorporated		Orange County	
	Households	Percent	Households	Percent
Owner Occupied	32,473	100.0%	598,752	100.0%
Overcrowded	548	1.7%	18,515	3.1%
Severely overcrowded	157	0.5%	6,423	1.1%
Renter Occupied	7,322	100.0%	375,249	100.0%
Overcrowded	422	5.8%	38,259	10.2%
Severely overcrowded	344	4.7%	24,594	6.6%
1.0+/Room Owner		2.2%		4.9%
1.5+/Room Owner		0.6%		<u>1.3%</u>
1.0+/Room Renter		<u>10.1%</u>		<u>15.6%</u>
1.5+/Room Renter		<u>2.7%</u>		6.4%

Source: Census American Community Survey 2005-2009-SCAG Pre=Certified Local Housing Data, 2021

Overcrowding was much more prevalent among renters than for owner-occupied units in both the unincorporated area and the Orange Ceounty as a whole. Approximately 134% of unincorporated renter households were overcrowded compared to only 2.8% of owner-occupants.

Overpayment

According to State housing policy, overpaying occurs when housing costs exceed 30% of gross household income. **Table X-21** 2-23 displays recent estimates for renter and owner households in Orange County. According to SCAG and as shown in **Table 2-24**, over 50% of all renter households and 45% of all owner households in the unincorporated area were overpaying for housing.

Although homeowners enjoy income and property tax deductions and other benefits that help to compensate for high housing costs, lower-income homeowners may need to defer maintenance or repairs due to limited funds, which can lead to deterioration. For lower-income renters, severe cost burden can require families to double up resulting in overcrowding and related problems.

<u>Table 2-23</u> <u>Household Cost Burden - Orange County</u>

	Household Cost Burden					
<u>Income</u>	<u><30%</u>	<u>30-50%</u>	<u>>50%</u>			
<30% HAMFI	<u>434</u>	<u>160</u>	<u>1,718</u>			
30-50% HAMFI	<u>695</u>	<u>530</u>	<u>1,297</u>			
50-80% HAMFI	<u>1,188</u>	<u>1,049</u>	<u>957</u>			
80-100% HAMFI	<u>1,038</u>	<u>1,118</u>	<u>379</u>			
>100% HAMFI	<u>16,170</u>	<u>2,711</u>	<u>458</u>			
<u>Total Households</u>	<u>19,525</u>	<u>5,568</u>	<u>4,809</u>			

^{*}HAMFI refers to Housing Urban Development Area Median Family Income.

Source: HUD CHAS, 2012-2016

Table X-21 Table 2-24

Renter Overpayment by Income Category –
Orange County Unincorporated Area

% of Income Paid	Renters		Owners	
for Housing	Households	Percent	Households	Percent
All households	7,322 9.354	100.0%	32,473	100.0%
Less than 10%	285 <u>265</u>	3.9% 2.83%	4,713	14.5%
10-14.9%	266 776	3.6% 8.30%	2,847	8.8%
15-19.9%	876 <u>686</u>	12.0% 7.33%	3,569	11.0%
20-24.9%	607 1,035	8.3% 11.06%	3,268	10.1%
25-29.9%	1,106 1,023	15.1% 10.94%	3,273	10.1%
30-34.9%	750 1,029	10.2% 11.0%	2,488	7.7%
35-35.9%	579 695	7.9% 7.43%	2,606	8.0%
40-49.9%	689 853	9.4% 9.12%	3,075	9.5%
50% or more	1,711 2,328	23.4% 24.89%	6,363	19.6%
Not computed	453 <u>664</u>	6.2% 7.10%	271	0.8%
Households overpaying	3,729 4,905	50.9% <u>52.40%</u>	14,532	44.8%

Source: SCAG 2012 based on 2005 2009 American Community Survey Pre-Certified Local Housing Data – August 2020

Special Needs Groups

State Housing Law requires that the special needs of certain disadvantaged groups be addressed. These households typically experience difficulty in securing decent, affordable housing, and are not well guarded under market conditions. Many of these groups also fall under the category of extremely-low-income households. The needs of the elderly, handicapped, large families, female heads of household, the homeless and farm workers are addressed below:

Extremely Low-Income Households

Many of the persons and households discussed in this section under the topic of "special needs" fall within the extremely-low-income category, which is defined as 30% or less of area median income, or up to \$28,900 per year for a 4-person household in Orange County (2013). As discussed in Table X-21, 41.9% of renters and 48.6% owners in this income range were overpaying for housing.

The 2013-2017 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data indicates there are approximately 280,855 very low-income households living in the Orange County region. Very low-income households are those that earn 50% or less of the median family income (MFI) for Orange County. Extremely low-income households are those that earn less than 30% of the MFI. There are approximately 152,410 extremely low-income households in Orange County.

Table 2-25 below includes data characterizing affordability and cost burden for all income groups within the County of Orange.

Of the extremely low-income households living in the Orange County region, 122,605 have at least one of the four housing problems (80.4%). The housing problems identified by CHAS include the following:

- <u>Units with physical defects (lacking a complete kitchen or plumbing facilities)</u>;
- Overcrowded conditions (housing units with more than one person per bedroom);
- Housing cost burdens exceeding 30 percent of gross income (including utilities).

Severe housing problems include units with physical defects and overcrowded conditions, as well as more than 1.5 person per bedroom and a cost burden greater than 50%.

<u>Table 2-25</u>
<u>Housing Problems for all Households by Tenure – Orange County</u>

Income by Housing Problem	Household has at least 1 of 4 housing problems	Household has none of the 4 housing problems	Cost Burden not available, no other housing problem
	<u>Owners</u>		
<u>Less-than or = 30%</u>	<u>36,480</u>	<u>8,355</u>	<u>4,020</u>
>30% to less-than or = 50% MFI	<u>32,635</u>	<u>20,020</u>	<u>0</u>
>50% to less-than or = 80% MFI	<u>48,655</u>	<u>39,320</u>	<u>0</u>
>80% to less-than or = 100% MFI	<u>26,325</u>	<u>32,160</u>	<u>0</u>
>100% MFI	<u>57,535</u>	<u>283,050</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>Total</u>	<u>201,625</u>	<u>382,905</u>	<u>4,020</u>
	<u>Renters</u>		
Less-than or = 30%	<u>86,125</u>	<u>8,715</u>	<u>8,715</u>
>30% to less-than or = 50% MFI	<u>70,490</u>	<u>5,300</u>	<u>0</u>
>50% to less-than or = 80% MFI	<u>66,905</u>	<u>23,490</u>	<u>0</u>
>80% to less-than or = 100% MFI	<u>21,395</u>	<u>24,505</u>	<u>0</u>
>100% MFI	<u>17,930</u>	<u>102,855</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>Total</u>	<u>262,850</u>	<u>164,865</u>	<u>8,715</u>
Source: U.S. Department of Housing and Ul Strategy (CHAS) 2013-2017.	rban Development (HU)	D), Comprehensive Hou	using Affordability

<u>Strategy (CHAS) 2013-201</u>

PROJECTED NEEDS

To calculate projected housing needs, the County assumes 50 percent of its very low-income regional housing need are extremely low-income households. As a result, from the very low-income need of 46,416 units, the County has a projected need of 23,208 units for extremely low-income households.

The Housing Action Plan Strategy 1f addresses the needs of extremely-lowincome households. However, it must be recognized that the development of new housing for the lowest income groups typically requires large public subsidies, and the level of need is greater than can be met due to funding limitations, especially during these times of declining public revenues.

Elderly Persons

The large demographic group known as "Baby Boomers" born between 1946 and 1964 have played a dominant role in society throughout their lives. The oldest of the Boomers turned $60 \frac{75}{100}$ in 202106 and the youngest of this group will turn 5060 in 20124. The growing wave of elderly retirees will have a huge impact on

government, health care and the housing market. The Orange County Grand Jury directed attention to this issue in its 2008 report "No County for Old Boomers³" which calls upon local governments in Orange County to adopt policies and programs addressing the needs of the elderly.

The special housing needs of seniors are an important concern in Orange County. This is especially so since many retired persons are likely to be on fixed low incomes and at greater risk of housing overpayment. In addition, the elderly maintain special needs related to housing construction and location. Seniors often require ramps, handrails, lower cupboards and counters to allow greater access and mobility. In terms of location, because of limited mobility the elderly also typically need access to public facilities (e.g., medical and shopping) and public transit facilities.

Mature-Senior citizens also may need special security devices for their homes to allow greater self-protection. In many instances, the elderly prefer to stay in their own dwellings rather than relocate to a retirement community, and may require assistance with home repairs and manual house/yard work. In general, every effort should be made to maintain the dignity, self-respect, and quality of life of mature senior residents in the County.

Finding reliable transportation to medical appointments, senior centers, meal sites and shopping remains a serious problem for seniors. Many seniors lack private transportation due to physical or financial limitations.

According to recent Census American Community Survey estimates, there were 4727.4% of owner households and 146.3% of renter households in unincorporated Orange County where the householder was 65 or older (Table X-22 2-26). More than 5% of all owner households and 7% of renter households were elderly persons living alone. Many elderly persons are dependent on fixed incomes and/or have a disability. Elderly homeowners may be physically unable to maintain their homes or cope with living alone. The housing needs of this group can be addressed through smaller units, second units on lots with existing homes, shared living arrangements, congregate housing and housing assistance programs (see also Section 3 – Constraints for more information on how the County's land use regulations help to facilitate these types of housing options for seniors).

-

³ http://www.ocgrandjury.org/pdfs/agingorangecounty/agingorangecounty.pdf

Table X-22 Table 2-26
Elderly Households by Tenure (Owner vs. Renter)
Orange County Unincorporated Area

	Owners		Renter	rs	
Householder Age	Households	Percent	Households	Percent	
	28,423	83.0%	6,562	86.4%	
Under 65 years	<u>23,431</u>	72.6%	<u>7,825</u>	83.7%	
	3,160	9.2%	405	5.3%	
65 to 74 years	<u>5,332</u>	<u>16.5%</u>	<u>839</u> 523	9.0%	
•	2,591	7.6%	523	6.9%	
75 to 84 years	2,372	7.4%	<u>498</u>	5.3%	
-	874	2.6%	105	1.4%	
85 and over	<u>1128</u>	3.5%	<u>192</u>	2.1%	
	6,625	17.0%	1,033	13.6%	
Total 65+ Households	<u>8,832</u>	27.4%	<u>1,529</u>	<u>16.3%</u>	
Total 65+ Living Alone	1,849	5.4%	569	7.5%	
	34,254		7,595		
Total unincorporated households	<u>32,263</u>	100.0%	<u>9,354</u>	100.0%	

Source: Census American Community Survey 2006 2010, Table B25007, Table B25011SCAG Local Housing Data Pre-Certified Local Housing Data 2020

Large Families

Household size is an indicator of the need for large units. Large households are defined as those with five or more members. Among both owners and renters, nearly just under half of all unincorporated area households have only one or two members. About 146% of renter households and about 15% of owner households had five or more members (**Table X-237**). This data, together with overcrowding statistics, indicates that although a large proportion of households are small, there is a significant need for large rental units with four or more bedrooms.

Table X-23 Table 2-27 Household Size by Tenure (Owner vs. Renter) – Unincorporated Orange County

	Owners Renters						
Household Size	Households	Percent	Households	Percent			
	2,092	11%	548	26%			
1 person	<u>3,878</u>	<u>12.0%</u>	<u>1,708</u>	<u>18.3%</u>			
	7,110	36%	480	22%			
2 person <u>s</u>	<u>11,293</u>	<u>35.0%</u>	<u>2,457</u>	<u>26.3%</u>			
	3,378	17%	431	20%			
3 person <u>s</u>	5,734	<u>17.8%</u>	<u>2,055</u>	<u>22%</u>			
	4,303	22%	440	21%			
4 person <u>s</u>	<u>6,716</u>	<u>20.8%</u>	<u>1,656</u>	<u>17.7%</u>			
	2,068	11%	172	8%			
5 person <u>s</u>	2,985	9.3%	<u>918</u>	9.8%			
	542	3%	26	1%			
6 person <u>s</u>	<u>1,018</u>	<u>3.2%</u>	<u>355</u>	<u>3.8%</u>			
	181	1%	37	2%			
7+ person <u>s</u>	<u>639</u>	<u>2%</u>	<u>205</u>	2.2%			
	19,674		2,134				
Total households	<u>32,263</u>	100%	<u>9,354</u>	100%			
Source: Census 2010, Summary File 1, Tables P13/16-SCAG Local Housing Data Pre-Certified Local Housing							

Source: Census 2010, Summary File 1, Tables P13/16-SCAG Local Housing Data Pre-Certified Local Housing Data 2020

Single-Parent Households

Single parent households face different challenges due to the greater need for daycare services, health care services, and other services. An issue observed for female-headed households with no spouse present is a lower average income due to income inequalities present in workplaces.

For Orange County as a whole, single parents represent 5.2 percent of householders, according to 2019 ACS 5-Year Estimates. There are 41,777 single-mother households and 12,508 single-father households in Orange County (4 percent and 1.2 percent, respectively).

Female-hHeaded Households

The Census Bureau estimates that about 79% of owner households and 15% of renter households in the unincorporated area were headed by a female (**Table-X-24 2-28**). While female-headed households represent a small portion of all households, they often have difficulty balancing work and family responsibilities leading to housing problems.

Table X-24 Table 2-28
Household Type by Tenure (Owner vs. Renter)
Unincorporated Orange County

	Owner	'S	Rente	rs
Household Type	Households	Percent	Households	Percent
	24,275	75%	2,983	41%
Married couple family	<u>385,611</u>	64.8%	<u>183,649</u>	<u>41.5</u>
	1,162	4%	506	
Male householder, no wife spouse present	<u>23,491</u>	<u>3.9%</u>	<u>31,541</u>	7 <u>.1</u> %
Female householder, no husband spouse	2,223	7%	1,076	
present	<u>51,654</u>	<u>8.7%</u>	<u>68,065</u>	15 <u>.4</u> %
	4,813	15%	2,757	38%
Non-family households	<u>134,516</u>	<u>22.6%</u>	<u>158,965</u>	<u>35.9%</u>
	32,473		7,322	
Total households	<u>595,272</u>	100%	442,220	100%
Source: SCAG, based on Census American Community Su	ırvey 2005-2009 <u>5-</u> Y	ear Estimates,	2019	

Students

The need for student housing is another significant factor affecting housing demand. Student housing often only produces a temporary housing need based on the duration of the educational institution enrolled in. The impact on housing demand is often increased in areas surrounding universities and colleges.

According to 2019 ACS 5-Year Estimates, there are approximately 231,636 students in Orange County enrolled in undergraduate programs and 48,691 enrolled in graduate or professional programs. Together, this makes up just under 33 percent of the population over 3 years of age enrolled in school. There are no local universities within unincorporated Orange County; however, some of the unincorporated units may be utilized as student housing for local universities within neighboring jurisdictions. Students may seek shared housing situations to decrease expenses and can be assisted through roommate referral services offered on and off campus. College graduates provide a specialized pool of skilled labor that is vital to the economy; however, a lack of affordable housing may lead to their departure post-graduation.

Persons with Disabilities

Access is especially important for the physically disabled. Physically disabled persons often required specially designed dwellings to permit access within the unit, as well as to and from a the-site. *California Administrative Code* Title 24 sets forth access and adaptability requirements for the physically handicapped (disabled). These regulations apply to all buildings such as motels, employee housing, factory-built housing, and privately funded, newly constructed apartment houses containing five or more dwelling units. The regulations also require that rampways, larger door widths, restroom modifications, etc. be designed to enable free access by the handicapped. Such standards, however, are not mandatory for new single-family residential construction.

Table X-25 <u>Table</u> 2-29 Persons with Disabilities by Age – Orange County

Disability by Age	Persons	%
Age 5 to 17 - total persons	543,524	
Age 5 to 17 - total persons	<u>703,641</u>	
Hearing difficulty	2,148	0.4%
Hearing difficulty	<u>3,884</u>	0.6%
Vision difficulty	2,852	0.5%
Vision difficulty	<u>3,363</u>	
Cognitive difficulty	10,123	1.9%
Cognitive difficulty	14,903	2.9%
Ambulatory difficulty	1,826	0.3%
Ambulatory difficulty	<u>2,811</u>	<u>0.5%</u>
Self-care difficulty	3,869	0.7%
Self-care difficulty	<u>5,770</u>	<u>1.1%</u>
Age 18 to 64 – total persons	1,919,871	
Age 10 to 04 - total persons	<u>1,998,667</u>	
Hearing difficulty	19,319	1.0%
Treating difficulty	<u>22,495</u>	<u>1.1%</u>
Vision difficulty	17,545	0.9%
Vision difficulty	<u>20,555</u>	<u>1.0%</u>
Cognitive difficulty	39,848	2.1%
- Obgritive difficulty	48,383	<u>2.4%</u>
Ambulatory difficulty	44,454	2.3%
, amount of amount	46,589	2.070
Self-care difficulty	18,441	1.0%
	20,493	
Independent living difficulty	35,675	1.9%
3 7	41,021	<u>2.1%</u>
Age 65 and over – total persons	346,896	
	448,781 42,767	12.3%
Hearing difficulty		
	53,881 19,226	12.0% 5.5%
Vision difficulty	19,220 22,610	5.5% 5.0%
-	32,544	9.4%
Cognitive difficulty	37,661	9.4% 8.4%
	67,963	19.6%
Ambulatory difficulty	83,960	19.0% 18.7%
	30,943	8.9%
Self-care difficulty	38,172	8.5%
	53,443	15.4%
Independent living difficulty	64,465	14.4%
Source: 2009-2011 American Community Survey 3		

<u>Disabled persons</u> The disabled, like the elderly, have special needs with regarding to location. There is typically a desire to be located near public facilities, and especially near public transportation facilities that provide service to those who rely on them.

Table X-25 <u>2-29</u> shows that in the under 65 age groups disabilities are relatively rare – typically 2% or less of the population. However, among seniors the

incidence of disabilities increases significantly. Nearly 2018.7% of persons in this age group reported an ambulatory difficulty, while more than 154% had an independent living difficulty. Members of this group are frequently on fixed incomes, or rely on public assistance.

DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLED

As defined by federal law, "developmental disability" means a severe, chronic disability of an individual that:

- Is attributable to a mental or physical impairment or combination of mental and physical impairments;
- Is manifested before the individual attains age 22;
- Is likely to continue indefinitely;
- Results in substantial functional limitations in three or more of the following areas of major life activity: a) self-care; b) receptive and expressive language; c) learning; d) mobility; e) self-direction; f) capacity for independent living; or g) economic self-sufficiency;
- Reflects the individual's need for a combination and sequence of special, interdisciplinary, or generic services, individualized supports, or other forms of assistance that are of lifelong or extended duration and are individually planned and coordinated.

The Census does not record developmental disabilities. According to the U.S. Administration on Intellectual Developmental Disabilities, an accepted estimate of the percentage of the population that can be defined as having a developmentally disabilityed is 1.5% percent. Many developmentally disabled persons with intellectual and development disabilities can live and work independently within a conventional housing environment. Individuals with Mmore severely disabled disabilities individuals require a group living environment where supervision is provided. The most severely affected individuals may require an institutional environment where medical attention and physical therapy are provided. Because intellectual and developmental disabilities exist before adulthood, the first issue in supportive housing for the individuals with intellectual and developmentally disabilitiesled is the transition from the person's living situation as a child to an appropriate level of independence as an adult.

The State <u>of California</u> Department of Developmental Services (DDS) currently provided community-based services to approximately <u>243,000</u> <u>331,999</u> persons

X-40

with developmental disabilities and their families through a statewide system of 21 regional centers, four developmental centers, and two community-based facilities, for fiscal year 2017 to 2018. The Regional Center of Orange County (RCOC) is one of 21 regional centers in the State of California that provides serves as a point of entry to services for people with developmental disabilities. The RCOC is a private, non-profit community agency that contracts with local businesses to offer a wide range of services to individuals with developmental disabilities and their families. The goal of the RCOC is to help Orange County residents with developmental disabilities and their families obtain local services and support to help them live safely and with dignity in the community.

Any resident of Orange County who has a developmental disability that originated before age 18 years of age is eligible for services. Services are offered to people with developmental disabilities based on Individual Program Plans (IPP) and may include: Aadult day programs; advocacy; assessment/consultation; behavior management programs; diagnosis and evaluation; independent living services; infant development programs; information and referrals; mobility training; prenatal diagnosis; residential care; respite care; physical and occupational therapy; transportation; consumer, family vendor training; and vocational training. The RCOC also coordinates the state-mandated Early Start program, which provides services for children under age three who have or are at substantial risk of having a developmental disability. According to the RCOC February 2010 Facts and Statistics data, the RCOC currently serves approximately 16,728 over 22,000 individuals in Orange County with developmental disabilities and their families. Of those, it is estimated that a total of 88 individuals, 28 whom are under age 18, currently reside in unincorporated areas according to Jack Stanton, RCOC Chief Counselor

Based in Orange County, the Dayle McIntosh Center for the Disabled, also referred to as DMC, is a non-profit organization that provides services to people with disabilities and facilitates equal access and inclusion within the community. The mission of the Dayle McIntosh CenterDMC (http://www.daylemc.org) is to advance the empowerment, equality, integration and full participation of people with disabilities in the community. The Center DMC is not a non- residential program, but instead promotes the full integration of disabled persons with disabilities into the community, regardless of the disability, and aims to meet the standards and indicators established for operation of independent living centers in the federal Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended into the community. The DMC Dayle McIntosh Center is a peer-based consumer-driven organization meaning that most of the staff is composed of individuals who have disabilities themselves and have met the challenge of becoming self-sufficient.serving all

disabilities. Its staff and board are composed of over 50% of people with disabilities. Its two offices, located in Garden Grove Anaheim and Laguna Hills, serve over 500,000 people with disabilities in Orange County and surrounding areas with disabilities.

<u>The County of Orange (County)</u> housing programs and regulations facilitate the provision of special needs housing such as emergency shelters and transitional <u>housing</u>, <u>permanent</u> supportive housing, assisted living and group homes to serve the needs of persons with developmental disabilities.

Homelessness

Throughout the country, homelessness is a serious problem. Factors contributing to homelessness include: the general shortage of housing affordable to <u>extremely</u> low-to-and moderate-income <u>persons-households</u>; increases in the number of persons whose incomes fall below the poverty level; reductions in public subsidies <u>and benefits</u> to the poor; and the de-institutionalization of the mentally ill; <u>criminal justice system reforms at the federal and state level, and most recently the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic</u>.

PROFILES OF THE HOMELESS POPULATION IN ORANGE COUNTY

The County of Orange (County) Health Care Agency (HCA) serves as the administrative entity for the Orange County Continuum of Care. As such, HCA /OC Community Resources undertakes a bi-annual "Point-in-Time" (PIT) count of the unsheltered County's homeless population in Orange County and an annual count of the sheltered population as part of its application for homeless assistance grant funds to U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and State of California grant funds. The most recent Point-in-Time PIT survey for which results are available was conducted in January 20132019⁴. That survey estimated that there were approximately 6,8604,251 homeless persons experiencing homelessness in Orange County at the time of the survey⁵. Of those, the survey estimated that 6142% of homeless persons experiencing homelessness were sheltered and 3958% were unsheltered. The 2013-2019 PIT survey results indicated a an increase in the homeless population compared to the findings of the 2017 PIT Count. However, it is important to note that the 2019 PIT Count incorporated a change in methodology that allowed the unsheltered count to take place over two days to ensure the county jurisdiction was canvassed effectively

_

OC Health Care Agency received a waiver from HUD to forgo the 2021 unsheltered count due to the COVID-19 pandemic in an effort to safeguard the health of those most vulnerable and limit the spread of COVID-19 illness.

⁵ 20139 Orange County Homeless Census & Survey, for OC Partnership by Focus Strategies

and collected unique identifying data points to de-duplicate records. Additionally, the 2019 PIT Count incorporated the use of ESRI Survey123 technology, an ArcGIS smartphone application that GIS mapped the locations and city of origin where individuals experiencing homelessness were surveyed and facilitated survey data collection. The ESRI Survey123 incorporated questions that collected demographic, subpopulation, homelessness related data as required by HUD. decrease of about 39% in the homeless population compared to the previous survey conducted in 2011. The survey did not provide an estimate of the homeless population by jurisdiction or for the unincorporated area alone.

By the very nature of homelessness, it is difficult to determine the location of homeless persons over an extended period of time. However, the incorporation of new technologies allowed for. Tthe 2013-2019 Point-in-Time PIT survey did notto enumerate homeless persons experiencing unsheltered homelessness by jurisdiction, those where traditionally this had only been captured for individual except for those residing in emergency shelters and transitional housing. The County released the finding of the 2019 PIT Count broken down by household type, subpopulations and jurisdictions. The County has estimated the number of homeless persons in the unincorporated area population-based on the data collected in the 2019 PIT Count through a proportional method based on the number of unincorporated residents compared to the total county population. As of 20122019, there were approximately 53 individuals experiencing homelessness in the unincorporated area of Orange County, in shelter programs and on the streets and places not meant for human habitation. This represents less than one percent of the homeless population as counted during the 2019 PIT. represented approximately 3.9% of the county's population (Table X-1, page X-7). Using this ratio and the estimated 4,251 homeless persons in the county, the unincorporated share of the homeless would be 166 persons. Although this method probably overstates understates the number of homeless persons experiencing homelessness in the unincorporated area since the domestic violence providers did not provide exact addresses to safeguard and protect the privacy of their clients, it is a homeless are more likely to congregate in cities where most social services are located, a better methodology that has been represented in past Housing Element submitted by the Countyhas not been developed at this time. Therefore tThis estimate of 166.53 persons is used for purposes of 2017 Senate Bill 2 (SB 2) analysis. Regardless of what methodology is used for estimating the location of the persons experiencing homelessness homeless, this is a regional issue and the County will continue to address it from a regional perspective.

COUNTY EFFORTS TO ADDRESS HOMELESSNESS

Senate Bill 2 (SB2) of 2007 requires that jurisdictions quantify the need for emergency shelter and determine whether existing facilities are adequate to serve the need of the homeless population. An emergency shelter is defined as "housing with minimal supportive services for homeless persons experiencing homelessness that is limited to occupancy of six months or less by a homeless person experiencing homelessness. No individual or household may be denied access to emergency shelter because of an inability to pay." If adequate existing facilities are not available, the law requires jurisdictions to identify areas where new facilities are permitted "by-right" (i.e., without requiring a public hearing which is mandatory for some discretionary approvals such as a use permit). A jurisdiction could also satisfy its emergency shelter needs through multijurisdictional agreements with up to two adjacent communities. The County of Orange (County) Zoning Code allows emergency shelters by-right in the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone, and transitional housing and permanent supportive housing is permitted as a residential use subject to the same standards as apply to other residential uses of the same type in the same zoning district.

In 2010, the Board of Supervisors (Board) created the Commission to End Homelessness to oversee the implementation of the goals and strategies in the Ten Year Plan to End Homelessness.

In 2012, the County adopted its updated 10-Year Plan to End Homelessness (Ten-Year Plan)⁶. The Ten-Year Plan is the product of an integrated community collaboration and provides a roadmap of how to effectively end homelessness in Orange County within the next ten years. Although tThe efforts to develop such a Ten-Year Plan started several years ago, that process began in earnest in 2008 when a broad-based Working Group was established and charged with developing a plan. Working Group members represented various stakeholder groups including the business community, non-profit homeless service providers, technical consultants, philanthropic foundations, education, mental health, housing, shelter providers, and local government.

In addition to background information on the costs of homelessness and the extent of the need in Orange County, the Ten_Year Plan outlines the mission, vision, core values, key goals, strategies, and many of the important implementation actions necessary to successfully eliminate address homelessness in Orange County. It will enable Orange County to develop a more strategic, focused approach to ending homelessness. Theis Ten-Year Plan will lead to positive,

-

http://occommunityservices.org/civicax/filebank/blobdload.aspx?BlobID=15449

systematic changes in the way the community addresses homelessness, and the goals and objectives within the Ten-Year Plan have largely been achieved by the County.

The following outlines milestones achieved within the Ten-Year Plan:

- In October 2016, the Director of Care Coordination produced an
 Assessment of Homeless Services in Orange County that outlined
 findings, recommendations, and next steps for Orange County. This
 Assessment served as the next steps and measured the progress to date of
 the Ten-Year Plan.
- In April 2018, the Director of Care Coordination presented an update to the Assessment of Homeless Services in Orange County and provided next steps to building the County's System of Care, which promotes regional collaboration and coordination to meet the unique needs of people experiencing homelessness in Orange County.
- In May 2018, the Board created the Office of Care Coordination to engage the entire Orange County region by working with cities and community-based organizations to coordinate public and private resources to meet the needs of the homeless population in Orange County and improve the overall countywide response to homelessness.

INVENTORY OF HOMELESS FACILITIES

The facility and service needs of homeless families and individuals experiencing homelessness generally include emergency shelter, transitional housing, permanent supportive housing, and supportive services such as job training and counseling, and mental behavioral and general health services.

Emergency shelters often provide accommodation for a few days up to three six months. Transitional housing provides shelter for an extended period of time (as long as 24 months) and generally includes integration with other social services and counseling programs that assist people in attaining a permanent increasing their income and securing long-term housing. Permanent supportive housing is rental housing for low-income or homeless-people experiencing homelessness in addition to a disabling condition such as with severe mental illness, substance abuse disorder, or HIV/AIDS with accompanying services that also further self-sufficiency and housing stability. In Orange County, permanent supportive housing is prioritized for individuals who are experiencing chronic homelessness, which is defined as being homeless for a year or longer continuously, or on three

separate occasions totaling 12 months, and having a long-term disabling condition.

The landscape of homeless services has changed significantly in Orange County since 2016, as the County and City jurisdictions have made significant investments in the development of emergency shelter, affordable housing and permanent supportive housing programs to address the needs of individuals and families experiencing homelessness. As of January 2021, Aa network of nonprofit organizations and local governments operates 21–59 emergency shelter programs (including those operating in response to COVID-19), 60-42 transitional housing programs, and 16-31 permanent supportive housing programs within the Orange County region. Specifically, the County, individual jurisdictions, and numerous agencies oversee a total of 1,3532,857 beds in emergency shelters (Table X-26 2-30), 1,789899 beds in transitional housing shelters (Table X-27 2-31) and 1,4832,602 beds in permanent supportive housing settings (Table X-28 2-32). Currently, 77-646 permanent supportive housing and affordable housing beds units are under development.

Unlike cities, the County plays a regional role in providing services to homeless persons and families experiencing homelessness. The County-run-contracted facilities, such Bridges at Kraemer Place and the Yale Navigation Center as the Orangewood Children's Home that provides emergency shelter for minors adults experiencing homelessness, is located outside of the County's jurisdictional boundary but serves individuals ehildren from throughout the County, including the unincorporated area. In addition, the County's OC Community Services

Health Care Agency coordinates the grant application process through which local homeless service providers receive over \$16-23 million in federal funding annually for the Continuum of Care Program. The OC Health Care Agency also serves as the administrative entity for the Orange County Continuum of Care for State funding to address homelessness. The County has also adopted the Ten Year Plan to End Homelessness and established the Commission to End Homelessness.

X-46

Table X-26 Table 2-30 **Emergency Shelter Resources**

	Inventory	Target	Target	Beds Households	Beds Households	Chronically Homeless	Year Round	Total	
Organization Name	Type	Pop. A	Pop. B	w/ children	w/o children	Beds	Beds	Seasonal Beds	Total Beds
American Family Housing	Ç	SMF	-	0	10	-	10	0	10
American Family Housing	C	SMF	-	0	5	-	5	0	5
California Hispanic Commission	N	SMF	-	θ	10	-	10	θ	10
Casa Teresa	C	SFHC	-	8	11	-	19	θ	19
Casa Youth Shelter	Ç	YME	-	0	θ	-	12	θ	12
Laguna Beach/Friendship Shelter	C	SMF	-	0	45	-	45	θ	45
Colette's Children's Home	C	SFHC	-	5	θ	-	5	θ	5
Community Services Program	C	YMF	-	0	θ	-	12	θ	12
Family Promise of Orange County	H	HC	-	14	θ	-	14	θ	14
Friendship Shelter	C	SMF	-	θ	32	-	32	θ	32
Grandma's House of Hope	N	SF	-	θ	1	-	1	θ	1
Grandma's House of Hope	Ç	SE	Ð₩	0	6	-	6	θ	6
Grandma's House of Hope	Ŋ	SE	-	0	1	-	1	θ	1
Human Options	Ç	SFHC	Ð₩	36	θ	-	36	θ	36
Illumination Foundation	C	HC	NA	0	θ	-	0	122	122
Illumination Foundation	H	HC	NA	0	θ	-	0	228	228
Illumination Foundation	C	SM	-	0	8	-	8	-	8
Illumination Foundation	H	SM	-	0	2	-	2	θ	2
Interval House	Ç	SFHC	Ð₩	68	3	-	71	θ	75
Laura's House	Ç	SFHC	DV	34	8	-	42	0	42
Mercy House	Ç	-	-	0	0	-	0	400	400
Mercy House	4	-	-	0	0	-	0	60	60
Mercy House	C	HC	-	0	θ	-	0	60	96
One Step Ministry	H	HC	-	6	θ	-	6	θ	6
Orange County Rescue Mission	H	SMF+HC	-	24	24	-	48	θ	48
Precious Life Shelter	C	SFHC	-	0	6	-	6	0	6
Salvation Army	C	SM	-	θ	41	-	41	θ	41
Women's Transitional Living Center	Ç	-	Ð₩	15	5	-	20	θ	20
Women's Transitional Living Center	H	-	Ð₩	25	θ	-	25	θ	25
Women's Transitional Living Center	C	_	Ð₩	3	3	_	6	0	6

Inventory Type Codes:

Target Population A Codes:

C = Current Inventory N = New Inventory

U - Under Development

SM-Single Males (18 yrs & older); SF-Single Females (18 yrs & older); SMF- Single Males and Females (18 yrs+ w/no children); FC= Families with Children

YM- only unaccompanied Young Males (<18 years); YF- unaccompanied Young Females (<18 years); YMFunaccompanied Young Females & Females (<18 years); M= mixed populations

Target Population B Codes:

DV-Domestic Violence victims only

VET-Veterans only

AIDS-Only persons with HIV/AIDS

Source: County of Orange/OCCS

Organization Name	<u>Project Name</u>	Inventory Type	Target Pop.	Beds HH w/ Children	Beds HH <u>w/o</u> Children	Beds HH w/ only Children
1736 Family Crisis Center	SSVF EHA	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
American Family Housing	Stanton Inn	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>0</u>
American Family Housing	<u>Tahiti Motel Operations</u>	<u>U</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>0</u>
American Family Housing	Washington House	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>0</u>
Build Futures	Emergency Housing for Youth	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>Casa Teresa</u>	Emergency Maternity Shelter	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>0</u>
Casa Youth Shelter	Basic Center Group	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>City Net</u>	Santa Ana Armory	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
CoC FEMA	CoC-Funded FEMA COVID-19	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Colette's Children's Home	Placentia Hope Emergency Shelter	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Family Assistance Ministries	Family House	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Family Assistance Ministries	Gilchrist House - Families	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Family Assistance Ministries	Project Room Key	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Friendship Shelter	Alternate Sleeping Location	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>Friendship Shelter</u>	Alternative Sleeping Location Drop-Offs	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Friendship Shelter	Bridge Housing Program	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>0</u>
Friendship Shelter	Safe Spaces	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>0</u>
Grandma's House of Hope	Bridge Re-Entry	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>0</u>
Grandma's House of Hope	Emergency Shelter CESH	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>0</u>
Grandma's House of Hope	Women's Emergency Shelter	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>0</u>

Organization Name	<u>Project Name</u>	Inventory Type	Target Pop.	Beds HH w/ Children	Beds HH w/o Children	Beds HH w/ only Children
<u>Human Options</u>	Family Healing Center	<u>C</u>	<u>DV</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Human Options	Human Options Emergency Shelter	<u>C</u>	DV	<u>29</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Illumination Foundation	Fullerton Navigation Center	<u>U</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>0</u>
Illumination Foundation	Gilbert House	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Illumination Foundation	La Mesa Emergency Shelter	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>0</u>
Illumination Foundation	Murphy House	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Illumination Foundation	Recuperative Care Program - Broadway	<u>U</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>0</u>
Illumination Foundation	Recuperative Care Program - MIDWAY	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>0</u>
Illumination Foundation	Temporary Isolation Shelter - Orange/Anaheim	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Illumination Foundation	The Link	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>160</u>	<u>0</u>
Illumination Foundation	Theriault House	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Interval House	Emergency Shelter	<u>C</u>	DV	<u>68</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>Jamboree</u>	Anaheim House	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>Laura's House</u>	Laura's Domestic Violence Emergency	<u>C</u>	DV	<u>18</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>0</u>
Mercy House	Bridges at Kramer Place	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>142</u>	<u>0</u>
Mercy House	Buena Park Emergency Shelter	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>103</u>	<u>0</u>
Mercy House	Costa Mesa Bridge Shelter	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>0</u>
Mercy House	Family Care Center	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Mercy House	FEMA - Costa Mesa	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Mercy House	FEMA - Santa Ana	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Mercy House	Huntington Beach Navigation Center	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>124</u>	<u>0</u>
Orange County Rescue Mission	ES Village of Hope	<u>C</u>	NA	<u>33</u>	<u>33</u>	0

Organization Name	Project Name	Inventory Type	Target Pop.	Beds HH w/ Children	Beds HH w/o Children	Beds HH w/ only Children
Orange County Rescue Mission	Tustin Temporary Emergency Shelter	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>PATH</u>	Placentia Navigation Center	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>PATH</u>	Yale Navigation Center	<u>U</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>425</u>	<u>0</u>
Pathways of Hope	New Vista Emergency	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	44	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Pathways of Hope	<u>Via Esperanza</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Precious Life Shelter	Emergency Shelter	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>0</u>
Radiant Health Centers	Short Term Supportive Housing	<u>C</u>	<u>HIV</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Salvation Army	Anaheim Emergency Shelter	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>325</u>	<u>0</u>
Salvation Army	Salvation Army - FEMA Project	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>0</u>
The Eli Home	The Eli Home CARP Residential Recovery Shelter Program	<u>C</u>	DV	<u>18</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
The Midnight Mission	Courtyard in OC	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>238</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>US Veterans Initiatives</u>	SSVF EHA	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Volunteers of America	SSVF EHA	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>Waymakers</u>	Huntington Beach Youth Shelter	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>4</u>
WISEPlace	Safe Place	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>0</u>
Women's Transitional Living Center	45 Day Emergency Shelter	<u>C</u>	DV	<u>37</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Women's Transitional Living Center	Safety Net	<u>C</u>	<u>DV</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>0</u>

Table X-27 Table 2-31 Transitional Housing Resources

				ai riousing i			ı		
	Inventory	Target	Target	Beds Households	Beds Households	Chronically Homeless	Year Round	Total	
Organization Name	Type	Pop. A	Pop. B	w/ children	w/o children	Beds	Beds	Seasonal Beds	Total Beds
Aids Services Foundation	C	SMF+HC	HIV	0	11	-	11	-	11
Aids Services Foundation	N	SMF+HC	HIV	7	0	-	7	-	7
American Family Housing	C	SMF+HC	-	100	17	-	117	-	117
American Family Housing	Ç	SME	-	0	36	-	36	-	36
Casa Teresa	Ç	SFHC	-	5	12	-	17	-	17
Casa Teresa	Ç	SFHC	-	6	0	-	6	-	6
Casa Teresa	Ç	SFHC	-	5	0	-	5	-	5
Colette's Children's Home	C	SFHC	-	22	2	-	24	-	24
Colette's Children's Home	Ç	SFHC	-	20	4	-	24	-	24
Colette's Children's Home	C	SFHC	-	20	4	-	24	-	24
Colette's Children's Home	C	SFHC	-	22	2	-	24	-	24
Colette's Children's Home	Ç	SFHC	-	22	2	-	24	-	24
Colette's Children's Home	C	SFHC	-	16	0	-	16	-	16
Colette's Children's Home	Ç	SFHC	-	19	0	-	19	-	19
Colette's Children's Home	C	SFHC	-	10	0	-	10	-	10
Families Forward	C	HC	-	84	0	-	84	-	84
Family Assistance Ministries	C	SFHC	-	18	8	-	26	-	26
Family Promise of Orange County	C	HC	-	9	0	-	9	-	9
Friendship Shelter	Ç	SME	-	0	24	-	24	-	24
Grandma's House of Hope	C	SF	-	θ	22	-	22	-	22
H.O.M.E.S. Inc.	C	SMF	-	0	6	-	6	-	6
HIS House	C	SFHC	-	37	6	-	43	-	43
Human Options	C	SFHC	ÐV	42	θ	-	42	-	42
Human Options	Ŋ	SFHC	Ð₩	8	0	-	8	-	8
Illumination Foundation	Ç	HC	-	100	θ	-	100	-	100
Illumination Foundation	H	HC	-	5	0	-	5	-	5
Interval House	Ç	SFHC	Ð∀	60	3	-	63	-	63
Laura's House	H	SFHC	Ð∀	18	4	-	22	-	22
Mercy House	Ç	SME	₩V	0	21	-	21	-	21
Mercy House	Ç	SM	-	0	21	-	21	-	21
Mercy House	Ç	SFHC	NA	21	0	-	21	-	21
One Step Ministry	H	HC	-	9	θ	-	9	-	9
Orange Coast Interfaith Shelter	Ç	HC	NA	30	θ	-	30	_	30
Orange Coast Interfaith Shelter	Ç	HC	NA	28	0	-	28	-	28
Orange Coast Interfaith Shelter	C	HC	NA	24	θ	-	24	-	24
Orange County Rescue Mission	C	SFHC	-	45	θ	-	45	-	45

				Beds	Beds	Chronically			
	Inventory	Target	Target	Households	Households	Homeless	Year Round	Total	
Organization Name	Type	Pop. A	Pop. B	w/ children	w/o children	Beds	Beds	Seasonal Beds	Total Beds
Orange County Rescue Mission	C	SMF+HC	-	72	64	-	136	-	136
Orange County Rescue Mission	N	SMF+HC	=	0	8	-	8	-	8
Orangewood Children's Foundation	C	SMF+HC	-	12	20	-	32	-	32
Pathways of Hope	H	HC	-	44	0	-	44	-	44
Pathways of Hope	C	SMF	-	0	12	-	12	-	12
Pathways of Hope	C	HC	-	46	0	-	46	-	46
Precious Life Shelter	C	SFHC	-	24	0	-	24	-	24
Precious Life Shelter	N	SFHC	-	1	0	-	1	-	1
Salvation Army	C	HC	-	47	0	-	47	-	47
Serving People in Need	C	HC	-	27	0	-	27	-	27
Serving People in Need	N	HC	-	27	0	-	27	-	27
Sisters of St. Joseph of Orange	C	SF	-	0	7	-	7	-	7
South County Outreach	C	HC	-	36	0	_	36	-	36
South County Outreach	C	HC	-	12	0	_	12	-	12
Southern California Drug and									
Alcohol Programs Inc.	C	SFHC	-	20	0	-	20	-	20
Southern California Drug and									
Alcohol Programs Inc	C	SFHC	=	15	0	-	15	-	15
Straight Talk Inc.	C	SMF	HIV	0	6	-	6	-	6
The Eli Home Inc.	C	HC	Ð₩	21	0	-	21	=	21
Thomas House	Ç	SFHC	-	60	0	-	60	-	60
Veterans First	C	SM	VET	0	31	-	31	-	31
Veterans First	C	SM	VET	0	28	-	28	-	28
Veterans First	C	SM	VET	0	24	-	24	-	24
WISEPlace	C	SF	-	0	5	-	5	-	5
WISEPlace	C	SE	-	0	30	-	30	-	30
Women's Transitional Living Center	C	-	Ð₩	8	10	-	18	-	18
Women's Transitional Living Center	C	-	Ð₩	40	4	-	44	-	44
YWCA Central Orange County	C	SF	-	2	8	-	10	-	10
YWCA Central Orange County	N	SF	-	0	1	-	1	-	1
Inventory Type Codes: C = Current Inventory	SM-Singl	Target Population A Codes: SM=Single Males (18 yrs & older); SF=Single Females (18 yrs & older); SMF= Single Males and Females (18 DV=Domestic Violence victims only							
N = New Inventory U — Under Development	YM- only	yrs+ w/no children); FC= Families with Children YM= only unaccompanied Young Males (<18 years); YF= unaccompanied Young Females (<18 years); YMF= VET=Veterans only AIDS=Only persons with HIV/AIDS							}
unaccompanied Young Females & Females (<18 years); M= mixed populations									

Source: County of Orange/OCCS

December 10, 2013<u>21</u> 58 of 333 X-52

Organization Name	<u>Project Name</u>	<u>Inventory</u> <u>Type</u>	Target Pop.	Beds HH w/ Children	Beds HH W/o Children	Beds HH w/ only Children	Year-Round Beds
Build Futures	Emergency Housing for Youth	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>Casa Teresa</u>	Casa Teresa Parenting Program	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>14</u>
<u>Casa Teresa</u>	Casa Teresa Transformation I Program	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Casa Teresa</u>	Casa Teresa Transformation II Program	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>5</u>
Colette's Children's Home	CCH Ariel Place/Anaheim	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	9
<u>Colette's Children's Home</u>	CCH Cypress Street #2/Placentia	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>10</u>
Colette's Children's Home	Colette's Children's Home #1	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>14</u>
Colette's Children's Home	Colette's Children's Home #2	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>13</u>
Colette's Children's Home	Colette's Children's Home #3	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	9	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	9
Colette's Children's Home	Cypress/Placentia III	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	9	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	9
Colette's Children's Home	<u>Dairyview</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>14</u>
<u>Families Forward</u>	I-Transitional Housing - Irvine	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Families Forward</u>	I-Transitional Housing- Lake Forest	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>12</u>
Family Assistance Ministries	Gilchrist House - Individuals	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>8</u>
Grandma's House of Hope	GHH Men's Recovery Residence	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>13</u>
Grandma's House of Hope	GHH Men's Transitional Short Term Housing	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>6</u>
Grandma's House of Hope	Healing House	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>12</u>
Grandma's House of Hope	Men's Bridge	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>10</u>
Grandma's House of Hope	VOCA XH	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>11</u>
Grandma's House of Hope	Women's Bridge	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>20</u>
HIS House	CHESS - TAY	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>14</u>
HIS House	HIS House Transitional	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>48</u>
Human Options	Second Step	<u>C</u>	DV	<u>48</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>48</u>

X-53

Organization Name	<u>Project Name</u>	Inventory Type	Target Pop.	Beds HH w/ Children	Beds HH W/o Children	Beds HH w/ only Children	Year-Round Beds
Laura's House	Laura's Domestic Violence Transitional Housing Program	С	DV	7	0	0	<u>8</u>
Mary's Shelter	Transitional Living for Homeless Youth	С	NA	0	_	30	30
OC Gateway to Housing	Transitional Housing Program - Tustin	<u>C</u>	NA	<u>28</u>	<u>0</u>	0	28
OC Gateway to Housing	Transitional Housing Program- Santa Ana	<u>C</u>	NA	8	<u>0</u>	0	8
One Step Ministry	Our House	<u>C</u>	NA	9	0	0	9
Orange County Rescue Mission	Hope Family Housing-Buena Park	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0</u>	0	<u>65</u>
Orange County Rescue Mission	House of Hope	<u>C</u>	NA	<u>45</u>	<u>0</u>	0	<u>45</u>
Orange County Rescue Mission	TH Village of Hope	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>98</u>	<u>98</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>196</u>
Orange County Rescue Mission	Tustin Veteran's Outpost	C	<u>NA</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>O</u>	<u>26</u>
Precious Life Shelter	Transitional Program	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>0</u>	0	<u>25</u>
Salvation Army	<u>Transitional Housing - Buena Park</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>6</u>
Salvation Army	<u>Transitional Housing - Tustin</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	9	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>9</u>
South County Outreach	SCO Transitional Housing - Laguna Niguel	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>3</u>
South County Outreach	SCO Transitional Housing - Lake Forest	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>21</u>
South County Outreach	SCO Transitional Housing - Mission Viejo	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Thomas House</u>	2nd Step	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>Thomas House</u>	Thomas House Homeless Family Shelter #10	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>64</u>
WISEPlace	Positive Steps House	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>5</u>
WISEPlace	Steps to Independence	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>19</u>
Women's Transitional Living Center	Transitional Housing	<u>C</u>	DV	<u>7</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>7</u>

X-54

December 10, 201321
60 of 333

Table X-28 Table 2-32 Permanent Supportive Housing Resources

Organization Name	Inventory Type	Target Pop. A	Target Pop. B	Beds Households w/ children	Beds Households w/o children	Chronically Homeless Beds	Year Round Beds	Total Seasonal Beds	Total Beds
American Family Housing	C	SMF+HC	-	36	4	0	40	1	40
American Family Housing	H	SMF+HC	-	29	10	Đ	39	-	39
Anaheim Supportive Housing for Seniors	E	SMF	-	θ	12	0	12	-	12
Colette's Children's Home	E	HC	-	16	0	0	16	-	16
H.O.M.E.S. Inc.	E	SMF+HC	-	18	15	33	33	-	33
H.O.M.E.S. Inc.	E	SMF	-	θ	10	-	10	-	10
John Henry Foundation	E	SMF	-	θ	30	10	30	-	30
Mercy House	Ĥ	HC	-	4	0	0	4	_	4
Mercy House	Ĥ	SMF	-	θ	5	0	5	-	5
Mercy House	E	SMF+HC	-	2	8	0	10	-	10
Orange County Housing Authority	E	-	-	16	39	55	55	-	55
Orange County Housing Authority	E	-	-	39	41	0	80	-	80
Orange County Housing Authority	E	-	-	23	36	0	59	-	59
Orange County Housing Authority	E	-	-	29	16	Ð	45	1	45
Orange County Housing Authority	E	-	-	28	23	Đ	51	-	51
Orange County Housing Authority	C	-	-	0	10	10	10	ı	10
Orange County Housing Authority	E	-	-	4	13	5	17	-	17
Orange County Housing Authority	C	-	-	12	6	1	18	ı	18
Orange County Housing Authority	C	-	-	θ	29	Đ	29	ı	29
Orange County Housing Authority	Ĥ	-	VET	θ	12	12	12	-	12
Orange County Housing Authority	E	-	-	θ	15	15	15	-	15
Orange County Housing Authority	E	-	-	θ	15	15	15	-	15
Orange County Housing Authority	H	-	-	6	1	0	7	-	7
Orange County Housing Authority	E	-	-	18	26	8	44	-	44
Orange County Housing Authority	E	-	-	136	214	0	350	-	350
Orange County Housing Authority	E	-	₩ET	θ	200	0	200	_	200
Orange County Housing Authority	N	-	VET	θ	170	θ	170	-	170
Orange County Rescue Mission	£	HC	-	65	0	0	65	-	65
Orange County Rescue Mission	E	HC	-	20	0	θ	20	-	20
Veterans First	E	SM	VET	θ	22	22	22	_	22

				Beds	Beds	Chronically		Total	
	Inventory	Target -	Target	Households	Households	Homeless	Year Round	Seasonal	
Organization Name	Type -	Pop. A	Pop. B	w/ children	w/o children	Beds	Beds	Beds	Total Beds
Inventory Type Codes:	Target Population A Codes:								
C = Current Inventory	SM=Single Males (18	yrs & older); SF=	Single Females (18	yrs & older); SMF=	Single Males and F	emales (18 yrs+	DV=Domestic	c Violence victims o	only
N = New Inventory	w/no children); FC	= Families with Ch	ildren		-	•	VET=Veterar	rs only	-
U Under Development	YM= only unaccompanied Young Males (<18 years); YF= unaccompanied Young Females (<18 years); YMF=							ersons with HIV/All	DS
·	unaccompanied Young Females & Females (<18 years); M= mixed populations								

Source: County of Orange/OCCS

Organization Name	Project Name	Inventory Type	<u>Target</u> <u>Pop.</u>	Beds HH w/ Children	Beds HH w/o Children	Beds HH w/ only Children	<u>Year-</u> Round <u>Beds</u>	PIT Count	<u>Total</u> <u>Beds</u>
American Family Housing	Permanent Housing 2	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>38</u>
American Family Housing	Permanent Housing Collaborative	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>44</u>
Anaheim Supportive	T Dia		NI A	0	10	0	10	10	10
Housing	Tyrol Plaza	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>12</u>
Friendship Shelter	Henderson House Permanent Supportive Housing	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>35</u>
Illumination Foundation	SHP Stanton Multi-Service Center	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>30</u>
Illumination Foundation	Street 2 Home	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>96</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>145</u>	<u>142</u>	<u>145</u>
<u>Jamboree</u>	Diamond Apartments	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>42</u>
<u>Jamboree</u>	Doria Apartment Homes	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>24</u>
<u>Jamboree</u>	Heroes Landing	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>70</u>	_	<u>85</u>	<u>86</u>	<u>85</u>
Mercy House	AFH PSH Collaboration II	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>
Mercy House	CCH PSH Collaboration II	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
Mercy House	CCH PSH Collaborative	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>

Organization Name	<u>Project Name</u>	Inventory Type	<u>Target</u> <u>Pop.</u>	Beds HH w/ Children	Beds HH w/o Children	Beds HH w/ only Children	Year- Round Beds	PIT Count	<u>Total</u> <u>Beds</u>
Mercy House	CoC Leasing	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>22</u>
Mercy House	FAM PSH Collaboration II	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>12</u>
Mercy House	FSI PSH Collaboration	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>39</u>
Mercy House	FSI PSH Collaboration II	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>17</u>
Mercy House	MCY PSH Collaboration II	<u>C</u>	NA	<u>12</u>	<u>16</u>	0	28	27	28
Mercy House	MCY PSH Collaborative	С	NA	12	106	0	118	140	118
Mercy House	Mills End and PSH Leasing Consolidation	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	2	<u>19</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>21</u>	23	<u>21</u>
Mercy House	POH PSH Collaboration II	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>20</u>
Mercy House	The Aqua	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>56</u>
Orange County Housing Authority	#1 Consolidated Shelter Plus Care TRA	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>153</u>	<u>123</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>276</u>	<u>329</u>	<u>276</u>
Orange County Housing Authority	#2 Consolidated CoC TRA	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>69</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>123</u>	<u>120</u>	<u>123</u>
Orange County Housing Authority Orange County Housing	#3 Consolidated CoC TRA	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>99</u>	<u>84</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>183</u>	<u>179</u>	<u>183</u>
Authority	#4 Consolidated CoC TRA	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>91</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>152</u>	<u>132</u>	<u>152</u>
Orange County Housing Authority Orange County Housing	Jackson Aisle	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>29</u>
Orange County Housing Authority	Placentia Veterans Village	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>57</u>
Orange County Housing Authority	Potter's Lane PB VASH	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>8</u>	9	<u>8</u>
Orange County Housing Authority	Salerno VASH	<u>U</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>31</u>	0	<u>31</u>
Orange County Housing	<u>VASH</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>NA</u>	<u>574</u>	<u>397</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>971</u>	<u>949</u>	<u>971</u>

Organization Name	<u>Project Name</u>	Inventory Type	<u>Target</u> <u>Pop.</u>	Beds HH W/ Children	Beds HH w/o Children	Beds HH w/ only Children	Year- Round Beds	PIT Count	Total Beds
<u>Authority</u>									
Orange County Housing Authority	VASH Project-Based Vouchers - Newport Veteran's Housing	<u>C</u>	NA NA	<u>0</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>

The County funds two one seasonal emergency shelters which are is located in State National Guard armories in the county Central Planning Service Area northern part of the county. These This shelters provides up to 400200 emergency shelter beds each night during the winter months. Along with beds, these shelters provide shower facilities and meals to homeless individuals and families experiencing homelessness.

Additionally, the County funds the operations of two year-round emergency shelter programs for individuals experiencing homelessness, Bridges at Kraemer Place and the Yale Navigation Center. Bridges at Kraemer Place provides 200 beds of emergency shelter in the North Service Planning Area and the Yale Navigation Center provides 425 beds of emergency shelter in the Central Service Planning Area. Both programs have a large emphasis on housing focused case management and supporting participants in accessing needed resources and supportive services to assist them in securing appropriate housing options. The County is committed to supporting cities that operate their own emergency shelters and promoting coordination to increase access to shelter beds amongst the unsheltered population. There is one year-round program located in the unincorporated areas of the County. American Family Housing leases to Illumination Foundation for 18 transitional housing units in Midway City. housing has a total of 173 beds that can accommodate 20 persons in Emergency Shelter and 153 persons in transitional housing.

Farm Workers

Farm workers are traditionally defined as persons whose primary income is from seasonal agricultural work. As defined in the County of Orange Zoning Code, a farm worker is an employee engaged in agriculture, which includes farming in all its branches, including preparation for market and delivery to storage or to market or to carriers for transportation to market. Historically Orange County's economy was linked to agriculture. While there are still active farming areas on the Irvine Ranch, and some other cities, shifts in the local economy to production and service-oriented sectors have significantly curtailed agricultural production within the county. Today, Orange County is a mostly developed urban/suburban region, with a strong local economy. Although the county is increasingly capturing major employers in Southern California, this growth is not tied to an agricultural base.

Recent Census Bureau estimates reported about 5,000 persons employed in agricultural occupations in Orange County. About 6% of those farm workers live in unincorporated areas (**Table X-29 2-33**).

Table X-29 Table 2-33 Agricultural Employment – Unincorporated vs. Orange County and SCAG Region

		% of	Orange
			County
			SCAG
	Unincorporated	County Total	Region
	Offinicor por acca	ooding rotal	Itogion
Agricultural workers by place of employment	320	6 %	5,060

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, on the Map Application and LEHD Origin Destination Employment Statistics (Beginning of Quarter Employment, 2nd Quarter of 2002–2010) <u>SCAG Local Housing Pre-Certified Local Housing Data 2020</u>

The United States Department of Agriculture, National Agriculture Statistics provides data on hired farm labor across the United States. The data is compiled at both a State and County level. Within Orange County, a total of 99 farms reportedly hired 1,772 workers in 2017. Permanent workers, those who work 150 days or more, represent the largest category of workers with 1,106 workers (62 percent). A total of 666 workers (38 percent) are considered seasonal and work less than 150 days. Orange County reported 340 migrant workers (19 percent) with full time hired labor in 2017. In addition, the County reported 176 unpaid workers.

While there is still significant agricultural production on the Irvine Ranch and Rancho Mission Viejo, farmland has steadily decreased in recent decades.

Growth Needs

Overview of the Regional Housing Needs Assessment

The Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) is a key tool for local governments to plan for anticipated growth. The RHNA quantifies the anticipated need for housing within each jurisdiction for the period from January 2014 to October 2021. Communities then determine how they will address this need through the process of updating the Housing Elements of their General Plans.

The current RHNA Allocation Plan was adopted by the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) in October 2012. The future need for housing is determined primarily by the forecasted growth in households in a community. Each new household, created by a child moving out of a parent's home, by a family moving to a community for employment, and so forth, creates

the need for a housing unit. The housing need for new households is then adjusted to maintain a desirable level of vacancy to promote housing choice and mobility. An adjustment is also made to account for units expected to be lost due to demolition, natural disaster, or conversion to non-housing uses. The sum of these factors—household growth, vacancy need, and replacement need—determines the construction need for a community. Total housing need is then distributed among four income categories on the basis of the county's income distribution, with adjustments to avoid an over-concentration of lower-income households in any community.

2014-2021 Growth Needs

The total housing growth need for unincorporated Orange County during the 2014 2021 planning period is 5,272 units. This total is distributed by income category as shown in Table X-30. Of the 1,240 very low-income unit growth need, half (620 units) are estimated to be needed for extremely-low-income households during the planning period, as provided by state law⁷.

Table X-30 Regional Housing Growth Needs – Unincorporated Orange County

Very Low	Low	Moderate	Above Mod	Total
1,240*	879	979	2,174	5,272
23.4%	17.1%	18.7%	40.8%	100%

^{*}Half (620) of these Very Low units are assumed to be in the extremely low category Source: SCAG 2012

A discussion of the County's capacity to accommodate this growth need is provided in the land inventory section of Section 3.

Preservation of Assisted Units at Risk of Conversion

State Housing Element Law requires the analysis of government-assisted housing units that are eligible to convert from low-income housing to market rate housing during the next 10 years due to expiring subsidies, mortgage prepayments, or expiration of affordability restrictions, and identification of programs aimed at their preservation. The following must be included in each housing element as part of its preservation analysis:

 An inventory of assisted housing units at-risk of converting to market rate within ten years.

⁷ Government Code §65583.a.1

- An analysis of the costs of preserving and/or replacing these units.
- Resources that could be used to preserve the at-risk units.
- Program efforts for preservation of at-risk units.
- Quantified objectives for the number of at-risk units to be preserved during the housing element planning period.

Use restrictions, as defined by State law, means any federal, state or local statute, regulation, ordinance or contract which as a condition of receipt of any housing assistance, including a rental subsidy, mortgage subsidy, or mortgage insurance, to an assisted housing development, establishes maximum limitations on tenant income as a condition of eligibility for occupancy.

The following section analyzes the potential conversion of assisted housing units to market rate housing.

Inventory of At-Risk Units

The time frame for the analysis of assisted units is the ten-year period from October 2013 to October 2023. An inventory of at-risk units was compiled based on a review of the Inventory of Federally Subsidized Low-Income Rental Units At-Risk of Conversion (California Housing Partnership Corporation), and information from OC Community Services. As shown in Table X-31, two projects with 103 total units. There are at risk of losing their use restrictions within the ten-year period. These projects are considered at risk since they are owned by for-profit entities.

Table X-31 Summary of At Risk Units

		Potential Potential	
Project Project	Program Program	Conversion Date	Total Units
El Modena Sr. Apartments	CDBG	2018	12
Midway Meadows	CDBG	2017	91
Total			103

There are no other projects in the unincorporated area with use restrictions that may expire during the 10-year planning period which were assisted under federal programs, or through redevelopment, in-lieu fees, or a density bonus per Government Code Section 65916.

Cost of Preservation versus Replacement

Two projects with a total of 103 units are at at-risk of conversion to market rate during the 201320 to 202330 period. The cost of preserving units is estimated to be less in most cases than to replace the units through new construction or rehabilitation. Cost estimates provided in this analysis are intended to indicate an order of magnitude. Actual costs involved in each option will depend on the rental and real estate market at the time the affordability controls on these projects expire.

Preservation of the units as affordable may require financial incentives to the project owners to extend low-income use restrictions. Other scenarios for preservation would involve purchase of the affordable units by a non-profit or public agency, or local subsidies to offset the difference between affordable and market rents.

Scenarios for preservation depend on the type of project at-risk. Three options available for the preservation of bond-financed at-risk units in Orange County include refinancing, transfer of ownership, and rental subsidies.

Refinancing

One option for preserving the affordability of Multifamily Revenue Bond-financed units at risk of converting to market rate is refunding, or refinancing. Initially, a developer must apply to the County to utilize Multifamily Revenue Bond financing. The County must then apply to the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee (CDLAC) for individual allocations for all new financing through private activity bonds, including housing revenue bonds. This process has become increasingly competitive in recent years, such that only a portion of the projects that apply to CDLAC for bond allocations receive awards each year.

Transfer of Ownership

Another option for preserving the affordability of units at risk of conversion is the transfer of ownership of the development to a nonprofit corporation with legal and managerial capacity to acquire and manage the housing developments with expiring affordability restrictions.

Local Rental Subsidy

An option for preservation of at-risk units is the provision of local rental subsidy to residents. This option could be used to retain the affordable status of the units, by providing assistance to residents when their affordable units convert to market

rate. Rent subsidies using state, local and federal (Housing Successor Agency funds, HOME funds) can be used to maintain the affordability of at risk units.

The estimated cost of providing subsidies for all 103 at-risk units with potential to convert to market-rate during the planning period, shown in Table X-32 below, assumes that none of the at-risk units is preserved. The cost of providing subsidies is based on a comparison between market rents and rents that are affordable to very-low-income households. Affordability is defined as rents that do not exceed 30% of a household's monthly income.

Table X-32
Estimated Monthly Subsidy to Very Low Income Residents

				Total Monthly
Market Rent*	Affordable Rent**	No. of Units	Difference	Subsidy
\$1,604	\$1,204	103	\$400	\$41,200

**Based on average 2013 market rents for apartment units in Orange County from RealFacts.

**Based on 2013 Cal. HCD Income Limits

Based on the 2013 income limits for Orange County, affordable rents for very low income households would be approximately \$1,204. The per unit rental subsidy required is estimated by subtracting the affordable rent from the current average market rent of \$1,604 in Orange County. The cost of providing a rental subsidy to the 103 very low income households is estimated to be \$41,200 per month or \$494,400 per year in current dollars. Actual subsidies would vary depending upon the household sizes occupying the assisted units and future market and affordable rent levels.

Replacement Cost

Maintenance of at-risk housing units as affordable will depend largely on market conditions and the attractiveness of financial incentives that the County can provide to investors, if warranted. A typical replacement cost of \$ 400,000 per unit was used for this analysis based on recent HCD estimates for new multifamily rental housing construction in Orange County. This results in an estimated cost of approximately \$41.2 million to replace the 103 at risk units through new construction.

Public Financing Sources

A variety of federal, state and local programs are available for potential acquisition, subsidy, or replacement of at-risk units. Due to both the high costs of developing and preserving housing and limitations on the amount and uses of funds, a variety of funding sources may be required. The following summarizes

financial resources available to the County for preservation of assisted, multifamily rental housing units.

FEDERAL PROGRAMS

- Community Development Block Grant (CDBG): This program is intended to enhance and preserve the County's affordable housing stock. CDBG funds are awarded to the County on a formula basis for housing and community development activities. Eligible activities include: acquisition, public facilities and improvements, rehabilitation, economic development, and public services. CDBG grants benefit primarily persons/households with incomes not exceeding 80% of the County median family income.
- HOME Investment Partnership: HOME funding is a flexible grant program that is awarded to the County on a formula basis for housing activities that takes into account local market conditions, inadequate housing, poverty and housing production costs. HOME funding is provided to jurisdictions to either assist rental housing or home ownership through acquisition, construction, reconstruction and/or rehabilitation of affordable housing. Also possible is tenant-based rental assistance, property acquisition, site improvements, and other expenses related to the provision of affordable housing and projects that serve a group identified as having special needs related to housing.
- Section 8 Rental Assistance Program: This program provides rental
 assistance payments to owners of private market rate units on behalf of very
 low income tenants.
- Section 811/202 Program: Nonprofit organizations and consumer cooperatives are eligible to receive no interest capital advances from HUD for the construction of very low income rental housing for senior citizens and disabled persons. Project based assistance is also provided in conjunction with this program. Section 811 can be used to develop group homes, independent living facilities, and intermediate care facilities. Eligible activities include acquisition, rehabilitation, new construction, and rental assistance.
- Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC): The federal Low Income Housing Tax Credit program, administered in California by the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (CTCAC), provides federal income tax credits to individuals and corporations that invest in low income rental housing. Tax credits are sold to corporations and people with high tax liability and proceeds are used to create housing. Eligible activities include

new construction, rehabilitation and acquisition. TCAC also administers State tax credits that accompany federal tax credits up to the State limit.

STATE PROGRAMS

 California Housing Finance Agency (CalHFA) Multifamily Rental Housing Programs: CalHFA Multifamily Programs provide permanent financing for the acquisition, rehabilitation, and preservation or new construction of rental housing that includes affordable rents for low and moderate income families and individuals.

California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD): HCD offers a range of programs including the Multifamily Housing Program (MHP), the Multifamily Housing Program Supportive Housing (MHPSH), and the Governor's Homeless Initiative (GHI).

MHP eligible activities:

- New construction or rehabilitation
- Permanent or transitional housing with five (5) or more units

MHPSH eligible activities:

- Multifamily rental or transitional housing
- New construction or rehabilitation
- Must contact at least five (5) supportive housing units at least 35% of the total units must be supportive housing
- Supportive housing units must target homeless or at-risk of homelessness households with at least one disabled adult

GHI eligible activities:

- Capital financing
- Capitalized rent subsidies
- Must contact at least five (5) supportive housing units and at least
 35% of the total units must be supportive housing
- Target population is chronically homeless and severally mentally ill
- MHSA funding for services is required.

LOCAL PROGRAMS

- Housing Successor Agency Funding: Funds (previously Orange County
 Development Agency funds) set aside for affordable housing activities.

 Eligible activities include acquisition, rehabilitation, and new construction.
- Mental Health Services Act (MHSA) Funding: The MHSA Housing Program provides funding for the development of permanent supportive housing for individuals with serious mental illness who are homeless or atrisk of homelessness. Funds allocated to Orange County were allocated to the State under the MHSA Housing Program. Funds may be used for capital and operating subsidies for the development, acquisition, construction and/or rehabilitation of permanent supportive housing.

PROGRAM EFFORTS TO PRESERVE AT-RISK UNITS

The following housing programs have been developed to address the preservation of assisted very low income units eligible to convert to market rate (see Strategy 2b in Section 5, Housing Action Plan).

- Monitoring At-Risk Units: The County will maintain contact with owners of at-risk units as the use restriction expiration dates approach. The County will communicate to the owners the importance of the units to the supply of affordable housing in Orange County as well as its desire to preserve the units as affordable. CEO/Public Finance will survey owners of at-risk projects to examine the feasibility of refunding (refinancing) these projects with Multifamily Revenue Bonds to extend the affordability restrictions.
- Financial Assistance: If efforts to refinance the developments fail, the County will determine if it can preserve the at-risk units through one of the following options:
 - 1. provide rental subsidies using HOME or other available funding;
 - work with nonprofit entities to evaluate the potential for acquisition;
 - 3. provide Housing Successor Agency funds to preserve affordability of the units.

3. Constraints

Governmental Constraints

Land Use Plans and Regulations

GENERAL PLAN

Each city and county in California must prepare a comprehensive, long-term General Plan to guide its future. The land use element of the General Plan establishes the basic land uses and density of development within the various areas of the County. Under state law, the General Plan elements must be internally consistent, and the County's zoning must be consistent with the General Plan. Thus, the land use plan must provide suitable locations and densities to implement the policies of the Housing Element.

The Orange County General Plan Land Use Element provides for four residential land use designations, as shown in **Table X-33** <u>3-1</u>.

Table X-33 Table 3-1 Residential Land Use Categories – Orange County General Plan

Designation	Maximum Density ¹	Description
Rural Residential (1A)	.025 – 0.5 du/ac	Limited residential use compatible with the natural character of the terrain.
Suburban (1B)	0.5 – 18 du/ac	Wide range of housing types, from estates on large lots to attached dwelling units (town homes, condominiums, and clustered arrangements)
Urban Residential (1C)	18.0 and above du/ac	Applied to areas where intensive residential development is compatible with surrounding urban development. Characterized by intensive residential uses such as apartments, condominiums, town homes and clustered residential units.
Urban Activity Center (6)	18+2	Identifies locations intended for high-intensity mixed-use development. Intended to facilitate a more efficient use of transportation systems, conserve energy resources, and develop residential densities that enhance the ability to provide affordable housing.

Source: Orange County General Plan.

The Land Use Element of the Orange County General Plan designates approximately 43,200 72,382.63 gross acres (3541%) of the unincorporated County's total land inventory (excluding Cleveland National Forest) for residential uses, providing for a range of residential types and densities throughout the unincorporated County.

¹Density expressed in dwelling units per net acre.

²Special development regulations apply to ensure that the ultimate development pattern is consistent with the intent of the category.

The maximum gross residential density in the Suburban Residential (1B) land use category is 18 dwelling units per acre, excluding any allowable density bonus. Gross density typically includes street rights-of-way, utility easements, local parks and other community infrastructure. Net densities, including allowable density bonus, are based on net parcel size and therefore may be significantly higher. Maximum densities within the Urban Residential (1C) and Urban Activity Center (6) land use categories are regulated by the applicable zoning, as well as by infrastructure and environmental constraints. The County's approach to accommodating affordable housing has not solely been dependent on achieving high densities, but also on maintenance of a higher than average number of multifamily units as a proportion of total units, and upon successful leverage of state and federal subsidies for affordable housing.

Pursuant to AB 2348 (2004), the "default density" for most Orange County jurisdictions, including the unincorporated County, is 30 dwelling units per acre⁸. The default density refers to the density at which lower-income housing development is presumed to be feasible, although state law allows jurisdictions to propose alternative densities that are sufficient to facilitate affordable housing based on local experience and circumstances. Based on the OC Community Services Resources' Department's recent experience with affordable apartment developments (see Table X-34 3-2), projects at densities ranging from 21 12 to 623.4 dwelling units per acre have been built in recent years. A significant portion of these projects have been successfully developed at densities of 20 to 25 units per acre. In addition, a 2012 feasibility study prepared for the County of San Diego by KMA found that the most feasible density for affordable housing is in the density range of 20 to 24 units per acre.

-

Memo of June 9, 2005 from California Department of Housing and Community Development on AB 2348 of 2004.

Table X-34 <u>Table 3-2</u> Summary of Affordable Projects: 2006 - 2012 <u>20</u> Orange County

			Oli	ange County			
Project/Location	Year Built or Acquired	Total Units	General Plan	Zoning	Allowable Density (du/ac)	Project Density (du/ac)	Affordability/ Assistance Level
Laguna Canyon/Irvine	2006	120	Unknown	Med. Density Residential	Unknown	20.98	30 and 50%
Ability First Apartments/Irvine	2008	24	Med. Density Residential	2.3D – Med. Density Resid.	Unknown	12 units/acre	30% AMI
Dorado Senior Apartments/Buena Park	2007	150	Unknown	Commercial	Unknown	62 units/acre	30,50 and 60% AMI
Stratford Place and Windsor Court	2005	86	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	28.01	30,50 and 60% AMI
Northwood Apartments/Irvine	2006	96	Medium High Density Residential	2.4C - Medium-High Density Residential	Unknown	20.96	30 & 50% AMI
Montecito Vista Apartments/Irvine	2006	162	Unknown	Research and Industrial	Unknown	22.98	30,50 and 60% AMI
Cornerstone Apartment Homes/Anaheim	2007	49	Suburban Residential	CN – Commercial Neighborhood and Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone	25	33.8	30,50 and 60% AMI
Granite Court	2008	71	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	<u>Unknown</u>	30,50 and 60% AMI
Woodbury NE Apartments	2008	150	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	<u>Unknown</u>	30,40 and 50% AMI
Birch Hills Apartment Homes	2012	114	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	30, 45 and 50% AMI
Buena Vista Apartments	2011	17	Suburban Residential	C2 General Business District	25	33.8	30, 40 and 60% AMI
Stonegate I Apartments	2009	38	Suburban Residential	C1 Local Business District	25	33.8	30, 40, 50 and 60% AMI
Stonegate II Apartments	2009	26	Suburban Residential	C1 Local Business District	25	33.8	30, 40, 50 and 60% AMI
San Clemente Senior Apartments	2012	76	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	30 & 50% AMI
Doria Apartment Homes Phase I	2011	60	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	30, 45 and 60% AMI
Avenida Villas	2011	29	Surburban Residential	R3 Apartment District	43	35.4	30% AMI
Diamond Aisle Apartments	2009	25	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	30% AMI
Bonterra Apartment Homes	2010	94	Suburban Residential	Planned Community	Unknown	21	30, 35, 50 and 60% AMI
Cerritos Family Apartments	2012	60	Suburban Residential	R2 Multifamily Dwelling District	43	30	50% and 80% AMI
Potter's Lane	<u>2017</u>	<u>16</u>	Community	C2 General Business	<u>25</u>	<u>39</u>	30% AMI
Heroes' Landing	<u>2020</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	30% AMI
Casa Querencia	<u>2021</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	30% and 60% AMI
<u>Placentia Veterans</u> <u>Village</u>	2020	<u>50</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	30% AMI
Salerno at Cypress Village	<u>2020</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	30% AMI

CHAPTER X – HOUSING ELEMENT

Project/Location	Year Built or Acquired	Total Units	General Plan	Zoning	Allowable Density (du/ac)	Project Density (du/ac)	Affordability/ Assistance Level
Buena Esparanza	2021	<u>70</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	30% AMI
Della Rosa	<u>2020</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	30% and 50% AMI
Santa Ana Arts Collective	2020	<u>58</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	30%, 35%, 40%, 60% AMI
Westminster Crossing	2021	<u>65</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	20% and 70% AMI
Altrudy Senior Apartments	<u>2021</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	30% AMI
FX Residences	<u>2022</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	30% AMI
Legacy Square	<u>2023</u>	<u>93</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	30% AMI
Fountain Valley Housing	<u>2022</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	30% AMI
Casa Paloma	2022	<u>71</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	General Business	<u>28</u>	<u>63.4</u>	30% and 50% AMI
The Groves	2022	<u>75</u>	Community Commercial	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	30% AMI
The Crossroads at Washington	<u>2023</u>	<u>86</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	30% AMI
Villa St. Joseph	<u>2023</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	20% AMI
Airport Inn	<u>2021</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	30% AMI
Mountain View	2023	<u>71</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	30% AMI
WISEPlace Supportive Housing	2024	<u>52</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	30% AMI
Cartwright Family Apartments	<u>2023</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	30%-80% AMI
<u>Lincoln Avenue</u> <u>Apartments</u>	<u>2023</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	30%, 50%, 60%, and 70% AMI
Santa Angelina Senior Community	2023	<u>65</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	25% and 60% AMI
Orchard View Gardens	<u>2023</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	30%-60% AMI

December 10, 201321 X-71

Project/Location	Year Built or Acquired	Total Units	General Plan	Zoning	Allowable Density (du/ac)	Project Density (du/ac)	Affordability/ Assistance Level
Center of Hope/The Salvation Army	2022	<u>72</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	25% and 30% AMI
Westview House	<u>2023</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u> <u>Unknown</u> <u>Unknown</u>		<u>Unknown</u>	30% and 60% AMI
Huntington Beach Senior Housing	2023	<u>43</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	30% and 50% AMI
Orange Corporate Yard	<u>2023</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	30% and 60% AMI
North Harbor Village	<u>2022</u>	<u>91</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	30% AMI
Homekey Property #1: Stanton Inn	<u>2020</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	30% AMI
Homekey Property #2: Tahiti Motel	<u>2020</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	30% AMI

^{*}Projects funded by the County through the Permanent Supportive Housing NOFA process.

Source: OC Community Resources/Housing, 4/20/13 5/11/2021

The Orange County General Plan is not considered to be a constraint to the goals and policies of the Housing Element as the County's zoning is consistent with the General Plan and adequate sites with appropriate densities have been identified to permit the construction of the County's fair share of new housing units for the 2014-2021-2029 planning period (see Section 4, Resources and Opportunities). Under the Housing Opportunities Overlay Regulations (Zoning Code §Section 7-9-44148.6) adopted in 202006, affordable housing developments are permitted by right at a density up to 43.5 of 25-units per acre, excluding density bonus. Since the January 10, 2006 adoption of the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone, eight (8) projects have been built (within the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone) which demonstrates the effectiveness of the regulations in facilitating the production of housing for lower-income families. Seven projects (see Table B-1) have been approved since the new regulations were adopted. Those projects, with densities between 30 and 56.2 63.4 units per acre, include affordable units at the 30%, 50% and 60% AMI level and

demonstrate that lower-income housing is feasible under current regulations (see further discussion in Appendix B, Land Inventory).

ZONING ORDINANCE

Zoning regulations serve as a key General Plan implementation tool. The County's Zoning Code accommodates a diversity of residential housing types. It allows for innovation in design standards within parameters designated on Land Use Element, Table III-1 provided the overall density and dwelling unit capacity is not exceeded. The Orange County Zoning Code provides for 147 residential zoning districts, as listed below:

- A1 "General Agricultural" District
- AR "Agricultural Residential" District
- E1 "Estates" District
- RHE "Residential Hillside Estates" District
- E4 "Small Estates" District
- <u>H "Housing Opportunities" Overlay District</u>
- MX "Mixed-Use" Overlay District
- RE "Residential Estates" District
- R1 "Single-Family Residence" District
- RS "Residential, Single-Family" District
- R2D "Two-Family Residence" District
- R2 "Multifamily Dwelling" District
- R3 "Apartment" District
- R4 "Suburban Multifamily Residential" District
- RP "Residential-Professional" District
- PC "Planned Community" District
- PD "Planned Development" Combining District

Of the 174 residential use zoning districts (including A1 General Agricultural), multi-family projects of four units or less are permitted "by right" in the R2, R3 and R4 zones, as well as in Planned Community Districts. In addition, duplexes are allowed by right in the R2D district. Single-family dwellings are allowed in all residential zones in the County. Allowable densities in these zones range from 0.4 units/acre in the A1 zone up to 65 units/acre in the R2 and R3 zones. The height limit is 35 feet in all zones except R3, which allows up to 65 feet. Please see Table X-35 for a summary of the applicable development standards in these zoning districts. Notwithstanding the number of zoning districts identified, however, the overwhelming majority of development approved within the unincorporated County was accomplished under the PC "Planned Community" District.

December 10, 201321 X-73

Planned Community District: One particularly effective component of the County's zoning regulations is the Planned Community (PC) designation. In general, each planned community is subject to the standard provisions of the Zoning Code. The purpose of this district is to provide the authority, regulations and procedures whereby large land areas can be planned, zoned, developed and administered as individual, integrated communities. It is intended that each planned community will be developed to take maximum advantage of its location, environment and physical features. Several of these planned communities are also the subject of development agreements, which identify the levels of development allowed and important public facilities that will accompany development.

Rancho Mission Viejo South-Planned Community: The most recently approved Planned Community is the Rancho Mission Viejo Planned Community ("Ranch Plan"), approved by the Board of Supervisors on November 8, 2004. The Rancho Mission Viejo Ranch Plan is located in southeastern Orange County east of San Juan Capistrano and north of San Clemente. Rancho Mission Viejo Ranch Plan includes the development of 5,768 acres of the 22,683-acre Planned Community with a maximum of 14,000 dwelling units, 6,000 of which are forecasted to be age restricted, and 5.2 million sq. ft. of employment floor area. The remainder of Rancho Mission Viejo the Ranch Plan, 16,915 acres, is planned to remain as protected, permanent open space. Build-out of the planned community is expected in approximately 20 years.

As is the case with nearly all planned communities approved in Orange County, the Rancho Mission Viejo Ranch Plan is subject to a Development Agreement between the County and the landowner. The Ranch Plan Development Agreement requires that the developer offer for dedication an aggregate of 60 gross acres of land to the County, which would be graded and improved for the County's development of low-income rental housing. In July 2006 the County and property owner entered into an Affordable Housing Implementation Agreement (AHIA), or as may be supplemented, that delineates the process for transferring the property to the County for development. Development assumptions for The Ranch Plan Rancho Mission Viejo are discussed in greater detail in Appendix B – Land Inventory.

Since the grand opening of Planning Area 1 in 2013, Rancho Mission Viejo and neighborhood builders have completed and sold/rented 1,247 housing units in Sendero PA1, of which 286 are deed-restricted senior housing (Age Qualified) units. In addition, 107 senior affordable apartments have been leased. Since the grand opening of PA2 in 2015 and through the end of 2019, RMV and neighborhood builders have obtained building permits for 2,507 market rate units

in Esencia PA2, and occupancy permits (closings) for 2,475 market rate units, of which 830 have been deed-restricted senior housing (Age Qualified) units. In addition, a 112-unit affordable family apartment project has been leased.

Residential Professional District and Mixed-Use Overlay District: Another particularly effective component of the County's zoning regulations is mixed-use development, which is permitted in the RP and MX districts. In contrast to single-use zones, mixed-use combines residential with retail, office, commercial and/or entertainment developments. Mixed-use not only allows residents to live, work, and shop within walking distance, it strengthens the economy with an employee and retail base for local businesses. Mixed-use is especially beneficial for communities lacking vacant residential sites, creating the opportunity for new housing through infill development and decreasing housing costs through shared amenities and parking.

The County's RP "Residential-Professional" district provides for the development and maintenance of moderate-intensity residential and office uses to produce an integrated mixed-use neighborhood of superior quality. The zone is principally designed to mix single family or mobile home dwelling units with professional and administrative offices.

The County's MX "Mixed Use" Overlay District provides the opportunity to develop high density housing in commercial areas. These regulations are intended to facilitate the vertical and horizontal mixing of retail, office, and residential uses and development of mixed-use buildings accommodating both residential and employment activities. In both infill contexts and in larger projects, these regulations shall facilitate the inclusion of cultural, civic, education, and urban recreational uses and support transit-oriented development and alternative modes of transportation.

A summary of the development standards for the 174 major zoning districts permitting residential development is provided in **Table X-35** 3-3. These development standards are reasonably necessary to protect the public health, safety and welfare and maintain the quality of life, and are not considered to be constraints to the development of housing. For additional details, development standards for each zoning district are available on the County's website, per Government Code 65940.1(a)(1)(B).

December 10, 201321 X-75

Table X-35 Table 3-3 Summary of Residential Zoning Regulations – County of Orange

Zone	Residential Uses Permitted By Right	Residential Uses Permitted With SDP/UP	Min. Land Area per Unit/Max. Density	Height Limit	From Front	Ultimate S R/W Line Side	Street Rear	Line Not	roperty Abutting eet Rear	On Panhandle Building Site from Any Property Line
A1 "General Agricultural" District	Single-family detached dwelling or mobile home per section 7-9- 92.2149.5 (one building site) Accessory Dwelling Units and Junior Accessory Dwelling Units	 Community care facilities serving 7 to 12 persons Large Congregate living health facilities serving 7 to 12 persons per section 7-9-95 Farmworker dwelling unit per section 7-9-134 Farmworker housing complex per section 7-9-134 	4 acres/ 1 du/ac	<u>35 ft.</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>254</u>	<u>10</u>
AR "Agricultural Residential" <u>District</u>	 Community care facilities serving six (6) or fewer persons and large family day care homes (7-9-95.2) Single-family detached dwelling or mobile home per section 7-9- 92.2149.5 (one building site) Accessory Dwelling Units and Junior Accessory Dwelling Units 	Community care facilities serving 7 to 12 persons Large Congregate living health facilities serving 7 to 12 persons per section 7-9-95	4 acres/ 0.25 du/ac 7,200 sq.ft./ 6.1 du/ac	35 ft.	20	5	25	5	254	10
E1 "Estates" District	 Community care facilities serving six (6) or fewer persons and large family day care homes (7-9-95.2) Single-family dwelling or mobile home per section 7-9-92.2149.5 (one per building site) Accessory Dwelling Units and Junior Accessory Dwelling Units 	Community care facilities serving 7 to 12 persons Large Congregate living health facilities serving 7 to 12 persons per section 7-9-95	1 acre/ 1.0 du/ac	35 ft.	45	20	50	20	504	10

X-76 82 of 333 December 10, 201321

	Residential Uses Residential Uses Permitted Unit/Ma		Min. Land Area per Unit/Max.	Height	From Ultimate Street R/W Line			Line Not	roperty Abutting eet	On Panhandle Building Site from Any
Zone	Permitted By Right	With SDP/UP	Density	Limit	Front	Side	Rear	Side	Rear	Property Line
RHE "Residential Hillside Estates" District	 Community care facilities serving six (6) or fewer persons and large family day care homes (7-9-95.2) Single-family dwelling or mobile home per section 7-9-92.2149.5 (one per building site) Accessory Dwelling Units and Junior Accessory Dwelling Units 	 Community care facilities serving 7 to 12 persons Large Congregate living health facilities serving 7 to 12 persons per section 7-9-95 	10,000 sq.ft./ 4. <u>43</u> du/ac	35 ft.	10	8	25	8	254	10
E4 "Small Estates" District	 Community care facilities serving six (6) or fewer persons and large family day care homes (7-9-95.2) Single-family dwelling or mobile home per section 7-9-92.2149.5 (one per building site) Accessory Dwelling Units and Junior Accessory Dwelling Units 	Community care facilities serving 7 to 12 persons Large Congregate living health facilities serving 7 to 12 persons per section 7-9-95	10,000 sq.ft./ 4. <u>43</u> -du/ac	35 ft.	30	note ¹	25	note ¹	254	10
H "Housing Opportunities" Overlay District ⁵	See section 7-9-44		<u>Up to 43.5</u> <u>du/ac</u>	<u>35 ft.</u>	<u>20</u>	note ²	<u>25</u>	note ²	<u>25</u> ⁴	<u>10</u>
MX "Mixed-Use" Overlay District ⁵	 See section 7-9-45 May be combined with any commercial zoning district 	All mixed-use projects shall be subject to a Use Permit	Up to 33 du/ac	<u>note⁵</u>	<u>note⁵</u>	note ⁵	<u>note</u> ⁵	note ⁵	<u>note</u> ⁵	<u>note⁵</u>
RE "Residential Estates <u>"</u> District	 Community care facilities serving six (6) or fewer persons and large family day care homes (7-9-95.2) Single-family dwelling or mobile home per section 7-9- 92.2149.5 (one per building site) Accessory Dwelling Units and Junior Accessory Dwelling Units 	 Community care facilities serving 7 to 12 persons Large group homes serving 7 or more persons per section 7-9-95 	20,000 sq.ft./ 2.2 du/ac	35 ft.	40	note ¹	25	note ¹	254	15

X-77 83 of 333 December 10, 201321

	Residential Uses Residential Uses Permitted Unit/Max.		Height	From Ultimate Street R/W Line			From Property Line Not Abutting Street		On Panhandle Building Site from Any Property Line	
Zone	Permitted By Right	With SDP/UP	Density	Limit	Front	Side	Rear	Side	Rear	Property Line
R1 "Single-Family Residence" District	 Community care facilities serving six (6) or fewer persons and large family day care homes (7-9-95.2) Single-family dwelling or mobile home per section 7-9-92.2149.5 (one per building site) Accessory Dwelling Units and Junior Accessory Dwelling Units 	 Community care facilities serving 7 to 12 persons Large group homes serving 7 or more persons per section 7-9-95 	7,200 sq.ft./ 6.1 du/ac	35 ft.	20	5	25	5	254	10
RS "Residential, Single-Family District"	 Community care facilities serving six (6) or fewer persons and large family day care homes (7-9-95.2) Single-family dwelling or mobile home per section 7-9-92.2149.5 (one per building site) Accessory Dwelling Units and Junior Accessory Dwelling Units 	 Community care facilities serving 7 to 12 persons Large group homes serving 7 or more persons per section 7-9-95 	7,000 sq.ft./ 6.2 du/ac	35 ft.	10	10	10	note ³	0	10
R2D "Two-Family Residence" District	 Community care facilities serving six (6) or fewer persons and large family day care homes (7-9-95.2) Duplexes (one per building site) Single-family dwelling or mobile home per section 7-9-92.2149.5 (one per building site) Accessory Dwelling Units 	 Residential condominium, stock cooperative, and community apartment projects per section 7-9-89147 (two units maximum) Community care facilities serving 7 to 12 persons Large Congregate living health facilities serving 7 to 12 persons Large group homes serving 7 or more persons per section 7-9-95 Group homes serving 7 or more persons per section 7-9-95 Senior living facilities per section 7-9-98 	3,600 sq.ft./ 12.1 du/ac	35 ft.	20	5	25	5	254	10

X-78 84 of 333 December 10, 201321

	Residential Uses	Residential Uses Permitted	Min. Land Area per Unit/Max. Height Density Limit				From Ultimate Street R/W Line		From Property Line Not Abutting Street On P Build from	
Zone	Permitted By Right	With SDP/UP			Front	Side	Rear	Side	Rear	Property Line
R2 "Multifamily Dwelling" District	 Community care facilities serving six (6) or fewer persons and large family day care homes (7-9-95.2) Multi-family projects of four (4) or less dwelling unit Single-family dwelling or mobile home per section 7-9-92.2149.5 (one per building site) Accessory Dwelling Units 	 Multi-family projects of five (5) or more dwelling units (except condominium, stock cooperative, and community apartment projects) per section 7-9-86146.7 Mobile home developments per section 7-9-92149 Residential condominium, stock cooperative, and community apartment projects per section 7-9-86146.7 Community care facilities serving 7 to 12 persons Large Congregate living health facilities serving 7 to 12 persons Group homes serving 7 or more persons per section 7-9-95 Senior living facilities per section 7-9-98 Senior citizen housing developments with less than 35 units per section 7-9-135 Residential planned (unit) developments per site development standards of section 7-9-110 	1,000 sq.ft./ 43.5 du/ac	35 ft.	20	5	25	5	254	10

X-79 85 of 333 December 10, 201321

	Residential Uses	Residential Uses Permitted	Min. Land Area per Unit/Max.	Height	From	n Ultimate S R/W Line	Street	Line Not	roperty Abutting eet	from Any
Zone	Permitted By Right	With SDP/UP	Density	Limit	Front	Side	Rear	Side	Rear	Property Line
R3 "Apartment" District	 Boarding houses serving six (6) or fewer persons Community care facilities serving six (6) or fewer persons and large family day care homes (7-9-95.2) Multi-family projects of four (4) or less dwelling unit Single-family dwelling or mobile home per section 7-9-92.2149.5 (one per building site) Accessory Dwelling Units 	 Fraternity or sorority houses Multifamily projects of five (5) or more dwelling units (except condominium, stock cooperative, and community apartment projects) per section 7-9-86146.7 Community care facilities serving 7 to 12 persons Large Congregate living health facilities serving 7 to 12 persons Congregate care facilities Mobile home developments per section 7-9-92149 Residential condominium, stock cooperative and community apartment projects per section 7-9-86146.7 Residential planned (unit) developments per site development standards of section 7-9-110 Boarding houses serving more than 6 persons. Group homes serving 7 or more persons per section 7-9-95 Senior living facilities per section 7-9-98 Single-room occupancy (SRO) per section 7-9-88 Senior citizen housing developments with less than 35 units per section 7-9-135 	1,000 sq.ft./ 43.5 du/ac	65 ft.	20	note ²	25	note ²	254	10

X-80 86 of 333 December 10, 201321

			Height	From Ultimate Street R/W Line			Line Not	Property Abutting reet	On Panhandle Building Site from Any	
Zone	Permitted By Right	With SDP/UP	Density	Limit	Front	Side	Rear	Side	Rear	Property Line
R4 "Suburban Multifamily Residential" District	 Community care facilities serving six (6) or fewer persons and large family day care homes (7-9-95.2) Multi-family projects of four (4) or less dwelling unit Single-family dwelling or mobile home per section 7-9-92.2149.5 (one per building site) Accessory Dwelling Units 	 Multifamily projects of five (5) or more dwelling units (except condominium, stock cooperative, and community apartment projects) per section 7-9-86146.7 Community care facilities serving 7 to 12 persons Large Congregate living health facilities serving 7 to 12 persons Congregate care facilities Mobile home developments per section 7-9-92149 Residential condominium, stock cooperative and community apartment projects per section 7-9-86146.7 Group homes serving 7 or more persons per section 7-9-95 Senior living facilities per section 7-9-98 Senior citizen housing developments with less than 35 units per section 7-9-135 Residential planned (unit) developments per site development standards of section 7-9-110 	3,000 sq.ft./ 14.5 du/ac	35 ft.	20	5	25	5	254	10
RP "Residential- Professional"	 Community care facilities serving six (6) or fewer persons and large family day care homes (7-9-95.2) Single-family dwelling or mobile home per section 7-9-92.2149.5 (one per building site) 	Multifamily projects of four (4) or less dwelling units	3,000 sq.ft./ 14.5 du/ac	35 ft.	20	5	25	5	254	10

X-81 87 of 333 December 10, 201321

	Residential Uses	Residential Uses Permitted	Min. Land Area per Unit/Max. Height Density Limit			Ultimate S	1	Line Not Str	roperty Abutting eet	On Panhandle Building Site from Any
Zone PC "Planned Community" District	Permitted By Right The purpose of this district is to provide the authority, regulations and procedures whereby large land areas can be planned, zoned, developed and administered as individual integrated communities Accessory Dwelling Units and Junior Accessory Dwelling Units	With SDP/UP								
PD "Planned Development" Combining District	The purpose of the PD "Planned Development" Combining District is to provide flexibility whereby land may be developed utilizing innovative site planning techniques (e.g., clustering of units and shared parking) to produce a development project that will preserve the community health, safety and general welfare and maintain the character of the surrounding neighborhood. Accessory Dwelling Units and Junior Accessory Dwelling Units	Planned (unit) developments not otherwise permitted through base district regulations.	planned deve standards, a	elopment prond other prov nd other prov , or not part	ojects shall t visions. Proj of a planne	oe permitte jects locate d developn	d subject to ed within this nent, shall c	the use reg district tha	gulations, de nt are not a	

Notes:

Source: County of Orange Zoning Code 202005, OC Public Works.

December 10, 201321 X-82

¹Ten (10) percent average ultimate net width of building site-Maximum twenty (20) feet. 2Five (5) feet on one (1) side only or ten (10) feet total of two (2) sides combined. 2Five (5) feet; add one (1) foot for each additional story over two (2).

³Ten (10) feet on one side only or ten (10) feet total of two (2) sides combined.

⁴In computing the depth of a rear set back from any building where such setbacks open on an alley, private street, public park or public beach, one-half of the width of such alley, street, park or beach may be deemed to be a portion of the rear setback, except that under this provision, no rear setback shall be less than 50 feet.

⁵H "Housing Opportunities" Overlay District and MX "Mixed-Use" Overlay District: Sites shall comply with the base district site development standards.

Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone: The goal of the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone is to facilitate the development of affordable housing units on underutilized non-residentially zoned land in the unincorporated area. The Board of Supervisors adopted the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone regulations on January 10, 2006. To provide guidelines for the development of Housing Opportunity sites, the Planning Commission approved the Housing Opportunities Manual on June 21, 2006.

The Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone allows affordable housing development by-right (i.e., without a conditional use permit) in the following districts:

- C1 Local Business
- C2 General Business
- CH Commercial Highway
- CN Commercial Neighborhood
- PA Professional and Administrative Office
- M1 Light Industrial

The Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone's affordability requirements include the following criteria:

- Rental project;
- 100% of the units must be affordable to low and very-low income households (70% low income and 30% very low income) for at least 55 years.

If necessary in order to make the project economically feasible, the County offers the following incentives:

- Density bonus;
- Setback reduction;
- Increased maximum lot coverage; and/or
- Increased building height.

December 10, 201321

Although not considered an incentive, the County also offers alternative (reduced) parking requirements for residential development within the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone. All other standards of the base commercial zoning district continue to apply.



Cornerstone

On December 9, 2008, the Board of Supervisors adopted

a Zoning Code amendment extending the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone regulations to properties zoned R2, R3, R4 and RP that are adjacent to arterial highways.

On July 28, 2020, the Board of Supervisors adopted a Zoning Code Amendment to increase the base density from 25 to 43.5 du/ac.

Since the adoption of the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone, eight seven projects (within the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone) with a total of 337 306 affordable units have been approved, which demonstrates the effectiveness of the regulations in facilitating the production of housing for lower-income families (see Tables A-3 and B-1). The average density of these projects has been over 51.2 37.68 units per acre as compared to the base density of 25 units per acre. Appendix B contains a detailed discussion of the additional development capacity of parcels in the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone.

OFF-STREET PARKING REQUIREMENTS

Parking is a necessary aspect of any development and can constrain the development of affordable housing. For every parking space that is required, there is less land available for development. Excessive parking requirements can thus drive up the cost of development. Parking requirements in the County of Orange, however, are similar to other jurisdictions and are not considered to be so stringent as to be a constraint to affordable development. Furthermore, the Zoning Code provides additional opportunities for developments to request alternatives to off-street parking regulations (Sec. 7-9-70.9). Residential parking requirements for the County are displayed in **Table X-36** 3-4.

The County's Zoning Code assigns parking standards with the intent to promote efficient land use. It is intended that these regulations will result in properly designed parking facilities of sufficient capacity to minimize traffic congestion, enhance public safety, generally provide for the parking of motor vehicles at locations other than on the streets, and for safe passage of pedestrians to and from parked vehicles.

Table X-36 Table 3-4 Residential Parking Requirements – County of Orange

Type of Residential Development	Rec	quired Parking Spaces	Comments			
Attached / Detached Single-Family Dwellings	Two (2) covered spaces for eac Those with less than 178-foot s one (1) additional space within Those dwellings with more than one-half (0.5) off-street parking bedroom. In addition to the two space is required, it shall be co	ch dwelling setback from back of curb or sidewalk shall provide	N/A For purposes of this section, a room such as a den, study or sewing room shall be considered a bedroom.			
Multiple Multifamily Dwellings	Zero to one-bedroom dwelling units Two-bedroom dwelling units Three or more-bedroom dwelling units	One and one-half (1.5) for each dwelling unit Two (2) off-street spaces for each dwelling unit. One (1) of the spaces shall be covered for each unit. Two and one-half (2.5) off-street spaces per dwelling unit, plus one-half (.5) off-street space for each bedroom in excess of three (3). Except as otherwise noted below, two (2) spaces shall be covered for each dwelling unit.	For purposes of this section, a room such as a den, study or sewing room shall be considered a bedroom.			
	Five or more dwelling units, or accessory "second units" The requirement that off-street spaces be covered is not applicable for larger multi-family projects (5+ units) or "second units." For building sites with five (5) or more dwelling units, the developer may opt to utilize a prescribed sliding scale based on unit size to create unassigned parking, in lieu of above requirements					
Guest Parking	In addition to above regulations units shall be provided	s, two-tenths (.2) guest parking spaces per dwelling	N/A			

Source: County of Orange Zoning Code, 200520

DENSITY BONUS

Beyond local requirements, California law allows for a 35% increase in the density of a residential development when a housing developer agrees to construct any of the following: a minimum of 20% of the total units of a housing development for lower income households or 10% of the total units for very low income households. A senior housing development is also eligible for a 20% density bonus if it includes at least 35 dwelling units, and the applicant seeks a density bonus.

The County must also provide at least one of the following:

- A reduction in site development standards or a modification of zoning code requirements or architectural design requirements that exceed the minimum building standards approved by the California Building Standards Commission.
- Approval of mixed use zoning in conjunction with the housing project if commercial, office, industrial, or other land uses will reduce the cost of the housing development and if the commercial, office, industrial, or other land uses are compatible with the housing project.
- Other regulatory incentives or concessions proposed by the developer or the city, county, or city and county that result in identifiable cost reductions.

The County of Orange complies with the density bonus provisions required by state law in residential zones when requested by the project applicant. In 2013 the density bonus provisions of the Zoning Code (Sec. 7-9-14087) were amended to streamline the approval process for density bonus.

In July 2020, the Orange County Board of Supervisors adopted the Comprehensive Zoning Code, which also includes provisions to increase and exceed the density bonus and number of incentives that developers can obtain through application of the State's Density Bonus Law, which is referenced in the Zoning Code (Sec. 7-9-87). In compliance with AB 1763, new regulations have been adopted to address the housing crisis and facilitate the production of higher density affordable housing units. An 80% density bonus is available for projects in which 100% of the units (exclusive of the manager's units) are affordable to lower and moderate-income households with a maximum of 20% of the units affordable to moderate-income households and the remainder affordable to lowerincome households.

December 10, 201321 X-87

Under Government Code Section 65915, known as the Density Bonus Law, the maximum bonus was 35%. California state law AB 2345 states that all jurisdictions in California are required to process projects proposing up to 50% additional density as long as those projects provide the additional Below Market Rate units (BMR) in the "base" portion of the project, unless the locality already allows a bonus above 35%. The bill also lowered the BMR thresholds for incentives for projects with low income BPRs.

Additionally, Government Code Section 65915 authorizes an applicant to receive 2 incentives or concessions for projects that include at least 17% of the total units for lower income households, at least 10% of the total units for very low income households, or at least 20% for persons or families of moderate income in a common interest development. It also allows an applicant to receive 3 incentives for projects that include at least 24% of the total units for lower income households, at least 15% of the total units for very low income households, or at least 30% for persons or families of moderate income in a common interest development.

AB 2345 also requires an allowance of up to 50% density bonus when the base BMR is proposed. The County's Zoning Code refers to the latest State Density Bonus law provisions and implements the most recent changes of State law. A program is included in the County's Zoning Code in compliance with State legislation.

MOBILE HOMES/MANUFACTURED HOUSING

There is often an economy of scale in manufacturing homes in a plant rather than on site, thereby reducing cost. State law precludes local governments from prohibiting the installation of mobile or manufactured homes on permanent foundations on single-family lots. It also declares a mobile home park to be a permitted land use on any land planned and zoned for residential use, and prohibits requiring the average density in a new mobile home park to be less than that permitted by the Zoning Code.

In accordance with zoning regulations, the County has determined that like single-family homes, mobile homes and manufactured housing are principally permitted in all residential zones. The number of mobile home or manufactured dwelling units permitted, as well as minimum setback requirements, is the same as the maximum number of dwelling units and setback requirements permitted by the applicable district regulations. Off-street parking is consistent with the regulations found in Table X-36 above, except as follows: Two (2) parking spaces for each

mobile home dwelling unit; and one (1) parking space for each four (4) mobile home units to allow for additional guest parking.

As well, there are additional screening and landscaping requirements, and supplemental design criteria for mobile or manufactured home developments. The approving authority may grant exceptions if special circumstances are warranted, or if the requirements become excessive when applied to a specific development.

SECOND UNITS/GUEST HOUSES ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS (ADUS)

In response to state-mandated requirements and local needs, the County permits a property owner in any district, including planned community and specific plan areas, where a single-family unit exists on a parcel zoned for such purposes, to apply to establish an guesthouse or second residential accessory dwelling unit (ADU) along with junior accessory dwelling unit (one per building site if owner occupied) by-right. Accessory dwelling units are also permitted on existing multifamily residential projects. The second residential unit may not exceed a maximum of 1,200 square feet in floor area or 350% of the main building, if the second unit is attached, and must comply with the development standards for the zone (Zoning Code § 7-9-146.5). One additional uncovered off-street parking space is required. Guest houses larger than 640 square feet require approval of a site development permit or use permit and are not intended for rental. State regulations also changed to allow property owners to convert existing garages and structures into ADUs and the County allows this change. Each ADU is required to have a separate address.

Second Accessory dwelling units serve to augment resources for senior housing, or other low- and moderate-income segments of the population. The development standards are reasonable to ensure neighborhood compatibility, and with the proposed amendment, will not present an unreasonable constraint to development.

In July 2020, the County's Comprehensive Zoning Code was updated in accordance with the October 2019 passage of AB 68, AB 881, AB 587, AB 671 and SB 13. The provisions encourage the development of ADUs by making the process less restrictive for homeowners.

FARMWORKER HOUSING

California Health and Safety Code Sections 17021.5 and 17021.6 generally require agricultural employee housing to be permitted by-right, without a conditional use permit (CUP), in single-family zones for six or fewer persons and in agricultural zones with no more than 12 units or 36 beds. The Orange County Zoning Code currently permits farmworker housing in the A1 (General

December 10, 201321 X-89

Agricultural) District with the approval of a Site Development Permit (SDP). A program is included in the Housing Plan to ensure the County's development standards allow farmworker housing by-right, without an SDP, in single-family zones for six or fewer persons.

LOW BARRIER NAVIGATION CENTERS

AB 101 states that "The Legislature finds and declares that Low Barrier Navigation Center developments are essential tools for alleviating the homelessness crisis in this state and are a matter of statewide concern-." Low Barrier Navigation Centers are defined as a Housing First, low-barrier, service-enriched shelter focused on moving people into permanent housing that provides temporary living facilities while case managers connect individuals experiencing homelessness to income, public benefits, health services, shelter, and housing. Low Barrier Navigation Centers are required as a use by right in areas zoned for mixed uses and nonresidential zones permitting multifamily uses if it meets specified requirements. The Orange County Zoning Code adopts State regulations on Low Barrier Navigation Centers to ensure the County's development standards are updated in accordance with AB 101 to allow Low Barrier Navigation Centers by-right in all zones that permit mixed-uses and non-residential uses. In particular, the existing Bridges at Kraemer Place and Yale Navigation Center meet the criteria of Low Barrier Navigation Centers, as described above.

CONDOMINIUM CONVERSIONS

In order to reduce the impacts of condominium conversions on residents of rental housing (including mobile home parks, duplexes and multi-family rental housing), some of which provides housing for low- and moderate-income persons, the County's Zoning Ordinance (§Section 7-9-89147) provides for the following to be included with any conversion application:

- 1. Submission of an engineering report on the general condition of all structural, electrical, plumbing, and mechanical elements of the existing development.
- 2. Submission of a housing program which includes:
 - The means by which the provision of housing affordable to lower and moderate income households will be achieved;
 - A housing report addressing the balance of housing in the community, including vacancy rates and other available housing of similar type and rent;

- As applicable, the estimated costs for movement of each mobile home to an available, reasonably comparable space;
- A survey of existing tenants as to their length of occupancy, and the number of those projected to purchase one of the units; and
- A relocation plan that identifies the steps that will be taken to ensure the successful relocation of each tenant in the event the conversion take places.

3. Tenant provisions, including:

- A requirement that the property owner provide tenants a ninety (90) day preemptive right to purchase a units or right of exclusive occupancy upon more favorable terms and conditions than those on which the unit will be initially offered to the public; and
- All tenants must be provided with a minimum of one hundred eighty (180) days advance notice of the termination of their tenancy, except that one (1) year notice must be provided for units in a mobile home park.

When a condo conversion is permitted, the increase in the supply of moderatelypriced for-sale units helps to compensate for the loss of rental units. The ordinance to regulate condominium conversions is reasonable to preserve rental housing opportunities, and does not present an unreasonable constraint on the production of ownership housing.

SPECIAL NEEDS HOUSING

Persons with special needs include those in residential care facilities, persons with disabilities, the elderly, farm workers, persons needing emergency shelter or transitional living arrangements, and single room occupancy units. The County's provisions for these housing types are discussed below.

Community Care Facilities – Community care facilities are licensed by the California Department of Social Services. These facilities provide non-medical, residential care, and supervision to children or adults in need of a supportive living environment. The services provided may include assistance in dressing and bathing, supervision of client activities; monitoring of food intake; or oversight of the client's property. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 1502, as may be amended, community care facilities

December 10, 201321 X-91

include the following: residential facility providing 24-hour care; adult day program; therapeutic day services facility; foster family agency; foster family care home; small family home; social rehabilitation facility community treatment facility; full service adoption agency; noncustodial adoption agency. In accordance with state law, small community care facilities that serve six (6) or fewer persons are permitted by-right in all residential districts.

Community care facilities that serve 7 to 12 persons are permitted within any residential zone subject to approval of an use permit.

- Congregate Care Facilities Living Health Facility Congregate care facilities are defined as facilities that "provide care on a monthly basis or longer and which is the primary residence of the people it serves" (§7-9-21). Services provided can include dining, housekeeping, security, medical, transportation, and recreation. In accordance with state law, small congregate care homes that serve six or fewer persons are permitted by-right in all residential districts. Congregate care facilities that serve 7 to 12 persons are permitted within any residential zone subject to approval of a conditional use permit. Congregate living health facilities are licensed by the State of California pursuant to Section 1250 of the Health and Safety Code, as may be amended, to provide inpatient care; including the following basic services: medical supervision, 24-hour skilled nursing and supportive care, dependent or catastrophically and several disabled. A congregate living health facility serving more than twelve (12) persons may be permitted in any district zoned for either multifamily residential or hotels subject to the approval of a Use Permit by Planning Commission pursuant to section 7-9-125.
- Housing for Persons with Disabilities The County's Zoning Code permits group accommodation for unrelated persons by defining "family household" as "one or more persons all people occupying one a single dwelling unit. A household shall also mean all people occupying two (2) dwelling units on the same site if both units are used as group homes owned or operated by the same owner." 'FamilyHousehold' includes the occupants of community care facilities serving six (6) or fewer persons which are permitted or licensed by the State." (§Section 7-9-2795). This definition does not place a constraint on group homes for disabled persons.

- **Group Home.** Group Homes are defined as facilities that are "being used as a supportive living environment for persons who are considered handicapped under State or Federal law. A group home operated by a single operator or service provider (whether licensed or unlicensed) constitutes a single facility, whether the facility occupies one (1) or more dwelling units. Group homes shall not include the following: (1) community care facilities; (2) any group home that operates as a single housekeeping unit." (Section 7-9-95.1). These types of residences for up to six (6) residents are allowed in any district, planned community, or specific plan area zoned for residential districts subject to a Group Home Permit, which is a ministerial approval. Group Homes with seven (7) or more residents are allowed in any district, planned community, or specific plan area zoned for multifamily subject to issuance of a Use Permit by the Planning Commission per section 7-9-125.
- **Sober Living Home** Sober living homes are a type of group home operated as a cooperative living environment providing an alcohol and drug-free home for persons recovering from alcoholism and/or drug abuse, which are not required to be licensed by the State. Like other Group Homes, sober living homes for six (6) or fewer residents are allowed in residential districts, subject to a Group Home Permit. Also, similarly to other Group Homes, sober living homes of seven (7) or more residents shall be permitted in any zoning district zoned for multi-family residential uses subject to the issuance of a Use Permit by the Planning Commission per section 7-9-125 and compliance with certain conditions.

Reasonable Accommodations. Permitting requirements for Group and Sober Living Homes may be waived or modified for eligible disabled persons pursuant to Section 7-9-129 – Reasonable Accommodations.

In addition, Tthe County's building codes require that new residential construction comply with the federal accessibility standards contained in the California Building Code (20109 Edition), California Residential Code (20109) Edition), California Green Building Standards Code (20109 Edition), 2019 California Energy Code and the International Building Code (200918 Edition). In addition, any residential units constructed directly by the County, as well as public accommodations, are subject to provision of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). ADA provisions include requirements for a minimum

December 10, 201321 X-93

percentage of units in new developments to be fully accessible to the physically disabled. Provisions of fully accessible units may also increase the overall project development costs. Enforcement of ADA requirements is not at the discretion of the County, but is mandated under federal law under certain conditions.

In addition, the County's Codified Ordinances contains the following provisions regarding housing for persons with disabilities:

- Reasonable accommodation. In addition to compliance with state and federal accessibility standards, including Title 24 and ADA requirements, Orange County has established reasonable accommodation procedures (Codified Ordinance Section 7-1-2).
- Concentration limitations. County codes do not establish maximum concentration requirements for residential facilities.
- Parking standards are based on use (e.g., single or multi-family, community care facilities), not on the type of occupant. The Zoning Code establishes standards for the number of handicapped parking stalls, including location and dimensions (Section 7-9-70145).

Because site development standards contained in the Zoning Code are consistent with building code accessibility requirements, the Zoning Code does not place regulatory constraints on housing for persons with disabilities.

Compliance with building codes and the ADA may increase the cost of housing production and can also impact the viability of rehabilitation of older properties required to be brought up to current code standards. However, these regulations provide minimum standards that must be complied with in order to ensure the development of safe and accessible housing.

• **Farm Worker Housing** – As discussed in Section 2, (*Needs Assessment*), agricultural production in the unincorporated portion of Orange County has declined significantly. Orange County is a well-developed, mixed urban suburban region with an economy that is not tied to an agricultural base, and has limited need for onsite farm worker housing. The County's overall efforts to provide and maintain affordable housing opportunities will help to support

100 of 333

the few seasonal farm workers that may choose to reside in the County. However, agricultural quarters are permitted within the General Agricultural (A1) district, subject to approval of a Site Development Permit.

- Housing for the Elderly Senior housing projects are a permitted use within any residential zoning district. The Zoning Code also provides a density bonus for the construction of senior housing projects. The Zoning Ordinance is not considered to be a constraint to the development of senior housing because the regulations are the same as for other residential uses in the same districts.
- Fupportive Housing Emergency shelters are facilities that provide a safe alternative to the streets either in a shelter facility, or through the use of motel vouchers Emergency shelter is short-term, usually for 30 days or less. Transitional/supportive housing is longer-term emergency housing, typically six months up to two years or more with the goal of participants transitioning to permanent housing upon program exit. Transitional housing requires that the resident participate in a structured program to work toward the established goals so that they can move on to permanent housing. Residents are often provided with an array of supportive services to assist them in meeting goals. Supportive Housing is longer term permanent housing that provides supportive services to ensure housing stability for participants.

For many years the County has been proactive in addressing the needs of the homeless population. In 2010 the Board established the Commission To End Homelessness to work collaboratively and provide strategic leadership and provide oversight of accountability for the implementation of goals and strategies in the Ten Year Plan To End Homelessness. (See the *Needs Assessment* section for a complete description of homeless needs and supporting activities).

It should be recognized that most of the homeless population (as well as the services needed by these individuals) are found in the urbanized core of the county, most of which is within incorporated cities. This pattern continues as unincorporated land is annexed or incorporated into new cities. Therefore, it is appropriate that most of the facilities for this population are also located in the cities. As with other categories of housing assistance, the OC Community Services

December 10, 201321 X-95

Resources takes a broad perspective that includes more than just the unincorporated area, and many housing programs and affordable housing developments located in cities have been assisted by the County in recent years (see Table A-2 in Appendix A).

SB2 (2007) strengthened the planning requirements for emergency shelters and transitional/supportive housing. The bill requires jurisdictions to evaluate their needs for shelters compared to available facilities to address the need. Jurisdictions must also designate at least one location where a year-round shelter can be accommodated. There is one emergency shelter located in the unincorporated area American Family Housing in Midway City. This shelter can accommodate 20 persons at any given time. It is estimated that the need for emergency shelter required by persons in the unincorporated area is approximately 53 166 beds (see discussion in Section 2 – Community Profile and Needs). In order to encourage and facilitate the establishment of additional facilities, Strategy 4d was included in the 2008-2014 Housing Action Plan to amend the Zoning Code to allow shelters by-right in the commercial and industrial portions of the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone. These areas encompass approximately 177 acres and are served by transit and other facilities, and can accommodate additional emergency shelters. That the Zoning Code amendment to allow shelters by-right in the commercial and industrial portions of the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone was adopted by the Board of Supervisors in 2013.

"Boarding houses" are allowed by-right (6 or fewer residents) in multifamily residential districts or subject to a use permit (more than 6 residents) in the R-3 (apartment) district.

In addition, the County Zoning Code permits the construction of **Single Room Occupancy** (SRO) facilities in any district where hotels are permitted, subject to approval of a conditional use permit. These regulations facilitate the development or conversion of facilities to serve those in need of emergency shelter by expanding the geographic area where suitable facilities may be built and requiring only those conditions that are reasonably necessary to foster sound planning and neighborhood compatibility. The conditions and development standards that are applied to these facilities are no more stricter than those for conventional hotel or motel developments.

One SRO-type facility has been built in the unincorporated area in recent years – Jackson Aisle in Midway City. This project was facilitated through a density bonus and the modification of development standards including a reduction in the minimum land area per unit (from 1,000 to 342 square feet), reduction in setbacks, and reduced off-street parking. All of the units in this project are affordable at the extremely-low-income level.

BUILDING CODES

Orange County has adopted the California Building Code (CBC) (20109 Edition), California Residential Code (20109 Edition), California Green Building Standards Code (20109 Edition), 2019 California Energy Code and the 200918 edition of the International Building Code (IBC), which establish construction standards for all residential buildings. These building codes are used as a collective set of rules to guide new construction, adaptive reuse, and renovation. The County's building codes are based on regulations necessary to protect the public health, safety and welfare. These minimum standards cannot be revised to be less stringent without sacrificing basic safety considerations and amenities.

The County's building codes are updated, as necessary, and reflect conditions unique to Orange County. They contain minimum building requirements for building/fire protection, structural and seismic safety and installation of devices/fixtures that reduce energy consumption. State law prohibits the imposition of building standards that are not necessitated by local geographic, climatic or topographic conditions and requires that local governments making changes or modifications in building standards must report such changes to the Department of Housing and Community Development and file an expressed finding that the changes are needed.

Together, building and zoning codes help to preserve the housing stock by requiring owners to maintain their properties in sound condition. The County has adopted the strategy of taking a "hands-on" educational approach to enforcement by working closely with owners to explain and apply those codes that address building violations and substandard housing conditions. Code enforcement staff now leads a multi-agency effort that often entails coordination with Orange County Fire Authority, County Building Inspection, OC Community Resources, OC Health Care Agency, Orange County District Attorney, County Counsel, and other agencies to provide owners with guidance in making needed corrections and repairs, in order to maintain their properties in a safe and maintained condition.

December 10, 201321 X-97

Compliance with building codes may increase the cost of housing production and can also impact the viability of rehabilitation of older properties required to be brought up to current code standards. However, these regulations provide minimum standards that ensure the development of safe and accessible housing.

Housing for Persons with Disabilities

Both the Federal Fair Housing Amendment Act (FHAA) and the California Fair Employment and Housing Act require governments to make reasonable accommodations (that is, modifications or exceptions) in their zoning code and other land use regulations to afford disabled persons an equal opportunity to housing. State law also requires cities to analyze potential and actual constraints to the development, maintenance, and improvement of housing for persons with disabilities.

Reasonable Accommodation

Reasonable accommodation in the land use and zoning context means providing individuals with disabilities, or developers of housing for people with disabilities, flexibility in the application of land use and zoning and building regulations, policies and procedures, or waiving certain requirements, when it is necessary to eliminate barriers to housing opportunities. For example, it may be reasonable to accommodate requests from persons with disabilities to waive a setback requirement or other standard of the Zoning Code to ensure that homes are accessible for the mobility impaired. Whether a particular modification is reasonable depends on the circumstances.

The Orange County Zoning Code requires the following findings be made in order to grant a reasonable accommodation:

- Whether the housing, which is the subject of the request for reasonable accommodation, will be used by an individual with disabilities protected under the law;
- Whether the requested accommodation is necessary to make housing available to an individual with disabilities protected under the law;
- Whether the requested accommodation would impose an undue financial or administrative burden on the County; and
- Whether the requested accommodation would require a fundamental alteration in the nature of the County's land use and zoning or building program.

The Director, OC Development Services, is the reviewing authority of reasonable accommodation requests. The Director must notify the applicant within 30 days of an approved/denied request and also make a written decision on the request within 60 days of the determination date (Section 7-9-129).

Development Processing Procedures

RESIDENTIAL PERMIT PROCESSING

Builders and developers frequently cite the cost of holding land during the evaluation and review process as a significant factor in the cost of housing. Processing times vary with the complexity of the project. Holding costs associated with delays in processing have been estimated to add between 1.1% and 1.8% to the cost of a dwelling unit for each month of delay. Again, frees are passed on to the buyer, and can ultimately increase costs.

Projects requiring use permits, zoning changes, or other discretionary actions necessitate a higher level of review, resulting in a longer processing timeline. Orange County's development approval process is designed to accommodate, not hinder, appropriate development.

Approval of discretionary permits for residential uses can typically be processed within 120 days after submission to the County. Construction of single-family homes on legal building sites do not require discretionary approval but requires only grading and/or building permit issuance. The permitting process policy identifies a timeframe of 15 business days for first plan check and 10 business days for second plan check, and includes concurrent planning and zoning review roceeds with first and second plan checks (usually takes 3 to 4 weeks for both), followed by a review for zoning compliance, and concludes with close scrutiny for compliance with local and State building codes prior to permit issuance. In the case of multifamily development, the process begins with the submittal of a site development permit which is subject to administrative approval and do not require a public hearing. Larger subdivision projects are typically more complex and are referred to the Planning Commission and/or the Board of Supervisors for approval.

To facilitate the timely and efficient processing of residential development, the County established the Development Processing Center (DPC) County Services Counter (CSC) which is essentially a "One Stop Shop" resource for developers, property owners and builders. In the DPC CSC customers can obtain assistance and information regarding the development process and regulations. This facility also serves as a centrally coordinated location to submit all permit applications, plans, and fees. For certain types of projects, the DPC also offers over-the-counter

December 10, 201321 X-99

plan check, which allows customers to leave with an approved set of plans and a permit to construct.

Additionally, OC Public Works has enhanced its electronic and phone-based resources to more effectively deliver processing services and provide information to residential developers. The OC Planning Development Services website provides continuous access to filing instructions and applications as well as information regarding permit fees and deposits. The County currently offers an online permitting service for simple all permits types, such as building permit and planning applications. Plans are submitted electronically and applicants have the ability to check the status of their applications/permits as it is being reviewed. Issuance of the building permit shall be conducted electronically. : air conditioning units; forced air units; ceiling fans; water heaters; reroofing; plastering; and fireplace/chimneys (excluding freestanding). The introduction of a new and expanded online system to allow online plan checks is scheduled for completion in 2013. Also available is the Interactive Voice Response (IVR) system, by which an applicant can request an inspection for residential tracts, update or cancel inspection requests, and view inspection results online and/or over the phone. The CSC also offers Express Permits in which applicants can expect to have simple permits reviewed and approved within 1 business day. This process is also conducted electronically.

These processes help to ensure that the development review process does not act as a constraint to housing development.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

Environmental review is required for all development projects under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), and for projects that receive federal funds under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). An Environmental Impact Report (EIR) is required by CEQA of all developments that have the potential of creating significant impacts that cannot be mitigated. All of the planned communities which make up the southern and eastern portions of unincorporated Orange County required the preparation of EIRs. The only significant areas left to develop are future phases of the "Ranch Plan Planned Community" on Rancho Mission Viejo properties. Most infill residential projects in the unincorporated County are either Categorically Exempt or require only an Initial Study and Negative Declaration (or Mitigated Negative Declaration). The Negative Declaration process typically takes two weeks 3-4 months to prepare, plus the mandated public review period. Categorically Exempt developments such as second residential units require a minimal amount of time.

The environmental review process can provide useful information for the County about impacts on local environments and needed mitigation measures, as well as useful construction and market information for builders, buyers, lenders, and others. In contrast, the process may be viewed by some parties as an expensive, complex, and time-consuming burden. However, because environmental review and mitigation is required by state law (CEQA) and federal law (NEPA), it does not pose a significant constraint to housing development.

Development Fees and Improvement Requirements

Development fees include the County's cost of processing applications and permits, as well as costs associated with the provision of public facilities required to serve new residents (often referred to as "impact fees").

APPLICATION AND PERMIT PROCESSING FEES

The County has adopted a hybrid fee system which includes a variety of fee types. The majority of building permit fees for both residential and commercial uses are calculated using the valuation-based system. Flat fees are charged for safety-related and simple ministerial permits, and actual costs are recovered for discretionary and grading permits. Based on the 2020-2023 fee schedule, This has resulted in there are approximately 3526% valuation-based fees, 4063% flat fees, and 2511% actual costs based fees. The County conducts an audit of processing costs periodically to ensure that fees do not exceed actual costs. The latest fee update occurred in May July 2020. Initial fee deposits as of May July 201020 are shown in Table X-37 3-5.

Many processing fees are a result of state or federal mandates, such as plan check and inspection to ensure public health and safety of grading, flood control facilities, roads, bridges, and construction of buildings. Other costs are associated with state and federal requirements for protection of the environment such as Water Quality Management Plans under the Clean Water Act and National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES), or Habitat Conservation Plans under the state's Natural Communities Conservation Program.

The County has adopted several cost-saving measures to reduce permit processing fees, including the following:

• A simplified "by-right" approval process for qualifying multifamily projects in the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone

December 10, 201321 X-

- Pre-filing meetings to assist developers in preparing application packages with no charge for the first three hours of staff time for these meetings
- Planning and permit fee schedule reviewed on an annual basis
- Annual review of park in-lieu fees to reflect current market values
- Waiver of park fees for affordable housing projects

Table X-37 Table 3-5 FY 2021-22 Planning and Development Fees

Fee Category	Deposit Amount*
Planning and Application Fees	
Tentative Parcel Map	\$5,000
Final Parcel Map	\$3,000
Tentative Tract Map	\$ <u>58</u> ,000
Final Tract Map	\$3,000
Use Permit	\$5,000-8,000
Variance	\$5,000-8,000
Area Plan	\$8,000
Site Development Plan	\$4,000-8,000
Zone Change	\$10,000
General Plan Amendment	\$10,000
Specific Plan Amendment	\$10,000
Environmental	
Environmental Impact Review	\$10,000 + 10%
Negative Declaration	\$5,000 + 10%

Source: County of Orange, 5/2010 July 2020

PUBLIC FACILITY (IMPACT) FEES AND IMPROVEMENT REQUIREMENTS

After the passage of Proposition 13 in 1978 (Article 13A of the California Constitution) and its limitation on local governments' property tax revenues, cities and counties have faced increasing difficulty in providing public services and facilities to serve their residents. One of the main consequences of Proposition 13 has been the shift in funding of new infrastructure from general tax revenues to development impact fees and improvement requirements on land developers. The County requires developers to provide on-site and off-site improvements necessary to serve their projects, including water, sewer and other utility extensions, street construction, and traffic control device installation that are reasonably related to the project. Dedication of land or in-lieu fees may also be required of a project for rights-of-way, transit facilities, recreational facilities and school sites, consistent with the Subdivision Map Act. Many of these fees are under the control of other agencies such as school districts, water and wastewater districts, the Transportation Corridor Agencies and Orange County Transportation

^{*} Items with deposits are based on actual processing costs which may exceed initial deposit amount

Authority, and the County has no authority over their amount or collection process. Impact fees that are controlled by the County of Orange are as follows:

- Library
- Streets and highways
- Drainage facilities
- Local parks

Development fees are passed on to renters and homebuyers in the cost of housing, and thus affect housing affordability. Fees associated with the extension and installation of utilities can be particularly demanding. Most agencies involved in the County's development review process obtain a majority of the revenue for their operations through development fees, and this trend is likely to continue in the future. These fees also help ensure quality development and the provision of adequate public services. For high priority affordable housing projects in the past the County has used redevelopment housing set-aside funds to help pay application fees and other costs associated with the development review process.

Table X-38 3-6 shows examples of development impact fees applied to new housing developments.

Table X-38 Table 3-6 Development Impact Fees

Fee Category	Fee Amount (per unit)
Parks	\$ 1,258 - <u>3,290</u> – 16,990 20,800/unit
Roads	\$ 615 919 – 3,370 24,655/unit
Toll Roads (Transportation Corridor Agencies)	\$ 2,063 2,513 - 4,6516,056/unit
Schools	\$ 0.41 <u>1.68</u> - 5.22 <u>4.08</u> /sq.ft
Source: County of Orange, September 2021	

In order to reduce the effects of fees on lower-income housing, the Board of Supervisors provided assistance to affordable projects in 2009 by adopting an ordinance to waive park fees for qualifying density bonus projects. Although development fees represent a significant component of housing costs, the County does what it can to minimize fees.

In order to estimate the effect of development fees on the total cost of housing, **Table X-39** 3-7 shows the fees that were paid by a typical recent affordable multifamily project in the unincorporated area. This project is located within the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone and will be was completed in 20136. As noted in the table, impact fees represented 1.54% of total project cost, and permit processing fees were just 1% of total cost. This project paid an in-lieu park fee of 2%, although a fee waiver was subsequently approved for affordable housing projects. This analysis demonstrates that the combined cost of permit processing

December 10, 201321 X-103

and impact fees represent about <u>2.1</u>4% of the total cost of a typical multi-family project in the unincorporated area.

Table X-39 <u>Table 3-7</u>
Representative Multi-Family Development Cost Example

·	Total	Cost		
Item	Project Cost	per Unit ¹	% of Total	
Land & off-site improvements	2,412,246	63,480	22%	
F	\$624,750	\$39,047	9.0%	
Construction	4,785,263	125,928	44%	
	\$4,337,849	<u>\$271,116</u>	<u>62.3%</u>	
Architecture & survey	339,030	8,922	3%	
	<u>\$415,954</u>	<u>\$25,997</u>	<u>6.0%</u>	
Construction interest & legal	567,125	14,924	5%	
Construction interest & legal	\$219,631	\$13,727	3.2%	
	Ψ217,031	<u>Ψ13,727</u>	5.270	
Other soft costs (reserves, TCAC, marketing, etc.)	1,186,603	31,226	11%	
o that soft oosts (reserves) i orto, marketing, etc.)	\$700,369	\$43,773	10.1%	
Park fees	395,389 \$58,163	10,405	4%	
	<u>\$30,103</u>	<u>\$3,635</u>	0.8%	
School fees	<u>\$16,368</u>	<u>\$1,023</u>	<u>0.2%</u>	
Road fees	Not required	Not Required	Not required	
Road lees				
	400.010		0.50/	
Sanitation District fees	<u>\$32,810</u>	\$2,05 <u>1</u>	<u>0.5%</u>	
	107.000	0.000	101	
Permit processing	125,000	3,289	1%	
Developer profit	\$143,580 1,077,744	\$8,974	2.1%	
Developer profit	1,077,744	28,362	10%	
Total Costs	\$416,000 10,888,400	\$26,000 286,537	6.0%	
Tulai Cusis	\$6,858,284	\$435,343	100%	
¹ Based on 3816 units in Midway City	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	10070	
Baseu on se <u>to</u> units <u>in midway City</u>				

The May July 201020 fee update resulted in an increase decrease in the overall cost of planning and permitting services. The fees listed in Table 3-7 can be found on the County's website. Therefore the percentage of the total cost for future projects is expected to be even less than 4%.

Senate Bill 35

California Senate Bill 35 (SB 35), codified as Government Code Section 65913.41, was signed on September 29, 2017, and became effective January 1, 2018. SB 35 will automatically sunset on January 1, 2026 (Section 65913.4(m)). The intent of SB 35 is to expedite and facilitate construction of affordable

110 of 333

housing. SB 35 applies to cities and counties that have not made sufficient progress toward meeting their affordable housing goals for above moderate- and lower-income levels as mandated by the State. In an effort to meet the affordable housing goals, SB 35 requires cities and counties to streamline the review and approval of certain qualifying affordable housing projects through a ministerial process.

As of 2018, when a jurisdiction has made insufficient progress toward their above moderate income RHNA and/or have not submitted the latest Housing Element Annual Progress Report, it is subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining) for proposed developments with at least 50-percent affordability. All projects, which propose at least 50-percent affordable units are eligible for ministerial approval under SB 35 as determined by the SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary. To be eligible for SB 35 approval, sites must meet a long list of criteria, including:

- A multifamily housing development (at least two residential units) in an urbanized area;
- Located where 75% of the perimeter of the site is developed;
- Zoned or designated by the general plan for residential or mixed use residential;
- <u>In a location where the locality's share of regional housing needs has not been satisfied by building permits previously issued;</u>
- One that includes affordable housing in accordance with SB 35 requirements;
- Consistent with the local government's objective zoning and design review standards; and
- Willing to pay construction workers the state-determined "prevailing wage."

A project does not qualify for SB 35 streamline processing if located within:

- A coastal zone, conservation lands, or habitat for protected species;
- Prime farmland or farmland of statewide importance;
- Wetlands or lands under conservation easement;

- A very high fire hazard severity zone;
- Hazardous waste site;
- Earthquake fault zone;
- Flood plain or floodway;
- A site with existing multi-family housing that has been occupied by tenants in the last ten years or is subject to rent control; or
- A site with existing affordable housing.

According to State HCD's SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary (based on APR data received as of June 25, 2019), the County of Orange has not made sufficient progress towards its lower income RHNA and is therefore subject to SB 35. The County is subject to streamlined ministerial approval review for proposed housing developments with at least 50% affordability.

Non-Governmental Constraints

Environmental Constraints

Environmental constraints include physical features such as steep slopes, fault zones, floodplains, sensitive biological habitat, and agricultural lands. In many cases, development of these areas is constrained by state and federal laws (e.g., FEMA floodplain regulations, the Clean Water Act, the Endangered Species Act, and the state Fish and Game Code and Alquist-Priolo Act). OC Public Works and other County agencies have the responsibility to enforce County policies and ordinances regulating development on flood plains, areas of potential seismic hazard, areas of excessive slope, conservation areas, areas with inappropriate conditions for septic tanks, and other environmental issues. Large portions of the remaining unincorporated County have one or more of these constraints. These problems usually reduce the density allowed and bring into play mitigation measures and other necessary requirements, which add to the total cost of the project. OC Public Works has developed an environmental data base with an extensive mapping system that is used in conjunction with the General Plan to facilitate a timely identification of environmental hazards and resources.

The County's land use plans have been designed to protect sensitive areas from development to the extent feasible, and to protect public safety by avoiding development in hazardous areas. Significant areas of sensitive habitat in the central, coastal and southern portions of the County have been set-aside as

permanent open space through adopted Multi-species Habitat Conservation Plans. While these policies and plans constrain residential development to some extent, they are necessary to support other public policies.

Five major environmental conditions constrain development in Orange County: noise, floods, wildland fires, geologic/seismic hazards, and natural and cultural resources.

- Noise: The major sources of significant noise in Orange County are aircraft and highway vehicles. While both can usually be mitigated to acceptable levels indoors, aircraft noise cannot be mitigated outdoors because of its overhead source. State law and County policy prohibit residential development and similar noise sensitive uses in high-noise (+65 CNEL) areas near John Wayne Airport. Noise in non-residential developments must be attenuated to protect users in those areas. Near major streets and highways, noise must also be attenuated. Thus, high-noise conditions may preclude certain uses in some areas and may increase development costs.
- Flood Hazards: Portions of Orange County are located in floodplain areas of varying degrees of risk, subject to "100-" and "500-year" floods. In many cases, development can occur in these areas through proper site planning, although mitigation costs may be high. There are, however, some areas where development in a floodplain is difficult and expensive to protect a project from extreme flood hazard.
- **Fire Hazards:** The foothill areas of Orange County are considered high to very high fire hazard areas. Future development in these areas must minimize potential fire hazards and adequate fire protection must be maintained. These requirements may raise development costs, but will not preclude development.
- Geologic/Seismic Hazards: Like the entire Southern California region, Orange County is located within an area of high seismic activity. Potential slope and seismic hazards constrain development in certain parts of the County. While both conditions seldom preclude development, they may ultimately increase the cost of construction.
- Natural and Cultural Resources: The presence of natural or cultural resources on vacant land may influence its future use. For

December 10, 201321 X-107

112 of 333

example, critical habitat areas or archaeological sites may require preservation or sensitive planning. Such conditions may preclude development or increase the cost of construction.

Infrastructure Constraints

Many rapidly growing areas – especially southern portions of the County – have found it difficult to expand infrastructure fast enough to keep up with new development. Development places demands on all public services. It is the County's policy that the infrastructure for water, sewer, drainage and roads be in place before urban development is permitted. One method for controlling the pace of growth is by limiting capital investment in these facilities. Simply, if the capacity is not available, the development cannot occur. In some cases where capacity is inadequate, private developers may be required to construct the backbone infrastructure or incremental upgrades to existing facilities to serve large developments. Because facilities require huge front-end capital expenditures, some form of municipal financing may be needed.

The network of man-made and publicly owned facilities, such as roads, streets, water, drainage and sewer facilities form the internal framework, or infrastructure, of communities. The timing and pattern of installing these facilities (capital improvements) will play a part in the implementation of the County's General Plan by impacting the distribution of land uses.

Although capital facilities are built to accommodate present and anticipated needs, some (most notably water and sewer facilities, and roads) play a major role in determining the location, intensity and timing of future developments.

OC Public Works provides services on a regional basis, to unincorporated areas, and to other County agencies and departments. Chief among its regional services is providing flood protection countywide. OC Public Works operates and maintains the Orange County Flood Control District, a system of 350 miles of flood control channels, dams and other infrastructure that is continually built and expanded upon.

In lieu of considering individual projects or only those projects to be undertaken in a single year OC Public Works prepares and revises an annual Business Plan. The Business Plan projects annual expenditures for acquisition, construction, rehabilitation and replacement of public facilities, such as flood control, unincorporated roadway improvements, streetlights, traffic signals, agricultural commissioner and weights & measures programs, as well as the countywide

system of harbors, beaches and parks. Future growth in Orange County requires the delivery of adequate services to all residents in the unincorporated County.

While infrastructure imbalances have been reduced in recent years through development phasing, the use of developer agreements and other mechanisms, infrastructure shortfalls do exist and may impede the fulfillment of housing objectives. A brief summary of the principal infrastructure systems serving the County follows:

• Water: Approximately 750% of Orange County's water supply is imported into Southern California via the facilities of the Metropolitan Water District (MWD) of Southern California. MWD supplies are delivered by two principal facilities: (1) the Colorado River Aqueduct; and (2) the State Water Project California Aqueduct. The rest of Orange County's water supply comes from underground aquifers, several small groundwater basins, and recycled wastewater 9:. The second major water supply source for Orange County is the groundwater basin that primarily underlies the northern half of the County. The groundwater supply is replenished by direct rainfall and other surface water that infiltrates into the ground, rainfall within the Santa Ana River watershed, and imported water purchased from MWD and recycled water.

For Orange County, imported MWD water is purchased and distributed by five separate agencies. Together, these four MWD member agencies (MWDOC, Anaheim, Fullerton and Santa Ana) wholesale imported water to all Orange County cities, private companies and local independent and dependent water districts for storage and direct distribution to their residential and business customers. The County of Orange has fourteen water districts and seventeen cities providing potable water to its residents and businesses. All these agencies purchase water through the Municipal Water District of Orange County (MWDOC) except for the cities of Anaheim, Fullerton, and Santa Ana which purchase directed from MWD. The County itself is not a provider of water. Rather unincorporated areas are served by a variety of public and private providers.

_

⁹ Source: https://www/mwdoc.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/Water-Supply.pdf

Water supply has always been a critical issue for southern California, with local sources of water providing less than half of the area's water needs. In addition to some other resources (such as petroleum or mineral extraction), the County lacks enough water resources to meet its own needs. The direct implication of this deficiency is that the County has become more dependent on imported resources and, as a consequence, is increasingly vulnerable to actions and policies which it cannot directly influence much the same way that local jurisdictions are unable to influence the pricing and availability of imported oil supply allocations.

To lessen the constraints of insufficient local capacity to meet the County's water demand, the majority of the necessary large-scale improvements within Orange County are projects to improve existing storage reservoirs or build additional storage facilities in south Orange County. The local water conveyance system will be implemented in conjunction with development phasing to meet the delivery demands in Orange County. Since 2008, the Groundwater Replenishment System Project, developed by the OCWD and the Orange County Sanitation District (OCSD), has added 72,000 acre-feet to the groundwater basin every year. With increased water carrying capacity, this and other local and state/regional enhancements should lessen water-related constraints to new construction of housing in the Orange County market.

Sewer: The collection, treatment, and disposal of wastewater in Orange County are undertaken by special districts and local governments. The County is not a provider of sewer service. The Orange County Sanitation District collects and treats wastewater for the northern and central areas of Orange County. There are seven districts that presently serve numerous cities plus unincorporated areas within the Districts' boundaries. The Districts' facilities collect the sewage from local cities, sanitary districts, County water districts, and sewer maintenance districts. Wastewater is then transported through the Districts' trunk sewers to the two major treatment facilities located in Fountain Valley. In the South County, sanitation services are provided by the South Orange County Wastewater Authority (SOCWA). SOCWA has ten member agencies and owns or operates four treatment facilities. The authority for sewer services in unincorporated areas not served by sanitary or water districts rests with the County Board of

Supervisors. The funding for sewer services is provided by property taxes, augmentation funds, user fees, or investment income from reserves.

With these complex, coordinated infrastructure systems, the ability of the various special sewer districts to expand treatment capacities in an incremental fashion, as well as to construct new facilities where needed, has facilitated its rapid growth.

Most wastewater management agencies have long-range plans to address needed plant expansion/upgrading, based on anticipated population growth within their service areas. As a result of these, and comprehensive water supply studies such as the County Water Plan, the County's wastewater/sewage infrastructure should not serve as a direct constraint to the production of housing to meet the anticipated demand from population growth.

- Flood Control: Orange County's flood control effort is divided among three major areas: Tri-County system (San Bernardino, Riverside and Orange Counties), regional system, and local drainage program. With respect to the regional system, the Orange County Flood Control District (OCFCD) is empowered to construct and maintain flood control works to prevent or minimize loss of life and property caused by flooding, and for water conservation. In the County's local drainage program, the storm drains are normally smaller facilities that collect drainage from local streets. In new developments, local drainage facilities are constructed by developers in accordance with master plans of drainage. In many older parts of Orange County, however, local systems were not built due to lack of major systems to accept their discharge. Limited funding from the County's General Fund or the Road Fund is used to implement local storm drains.
 - OC Public Works Flood Control Division, is responsible for implementing the Flood Control District's funded activities program, which includes the design, construction, operation, and maintenance of regional flood control facilities.
 Flood Control District revenue is obtained mainly from property taxes. The amount of Flood Control District revenue derived from property taxes is based on the average percentage received during the

December 10, 201321 X-111

116 of 333

three years prior to the passage of Proposition 13, plus a proportionate share of the subsequent tax base growth. A main charge of the OC Public Works Flood Division is providing flood protection countywide. The Santa Ana River Project is the largest and most expensive such project. During 1999, for example, construction was completed on the Seven Oaks Dam and channel improvements throughout Orange County. These improvements now provide protection from flooding up to the 100-year magnitude for most of Orange County residents and businesses.

While not a direct constraint, the continued monitoring and implementation of the 1987 Clean Water Act will help guide new development, steering it away from areas that may be susceptible to flood damage. The County's available flood control infrastructure, along with continued flood plain management and successive implementation of the Flood Control District's master plan, should minimize any undue constraints to the production of affordable and/or market rate housing in the County.

Land Costs

Land represents one of the most significant components of the cost of new housing. Land values fluctuate with market conditions and the recent downturn in the housing market has affected land values negatively. Changes in land prices reflect the cyclical nature of the residential real estate market, and home prices and land values have begun to recover from the lows seen during 2008–2011 experienced an increase in 2020 compared to previous years.

Land costs can also pose a significant constraint to the development of affordable and moderate-income housing and represents a significant cost component in residential development. Land costs may vary depending on whether the site is vacant or has an existing use that must be removed. Similarly, site constraints such as environmental issues (e.g. soil stability, seismic hazards, flooding) can also be factored into the cost of land. A July 2021 Zillow search for lots returned a number of properties ranging from \$20 million for 35.96 acres east of Brea, \$10,500,000 for 6,534 square feet in Emerald Bay, to \$75,999 for 0.81 acres in Silverado Canyon. The average cost of land in Unincorporated Orange County is \$20.89 per square feet. The cost of land directly influences the cost of housing. The holding cost of land during construction also adds to the price of housing. Holding

costs vary depending on interest rates for acquisition and development loans. which currently run 2% to 4% above the prime rate. Interest rates are beyond the control of local jurisdictions. Reducing processing times for building permits in most jurisdictions can lessen land holding costs. However, the County's processing times are already among the most efficient in Orange County, and to reduce them further may compromise the County's ability to protect public health and safety.

Other factors affecting the costs of land include overall availability of developable lots within a given sub-region; environmental site conditions and constraints; public service and infrastructure availability; aesthetic considerations such as views, terrain and vegetation; the proximity to urban areas; parcel size; and housing inventory. As a result of the proliferation of short-term rentals in the community. the County adopted the short-term regulations with the Comprehensive Zoning Code Update, which was adopted in July 2020. Since its inception to September 2021, 20 short-term rental permits have been approved, and 17 are in review; subsequently, the short-term rental regulations have a minimal impact on the cost and supply of housing.

Most importantly, land availability and permitted development density determine land prices. As land becomes scarcer, the price of land increases. And in related fashion, land prices have a positive correlation with the number of units permitted on each lot – that is, the more units permitted under General Plan land use guidelines, the higher the value of that land. In addition, more remote areas generally have less expensive (and larger tracts) of land, while smaller, more expensive parcels are typically located closer to urbanized areas. The County cannot control land prices, as they are set in the marketplace and are governed by such factors as speculation, demand, supply, and location.

Construction Costs

Construction costs vary widely according to the type of development, with multifamily housing generally less expensive to construct than single-family homes on a square-foot and per unit basis. However, there is variation within each construction type, depending unit size and the quantity and quality of amenities provided. One indicator of construction costs is Building Valuation Data compiled by the International Code Council (ICC). The ICC was established in 1994 with the goal of developing a single set of national model construction codes, known as the International Codes or I-Codes. The ICC updates the estimated cost of construction at six-month intervals and provides estimates for the average cost of labor and materials for typical Type VA protected wood frame housing, which is commonly used in the construction of newer apartment buildings with no exposed wood visible. Estimates are based on "good-quality"

construction, providing for materials and fixtures well above the minimum required by state and local building codes.

In August 2020, the ICC estimated the average per square foot cost for goodquality housing was approximately \$118.57 for multi-family housing, \$131.24 for single-family homes, and \$148.44 for residential care/assisted living facilities. Construction costs for custom homes and units with extra amenities are higher. Construction costs are dependent upon materials used and building height, as well as regulations set by the County's adopted Zoning Code, Building Code and Fire Code. For example, according to the ICC, constructing an accessory dwelling unit (ADU) or converting a garage space for residential use using a Type VB unprotected wood framed housing, which often has exposed wood so there is no fire resistance, would cost about \$123.68 per square foot. Although construction costs are a significant portion of the overall development cost, they are consistent throughout the region and, when considering land costs, are not considered a major constraint to housing production in Orange County. The cost of construction depends primarily on the cost of materials and labor, which are influenced by market demand. The cost of construction will also depend on the type of unit being built and on the quality of product being produced. Labor saving materials and construction techniques are available but tend to reduce the quality of the finished product. The cost of labor is based on a number of factors, including housing demand, the number of contractors in an area and the unionization of workers. Labor costs are generally two to three times the cost of materials. Thus, the cost of labor represents an estimated 17% to 20% of the cost of building a unit, which is a substantial portion of the overall cost of construction. Most residential construction in Orange County is performed with non-union contractors, and as a result, labor costs are responsive to changes in the residential market.

The construction cost of housing affects the affordability of new housing and is considered a constraint to affordable housing in the Orange County area. A reduction in the construction costs can be brought about in several ways. One such method is a reduction in amenities and quality of building materials in new homes (still above the minimum acceptability for health, safety, and adequate performance), which may result in lower sales prices. State Housing Law provides that local building departments can authorize the use of materials and construction methods if the proposed design is found to be satisfactory and the materials or methods are at least equivalent to that prescribed by the applicable building codes.

Cost and Availability of Financing

The availability of financing affects a person's ability to purchase or improve a home. The availability of financing in a community depends on a number of

factors, including the type of lending institutions active in a community, lending practices, rates and fees charged, laws and regulations governing financial institutions, and equal access to such loans. Under the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA), lending institutions are required to disclose information on the disposition of loan applications and the income, gender, and race of loan applicants. Lending activity is reviewed to determine if home financing is made available to all residents of a community. Data related to the disposition of loan applications submitted to financial institutions for home purchase, home improvement, and refinancing in the Anaheim-Santa Ana-Irvine Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA)/Metropolitan Division (MD) is discussed below in **Table 3-8**.

<u>Table 3-8</u>
<u>Disposition of Loan Applications by Race/Ethnicity– Anaheim-Santa Ana-Irvine MSA/MD</u>

Applications by Race/Ethnicity	Percent Approved	Percent Denied	Percent Other	Total (Count)	
LESS THAN 50% OF MSA/MD MEDIAN					
American Indian and Alaska Native	<u>26.2%</u>	<u>52.3%</u>	<u>23.1%</u>	<u>65</u>	
<u>Asian</u>	<u>33.9%</u>	<u>42.5%</u>	<u>26.7%</u>	<u>1,382</u>	
Black or African American	<u>41.6%</u>	<u>33.7%</u>	<u>25.8%</u>	<u>89</u>	
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	<u>25.0%</u>	44.2%	<u>30.8%</u>	<u>52</u>	
<u>White</u>	<u>45.6%</u>	<u>31.2%</u>	<u>26.1%</u>	<u>5,240</u>	
Hispanic or Latino	<u>37.9%</u>	<u>38.2%</u>	<u>26.8%</u>	<u>1,566</u>	
50-79% OF MSA/MD MEDIAN					
American Indian and Alaska Native	<u>38.1%</u>	<u>34.0%</u>	<u>29.9%</u>	<u>97</u>	
<u>Asian</u>	<u>53.3%</u>	<u>25.3%</u>	<u>29.4%</u>	<u>3,153</u>	
Black or African American	<u>43.4%</u>	<u>19.1%</u>	<u>41.4%</u>	<u>152</u>	
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	<u>49.4%</u>	<u>39.8%</u>	<u>16.9%</u>	<u>83</u>	
<u>White</u>	<u>54.5%</u>	<u>23.3%</u>	<u>27.6%</u>	8,677	
Hispanic or Latino	<u>47.6%</u>	<u>27.7%</u>	<u>29.3%</u>	<u>3,245</u>	
80-99% OF MSA/MD MEDIAN					
American Indian and Alaska Native	<u>51.4%</u>	<u>25.7%</u>	<u>31.4%</u>	<u>35</u>	
<u>Asian</u>	<u>59.5%</u>	<u>19.2%</u>	<u>29.3%</u>	<u>1,495</u>	
Black or African American	<u>52.9%</u>	<u>22.1%</u>	30.9%	<u>68</u>	
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	<u>43.5%</u>	<u>13.0%</u>	43.5%	<u>23</u>	
White	<u>61.9%</u>	<u>17.2%</u>	<u>26.1%</u>	<u>3,873</u>	
Hispanic or Latino	<u>54.0%</u>	<u>21.4%</u>	<u>29.1%</u>	<u>1,347</u>	
100-119% OF MSA/MD MEDIAN					
American Indian and Alaska Native	<u>48.9%</u>	22.7%	<u>29.5%</u>	<u>88</u>	

Applications by Race/Ethnicity	Percent Approved	Percent Denied	Percent Other	Total (Count)
<u>Asian</u>	<u>62.3%</u>	<u>15.6%</u>	<u>28.8%</u>	4,820
Black or African American	<u>55.6%</u>	<u>20.1%</u>	<u>28.6%</u>	<u>234</u>
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	<u>49.4%</u>	<u>27.6%</u>	<u>31.0%</u>	<u>87</u>
<u>White</u>	<u>66.2%</u>	<u>13.8%</u>	<u>25.1%</u>	<u>12,607</u>
Hispanic or Latino	<u>60.8%</u>	<u>16.4%</u>	<u>26.8%</u>	3,398
120% OR MORE OF MSA/MD MEDIAN				
American Indian and Alaska Native	<u>59.2%</u>	<u>13.0%</u>	<u>32.0%</u>	<u>169</u>
<u>Asian</u>	<u>62.8%</u>	<u>12.9%</u>	<u>29.0%</u>	<u>17,800</u>
Black or African American	<u>57.7%</u>	<u>17.3%</u>	<u>27.2%</u>	<u>624</u>
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	<u>64.2%</u>	<u>11.4%</u>	<u>26.8%</u>	<u>254</u>
<u>White</u>	<u>68.3%</u>	<u>11.3%</u>	<u>24.9%</u>	<u>49,811</u>
Hispanic or Latino	<u>64.6%</u>	<u>13.3%</u>	<u>26.7%</u>	<u>6,095</u>
Source: Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, Disposition of loan applications, by Ethnicity/Race of applicant, 2019.				

Table 3-8 shows the disposition of loan applications for the County of Orange, per the 2019 Home Mortgage Discloser Act report from the Consumer Finance Protection Bureau. According to the data, above-moderate applicants in the 120% median income or more had the highest rates of loans approved. Of that income category, applicants who reported white had the highest percentage of approval and the number of applications. Very-low income applicants in the less than 50% of the MSA/MD median income categories showed higher percentages of denied loans than loans originated. According to the data, applicants who reported white were, on average, more likely to be approved for a loan than another race or ethnicity.

The crisis in the mortgage industry that began in 2007 08 affected the availability and cost of real estate loans. The credit "crunch" resulted when "sub-prime" lenders made it possible for low-income families or others who could not qualify for standard mortgages to become home owners even though they might not have had the credit history and income to support repayment of the loans. The problem typically occurs with adjustable rate mortgages (ARMs) after the initial fixed interest rate period expires (often three years) and the interest rate converts to market. Because ARMs often offer "teaser" initial interest rates well below market for the first few years, monthly payments may increase by several hundred dollars when the loan converts to market rate. When property values were increasing, as was the case from 2000 to 2006, homeowners had the option of refinancing to a new loan when the initial rate expired. However, when property

values declined, homeowners often owed more than the resale value of their home, making refinancing impossible. As a result of these conditions, there was a significant rise in foreclosure rates, and changes in mortgage underwriting standards have had greater impacts on low-income families than other segments of the community.

Interest rates at the present time are at historic lows (below 4% for 30-year fixedrate loans) and help to make for-sale housing more affordable. Financing for both construction and long-term mortgages is generally available in Orange County, although subject to more strict underwriting standards. A more critical impediment to home ownership involves both the affordability of the housing stock and the ability of potential buyers to fulfill down payment requirements. Conventional homes typically require 5% to 20% of the sales price as a down payment, which is the largest constraint to first-time homebuyers. This indicates a need for flexible loan programs and a method to bridge the gap between the down payment and a potential homeowner's available funds. The availability of financing for developers under current economic conditions may also pose a constraint on development outside of the County's control. However, the County offers assistance to first-time homebuyers. For example, the County's Mortgage Assistance Program (MAP) provides silent-second loans to assist low-income first-time homebuyers, with annual incomes not exceeding 80% of the Area Median Income. In addition, the County's Mortgage Credit Certificate (MCC) program is a Federal Income Tax Credit program that increases the loan amount that first-time homebuyers can qualify for and increases take-home pay.

AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHERING FAIR HOUSING 4.

AB 686 established new requirements for all California jurisdictions to ensure that local laws, programs, and activities affirmatively further fair housing. All Housing Elements due on or after January 1, 2021 must contain an Assessment of Fair Housing (AFH) consistent with the core elements of the analysis required by the federal Affirmatively Further Fair Housing Final Rule of April 23, 2020.

Fair housing is a condition in which individuals of similar income levels in the same housing market have like ranges of choice available to them regardless of race, color, ancestry, national origin, age, religion, sex, disability, marital status, familial status, source of income, sexual orientation, or any other arbitrary factor. Under State law, affirmatively further fair housing means "taking meaningful actions, in addition to combatting discrimination, that overcome patterns of

December 10, 201321 X-117

122 of 333

segregation and foster inclusive communities free from barriers that restrict access to opportunity based on protected characteristics. These characteristics can include, but are not limited to race, religion, sex, marital status, ancestry, national origin, color, familiar status, or disability.

Fair Housing

State law also prohibits discrimination in the development process or in real property transactions, and it is the County's policy to uphold the law in this regard. The Fair Housing Council of Orange County (FHCOC), a private non-profit fair housing group, is under contract to administer a wide variety of fair housing services to residents of Orange County. These services include:

- Serving as a fair housing resource for the region, including implementation of an affirmative fair housing marketing plan, testing, and complaint verification;
- Responding to all citizen complaints regarding violation of fair housing laws;
- Providing tenant-landlord counseling to all inquiring citizens;
- Promoting community awareness of tenant-landlord rights and responsibilities;
- Reporting monthly on complaint processing; and
- Providing fair housing education to residents, County staff, community organizations, agencies, and service providers.
- <u>Increasing the supply of affordable housing in high opportunity areas;</u>
- Preventing displacement of low- and moderate-income residents, seniors, and people with disabilities;
- Increasing community integration for persons with disabilities;
- Ensuring equal access to housing for persons with protected characteristics, who are disproportionately likely to be lower-income and to experience homelessness; and
- Expanding access to opportunities for protected classes.

Needs Assessment

On June 23, 2020, the Board of Supervisors approved the FY 2020-24 County of Orange Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing. The purpose of this document is to affirmatively further fair housing opportunities, and is required for communities that administer federal programs, such as Community Development Block Grant, Emergency Solution Grant, and HOME.

The County of Orange Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice (AI) is a thorough examination of structural barriers to fair housing choice and access to opportunity for members of historically marginalized groups protected from discrimination by the federal Fair Housing Act (FHA). The AI also outlines fair housing priorities and goals to overcome fair housing issues. In addition, the County of Orange AI lays out meaningful strategies that can be implemented to achieve progress towards the County's obligation to affirmatively furthering fair housing.

Outreach

The Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights Under Law (Lawyers' Committee), in consultation with Orange County jurisdictions and with input from a wide range of stakeholders through a community participation process, prepared the AI. Stakeholders included tenants, landlords, homeowners, fair housing organizations, civil rights and advocacy organizations, legal and social services providers, housing developers, and industry groups. The Lawyers' Committee met with stakeholders throughout the County, organized community meetings, and held focus group meetings with nonprofit organizations and government officials.

Fair Housing Issues

As required by federal regulations, the AI draws from various federal, state and local sources to conduct an analysis of fair housing issues such as patterns of integration and segregation of members of protected classes, racially or ethnically concentrated areas of poverty regionally, disparities in access to opportunity for protected classes, and disproportionate housing needs.

The AI discusses two impediment categories: public and private sector impediments, which include housing discrimination, advertising, reasonable modifications and accommodations, hate crimes, real estate and lending practices, as well as zoning regulations. Additionally, the AI identifies the actions that will be undertaken by each jurisdiction to overcome the public and private sector impediments. The analysis also examines publicly supported housing in each city

December 10, 201321 X-119

124 of 333

as well as fair housing issues for persons with disabilities. Private and public fair housing enforcement, outreach capacity, and resources are evaluated as well. The AI identifies contributing factors to fair housing issues and steps to be taken by each jurisdiction to overcome these barriers.

Lending Practices

<u>Table 3-8 displays the disposition of loan applications for the Anaheim-Santa Ana-Irvine MSA/MD</u>, per the 2019 Home Mortgage Disclosure Act report. Key findings, as shown in the table, include:

- Very low-income applicants (less than 50 percent of the MSA/MD median income) are more likely to have a loan application denied. The highest rates of denial were amongst those who identify as American Indian/Alaska Native (52.3 percent) and Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (44.2 percent).
- Above moderate-income applicants (at least 120 percent of the MSA/MD median income) experienced the highest rates of loan approvals. Within this income category, those who identify as White had the lowest percentage of denied applications (11.3 percent), while those who identify as Black or African American had the highest percentage of denials (17.3 percent).
- Across all income categories, applicants who identified as White had the highest rates of loan approvals.
- Overall, applicants who identified as White made the majority of all loan applications, followed by applicants who identified as Asian, then Hispanic, or Latino.

Analysis of Federal, State, and Local Data and Local Knowledge Summary of Local Knowledge Analysis

As a part of the Housing Element, the County considers protected class (such as race, ethnicity, income, etc.) and opportunity indicators as key factors in fair housing. Federal, state and local data provide regional context, background information and supportive data which helps the County to understand fair housing issues and to identify key fair housing factors for Orange County. The section below uses available data to identify key trends and local contributing factors to fair housing.

Integration and Segregation Patterns and Trends

The dissimilarity index is the most commonly used measure of segregation between two groups, reflecting their relative distributions across neighborhoods (as defined by census tracts). The index represents the percentage of the minority

group that would have to move to new neighborhoods to achieve perfect integration of that group. An index score can range in value from 0 percent, indicating complete integration, to 100%, indicating complete segregation. An index number above 60 is considered to show high similarity and a segregated community.

It is important to note that segregation is a complex topic, difficult to generalize, and is influenced by many factors. Individual choices can be a cause of segregation, with some residents choosing to live among people of their own race or ethnic group. For instance, recent immigrants often depend on nearby relatives, friends, and ethnic institutions to help them adjust to a new country. Alternatively, when White residents leave neighborhoods that become more diverse, those neighborhoods can become segregated. Other factors, including housing market dynamics, availability of lending to different ethnic groups, availability of affordable housing, and discrimination can also cause residential segregation.

Figure 4-1 shows the dissimilarity between each of the identified race and ethnic groups and Orange County's White population. The higher scores indicate higher levels of segregation amongst those race and ethnic groups. The White population within Orange County makes up most of the County's population with approximately 61 percent identifying as White alone (non-Hispanic or Latino) according to 2019 American Community Survey (ACS) 5-Year estimates.

Those who identify as Hispanic and those who identify as Native Hawaiian had the highest scores (58.9 and 55.9, respectively). Additionally, those who identify as Asian and Black also had high dissimilarity scores with 44.1 and 43.8, respectively. Those scores correlate directly with the percentage of people within that racial or ethnic group that would need to move into a predominantly White census tract to achieve a more integrated community. For instance, 58.9 percent of the Hispanic population would need to move into a predominantly White census tract to achieve "perfect" integration.

The Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) considers dissimilarity index scores above 30 as moderate segregation and scores above 60 high segregation. While the County has no racial or ethnic populations with a dissimilarity index above 60, all populations aside from those identifying as two or more races have a score above 30. This means almost all groups experience moderate segregation from the White population. While segregation may be a result of ethnic enclaves or persons of similar cultures living nearby, there is often increased likelihood that segregated areas have fewer access to essential

December 10, 201321 X-121

126 of 333

resources. As part of the County's efforts to further fair housing, the County will consider increased targeted outreach to the County's minority residents.

Figure 4-1 Dissimilarity Index with White Population in Orange County Hispanic 58.9 Two or More Races Other 44.7 Native Hawaiian 55.9 Asian American Indian 40.3 Black 43.8 0 10 20 30 40 50 70 60

Source: Census Scope, Social Science Data Analysis Network

Racially or Ethnically Concentrated Areas of Poverty (R/ECAP)

To assist communities in identifying racially/ethnically concentrated areas of poverty (R/ECAPs), HUD has developed a census tract-based definition of R/ECAPs. The definition involves a racial/ethnic concentration threshold and a poverty test. The racial/ethnic concentration threshold is straightforward:

R/ECAPs must have a non-white population of 50 percent or more. Regarding the poverty threshold, AFFHI Documentation (June 2013) defines neighborhoods of extreme poverty as census tracts with 40 percent or more of individuals living at or below the poverty line. Because overall poverty levels are substantially lower in many parts of the country, HUD supplements this with an alternate criterion. Thus, a neighborhood can be a R/ECAP if it has a poverty rate that exceeds 40 percent or is three or more times the average tract poverty rate for the metropolitan/micropolitan area, whichever threshold is lower.

128 of 333

Location of residence can have a substantial effect on mental and physical health, education opportunities, and economic opportunities. Urban areas that are more residentially segregated by race and income tend to have lower levels of upward economic mobility than other areas. Research has found that racial inequality is thus amplified by residential segregation. However, these areas may also provide different opportunities, such as ethnic enclaves providing proximity to centers of cultural significance, or business, social networks and communities to help immigrants preserve cultural identify and establish themselves in new places.

Overall, it is important to study and identify these areas to understand patterns of segregation and poverty in the County.

Figure 4-2 below displays the R/ECAP analysis of the Orange County area. The figure shows there are five pockets of racially or ethnically concentrated areas of poverty in the northern and central areas of Orange County. There are also R/ECAPs in neighboring communities to the north and to the west. The County is committed to increasing housing mobility throughout Orange County and the region. This Housing Element outlines housing opportunities, affordable housing, and fair housing strategies to increase opportunities to all households.




Figure 4-2
R/ECAP Areas in Orange County

Source: HUD Affirmitaevly Furthering Fair Housing Data and Mapping Tool, Data Versions: AFFHT0006, July 10, 2014

December 10, 201321 X-124

Disparities in Access to Opportunity

REGIONAL OPPORTUNITY INDEX (ROI)

The UC Davis Center for Regional Change and Rabobank partnered to develop the Regional Opportunity Index (ROI) intended to help communities understand local social and economic opportunities. The goal of the ROI is to help target resources and policies toward people and places with the greatest need to foster thriving communities. The ROI incorporates both "people" and "place" components, integrating economic, infrastructure, environmental, and social indicators into a comprehensive assessment of the factors driving opportunity."

The ROI: People is a relative measure of people's assets in education, the economy, housing, mobility/transportation, health/environment, and civic life as follows:

- Educational Opportunity: Assesses people's relative success in gaining educational assets, in the form of a higher education, elementary school achievement, and regular elementary school attendance.
- Economic Opportunity: Measures the relative economic well-being of the people in a community, in the form of employment and income level.
- Housing Opportunity: Measures the relative residential stability of a community, in the form of homeownership and housing costs.
- <u>Mobility/Transportation Opportunity</u>: Contains indicators that assess a community's relative opportunities for overcoming rural isolation.
- Health/Environmental Opportunity: Measures the relative health outcomes of the people within a community, in the form of infant and teen health and general health.
- <u>Civic Life Opportunity:</u> A relative social and political engagement of an area, in the form of households that speak English and voter turnout.

The ROI: Place is a relative measure of an area's assets in education, the economy, housing, mobility/transportation, health/environment, and civic life.

- Education Opportunity: Assesses a census tract's relative ability to provide educational opportunity, in the form of high-quality schools that meet the basic educational and social needs of the population.
- Economic Opportunity: Measures the relative economic climate of a community, in the form of access to employment and business climate.
- <u>Housing Opportunity</u>: Measures relative availability of housing in a community, in the form of housing sufficiency and housing affordability.

- Health/Environment Opportunity: A relative measure of how well communities meet the health needs of their constituents, in the form of access to health care and other health-related environments.
- **Civic Life Opportunity**: Measures the relative social and political stability of an area, in the form of neighborhood stability (living in same residence for one year) and US citizenship.

As the figures show, the majority of Orange County is classified as high opportunity zones with pockets of low opportunity in the north central area. This indicates generally high levels of relative opportunities that people can achieve as well as high levels of relative opportunities that the County provides. Table 4-1 below identifies the County's overall opportunity indicators compared to the State. The data shows the following key findings:

- The County has higher rates of college educated adults, high school graduates, and UC/CSU eligible students.
- Orange County residents experience higher employment rates and minimum basic income rates than the State. The County has a higher job availability rate and higher job quality.
- Orange County has a higher home ownership rate, but the cost housing affordability rate is lower than the State.
- Commute times are higher in Orange County, but County residents have higher access to vehicles.
- Overall health and environmental opportunities are comparable to the State. However, Orange County has higher access to prenatal care and health care availability.
- Orange County has comparable voting rates as the State, but Orange County residents have lower English-speaking rates and lower citizenship rates.

Table 4-1 **Local and State Regional Opportunity Indicators** for Place and People

ROI Indicator		Orange County	<u>California</u>	
	<u>People</u>			
E	College Educated Adults	<u>44%</u>	<u>38%</u>	
Education	Math Proficiency	<u>77%</u>	<u>70%</u>	
Ed	English Proficiency	<u>72%</u>	<u>65%</u>	
	Elementary Truancy	<u>16%</u>	<u>24%</u>	

December 10, 201321 X-126 131 of 333

	ROI Indicator	Orange County	<u>California</u>			
<u>Place</u>						
	High School Graduation Rate	92%	<u>83%</u>			
	UC/CSU Eligibility	48%	<u>41%</u>			
	Teacher Experience	<u>54%</u>	<u>36%</u>			
	High School Discipline Rate	<u>4%</u>	<u>6%</u>			
	<u>People</u>					
	Employment Rate	<u>92%</u>	<u>89%</u>			
	Minimum Basic Income	<u>70%</u>	<u>64%</u>			
Economic	<u>Place</u>					
Econ	Job Availability	<u>859.93</u>	<u>701.75</u>			
	Job Quality	<u>42%</u>	<u>40%</u>			
	Job Growth	<u>2%</u>	<u>3%</u>			
	Bank Accessibility	0.27	0.24			
	<u>People</u>					
	Home Ownership	<u>58%</u>	<u>55%</u>			
Housing	Housing Cost Burden	<u>52%</u>	<u>52%</u>			
된	Place					
	Housing Advocacy	<u>89%</u>	<u>91%</u>			
	Housing Affordability	<u>0.16</u>	<u>0.19</u>			
	<u>People</u>					
Mobility	Vehicle Availability	<u>90%</u>	<u>86%</u>			
Mok	Commute Time	<u>61%</u>	<u>60%</u>			
	Internet Access	<u>4.70</u>	<u>4</u>			
	<u>People</u>					
	Infant Health	<u>95%</u>	<u>95%</u>			
nent	Birth to Teens	<u>5%</u>	<u>7%</u>			
ironn	Years of Life Lost	<u>23.37</u>	<u>29.84</u>			
d En	<u>Place</u>					
Health and Environment	Air Quality	<u>10.44</u>	<u>10.01</u>			
Hea	Prenatal Care	<u>90%</u>	<u>83%</u>			
	Access to Supermarket	<u>53%</u>	<u>53%</u>			
	Health Care Availability	<u>2.28</u>	<u>1.76</u>			


December 10, 2013<u>21</u>

	ROI Indicator	Orange County	<u>California</u>		
	<u>People</u>				
	Voting Rates	<u>31%</u>	<u>31%</u>		
Life	English Speakers	<u>87%</u>	<u>88%</u>		
Civic Life	<u>Place</u>				
	US Citizenship	<u>81%</u>	<u>83%</u>		
	Neighborhood Stability	<u>85%</u>	<u>85%</u>		

Source: UC Davis Center for Regional Change and Rabobank, 2014.


December 10, 201321 X-128

Figure 4-3
Regional Opportunity Index: People, 2014



Source: UC Davis Center for Regional Change and Rabobank, 2014

Figure 4-4
Regional Opportunity Index: Place, 2014



Source: UC Davis Center for Regional Change and Rabobank, 2014

CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE - TCAC/HCD

State HCD together with the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (TCAC) established the California Fair Housing Task Force to provide research, evidence-based policy recommendations, and other strategic recommendations to State HCD and other related state agencies/departments to further the fair housing goals (as defined by State HCD). The Task force developed the TCAC/HCD opportunity Area Maps to understand how public and private resources are spatially distributed. The Task force defines opportunities as pathways to better lives, including health, education, and employment. Overall, opportunity maps are intended to display which areas, according to research, offer low-income children and adults the best chance at economic advancement, high educational attainment, and good physical and mental health.

According to the Task Force's methodology, the tool allocates 20% of the tracts in each region with the highest relative index scores to the "Highest Resource" designation and the next 20% to the "High Resource" designation. Each region then ends up with 40% of its total tracts as "Highest" or "High" resource. These two categories are intended to help State decision-makers identify tracts within each region that the research suggests low-income families are most likely to thrive, and where they typically do not have the option to live—but might, if given the choice. As shown in Figure 4-4a below, Orange County has large pockets of low resource and high segregation and poverty areas surrounded by moderate to high resource communities. The Cities of Anaheim, Santa Ana, Westminster, Lake Forest, and San Juan Capistrano have concentrations of low resources areas. The high segregation and poverty areas are mostly found in the north-central region of the County. The County is committed to exploring programs and methods of increasing housing access and opportunity to both existing residents, future residents, and households in nearby areas.

December 10, 201321 X-131

136 of 333




Figure 4-4a
Regional Opportunity Index: Place, 2014

Source: California Tax Credit Allocation Committee and Department of Housing and Community Development, 2021.

December 10, 201321 X-132

ACCESS TO TRANSIT

AllTransit, which is an online database that tracks connectivity, access, and frequency in America, explores metrics that reveal the social and economic impact of transit, specifically looking at connectivity, access to jobs, and frequency of service. According to the data provided in **Table 4-2**, the County scored a 4.2 AllTransit performance score, illustrating a moderate access to public transit to commute to work. Access to transportation increases both economic and environmental/health opportunities. As **Figure 4-5** shows, the north-western portion of the county is well connected. The eastern region is made up of mountainous area which decreases accessibility; however, there is a lack of connectivity in the southern region of Orange County.

<u>Table 4-2</u> <u>Transit Indicators by Region, Orange County, 2021</u>

<u>Jurisdiction</u>	AllTransit Performance Score	Transit Trips Per Week within ½ Mile	Jobs Accessible in 30-Min Trip	Commuters Who Use Transit	Transit Routes Within ½ Mile
Orange County	<u>4.2</u>	<u>528</u>	<u>172,595</u>	<u>2.28%</u>	<u>4</u>

Source: AllTransit, American Community Survey, 2019.




Figure 4-5
AllTransit Performance Score – Orange County

Source: AllTransit Metrics, ACS 2019

ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE

The California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) developed a screening methodology to help identify California communities disproportionately burdened by multiple sources of pollution called the California Communities Environmental Health Screening Tool (CalEnviro Screen). In addition to environmental factors (pollutant exposure, groundwater threats, toxic sites, and hazardous materials exposure) and sensitive receptors (seniors, children, persons with asthma, and low birth weight infants), CalEnviro Screen also takes into consideration socioeconomic factors. These factors include educational attainment, linguistic isolation, poverty, and unemployment. Research has shown a heightened vulnerability of people of color and lower socioeconomic status to environmental pollutants.

The CalEnviro Model is made up of a suite of 20 statewide indicators of pollution burden and population characteristics associated with increased vulnerability to pollution's health effects. The model identifies areas of health risk by conducting the following:

- <u>Uses a weighted scoring system to derive average pollution burden and population</u> characteristics scores for each census tract.
- Comparing these scores for a given census tract to the other tracts in the state by multiplying the pollution burden and population characteristics components together.
- The final CalEnviroScreen score measures the relative pollution burdens and vulnerabilities in one census tract compared to others and is not a measure of health risk.

Figure 4-6 shows the central and northern region of the County are generally high scoring. The majority of the southern area of the county is low scoring and has low pollution burdens. Overall, high scores signify high pollution burdens and high exposure to harmful pollutants, specifically for residents in low-income census tracts. Low-income residents, or areas with higher percentages of low-income households are often disproportionately affected by poor environmental quality. Providing housing options near essential resources and economic opportunity/jobs can decrease overall vehicle miles travelled (VMT), which in many cases is related to air quality. Additionally, the County may work with developers to implement and increase the use of environmentally friendly materials and strategies.

Figure 4-7 shows Parcel 6059087805 is generally high scoring with a CalEnviroScreen3.0 percentile of 80 - 85 percent. Most of this census tract is located within the Cities of Stanton and Anaheim, but there is an unincorporated area at the Northwest corner of Magnolia Avenue at Katella Avenue. This tract has a Pollution Burden Percentile of 85. Pollution Burden scores are derived from the average percentiles of the seven Exposure indicators (ozone and PM2.5 concentrations, diesel PM emissions, drinking water contaminants, pesticide use, toxic releases from facilities, and traffic density) and the five Environmental Effects indicators (cleanup sites, impaired water bodies, groundwater threats, hazardous waste facilities and generators, and solid waste sites and facilities).

For the seven Exposures indicators and the five Environmental Effects indicators, the tract scored 53 in ozone, 66 in PM 2.5 (air quality), 34 in diesel, 67 in pesticides, 94 in toxic releases, 69 in traffic, 37 in drinking water, 82 in cleanups, 43 in groundwater threats, 83 in hazardous waste, 0 in impaired water, and 33 in solid waste. This tract contains 17 percent Children under 10 years in age. The average in California census tracts is 13 percent. The tract also contains 7 percent Elderly over 65. The average in California census tracts is 12 percent.

Figure 4-8 shows census tract 6059087806 is generally high scoring with a CalEnviroScreen3.0 percentile of 75 - 80 percent. Most of this census tract is located within the Cities of Stanton and Anaheim, but there is an unincorporated area South of Cerritos Avenue, West of Gilbert Street, and North of Katella Avenue. This tract has a Pollution Burden Percentile of 54. For the seven Exposures indicators and the five Environmental Effects indicators, the tract scored 53 in ozone, 66 in PM 2.5 (air quality), 34 in diesel, 38 in pesticides, 95 in toxic releases, 66 in traffic, 37 in drinking water, 13 in cleanups, 52 in groundwater threats, 31 in hazardous waste, 0 in impaired water, and 0 in solid waste. This tract contains 17 percent Children under 10 years in age. The tract also contains 7 percent Elderly over 65.

Figure 4-9 shows that Parcel 6059052404 is indicated as High Population, Low Population with a total population of 549. This tract has a Pollution Burden Percentile of 98. For the seven Exposures indicators and the five Environmental Effects indicators, the tract scored 65 in ozone, 33 in PM 2.5 (air quality), 63 in diesel, 95 in pesticides, 87 in toxic releases, 64 in traffic, 39 in drinking water, 74 in cleanups, 85 in groundwater threats, 88 in hazardous waste, 41 in impaired water, and 84 in solid waste. This tract contains 0 percent Children under 10 years in age. The tract also contains 0 percent Elderly over 65.





Figure 4-6
CalEnviro Screen 3.0, Orange County

Source: CalEnviro Screen 3.0 Map Tool, June 2018 Update. Accessed July 21, 2021

Figure 4-7
CalEnviro Screen 3.0, Orange County, Parcel 6059087805

CalEnviroScreen 3.0 Results (June 2018 Update)




Web AppBuilder for Arctive of Anaheim. County of Los Angeles, Bureau of Land Management, Earl, HERE, Garmin, INCREMENT P. USGS, METUNASA, EPA, USDA I OEHH

Source: CalEnviro Screen 3.0 Map Tool, June 2018 Update. Accessed September 13, 2021

Figure 4-8 CalEnviro Screen 3.0, Orange County, Parcel 6059087806

December 10, 2013<u>21</u> X-140




CalEnviroScreen 3.0 Results (June 2018 Update)

City of Anahum, Courte of Loss Anaphine, Durne of Loss Anaphine, Burnes of Land Management, Bar, HERE, Garmen, INCREMENT P. USGS, MISTINASA, EPÄ USGA I O Source: CalEnviro Screen 3.0 Map Tool, June 2018 Update. Accessed September 13, 2021

December 10, 201321 X-141

Figure 4-9
CalEnviroScreen 3.0 Results (June 2018 Update)



Web AppBuilder for Arcold

December 10, 2013<u>21</u> X-142

Source: CalEnviro Screen 3.0 Map Tool, June 2018 Update. Accessed Septem

December 10, 201321 X-143

ber 13, 2021

Discussion of Disproportionate Housing Needs

The analysis of disproportionate housing needs within the County of Orange evaluates existing housing needs, need of the future housing population, and units within the community at-risk of converting to market-rate.

EXISTING NEEDS

The Orange County Housing Authority administers Section 8 Housing Choice vouchers within the County. According to the 2021 Annual PHA Plan, the Housing Authority has allocated 11,306 choice vouchers.

FUTURE HOUSING NEEDS IN THE COUNTY OF ORANGE

A variety of factors affect housing needs for different households. In particular, disability, income and other household characteristics are taken into consideration when proposing the type and size of housing units needed by different households, as well as accessibility of housing based on existing units in a jurisdiction. Table 4-3 through 4-9 show data for demographic characteristics of the County, as compared to the State of California. Additional detailed analysis of the community's demographics is outline in the Community Profile of this Housing Element.

Table 4-3 displays the data for persons with disabilities in the County in comparison to the State. Persons with disabilities may require different features in a home to make housing more accessible, this includes, but is not limited to, ramps rather than stairs, lower and within reach counter tops, and other specific design features. Overall, the Orange County region has lower percentages of persons with disabilities compared to the State. Persons with ambulatory difficulties (a physical and permanent disability to such a degree that the person is unable to move from place to place without the aid of a wheelchair) and independent living difficulties represented the largest percentages of persons with disabilities in the County; this is the same in for California as a whole.

Table 4-3
Population by Disability Type

<u>Disability*</u>	Orange County	<u>California</u>
Hearing Difficulty	<u>2.5%</u>	2.9%
<u>Vision Difficulty</u>	<u>1.5%</u>	<u>2%</u>
Cognitive Difficulty	<u>3.4%</u>	4.3%
Ambulatory Difficulty	4.5%	<u>5.8%</u>
Self-Care Difficulty	<u>2.2%</u>	2.6%

Independent Living Difficulty	4.3%	<u>5.5%</u>
Total with a Disability	<u>8.5%</u>	<u>10.6%</u>

^{*} Total of noninstitutionalized population with at least one disability.

Source: American Community Survey, 5-Year Estimates, 2019.

Tables 4-4 and 4-5 display household type and income data for the County and the State. Amongst the two jurisdictions, households categorized as "family" made up the largest percent of households overall. Orange County has a higher percentage of family households than the state. Family households with children represent the same percentage for the County as the State, and represent larger percentages than non-family households. Households with children may require different or additional design standards and are often larger to accommodate additional persons to avoid overcrowding.

Table 4-5 shows that Orange County has a higher household income than the State overall. Just under 50% of households in Orange County earn an annual income over \$100,000, while 37.7% of households in California earn that same amount. Generally, a higher percentage of married couple households typically result in a high median income in a community as these households may have more than one income source. Higher income provides means for safe and sufficient housing, as well as, the ability to update and renovate older attributes of the home.

<u>Table 4-4</u> <u>Population by Familial Status</u>

<u>Familial Status</u>	Orange County	<u>California</u>
Family Households	<u>71.7%</u>	<u>68.7%</u>
Married-Couple Family Households	<u>54.9%</u>	<u>49.8%</u>
With Related Children Under 18	<u>34.1%</u>	<u>34%</u>
Female Households, No Spouse	<u>11.5%</u>	<u>13%</u>
Non-Family Households	<u>28.3%</u>	<u>31.3%</u>
Households with One or More People 60	<u>39.9%</u>	<u>39.1%</u>
<u>Years+</u>		
<u>Total Households</u>	<u>1,037,492</u>	<u>13,044,266</u>

Source: American Community Survey, 5-Year Estimates, 2019.

<u>Table 4-5</u> <u>Households by Income</u>

Household Income	Orange County	<u>California</u>
Less than \$10,000	<u>4.2%</u>	4.8%
\$10,000-\$14,999	<u>2.7%</u>	<u>4.1%</u>
\$15,000-\$24,999	<u>5.6%</u>	<u>7.5%</u>
\$25,000-\$34,999	<u>6%</u>	<u>7.5%</u>

December 10, 201321 X-145

\$35,000-\$49,999	<u>8.8%</u>	<u>10.5%</u>
\$50,000-\$74,999	<u>14.6%</u>	<u>15.5%</u>
\$75,000-\$99,999	<u>12.8%</u>	<u>12.4%</u>
\$100,000-\$149,999	<u>18.6%</u>	<u>16.6%</u>
<u>\$150,000-\$199,999</u>	<u>11.1%</u>	<u>8.9%</u>
\$200,000 or More	<u>15.5%</u>	<u>12.2%</u>
Median Income	<u>\$90,234</u>	<u>\$75,235</u>

Source: American Community Survey, 5-Year Estimates, 2019.

Table 4-6 displays data for households experiencing overpayment or cost burden in the County and State. Housing cost burden has a number of consequences for a household, such as displacement from their current home creating limited access to essential goods and employment by potentially increasing commute times. The HUD Consolidated Planning/CHAS Data shows that Orange County and California have very similar figures with both reporting approximately 40 percent of households paying more than 30 percent of their income for housing. Just under 20 percent of households in both jurisdictions pay at least 50 percent of their income for housing. Such a cost burden removes available income from other necessities such as food.

Table 4-6

Households by Income Cost Burden

<u>Overpayment</u>	Orange County	<u>California</u>		
Cost Burden >30%	<u>40.5%</u>	<u>40.1%</u>		
Cost Burden >50%	<u>19.3%</u>	<u>19.4%</u>		
Cost Burden Not Available 1.4% 1.4%				
Source: American Community Survey, 5-Year Estimates, 2019.				

Table 4-7 displays data for household tenure (owner vs. renter) for Orange County and California. Homeownership is a crucial foundation for helping families with low incomes build strength, stability, and independence. The opportunity for transition into the homebuyer's market is important for persons and households in different communities as homeownership allows for increased stability and opportunity to age in place. The data shows that just above half of Orange County and California households own their own home (57.4 percent and 54.8 percent, respectively).

Table 4-7
Household Tenure s by Income

<u>Household Tenure</u>	Orange County	<u>California</u>	
Owner Households	<u>57.4%</u>	<u>54.8%</u>	
Renter Households	<u>42.6%</u>	<u>45.2%</u>	
Total Occupied Housing Units	<u>1,037,492</u>	13,044,266	
Source: American Community Survey 5 Vear Estimates, 2010			

Source: American Community Survey, 5-Year Estimates, 2019.

Table 4-8 displays data for overcrowding in the County and the State.

Overcrowding is defined as 1.01 to 1.5 persons per bedroom living in a household, and severe overcrowding is defined as more than 1.51 persons per bedroom. Overcrowding often occurs when nonfamily members combine incomes to live in one household, such as roommates. It also occurs when there are not enough size appropriate housing options for larger or multigenerational families. The data shows there are more overcrowded renter households in the County than the State (4.2 percent and 3.6 percent, respectively). Overcrowding in owner households are similar for both jurisdictions. The data shows that overcrowding disproportionately affects renter households over owner households.

<u>Table 4-8</u> <u>Households by Overcrowding</u>

Overcrowding and Tenure	Orange County	<u>California</u>	
Owner Households		_	
Overcrowded	<u>1.5%</u>	<u>1.6%</u>	
Severe Overcrowded	0.6%	0.6%	
Renter Households			
Overcrowded	4.2%	<u>3.6%</u>	
Severe Overcrowded	<u>2.6%</u>	<u>2.4%</u>	
Source: American Community Survey, 5-Year Estimates, 2019.			

HOUSING STOCK

Table 4-9 display comparative housing stock data for Orange County and California for overcrowded housing units by type. A variety of housing stock provides increased opportunity in communities for different size and household types. The data shows that half of Orange County housing units are single-family, detached units. The State reports a slightly higher percentage; however, it has a much lower percentage of single-family, attached units than Orange County (7 percent and 12.3 percent, respectively). Multi-family housing developments of 10 or more units are of similar percentages for both jurisdictions, with 19.1 percent for Orange County and 17.5 percent in California.

<u>Table 4-9</u> <u>Overcrowded Housing Units by Type</u>

Housing Unit Type	Orange County	<u>California</u>
1-Unit, Detached	<u>50.6%</u>	<u>57.7%</u>
1-Unit, Attached	<u>12.3%</u>	<u>7.0%</u>
2 Units	<u>1.6%</u>	<u>2.4%</u>
3 or 4 Units	<u>6.9%</u>	<u>5.5%</u>
5 to 9 Units	<u>6.7%</u>	<u>6.0%</u>
<u>10 to 19 Units</u>	<u>5.4%</u>	<u>5.2%</u>
20 or More Units	<u>13.7%</u>	<u>12.3%</u>
Mobile Home	<u>2.7%</u>	<u>3.7%</u>

December 10, 201321 X-147

Boat, RV, Van, etc.	0.1%	<u>0.1%</u>
Source: American Community Survey, 5-Year E.	stimates, 2019.	

Table 4-10 below displays housing stock by year built for Orange County and California. Older housing generally requires more upkeep, regular maintenance, and can cause a cost burden on both renters and homeowners. The data shows a greater percentage of homes built throughout California before 1960 compared to Orange County. The County experienced a large housing boom between 1960 and 2000 which resulted in the development of about 70 percent of the total housing stock. In comparison, 57 percent of the State's housing stock was built during those 40 years. Overall, increased numbers of older housing can lead to displacement, cost burden, and substandard living conditions.

Table 4-10
Number of Housing Units by Year Built

<u>Year Built</u>	Orange County	<u>California</u>	
Built 2014 or later	<u>2.7%</u>	<u>1.7%</u>	
Built 2010 to 2013	<u>2.0%</u>	<u>1.7%</u>	
Built 2000 to 2009	8.3%	<u>11.2%</u>	
Built 1990 to 1999	<u>11.7%</u>	10.9%	
Built 1980 to 1989	14.9%	<u>15.0%</u>	
Built 1970 to 1979	23.3%	<u>17.6%</u>	
Built 1960 to 1969	<u>19.5%</u>	<u>13.4%</u>	
Built 1950 to 1959	13.0%	<u>13.4%</u>	
Built 1940 to 1949	2.1%	<u>5.9%</u>	
Built 1939 or earlier	2.5%	<u>9.1%</u>	
Source: American Community Survey, 5-Year Estimates, 2019.			

Future Growth Needs

Overview of the Regional Housing Needs Assessment

The Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) is a key tool for local governments to plan for anticipated growth. The RHNA quantifies the anticipated need for housing within each jurisdiction for the period from 2021 to 2029.

Communities then determine how they will address this need through the process of updating the Housing Elements of their General Plans.

The current RHNA Allocation Plan was adopted by the Southern California
Association of Governments (SCAG) in March 2021. The future need for housing is determined primarily by the forecasted growth in households in a community.
Each new household, created by a child moving out of a parent's home, by a family moving to a community for employment, and so forth, creates the need for

a housing unit. The housing need for new households is then adjusted to maintain a desirable level of vacancy to promote housing choice and mobility.

SCAG must take into consideration the following factors:

- Market demand for housing;
- Employment opportunities;
- Availability of suitable sites and public facilities;
- Commuting patterns;
- Type and tenure of housing;
- Loss of units in assisted housing developments;
- Over-concentration of lower income households; and
- Geological and topographical constraints.

An adjustment is also made to account for units expected to be lost due to demolition, natural disaster, or conversion to non-housing uses. The sum of these factors – household growth, vacancy need, and replacement need – determines the construction need for a community. Total housing need is then distributed among four income categories on the basis of the county's income distribution, with adjustments to avoid an over-concentration of lower-income households in any community.

201421-20219 Growth Needs

The total housing growth need for unincorporated Orange County during the $2014\underline{21}$ - $2024\underline{9}$ planning period is 5,272 10,406 units. This total is distributed by income category as shown in Table \underline{X} - $\underline{30}$. Of the $\underline{4,240}$ $\underline{3,139}$ very-low-income unit growth need, half ($\underline{620}$ $\underline{1570}$ units) are estimated to be needed for extremely-low-income households during the planning period, as provided by state law¹⁰.

-

¹⁰ Government Code §65583.a.1

Table X-40 Table 4-11

Regional Housing Growth Needs – Unincorporated Orange County

Very Low	Low	Moderate	Above Mod	Total
1,240* 3,139	879- 1,866	979- 2,040	2,174- 3,361	5,272 10,406
23.4% 30.2%	17.1% 17.9%	18.7% 19.6%	40.8% 32.3%	<u>100%</u>

*Half (620) of these Very Low units are assumed to be in the extremely low category

Source: SCAG 201221

A discussion of the County's capacity to accommodate this growth need is provided in the land inventory section of Appendix B.

Preservation of Assisted Units at Risk of Conversion

State Housing Element Law requires the analysis of government-assisted housing units that are eligible to convert from low-income housing to market rate housing during the next 10 years due to expiring subsidies, mortgage prepayments, or expiration of affordability restrictions, and identification of programs aimed at their preservation.

Use restrictions, as defined by State law, means any federal, state or local statute, regulation, ordinance or contract which as a condition of receipt of any housing assistance, including a rental subsidy, mortgage subsidy, or mortgage insurance, to an assisted housing development, establishes maximum limitations on tenant income as a condition of eligibility for occupancy.

The following section analyzes the potential conversion of assisted housing units to market rate housing.

Inventory of At-Risk Units

The time frame for the analysis of assisted units is the ten-year period from 2021 to 2029. An inventory of at-risk units was compiled based on a review of the Inventory of Federally Subsidized Low-Income Rental Units At-Risk of Conversion (California Housing Partnership Corporation), and information from OC Community Resources. At this time, there are no projects at risk of losing their use restrictions within the ten-year period.

SB 330

Effective January 1, 2020, Senate Bill 330 (SB 330) aims to increase residential unit development, protect existing housing inventory, and expedite permit processing. The revised definition of "Housing Development" now contains

residential projects of two or more units, mixed-use projects (with two-thirds of the floor area designated for residential use), transitional, supportive, and emergency housing projects. SB330 sets a temporary 5-year prohibition of residential density reduction associated with a "housing development project", from January 1, 2020, to January 1, 2025. For example, during this temporary prohibition, a residential triplex cannot be demolished and replaced with a duplex as this would be a net loss of one unit.

The County is committed to making diligent efforts to engage underrepresented and disadvantaged communities in studying displacement.

Assessment of Contributing Factors to Fair Housing in Orange County

As identified by the AI and the above analysis, the County experiences the following local contributing factors to fair housing:

- There are five racially or ethnically concentrated census tracts (RECAPS) within Orange County as identified by HUD. These identified census tracts have at least 50 percent non-white populations with a poverty rate that exceeds 40 percent and/or is three or more times the average tract poverty rate for the metropolitan area.
- The UC Davis Regional Opportunity Index shows that the majority of residents within Orange County have moderate to high levels of access to opportunity, with some areas of low access. Additionally, analysis of the TCAC/HCD Opportunity Area Maps show that a large number of census tracts in northern Orange County have a "low resource" designation, meaning there is low access to essential resources for existing residents in those census tracts.
- The County has demonstrated the ability to meet the anticipated future affordable housing needs of the community through the designation of sites to meet the very low- and low-income RHNA allocation (Appendix B). These sites are dispersed through the County's unincorporated areas.
- The County is committed to making diligent efforts to engage underrepresented and disadvantaged communities in studying displacement. The AI also identifies the following cross-jurisdictional fair housing goals to mitigate the existing fair housing issues in the community:
- Increase the supply of fair housing in high opportunity areas.

December 10, 201321 X-151

- Prevent displacement of low- and moderate-income residents with protected characteristics, including Hispanic residents, Vietnamese residents, seniors, and people with disabilities.
- Increase community integration for persons with disabilities.
- Ensure equal access to housing for persons with protected characteristics, who are disproportionately likely to be lower-income and to experience homelessness.
- Expand access to opportunity for protected classes.

Analysis of Sites Pursuant to AB 686

AB 686 requires that jurisdictions identify sites throughout the community in a manner that is consistent with its duty to affirmatively further fair housing. The site identification requirement involves not only an analysis of site capacity to accommodate the RHNA (provided in Appendix B), but also whether the identified sites serve the purpose of replacing segregated living patterns with truly integrated and balanced living patterns, transforming racially and ethnically concentrated areas of poverty into areas of opportunity.

5. HOUSING RESOURCES RESOURCES AND OPPORTUNITIES

This section examines two major resources for meeting the unincorporated county's housing needs – land and financial resources. Opportunities for energy conservation are also discussed.

Availability of Sites for Housing Production

This section contains an analysis of land with the potential for housing development in the 201321-202129 planning period compared to the unincorporated county's remaining housing need. Opportunities for residential development in the unincorporated area fall under two categories:

- Vacant land in new master-planned communities; and
- Vacant infill sites or underutilized sites where the current use of the property is a lower intensity than allowed by the General Plan and zoning designations. The most significant component of this category is the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone.

Although the Housing Element covers the eight-year planning period from October 201321 through October 202129, the 56th RHNA cycle runs from January 1, 201421 through October 15, 202129.

NEW MASTER-PLANNED COMMUNITIES

During the past 5040 years the majority of development in unincorporated Orange County has occurred in major landholdings under the planned community concept. Most of these areas were incorporated into new cities between 1988 and 2001, including Mission Viejo (1988), Dana Point (1989), Laguna Niguel (1989), Lake Forest (1991), Laguna Hills (1991), Laguna Woods (1999), Rancho Santa Margarita (2000), and Aliso Viejo (2001). Most of these areas were originally approved as planned communities in unincorporated Orange County.

Only one planned community in the unincorporated area, Rancho Mission Viejo – The Ranch Plan has a significant amount of land remaining to be developed during this Housing Element timeframe. Located in southeastern portion of the County east of Rancho Santa Margarita, Mission Viejo and San Juan Capistrano, The Ranch Plan Rancho Mission Viejo was approved in 2004 with a maximum of 14,000 residential units. It is expected to be the final large landholding that will be developed in unincorporated Orange County since all other significant undeveloped parcels are located within cities, regional parks or the Cleveland National Forest.

As part of the General Plan amendment, Planned Community (zone change) and development agreement for Rancho Mission Viejo The Ranch Plan, the property owner is required to comply with the Affordable Housing Implementation Plan, as amended (2016), which includes the Private Sector Alternative discussed under Strategies and Action section. dedicate an aggregate of 60 gross acres of land to the County for affordable housing development. Up to approximately 1,800 165 lower-income units could be produced in Rancho Mission Viejo Planning Area 3. The Ranch Plan Planned Community. Additional discussion of Rancho Mission Viejo The Ranch Plan is provided in Appendix B – Land Inventory.

VACANT AND UNDERUTILIZED INFILL PARCELS - THE HOUSING **OPPORTUNITIES OVERLAY ZONE**

The incorporation and build out of south Orange County has have shifted the focus of residential development that is under the jurisdiction of the County to the older unincorporated islands in the northern portion of the county. The "first wave" of development in these areas occurred during the 1950s and '60s as suburban growth spread south from Los Angeles. Fifty years later a few scattered vacant parcels remain, but there is also significant potential for redevelopment of

December 10, 201321 X-153

underutilized properties with higher-density apartments and condominiums. The 2000 Housing Element included an Action Plan item to designate such areas for higher-density development, and in 2006 the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone was adopted. The Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone (Section 7-9-44.6148.6 of the Zoning Code) provides the option of affordable multi-family development on commercial and industrial sites, and in 2008 the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone was expanded to include properties that are conventionally zoned for multi-family development along arterial highways. A detailed discussion of the inventory of sites within the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone is provided in Appendix B.

<u>VACANT AND UNDERUTILIZED INFILL PARCELS – THE MIXED-USE OVERLAY</u> ZONE

The Mixed-Use Overlay Zone (Section 7-9-45 of the Zoning Code) provides the opportunity to develop high density housing in commercial areas. These regulations are intended to facilitate the vertical and horizontal mixing of retail, office, and residential uses and the development of mixed-use buildings accommodating both residential and employment activities. In both infill contexts and in larger projects, these regulations shall facilitate the inclusion of cultural, civic, educational, and urban recreational uses and support transit-oriented development and alternative modes of transportation.

COMPARISON OF LAND INVENTORY TO NEW HOUSING NEED

The County's strategy for accommodating the unincorporated area's new housing need for this planning period is based on infill/redevelopment opportunity areas within the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone and development of underdeveloped parcels, including Rancho Mission Viejo, Banning Ranch, Coyote Canyon, the Santa Ana Country Club, and areas within Brea Canyon. a combination of the two areas described above new development in The Ranch Plan Planned Community, and infill development/redevelopment in the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone. Table X-41 presents a summary of the land inventory in the unincorporated area compared to the remaining housing need. This table shows that there is a total estimated development capacity of 8,546 to accommodate 12,685 units, with 2,636 5,615 Very low/Low units, 750 2,092 Moderate units and 5,163 4,978 Above Moderate units based on current General Plan and zoning designations and the amendment of the HOO to accommodate up to 65 dwelling units per acres. An additional 800 dwelling units are anticipated to be developed as accessory dwelling units throughout the County of Orange. Appendix B provides a thorough discussion of the land inventory and a parcelspecific listing of sites, along with an explanation of all assumptions used in this analysis.

Table X-41

Land Inventory vs. RHNA –

Orange County Unincorporated Area

		Income Category			
Category	Total Units	Very Low	Lower	Moderate	Above Moderate
Approved projects (Table B 1)	244		244	_	3
The Ranch Plan Planned Community (Figure B 1)	5,520		360	_	5,160
Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone (Table B 2)	2,032		2,032	_	_
Other underutilized parcels (Tables B 4i and B 5)	750		_	750	_
Total Land Inventory	8,546		2,636	750	5,163
RHNA Need 201421 20219 (Table X 40)	5,272	3,139	2,119	979	2,174
<u> </u>	10,406		1,866	2,040	3,361
Adequate Capacity?	Yes	Yes*	Yes	Yes*	Yes

Sources: OC Planning 201321; SCAG 201221 *Includes excess lower income units

Table 5-1 Summary of RHNA Status and Sites Inventory

	Very Low Income	Low Income	Moderate Income	Above Moderate Income	<u>Total</u>	
RHNA (2021-2029)	<u>3,139</u>	<u>1,866</u>	2,040	<u>3,361</u>	10,406	
Units Constructed in Projection Period (Begins June 31, 2021)	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	
Casa Paloma (permits issued 2021)	<u>48</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>71</u>	
Crossroads at Washington (SCAG approved RHNA transfer)	<u>20</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>42</u>	
Placentia Village for Veterans (SCAG approved RHNA transfer)	<u>12</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>24</u>	
Remaining Unmet RHNA	<u>3,059</u>	<u>1,845</u>	<u>2,006</u>	<u>3,359</u>	<u>10,269</u>	
	Sites Inventory – Existing Zoning					
Housing Opportunity Overlay (HOO)		825 544	<u>0</u> 178	<u>0</u>	3,825 3,721	
Rancho Mission Viejo	<u>165</u>		800	3,200	<u>4,165</u>	
Banning Ranch	<mark>443</mark>		811	221	1,475	
Coyote Canyon	264		<u>621</u>	<u>171</u>	<u>1,056</u>	
Santa Ana Country Club (Costa Mesa Island)	435		<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>435</u>	
Brea Canyon Parcels	<u>110</u>		<u>220</u>	<u>770</u>	<u>1,100</u>	
Total Potential Capacity - Existing Sites	5,242 4,518		2,452 1,819	<mark>4,362</mark> 4,141	12,056 10,477	
Projected ADU Construction						
Projected ADU Construction	<u>5</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>240</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>800</u>	
Sites Inventory Total						

December 10, 201321 X-155

	Very Low Income	Low Income	Moderate Income	Above Moderate Income	<u>Total</u>
Total Units towards RHNA		<mark>786</mark> 062	2,692 2,059	<mark>4,378</mark> 4,157	12,856 11,277
Total Capacity Over RHNA Categories		<mark>.6%</mark> 3%	+32% 3%	+30% 24%	+124% 10%
Surplus/Shortfall	1	<mark>81</mark> 57	652 53	1,017 798	2,450 1,008

Sources: OC Development Services 2021; SCAG 2021

A comparison of these estimates with the County's RHNA need shows that there is adequate capacity to accommodate the level of need in all income categories for the planning period. The Housing Action Plan (Section 5) contains a discussion of programs and policies to encourage and facilitate housing production, with particular emphasis on the lower-income categories.

Financial Resources

The County has access to a variety of funding sources to provide adequate supply of decent and affordable housing.

a. Federal Resources

HOME FUNDS

The Home Investment Partnership (HOME) Program is a federal program, created as a result of the National Housing Affordability Act of 1990. Under HOME, HUD awards funds to localities on the basis of a formula that takes into account tightness of the local housing market, inadequate housing, poverty and housing production costs. Localities must qualify for at least \$500,000, based on HUD's distribution formula, to receive direct allocation of funds, or can apply to the state or combine with adjacent jurisdictions.

HOME funding is provided to jurisdictions to assist either rental housing or home ownership through acquisition, construction, reconstruction, and/or rehabilitation of affordable housing. Also possible is tenant based rental assistance, property acquisition, site improvements, and other expenses related to the provision of affordable housing and for projects that serve a group identified as having a special need related to housing. The local jurisdiction must make matching contributions to affordable housing under HOME.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM (CDBG)

Through the federal CDBG program, HUD provides funds to local governments for funding a range of community development activities. CDBG grants are

awarded on a formula basis for housing activities, including acquisition, rehabilitation, homebuyer assistance, economic development, homeless services and public services. CDBG funds are subject to certain restrictions and cannot be used for new housing construction. CDBG grants benefit primarily persons/households with incomes not exceeding 80% of the County Median Family Income.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT - CORONAVIRUS AID, RELIEF AND ECONOMIC SECURITIES ACT FUNDING (CDBG-CV) AND CDBG-CV3

CDBG-CV funds are to provide relief to eligible entities due to hardship caused by COVID-19. Eligible activities include funding to: construct a facility for testing, diagnosis; or treatment; rehabilitate a community facility to establish an infectious disease treatment clinic; acquire and rehabilitate, or construct, a group living facility that may be used to centralize patients undergoing treatment; rehabilitate a commercial building or closed school building to establish an infectious disease treatment clinic, e.g., by replacing the HVAC system; acquire, and quickly rehabilitate (if necessary) a motel or hotel building to expand capacity of hospitals to accommodate isolation of patients during recovery; make interim improvements to private properties to enable an individual patient to remain quarantined on a temporary basis; provide grants or loans to support new businesses or business expansion to create jobs and manufacture medical supplies necessary to respond to infectious disease; avoid job loss caused by business closures related to social distancing by providing short-term working capital assistance to small businesses to enable retention of jobs held by low- and moderate-income persons; provide technical assistance, grants, loans, and other financial assistance to establish, stabilize, and expand microenterprises that provide medical, food delivery, cleaning, and other services to support home health and quarantine.

CDBG-CV3 funds are being provided as grants to prevent, prepare for, and respond to the coronavirus pandemic. Eligible activities include funding for an Emergency Rental Assistance Program (ERAP) for renters who, as a result of the financial hardships caused by COVID-19, are struggling to meet their monthly rental obligations; implementation of interim housing through Project HomeKey; and interim housing to be provided at emergency shelter location in an urban county city that responds to the coronavirus pandemic on a regional basis.

EMERGENCY SOLUTIONS GRANT - CORONAVIRUS AID, RELIEF AND ECONOMIC SECURITIES ACT FUNDING (ESG-CV) AND ESG-

December 10, 201321 X-157

CV2

ESG-CV1 funds are to be used to prevent, prepare for, and respond to COVID-19 among individuals and families who are homeless or receiving homeless assistance; and to support additional homeless assistance and homelessness prevention activities to mitigate the impacts of COVID-19.

ESG-CV activities include the Extension of Homeless Shelters that provide Homeless Prevention, Rapid Rehousing, HMIS and Administration.

ESG-CV2 funds are to help inform long-term, innovative solutions for addressing homelessness in the future while combatting COVID-19. ESG-CV2 activities include Funding will be used for the operations and essential services of the Yale Navigation Center, an emergency shelters program for individuals experiencing homelessness. Operation costs include providing food, rent, security, maintenance, repair, fuel, equipment, insurance, utilities, furnishings, and supplies necessary for their operation. Essential services including case management, education services, employment assistance, outpatient health services, legal services, mental health services, substance abuse treatment services, and transportation.

Funding will also be used for rapid rehousing activities, including rental assistance and housing relocation and stabilization services. This funding will support the expansion of Project Toolbelt, a housing project to facilitate the engagement and transition of approximately individuals experiencing homelessness from Project Roomkey into appropriate housing opportunities by using the "every tool in the toolbelt" approach.

SECTION 108 PROGRAM

Section 108 is the loan guarantee provision of the CDBG program. This provision provides communities with a source of financing for a variety of housing and economic development activities. All rules and requirements of the CDBG program apply, and therefore all projects and activities must principally benefit low- and moderate-income persons, aid in the elimination or prevention of blight, or meet urgent needs of the community.

Monies received under the Section 108 loan guarantee program are limited to not more than 5 times the applicant's most recently approved CDBG amount, less prior Section 108 commitments. Activities eligible for these funds include: economic development activities eligible under CDBG; acquisition of real property; rehabilitation of publicly-owned property; housing rehabilitation eligible under CDBG; construction, reconstruction or installation of public facilities; related relocation, clearance or installation of public facilities; payment

of interest on the guaranteed loan and issuance costs of public offerings; debt service reserves; and public works and site improvements.

Section 108 loans are secured and repaid by pledges of future and current CDBG funds. Additional security requirements may also be imposed on a case by case basis.

MORTGAGE CREDIT CERTIFICATE PROGRAM

Under the Mortgage Credit Certificate (MCC) Program, first-time homebuyers receive a tax credit of up to 15% of the mortgage interest paid for the year based on a percentage of the interest paid on their mortgage. This credit typically amounts to \$80 to \$125 month. This tax credit allows the buyer to qualify more easily for home loans as it increases the effective income of the buyer. Under federal legislation, 20% of the allocation must be set-aside for first time homebuyers with incomes between 75% and 80% of the County median income. This program may be used alone or in conjunction with a Down Payment Assistance Loan. The mortgage tax credit allows participants to meet monthly housing costs for households unable to meet monthly market-level payments. Additionally, lenders may write down the cost of the mortgage based on the value of the credit.

For the 2013-2021 planning period, the County financed thirty-one (31) homes through the CEO's first-time home buyer program for low income and moderate income Orange County residents.

SECTION 8 HOUSING ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS AND <u>CONTINUUM OF</u> CARE/SHELTER PLUS CARE CERTIFICATES GRANT PROGRAMS

The Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Programs and Continuum of Care/Shelter Plus Care Certificate Grants provide monthly housing assistance payments to owners of rental properties in order to assist extremely low and very low-income families, elderly, and disabled persons with their rent. Section 8 participants can choose any housing that meets the requirements of the program and are not limited to units located within subsidized housing projects. The Orange County Housing Authority (OCHA) administers Section 8 Housing Choice vouchers within the unincorporated Orange County area. The subsidy typically represents the difference between 30% of the recipients' adjusted monthly income and the federally approved Fair Market Rents (FMR), locally established Payment Standards or the owner's Gross Rent; whichever is less. In general, the FMR for an area represents the 40th percentile of median rents in a designated local metropolitan area. These programs are designed to utilize privately owned, decent, safe and sanitary rental housing and assistance is available in the following forms:

December 10, 201321

Continuum of Care/Shelter Plus Care Housing Certificate Program. This program is funded with five year and annual renewal grants to assist homeless/disabled individuals who are qualified and referred to the Housing Authority through an established partnership with a Service Provider the County Coordinated Entry System (CES). Under the certificate program, the property owner selects an eligible tenant and enters into a contract with the Housing Authority that establishes the total rent, following an inspection and approval of the rental property. The contract rent plus a utility allowance cannot exceed the published Fair Market Rent. The actual approved rent cannot exceed contract rents for non-assisted units comparable units in the area as determined by a Rent Reasonable test. Once approved, eligible tenants must pay the highest of either 30% of adjusted income or 10% of gross income. Housing subsidized through this program must meet Federal Housing Quality Standards established by HUD.

Section 8 Existing Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP). This program is the largest tenant-based housing program and also includes Vouchers reserved for specific populations including the Veterans Administration Assisted Housing (VASH), Non-Elderly Disabled (NED), Family Unification, Project-based Vouchers (PBV), Mainstream and Homeownership programs. HCVP is similar to the Certificate Program and requires Housing Quality Standards inspections and the Rent Reasonableness Test to determine the approvable contract rent. However, the housing authority is able to establish Payment Standards which may be 90% to 110% of the published Fair Market Rents. The monthly Housing Assistance Payment (HAP) is determined by subtracting 30% of the tenant's adjusted income from the approved Gross Rent or Payment Standard (whichever is less). Overall rents are not restricted to the Fair Market Rents and a tenant is allowed to pay up to 40% of income if they choose a rental property that has a rent higher rent than the Payment Standard. If the contract rent is increased after the first year and the unit meets comparability tests, assisted tenants are allowed to pay a higher portion of their income if they choose to remain in the rental property rather than move to a lower cost unit.

SECTION 202/811 HOUSING FOR ELDERLY OR HANDICAPPED HOUSING

Under this federally administered program, direct loans are made to eligible, private nonprofit organizations and consumer operative sponsors to finance development of rental or cooperative housing facilities for occupancy by elderly or handicapped persons. The interest rates on such loans are determined annually. Section 8 funds are made available for all of the Section 202 units for the elderly.

Rental assistance for 100% of the units for handicapped persons has also recently been made available. Section 811 can be used to develop group homes, independent living facilities, and intermediate care facilities.

Private, nonprofit sponsors may qualify for Section 202 no-interest capital financing loans. Households of one or more persons, the head of which is at least 62 years old or is a qualified non-elderly handicapped person between the ages of 18 and 62, are eligible to live in these units.

HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES FOR PERSONS WITH AIDS (HOPWA)

The federally administered HOPWA program provides entitlement and competitive grants for housing assistance and supportive services for persons with AIDS. Funds can be used for:

- Acquisition, rehabilitation, lease and repair of facilities;
- New construction;
- Project-based or tenant-based rental assistance;
- Planning and support services;
- Operating costs;
- Short-term rent, mortgage, and utility payments;
- Administrative expenses.

SUPPORTIVE HOUSING

The Supportive Housing Programs provide grants to public and private non-profit entities to promote the development of supportive housing and services. These grants are disbursed by HUD. Funds may be used for: acquisition of property; rehabilitation; new construction (under certain limitations); leasing of structures; operating and supportive services costs; and rental assistance.

FEDERAL EMERGENCY SHELTERS GRANTS (FESG)

This federal program provides grants to (1) engage homeless individuals and families living on the street, (2) improve the number and quality of emergency shelters for homeless individuals and families, (3) help operate these shelters, (4) provide essential services to shelter residents, (5) rapidly re-house homeless individuals and families, and (6) prevent families and individuals from becoming homeless. Metropolitan cities, urban counties and territories may provide ESG funds to projects operated by units of general purpose local government or private nonprofit organizations.

December 10, 201321 X-161

b. State Resources and Programs

AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES PROGRAM (AHSC)

This state program is administered by the Strategic Growth Council and implemented by the California Department of Housing and Community

Development (State HCD). The AHSC Program funds land-use, housing, transportation, and land preservation projects to support infill and compact development that reduce greenhouse gas ("GHG") emissions. Funding for the AHSC Program is provided from the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund (GGRF), an account established to receive Cap-and-Trade auction proceeds. The AHSC Program will assist project areas by providing grants and/or loans, or any combination thereof, that will achieve GHG emissions reductions and benefit Disadvantaged Communities through increasing accessibility of affordable housing, employment centers, and key destinations via low-carbon transportation resulting in fewer vehicle miles traveled (VMT) through shortened or reduced trip length or mode shift from Single Occupancy Vehicle (SOV) use to transit, bicycling, or walking.

CALHOME

CalHome enables low- and very low-income households to become or remain homeowners. Eligible activities include predevelopment, site development, and site acquisition for development projects; rehabilitation and acquisition and rehabilitation of site-built housing, and rehabilitation, repair, and replacement of manufactured homes; and down payment assistance, mortgage financing, homebuyer counseling, and technical assistance for self-help.

CALIFORNIA EMERGENCY SOLUTIONS AND HOUSING (CESH)

CESH Program provides funds for a variety of activities to assist persons experiencing or at risk of homelessness as authorized by SB 850 (Chapter 48, Statues of 2018). State HCD administers the CESH Program with funding received from the Building Homes and Jobs Act Trust Fund (SB 2, Chapter 364, Statutes of 2017). CESH funds may be used for five primary activities: housing relocation and stabilization services (including rental assistance), operating subsidies for permanent housing, flexible housing subsidy funds, operating support for emergency housing interventions, and systems support for homelessness services and housing delivery systems. In addition, some administrative entities may use CESH funds to develop or update a Coordinated

Entry System (CES), Homeless Management Information System (HMIS), or Homelessness Plan.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT - CORONAVIRUS AID, RELIEF AND ECONOMIC SECURITIES ACT FUNDING (CDBG-CV) AND CDBG-CV3

CDBG-CV funds are to provide relief to eligible entities due to hardship caused by COVID-19. Eligible activities include funding to: construct a facility for testing, diagnosis; or treatment; rehabilitate a community facility to establish an infectious disease treatment clinic; acquire and rehabilitate, or construct, a group living facility that may be used to centralize patients undergoing treatment; rehabilitate a commercial building or closed school building to establish an infectious disease treatment clinic, e.g., by replacing the HVAC system; acquire, and quickly rehabilitate (if necessary) a motel or hotel building to expand capacity of hospitals to accommodate isolation of patients during recovery; make interim improvements to private properties to enable an individual patient to remain quarantined on a temporary basis; provide grants or loans to support new businesses or business expansion to create jobs and manufacture medical supplies necessary to respond to infectious disease; avoid job loss caused by business closures related to social distancing by providing short-term working capital assistance to small businesses to enable retention of jobs held by low- and moderate-income persons; provide technical assistance, grants, loans, and other financial assistance to establish, stabilize, and expand microenterprises that provide medical, food delivery, cleaning, and other services to support home health and quarantine.

CDBG-CV3 funds are being provided as grants to prevent, prepare for, and respond to the coronavirus pandemic. Eligible activities include funding for an Emergency Rental Assistance Program (ERAP) for renters who, as a result of the financial hardships caused by COVID-19, are struggling to meet their monthly rental obligations; implementation of interim housing through Project HomeKey; and interim housing to be provided at emergency shelter location in an urban county city that responds to the coronavirus pandemic on a regional basis.

EMERGENCY SOLUTIONS GRANT - CORONAVIRUS AID, RELIEF AND ECONOMIC SECURITIES ACT FUNDING (ESG-CV) AND ESG-CV2

ESG-CV1 funds are to be used to prevent, prepare for, and respond to COVID-19 among individuals and families who are homeless or receiving homeless assistance; and to support additional homeless assistance and homelessness prevention

December 10, 201321 X-163

activities to mitigate the impacts of COVID-19.

ESG-CV activities include the Extension of Homeless Shelters that provide Homeless Prevention, Rapid Rehousing, HMIS and Administration.

ESG-CV2 funds are to help inform long-term, innovative solutions for addressing homelessness in the future while combatting COVID-19. ESG-CV2 activities include Funding will be used for the operations and essential services of the Yale Navigation Center, an emergency shelters program for individuals experiencing homelessness. Operation costs include providing food, rent, security, maintenance, repair, fuel, equipment, insurance, utilities, furnishings, and supplies necessary for their operation. Essential services including case management, education services, employment assistance, outpatient health services, legal services, mental health services, substance abuse treatment services, and transportation.

Funding will also be used for rapid rehousing activities, including rental assistance and housing relocation and stabilization services. This funding will support the expansion of Project Toolbelt, a housing project to facilitate the engagement and transition of approximately individuals experiencing homelessness from Project Roomkey into appropriate housing opportunities by using the "every tool in the toolbelt" approach.

GOLDEN STATE ACQUISITION FUND (GSAF)

GSAF combined with matching funds, makes up to five-year loans to developers for acquisition or preservation of affordable housing.

HOMEKEY

Homekey funds will be made available to purchase and rehabilitate housing, including hotels, motels, vacant apartment buildings, and other buildings and convert them into interim or permanent, long-term housing. Awarded funds must be used to provide housing for individuals and families experiencing homelessness or at risk of experiencing homelessness and who are impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic.

Eligible activities include acquisition or rehabilitation of motels, hotels, or hostels; master leasing of properties; acquisition of other sites and assets, including purchase of apartments or homes, adult residential facilities, residential care facilities for the elderly, manufactured housing, and other buildings with existing residential uses that could be converted to permanent or interim housing; conversion of units from nonresidential to residential in a structure with a

169 of 333

certificate of occupancy as a motel, hotel, or hostel; purchase of affordability covenants and restrictions for units; relocation costs for individuals who are being displaced as a result of rehabilitation of existing units; and capitalized operating subsidies for units purchased, converted, or altered with funds provided pursuant to HSC section 50675.1.1.

HOUSING FOR A HEALTHY CALIFORNIA (HHC)

The purpose of HHC is to reduce the financial burden on local and state resources due to the overutilization of emergency departments, inpatient care, nursing home stays and use of corrections systems and law enforcement resources as the point of health care provision for people who are chronically homeless or homeless and a high-cost health user.

The HHC program creates supportive housing for individuals who are recipients of or eligible for health care provided through the California Department of Health Care Services, Medi-Cal program. These funds competitively to counties for acquisition, new construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, administrative costs, capitalized operating subsidy reserves (COSR), and rental subsidies and rental assistance for existing and new supportive housing opportunities to assist the HHC program's Target Population.

HOUSING-RELATED PARKS PROGRAM

To increase the overall supply of housing affordable to lower income households by providing financial incentives to cities and counties with documented housing starts for newly-constructed units affordable to very low- or low-income households. Creation of new park and recreation facilities or improvement of existing park and recreation facilities.

INFILL INFRASTUCTURE GRANT PROGRAM (IIG)

The purpose of the IIG Program is to promote infill housing development by providing financial assistance for Capital Improvement Projects that are an integral part of, or necessary to facilitate the development of, a Qualifying Infill Project or a Qualifying Infill Area. To be eligible for funding, a Capital Improvement Project must be an integral part of, or necessary for the development of either a Qualifying Infill Project or housing designated within a Qualifying Infill Area. Eligible costs include the construction, rehabilitation, demolition, relocation, preservation, and acquisition of infrastructure.

December 10, 201321 X-165

JOE SERNA, JR. FARMWORKER HOUSING GRANTS(FWHG): FWHG

funds are to be used to finance the new construction, rehabilitation, and acquisition of owner-occupied and rental units for agricultural workers, with a priority for lower income households. Activities incurring costs in the development of homeowner or rental housing for agricultural workers, including land acquisition, site development, construction, rehabilitation, design services, operating and replacement reserves, repayment of predevelopment loans, provision of access for the elderly or disabled, relocation, homeowner counseling, and other reasonable and necessary costs are eligible.

LOCAL EARLY ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (LEAP)

The Local Action Planning Grants (LEAP), provides grants complemented with technical assistance to local governments for the preparation and adoption of planning documents, and process improvements that accelerate housing production, and /or facilitates compliance to implement the 6th cycle Regional Housing Needs Assessment.

LOCAL HOUSING TRUST FUND (LHTF): LHTF assistance is to be used to provide matching funds to local and regional housing trust funds dedicated to the creation, rehabilitation, or preservation of affordable housing, transitional housing and emergency shelters. Eligible Activities include Loans for acquisition, predevelopment expenses and development of affordable rental housing projects, transitional housing projects, emergency shelters and homeownership projects, including down payment assistance to qualified first-time homebuyers, and for rehabilitation of homes owned by income-eligible homeowners. No more than 20 percent of each allocation may assist moderate-income households, and at least 30 percent of each allocation is required to assist extremely low-income households.

MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING PROGRAM (MHP)

MHP funds are to assist the new construction, rehabilitation and preservation of permanent and transitional rental housing for lower income households. Senate Bill 3 (Chapter 365, Statues 2017) authorized the Veterans and Affordable Housing Bond Act of 2018 (Proposition 1). This measure was adopted by voters on November 6, 2018. It authorizes the issuance of bonds in the amount of \$1.5 billion for the Multifamily Housing Program (MHP). California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) has developed draft MHP guidelines and is seeking feedback from stakeholders and interested parties.

Eligible Activities include new construction, rehabilitation, or acquisition and rehabilitation of permanent or transitional rental housing, and the conversion of nonresidential structures to rental housing. Projects are not eligible if construction has commenced as of the application date, or if they are receiving 9 percent federal low-income housing tax credits.

MHP funds will be provided for post-construction permanent financing only. Eligible costs include the cost of child care, after-school care, and social service facilities integrally linked to the assisted housing units; real property acquisition; refinancing to retain affordable rents; necessary on-site and off-site improvements; reasonable fees and consulting costs; and capitalized reserves.

NATIONAL HOUSING TRUST FUND (NHTF)

NHTF funds assist in new construction of permanent housing for extremely lowincome households. Eligible activities are to be used for New construction. Applicants include individuals, joint ventures, partnership, limited partnerships, trusts, corporations, limited liability corporations, local public entities, duly constituted governing body of Indian Reservations or Rancherias or other legal entities or any combination thereof that meet program requirements.

NO PLACE LIKE HOME (NPLH)

NPLH funds are to be used to acquire, design, construct, rehabilitate, or preserve permanent supportive housing for persons who are experiencing homelessness, chronic homelessness or who are at risk of chronic homelessness, and who are in need of mental health services.

NPLH funds are to assist Adults with serious mental illness, or children with severe emotional disorders and their families and persons who require or are at risk of requiring acute psychiatric inpatient care, residential treatment, or outpatient crisis intervention because of a mental disorder with symptoms of psychosis, suicidality or violence and who are homeless, chronically homeless, or at risk of chronic homelessness.

At risk of chronic homelessness includes persons who are at high risk of longterm or intermittent homelessness, including persons with mental illness exiting institutionalized settings with a history of homelessness prior to institutionalization, and transition age youth experiencing homelessness or with significant barriers to housing stability.

December 10, 201321 X-167

PET ASSISTANCE AND SUPPORT PROGRAM (PAS)

PAS provides funding for qualified homeless shelters to provide shelter, food, and basic veterinary services for pets owned by individuals experiencing homelessness, along with staffing and liability insurance related to providing those services. Eligible uses include shelter, food, and basic veterinary services for pets owned by individuals experiencing homelessness, along with staffing and liability insurance related to providing those services.

PERMANENT LOCAL HOUSING ALLOCATION (PLHA)

The purpose of PLHA funds is to provide a permanent source of funding to all local governments in California to help cities and counties implement plans to increase the affordable housing stock. Funds will help to increase the supply of housing for households at or below 60% of area median income; increase assistance to affordable owner-occupied workforce housing; assist persons experiencing or at risk of homelessness; facilitate housing affordability, particularly for lower- and moderate-income households; promote projects and programs to meet the local government's unmet share of regional housing needs allocation; and ensure geographic equity in the distribution of the funds.

PREDEVELOPMENT LOAN PROGRAM (PDLP)

PDLP funds provide predevelopment capital to finance the start of low-income housing projects. Eligible activities include predevelopment costs of projects to construct, rehabilitate, convert, or preserve assisted housing, including manufactured housing and mobilehome parks. Eligible costs include but are not limited to site control, site acquisition for future low-income housing development, engineering studies, architectural plans, application fees, legal services, permits, bonding, and site preparation. Priority will be given to developments which are rural, located in the public transit corridors, or which preserve and acquire existing government-assisted rental housing at risk of conversion to market rents.

REGIONAL EARLY ACTION PLANNING GRANTS (REAP)

This program allows councils of governments (COGs) and other regional entities to collaborate on projects that have a broader regional impact on housing. Grant funding is intended to help regional entities and governments facilitate local housing production that will assist local governments in meeting their Regional Housing Need Allocation (RHNA).

SB 2 PLANNING GRANTS PROGRAM

SB2 grants provide funding and technical assistance to all local governments in California to help cities and counties prepare, adopt, and implement plans and process improvements that streamline housing approvals and accelerate housing production. Funding is to help cities and counties accelerate housing production; streamline the approval of housing development affordable to owner and renter households at all income levels; facilitate housing affordability, particularly for lower- and moderate-income households; promote development consistent with the State Planning Priorities (Government Code Section 65041.1); and ensure geographic equity in the distribution and expenditure of the funds.

SUPPORTIVE HOUSING MULTIFAMILY HOUSING PROGRAM (SHMHP)

SHMHP funds are to be used to provide low-interest loans to developers of permanent affordable rental housing that contain supportive housing units.

SHMHP funds are for permanent financing only and may be used for new construction or rehabilitation of a multifamily rental housing development, or conversion of a nonresidential structure to a multifamily rental housing development. Eligible use of funds may include, but are not limited to, real property acquisition, refinancing to retain affordable rents, necessary on-site and off-site improvements, reasonable fees and consulting costs, capitalized reserves, facilities for childcare, after-school care, and social service facilities integrally linked to the restricted supportive housing units.

TRANSIT ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT HOUSING PROGRAM (TOD)

TOD funds are to be used to increase public transit ridership by funding higher density affordable housing developments within one-quarter mile of transit stations and infrastructure improvements necessary for the development of specified housing developments. To be eligible for funding, a Project must consist of either, a rental housing development project; and/or an infrastructure project necessary for the development of specified housing developments, or to facilitate connections between these developments and the transit station, or both.

TRANSITIONAL HOUSING PROGRAM (THP)

When left without support or resources, young adults aged 18 to 25 years ("transition age youth") can face huge barriers to finding safe, affordable homes. As a result, many of these youth are at extreme risk of falling into homelessness.

December 10, 201321 X-169

THP provides funding to counties for child welfare services agencies to help young adults aged 18 to 25 years find and maintain housing, with priority given to those formerly in the foster care or probation systems. (SB 80, Statutes of 2019). Funds shall be used to help young adults who are 18 to 25 years of age secure and maintain housing. Use of funds may include, but are not limited to identifying and assisting housing services for this population within each community; helping this population secure and maintain housing (with priority given to those formerly in the state's foster care or probation system); improving coordination of services and linkages to community resources within the child welfare system and the Homeless Continuum of Care; and outreach and targeting to serve those with the most-severe needs.

<u>VETERANS HOUSING AND HOMELESSNESS PREVENTION</u> <u>PROGRAM (VHHP)</u>

VHHP funds are to be used for acquisition, construction, rehabilitation, and preservation of affordable multifamily housing for veterans and their families to allow veterans to access and maintain housing stability. Funds must be used to serve veterans and their families with at least 50 percent of the funds awarded shall serve veteran households with extremely low incomes. Of those units targeted to extremely low-income veteran housing, 60 percent shall be supportive housing units.

HOUSING AND EMERGENCY SHELTER TRUST FUND ACT OF 2006 (Proposition 1C)

This voter approved measure authorized the state to sell \$2.85 billion of general obligation bonds to fund 13 new and existing housing and development programs. The major allocations of the bond proceeds are as follows:

- Development Programs (\$1.35 billion). The measure funded three new programs aimed at increasing development. Most of the funds are targeted for development projects in existing urban areas and near public transportation. The programs provide loans and grants for a wide variety of projects, such as parks, water, sewage, transportation, and housing.
- Homeownership Programs (\$625 million). A number of the programs funded by this measure encourage homeownership for low- and moderate-income homebuyers. The funds are used to provide down-payment assistance to homebuyers through lowinterest loans or grants. Typically, eligibility for this assistance is

- based on the household's income, the cost of the home being purchased, and whether it is the household's first home purchase.
- Multifamily Housing Programs (\$590 million). The measure also funds programs aimed at the construction or renovation of rental housing projects, such as apartment buildings. These programs generally provide local governments, nonprofit organizations, and private developers with low-interest (3%) loans to fund part of the construction cost. In exchange, a project must reserve a portion of its units for low-income households for a period of 55 years. This measure gives funding priority to projects in already developed areas and near existing public services (such as public transportation).
- Other Housing Programs (\$285 million). These funds are used to
 provide loans and grants to the developers of homeless shelters and
 housing for farm workers. In addition, funds are allocated to pilot
 projects aimed at reducing the costs of affordable housing.

CALIFORNIA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY (CALHFA)

CalHFA provides below-market interest rate mortgage capital through the sale of tax-exempt notes and bonds.

- Home Mortgage Purchase Program: CHFA sells tax-exempt
 Mortgage Revenue Bonds to provide below-market financing
 through approved private lenders to first-time homebuyers for the
 purchase of new or existing homes. The program operates through
 participating lenders who originate loans for CHFA purchase.
- Self-Help Housing Program: CHFA assists nonprofit housing development corporations that acquire land, provide building plans, and package loans for self-help housing. Families, under the supervision of nonprofit corporations, provide the majority of the construction labor. CHFA makes commitments to self-help corporations for low-interest mortgages and provides credit enhancements to lenders who provide construction financing and preferential interest rates.
- Multi-family Rental Housing Mortgage Loan Program: This program finances the construction or substantial rehabilitation of projects containing 20 or more units. 20% of the units in a project must be set aside for low-income tenants at affordable rents for the greater of 15 years or as long as the mortgage is outstanding.

December 10, 201321 X-171

LOW-INCOME HOUSING TAX CREDIT (LIHTC) PROGRAM

This state program provides for federal tax credits for private developers and investors who agree to set aside all or a portion of their units for low-income households and the elderly for no less than 15 years. A minimum of 20% of the units must be made available to families whose income is less than 50% of the County median income or 40% of the units must be made available to families whose income is up to 80% of the median.

Developers and investors must apply for an allocation of housing units from the State Allocation Committee, administered by the Tax Credit Allocation Committee. While the program is beneficial in adding low-income housing units to the local housing stock, the statewide allocations are limited under this program and the application process is expensive for the developer. In addition, single resident and elderly rental projects are not competitive based on the State's selection criteria.

CALIFORNIA MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES ACT (PROPOSITION 63 OF 2004)

The passage of Proposition 63 (now known as the Mental Health Services Act or MHSA) in November 2004, provides the first opportunity in many years for the California Department of Mental Health (DMH) to provide increased funding, personnel and other resources to support county mental health programs and monitor progress toward statewide goals for children, transition age youth, adults, older adults and families. The Act addresses a broad continuum of prevention, early intervention and service needs and the necessary infrastructure, technology and training elements that will effectively support this system.

This Act imposes a 1% income tax on personal income in excess of \$1 million. Statewide, much of the funding is provided to county mental health programs to fund programs consistent with their local plans. MHSA funding has been approved to facilitate development, acquisition or rehabilitation of permanent supportive housing for the target population.

STATE MULTIFAMILY HOUSING PROGRAM (MHP)

The purpose of the MHP is to assist the new construction, rehabilitation and preservation of permanent and transitional rental housing for lower income households. This program provides deferred payment loans for 55 years at 3% simple interest on the unpaid principal balance with 0.42% annual payments, and the balance of principal and interest due and payable upon completion of loan term. Projects are not eligible if construction has commenced as of the application date, or if they are receiving 9% federal low income housing tax credits.

MHP funds will be provided for post-construction permanent financing only. Eligible costs include the cost of child care, after school care and social service facilities integrally linked to the assisted housing units; real property acquisition; refinancing to retain affordable rents; necessary onsite and offsite improvements; reasonable fees and consulting costs; and capitalized reserves.

Eligible applicants include local public entities, for-profit and nonprofit corporations, limited equity housing cooperatives, individuals, Indian reservations and rancherias, and limited partnerships in which an eligible applicant or an affiliate of an applicant is a general partner. Applicants or their principals must have successfully developed at least one affordable housing project.

Local and Private Resources and Programs c.

REDEVELOPMENT TAX INCREMENT FUNDS

On December 29, 2011, the California Supreme Court (the Court) issued its opinion in CRA v. Matosantos, regarding the constitutionality of AB1X 26 & 27. In their opinion, the Court upheld the provisions of AB1X 26, effectively eliminating redevelopment agencies statewide, but struck down AB1X 27 the legislation that would have allowed redevelopment agencies to continue so long as they provided payments to the State. Pursuant to California Health & Safety Code Section 34170 et seq., the Orange County Development Agency was officially dissolved on February 1, 2012. As a result of that action, redevelopment funds are no longer available as leverage for use with Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) and/or Home Investment Partnership (HOME) funds. A Housing Successor Agency was established to wind down all remaining financial obligations of the Orange County Development Agency.

Affordable units produced or substantially rehabilitated through support by the former Orange County Development Agency's Housing Set Aside Fund from 2006 to 201220 are identified in Table 5-2 below:

December 10, 201321 X-173

Table X-42 <u>Table 5-2</u>
Affordable Housing Assisted with Former OCDA Funds – 2006-201220

Project Description	New Units Produced
Cornerstone Apartments	49
Bonterra Apartment Homes	94
Stonegate I Apartments	38
Stonegate II Apartments	26
Avenida Villas	29
Dorado Senior Apartments	150
Montecito Vista Apartments	162
Stratford Place and Windsor Court	86
Granite Court Apartments	71
Woodbury NE Apartments	150
Diamond Aisle	29
Buena Vista Apartments	17
Potter's Lane	<u>15</u>
Placentia Veterans Village	<u>24</u>
<u>Della Rosa</u>	<u>25</u>
Oakcrest Heights	15 24 25 54
Salerno at Cypress Village	<u>37</u>
Total	901 _ <u>1056</u>

Due to dissolution, the former Orange County Development Agency did not commit funding for projects that would have been completed from 2013 through 2021. Based on the uncertainty of the Housing Successor Agency funds, and the ability to monitor and maintain the existing HSA assets, it is unknown how many new affordable units will be created through HSA funds in the future. Based on repayment from loans, HSA has committed initially to the programs listed in Table X 43.

Table X-43
Proposed Housing Successor Agency Affordable Housing Assistance –
201321-202129

Project Description	Housing Fund Expenditure
Tenant Based Rental Assistance Program	\$500,00
Single Family Affordable Rental Housing Program	\$2,500,000
Total	\$3,000,000

BUILDING INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION/HOMEAID PROGRAM

HomeAid Orange County was established in 1989 by the Orange County Chapter of the Building Industry Association of Southern California with the mission to end homelessness through the development of shelters, advocacy, and service for the homeless. In the last 25 years, HomeAid has developed 50 shelters, adding more than 1,000 beds and changed the lives of more than 50,000 people who have experienced homelessness.

Kennedy Commission

The Kennedy Commission formed as a companion effort of the Affordable Housing Collaborative. The focus of this group is advocacy for the extremelylow-income group with incomes under \$10 per hour (approximately \$20,000 per year).

ORANGE COUNTY HOUSING TRUST (OCHT)

OCHT serves as a catalyst, creating solutions to Orange County's shortage of housing for low- to moderate-income families and individuals. OCHT does this by providing flexible financing and promoting cross sector collaboration. With the support of private investors, governments, major corporations and foundations, and community leaders, OCHT makes loans that enable public and private sector projects to preserve, rehabilitate, and build affordable workforce housing and raise funds for down payment assistance to encourage home ownership. is a fully qualified 501(c)(3) nonprofit private capital-funded trust dedicated to increasing the supply and availability of permanent supportive housing units and affordable housing developments in Orange County to prevent homelessness. With renewed interest to solve the homelessness crisis in Orange County, Orange County Business Council approached Neighbor Works Orange County to work together to retool OCHT and seek donations from the private sector interested in making a difference. Their focus is to provide gap financing for the acquisition, development or construction of supportive and affordable housing projects for developers who share our vision of ending homelessness in Orange County.

ORANGE COUNTY HOUSING FINANCE TRUST (OCHFT)

In June 2018, the Board of Supervisors approved a Housing Funding Strategy in response to the crisis of homelessness and a shortage of supportive housing and affordable housing in Orange County. It set a target for the development of 2,700 new supportive housing units within six years. The Housing Funding Strategy identified all the funding sources for both capital and operating funding. While these numbers are significant it is not enough to fill the funding gap needed to achieve the target housing units.

The Orange County Housing Finance Trust (OCHFT) was formed in 2019 as a joint powers authority between the County of Orange and the cities throughout the county. OCHFT was created for the purpose of funding housing specifically assisting the homeless population and persons and families of extremely low, very low, and low income within the County of Orange.

December 10, 201321 X-175

COUNTY'S MORTGAGE ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

The County's Mortgage Assistance Program (MAP) provides silent (deferred payment) downpayment assistance loans to assist low-income first-time homebuyers (FTHB). Eligible FTHB's annual income must not exceed 80% of the Area Median Income (AMI). The loans are designed to help with the down payment to purchase a home. The 3% simple interest, deferred payment loan has a 30-year term and a maximum loan amount of \$80,000. Homebuyers must occupy the property as their primary residence. There is a 1% minimum down payment requirement for this program and the total sales prices shall not exceed 85% of the Orange County median sales price for all homes. All applicants are required to attend a homebuyer education workshop. This program is available to eligible families in the unincorporated areas and in several participating cities.

Updated MAP policies and guidelines were approved by the Board of Supervisors on August 25, 2020. Eleven (11) households received a loan from the MAP during the 2013-2021 planning period. Whereas 0 loans were funded with HOME, eleven (11) loans were funded with CalHOME.

Opportunities for Energy Conservation

State of California Energy Efficiency Standards for Residential and Nonresidential Buildings were established in 1978 in response to a legislative mandate to reduce California's energy consumption. The standards are codified in Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations and are updated periodically to allow consideration and possible incorporation of new energy efficiency technologies and methods. California's building efficiency standards (along with those for energy efficient appliances) have saved more than \$56 billion in electricity and natural gas costs since 1978. It is estimated the standards will save an additional \$23 billion by 2013¹¹.

Title 24 sets forth mandatory energy standards and requires the adoption of an "energy budget" for all new residential buildings and additions to residential buildings. Separate requirements are adopted for "low-rise" residential construction (i.e., no more than 3 stories) and non-residential buildings, which includes hotels, motels, and multi-family residential buildings with four or more habitable stories. The standards specify energy saving design for lighting, walls, ceilings and floor installations, as well as heating and cooling equipment and systems, gas cooling devices, conservation standards and the use of non-depleting energy sources, such as solar energy or wind power. The home building industry

¹¹ California Energy Commission (e)

must comply with these standards while localities are responsible for enforcing the energy conservation regulations through the plan check and building inspection processes.

Examples of opportunities and techniques for reducing residential energy use include the following:

- Glazing Glazing on south facing exterior walls allows for winter sunrays to warm the structure. Reducing glazing and regulating sunlight penetration on the west side of the unit prevents afternoon sunrays from overheating the unit.
- **Landscaping** Strategically placed vegetation reduces the amount of direct sunlight on the windows. The incorporation of deciduous trees in the landscaping plans along the southern exposure of units reduces summer sunrays, while allowing penetration of winter sunrays to warm the units.
- **Building Design** The implementation of roof overhangs above southerly facing windows shield the structure from solar rays during the summer months.
- **Cooling/Heating Systems** The use of attic ventilation systems reduces attic temperatures during the summer months. Solar heating systems for swimming pool facilities saves on energy costs. Natural gas is conserved with the use of flow restrictors on all hot water faucets and showerheads.
- Weatherizing Techniques Weatherization techniques such as insulation, caulking, and weather stripping can reduce energy use for air-conditioning up to 55% and for heating as much as 40%. Weatherization measures seal a dwelling unit to guard against heat gain in the summer and prevent heat loss in the winter.
- Efficient Use of Appliances Appliances can be used in ways that increase their energy efficiency. Unnecessary appliances can be eliminated. Proper maintenance and use of stove, oven, clothes dryer, washer, dishwasher, and refrigerator can also reduce energy consumption. New appliance purchases can be made on the basis of efficiency ratings.

In addition to these architectural and construction techniques, thoughtful land use planning provides additional opportunities for energy savings. Examples of the County's energy-saving land use strategies include higher density along transit

December 10, 201321 X-177

181 of 333

routes and close to employment centers and infill development. The Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone advances this goal, as does the County's long history of approving balanced communities such as Ladera Ranch and The Ranch Plan Rancho Mission Viejo planned communities.

6. HOUSING ACTION PLAN

This section of the Housing Element presents the County's Housing Action Plan for the period 201321-202129. The goals, strategies and actions described below are organized according to major issue areas and reflect the findings of the County's needs assessment and evaluation of the accomplishments since the last Housing Element update. The County will review these strategies and actions continuously throughout the planning period and make adjustments to better accomplish the objectives as necessary (see Issue 6). A summary in matrix form, Table X-45, is included at the end of this section that provides an overview of the Housing Action Plan to include program specifics identified in Sections 3 and 4 as well as the Appendices.

Goals, Strategies, and Actions (To be updated in final draft)

Issue 1: How will the County accommodate its RHNA allocation for all income levels through 20219?

Discussion

An adequate supply of housing at affordable prices is critical to the long-term economic viability of Orange County. Previous surveys of business leaders have shown that the price of housing is the leading barrier to business expansion. A shortage of housing at affordable levels makes it more difficult for businesses, government and universities to recruit new employees, and exacerbates traffic congestion and air quality problems as workers commute longer distances in search of housing.

Through the Regional Housing Needs Assessment process, each jurisdiction is allocated a portion of the region's housing need through the year 20249. The County of Orange's allocation according to income category is shown in Table X-44.

Table X-44 Table 6-1 Summary of Housing Needs by Income Category -201421-202129

Very Low*	Low	Moderate	Above Mod	Total
1,240* <u>3,139</u>	879 <u>1,866</u>	979 -2,040	2,174 <u>3,361</u>	5,272 10,406
23.4% 30.2%	17.1% <u>17.9%</u>	18.7% <u>19.6%</u>	40.8% <u>32.3%</u>	100%

*Half (620) of these VL units are assumed to be in the extremely low category

Source: SCAG 201221

December 10, 201321 X-179

183 of 333

State law requires that jurisdictions adopt plans and policies to address their RHNA allocation. The County proposes to address its need through a variety of policies and programs that minimize constraints to the development of new housing and proactively assist in the development of housing for persons with low and moderate incomes. As discussed previously, one of the County's most important, but increasingly limited, resources for housing production is vacant buildable land.

In the discussion of land inventory (Appendix B) it was seen that the unincorporated territory under the jurisdiction of the County has been reduced considerably over the past 30 years due to annexations and incorporations. Seven new cities in South County incorporated during this time and a number of major annexations occurred. Taken together, these jurisdictional changes resulted in a loss of over 60,000 acres of unincorporated territory.

The key implication of these jurisdictional realignments is that a far greater portion of new residential development in Orange County will take place within cities than was the case in the past. Since only one major new planned community remains to be developed in the unincorporated area (Rancho Mission Viejo The Ranch Plan Planned Community), the County will continue to place major emphasis on infill development strategies in the urbanized unincorporated islands. This policy will require close cooperation with adjacent cities and surrounding neighborhoods to ensure that new development is compatible with the existing fabric of these communities. A key strategy of the County is to encourage housing on existing commercial non-residential sites (primarily commercial), including underutilized sites along arterial highways, through application of the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone. See Appendix B for a detailed discussion on this topic.

b. Goals

Goal 1. An adequate supply of housing that varies sufficiently in cost, style, tenure, and neighborhood type to meet the economic and social needs of every existing and future resident of the county; and which provides sufficient housing opportunities to achieve a better jobs-housing balance for employees of businesses located in the unincorporated area.

c. Strategies and Actions

Strategy 1a. Continue to support affordable housing production as one of the County's priorities.

Action: Maintain and expand affordable housing as a priority for the County.

Action: Facilitate the production of affordable units by offering incentives such as density bonus, expedited permit processing, modifications to development standards, taxexempt conduit financing, infrastructure financing assistance and direct financial assistance in exchange for a proportional commitment to provide units at affordable prices or rents.

Action: OC Community Services Resources staff will work cooperatively with other governmental agencies, business groups, universities, environmental organizations, housing advocates and the development community to increase public awareness of the importance of affordable housing to the County's long-term viability and the programs to facilitate affordable housing.

Action: Aggressively pursue all state and federal housing grant funds for which the County is eligible and as feasible.

Action: The County Planning Department's "Affordable Housing Project Manager" will continue to assist affordable housing developers through the County's application review and approval process. The Project Manager will act as liaison between the developer and County staff to ensure that proposed projects are not unnecessarily delayed.

Action: Continue to encourage affordable housing development in the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone.

Action: Enhance the feasibility of affordable housing development by encouraging consolidation of smaller adjacent parcels through "graduated density zoning" that allows increased density when small parcel are consolidated into a single building site according to the following formula:

Parcel Size	Allowable Base Density*
Less than 0.50 acre	25 units/acre
0.50 acre to 0.99 acre	27.5 units/acre (10% increase)
1.00 acre or more	30 units/acre (20% increase)

^{*}Excluding density bonus

December 10, 201321 X-181

185 of 333

Strategy 1b. Ensure that new large-scale development includes a sufficient range of housing types and densities in appropriate locations to facilitate the production of housing for all economic segments, including very-low- and extremely-low-income households, consistent with the County's quantified objectives.

Action: Coordinate the location of major housing developments, particularly affordable housing and multi-family units, with existing and proposed highway and transit routes, major employment centers, shopping facilities and other services. (See Appendix B.)

Action: Encourage the provision of adequate sites at appropriate densities to accommodate affordable housing.

Action: To assist the development of housing for lower-income households on larger sites, the County will facilitate parcel maps and/or lot line adjustments resulting in parcel sizes that facilitate multifamily developments affordable to lower-income households in light of state, federal and local financing programs (i.e., 2 to 10 acres). The County will work with property owners and affordable housing developers to target and market the availability of sites with the best potential for development. In addition, the County will offer the following incentives for the development of affordable housing including but not limited to:

- expediting the approval process for parcel maps that include affordable housing units,
- ministerial (no public hearing) review of lot line adjustments,
- deferral of fees for projects affordable to lower-income households, if feasible,
- provide technical assistance to acquire funding, and
- *modification of development standards.*

Action: Establish affordable housing at the extremely-low-income and very-low-income levels as priorities in negotiating any future development agreements, or renegotiating existing development agreements in accordance with the County's quantified objectives.

Action: Work with cities and LAFCO to ensure that new planned communities in sphere of influence areas provide adequate sites at appropriate densities for affordable housing.

Over the past several decades the majority of residential development in the unincorporated area has occurred in large planned communities such as Mission Viejo, Laguna Niguel, Rancho Santa Margarita, Aliso Viejo, Foothill Ranch, and Talega. Nearly all of these areas have been incorporated into new cities. The unincorporated area has one remaining large planned community known as Rancho Mission Viejo The Ranch Plan, encompassing approximately 6,000 acres of developable land located in the southeastern portion of the county. A General Plan amendment, Planned Community Development Plan (zoning) and a development agreement were approved for this project by the Board of Supervisors in 2004. Since that time, the property owner has been working with the County to prepare detailed development and infrastructure plans. The approved zoning and development agreement allows 14,000 dwelling units and also requires the property owner to comply with the Affordable Housing Implementation Plan, as amended (2016), which includes the Private Sector Alternative discussed in Strategies and Actions section. dedicate sites totaling 60 gross acres to the County for affordable housing development.

Since the grand opening of Planning Area 1 in 2013, Rancho Mission Viejo and neighborhood builders have completed and sold/rented 1,247 housing units in Sendero Planning Area 1, of which 286 are deed-restricted senior housing (Age Qualified) units. In addition, 107 senior affordable apartments have been leased. Since the grand opening of Planning Area 2 in 2015 and through the end of 2019, Rancho Mission Viejo and neighborhood builders have obtained building permits for 2,507 market rate units in Esencia Planning Area 2, and occupancy permits (closings) for 2,475 market rate units, of which 830 have been deed-restricted senior housing (Age Qualified) units. In addition, a 112-unit affordable family apartment project has been leased.

The development timetable for <u>Rancho Mission Viejo</u> The Ranch will be determined by the property owner based on financial and market conditions. Tentative subdivision maps and infrastructure plans are moving forward and the property owner has projected a total of 5,160 4,165 dwelling units constructed before the end of the planning period.

December 10, 201321 X-183

According to the provisions of the Affordable Housing Implementation Agreement (AHIA), the number of affordable housing sites provided would be "commensurate with the overall pace of development." It is the intent of this Agreement that affordable housing projects would be constructed at the same time as adjacent market-rate projects to ensure a seamless residential neighborhood and to avoid any potential NIMBYism from residents of market-rate residential units. Pursuant to this Agreement, the developer has offered to the County three approximately 4 acre sites in Planning Area 2 for the provision of affordable housing. Subject to further CEQA review, it is expected that these sites could produce between 180 and 360 units of affordable housing before the end of the planning.

Because The Ranch Plan Rancho Mission Viejo represents the most significant residential development opportunity in the unincorporated area, the County is committed to the following specific actions to facilitate its development.

Alternative Approaches – Pursuant to the AHIA and its subsequent Addenda No. 1 (2013) and No. 2 (2016), the County of Orange has approved three (3) alternatives for the provision / development of affordable housing on Rancho Mission Viejo (RMV) property: (1) the public sector option, (2) the private sector option, or (3) the possibility that affordable housing will be delivered using both the private sector and public sector options.

CEQA – The County of Orange certified Final Program EIR IP# 15-157 (OC Affordable Housing Implementation Plan—Ranch Plan, 2016) to address the impacts of the AHIA's affordable housing program over and above the impacts of RMV providing the County of Orange with graded sites; access; and utilities to each affordable development site, as previously addressed by Final EIR #589.

<u>Private Sector Alternative</u> – Due to the loss of access to State redevelopment funds for construction of affordable housing, the County of Orange explored alternative methods of developing affordable housing units. Within Rancho Mission Viejo the private sector option is currently the most viable approach to providing affordable housing, whereby:

• RMV enters a long-term ground lease with an affordable housing builder and restricts the use of the site to low and very low-income households for a period of 55 years.

189 of 333

- RMV records an Irrevocable Offer of Dedication (IOD) which allows the County to obtain a housing site after 15 years, but not later than 55 years.
- RMV provides all required infrastructure (i.e., street access, grading and utility stub-outs) at no cost to the County.
- RMV receives credit toward the 60-acre requirement multiplied by a factor of two (for example, a five-gross-acre parcel that is developed under this approach would receive a Dedicated Land Credit of ten gross acres).

<u>Current Status</u> – To date, 219 affordable units on 7.8 acres have been developed within the RMV community using the private sector alternative; specifically:

- Sendero Bluffs: 107 DU on a 3.4-acre site in PA1 adjacent to Sendero Marketplace off Ortega Highway
- Esencia Norte: 112 DU on a 4.0-acre site in PA2.1 near the intersection of Cow Camp Road and Esencia Drive

Therefore, because developed acreage is counted double per the private sector alternative, 15.6 acres (i.e., two times 7.8 acres) have been credited thus far, and 44.4 acres remain of RMV's pledge to provide up to 60-acres of land that may be used for the development of affordable housing. As such, a total of 22.2 gross acres of affordable housing could be developed using the private sector alternative, netting approximately 555 additional affordable units. A 22.2-gross-acre credit would be granted for private sector financing. The affordable housing would be distributed throughout the remaining Planning Areas 3, 4, 5, and 8 slated for development. The "OC Affordable Housing Implementation Plan EIR" anticipated distribution of these 22.2 acres by Planning Area, with 13.2 affordable housing acres identified for potential development within PA3, for a total of approximately 330 dwelling units. On September 13, 2019, the County of Orange Planning Commission approved the Planning Area 3 & 4 Master and Subarea Plans with references to potential affordable housing sites within Subarea Plans 3.3, 3.6, 3.7, 3.8, 3.11, 3.12 and 3.13 (all listed as up to 6-gross acres in size).

The County has designated the Manager, Planned Communities
 <u>Land Development</u> as the primary point of contact for the developer to expedite applications and resolve issues that may arise;

December 10, 201321 X-185

- The Director, OC Community Services Resources/Housing is the primary designated contact for facilitating development of the sites to be dedicated for affordable housing;
- Affordable housing projects shall be approved administratively by right;
- The County will report annually to <u>sS</u>tate HCD and the Board of Supervisors regarding progress toward the development of <u>The Ranch Plan Rancho Mission Viejo</u> and its affordable housing sites.
- Strategy 1c. Work with cities, community organizations and neighborhood groups to facilitate infill housing development in conjunction with neighborhood revitalization and annexation of unincorporated islands.
 - Action: Continue to work with LAFCO to review unincorporated islands and identify priority areas with the highest potential for affordable housing development and annexation.
- Strategy 1d. Ensure that the County's policies, codes, development review procedures and fees do not represent unjustified constraints to the development of new housing.
 - Action: Continue to support the Development Processing Review Committee in reviewing existing and proposed codes, procedures and fees to ensure that they do not unreasonably hinder housing production. DPRC policy and code amendment recommendations will be implemented within one fiscal year.
- Strategy 1e. Continue to pursue policy changes at the state level to remove barriers to the production of affordable housing.
 - Action: Seek concurrence from the state Department of Housing and Community Development that affordable units built in cities and assisted with County funds (i.e., multijurisdictional agreement) should be partially credited toward the County's housing production for RHNA purposes in proportion to the amount of County funding.
 - Action: As feasible, work with developers to aggressively pursue tax-exempt bond and low-income tax credit allocations to

- ensure that Orange County receives its fair share of statewide funding under these programs.
- Action: Support changes to the California Environmental Quality Act that would allow streamlined procedures in urbanized unincorporated areas similar to those available in cities.
- Action: Support the removal of barriers to local flexibility in the administration and allocation of federal homeless assistance funding to allow these funds to be used to meet the specific needs of its homeless population.
- Action: Work with cities and developers to research incentives for sharing Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) credits for units constructed within city boundaries through a multi-jurisdictional agreement.
- Action: Establish a policy and procedures for County departments to coordinate the planning and development of new projects and programs, changes to existing projects and programs, and applications for funding opportunities, and to evaluate their potential impacts on regional housing needs as part of the Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) allocation process.
- *Support and encourage the development of* Strategy 1f. permanent supportive housing including affordable housing opportunities for households with incomes less than 30% of area median income (AMI).
- Action: Encourage developers seeking development agreements to include family rental housing as a part of the developments proposed, as appropriate. Seek funding sources the goal that 10% of new rental units will be for large families.
- Action: Continue to support County funding criteria to encourage permanent supportive housing. Action: Enforce Zoning Code ordinance that requires supportive housing to be treated as a residential use.
- Action: Solicit assistance from affordable housing developers and advocates in identifying potential constraints to the development of permanent supportive housing, and submit suggested actions to the DPRC for review.

December 10, 201321 X-187

191 of 333

Action: Continue to support the County Housing Authority and its participation in the Section 8 Rental Assistance Program and pursue additional Section 8 rental assistance vouchers when available.

Action: Continue the goal of producing a portion of units affordable at or below 30% area median income (AMI).

Action: Encourage developers seeking development agreements to include housing units affordable to households with incomes of less than 30% area median income (AMI).

Action: Continue the Tax-Exempt Single-Family Mortgage Revenue Bond Program for first-time homebuyers.

Action: Continue to publish the Affordable Housing Rental List.

Action: Monitor all subsidized projects annually to verify compliance with affordability covenants.

Action: Continue to <u>pursue and provide</u> assistance as described in the <u>Housing Funding Strategy</u> County's 10-Year Plan to <u>End Homelessness</u> and Continuum of Care program.

Action: Identify additional sites that are now available or easily made available for transitional shelters for homeless persons persons experiencing homelessness and families.

Action: Continue to implement Zoning Code regulations allowing emergency shelters without a conditional use permit or other discretionary permit in the commercial and industrial portions of the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone subject to appropriate development standards pursuant to Government Code Sec. 65583.a.4.

Issue 2: How can the County facilitate the rehabilitation and preservation of existing residential neighborhoods, especially affordable units?

a. Discussion

New construction represents a relatively small percentage of the unincorporated area's total housing inventory. The preservation and rehabilitation of the existing housing stock, especially affordable apartments, is essential in order for the County to meet its housing needs. Most of the housing in need of rehabilitation is located in the older unincorporated islands in North County. Of particular concern

are assisted rental projects that are eligible to convert to market rate due to the expiration of agreements between the owners and government agencies.

The County participates in a variety of programs that provide assistance and incentives to property owners for carrying out needed repairs. Projects eligible to convert to market rate have also been evaluated for opportunities to extend existing commitments to maintain rents at affordable levels.

It is also recognized that mature areas such as northern Orange County require ongoing investment for the general maintenance and periodic replacement of infrastructure such as streets, sidewalks, water and wastewater systems, storm drains, street lights, landscaping and other public facilities in order to maintain the quality of residential neighborhoods. Without this public investment, residents and landlords may be discouraged from making needed repairs to their properties and gradual deterioration may occur. In addition to the County's General Fund, the federal CDBG program provides financing for infrastructure maintenance and replacement.

Many of the older unincorporated neighborhoods are located in "islands" within city spheres of influence. The Board of Supervisors has established a policy of working cooperatively with the cities and LAFCO to facilitate the annexation of these islands in a timely manner. Where possible, such annexations should be part of a comprehensive program to help revitalize these communities by upgrading substandard housing and other facilities such as streets, storm drains, sidewalks, parks and schools.

b. Goals

- Goal 2a To <u>eC</u>onserve and improve the condition of the existing housing stock, especially affordable housing.
- Goal 2b Work cooperatively with cities and LAFCO to facilitate the annexation and revitalization of urbanized unincorporated islands.

c. Strategies and Actions

Strategy 2a. Continue to support explore programs designed to rehabilitate deteriorated units and encourage the maintenance and minor repair of structurally sound housing units to prevent their deterioration.

Action: Continue to <u>use research</u> available housing funding to finance housing rehabilitation.

December 10, 201321

- Strategy 2b. Preserve the affordability of federal, state and County-subsidized units threatened with conversion to market rates.
 - Action: Continue to monitor projects with expiring affordability covenants and take appropriate action to preserve these affordable units whenever possible.
 - Action: Continue to assist owners or purchasers of existing
 Mortgage Revenue Bond (MRB) projects to refund their
 bonds in exchange for augmented and/or extended
 affordability controls. Annually contact owners of at-risk
 units to gauge interest, provide a list of resources
 available for refund and negotiate terms on a project-byproject basis.
- Strategy 2c. Enhance the quality of existing residential neighborhoods by maintaining public facilities and requiring residents and landlords to maintain their properties in good condition.
 - Action: Continue the County's code enforcement and graffiti removal programs.
 - Action: Continue to provide ongoing infrastructure maintenance in existing residential neighborhoods through the capital improvement program.
 - Action: Continue to participate in the CDBG, HOME and related programs.
 - Action: Identify existing apartment complexes in need of repair and provide financial assistance or other incentives to encourage the owner to make a substantial investment in rehabilitation and ongoing maintenance and guarantee long-term affordability.
 - Action: Continue to work with LAFCO to review unincorporated islands and identify priority areas with the highest potential for affordable housing development and annexation.
- Strategy 2d. Ensure that the conversion of rental units or mobile home parks to ownership or other uses occurs in a responsible manner to protect the rights of both owners and tenants.

Action: Continue to enforce the provisions of the County's condominium and mobile home park conversion ordinance (Orange County Zoning Code Sec. 7-9-14789).

Issue 3: How can the County ensure equal housing opportunities and prevent housing discrimination?

a. Discussion

Equal housing opportunity is a fundamental right of all Americans. Federal and state fair housing laws make discrimination illegal, but enforcement action is sometimes necessary to ensure that existing laws are upheld. Existing federal law also requires new buildings to make reasonable accommodation for persons with disabilities.

b. Goals

Goal 3. To <u>pP</u>romote equal housing opportunities for all persons without discrimination on the basis of race, religion, ethnicity, sex, age, marital status, disability, or household composition.

c. Strategies and Actions

Strategy 3a. Continue to support enforcement of fair housing laws and organizations that provide fair housing information and intervention.

Action: Provide financial assistance from CDBG funds or other sources to fair housing organizations.

Strategy 3b. Facilitate the education of residents about their fair housing rights and of the process to make appropriate referrals for fair housing complaints.

Action: Continue to develop analysis of impediments to fair housing. Provide federal/state/local information regarding discrimination to residents at family briefing sessions, including applicable Fair Housing Information and Discrimination Complaint Forms. Also maintain bilingual staff to assist non-English speaking families and handicap accessible offices.

Action: Continue to work with the fair housing agencies to provide information and regarding housing discrimination and intervention to resolve complaints. Literature is made

December 10, 201321 X-191

available at County and fair housing agencies, as well as public libraries.

Strategy 3c. Encourage the removal of architectural barriers in existing residential units, and ensure that new units comply with accessibility standards.

Action: Continue to enforce building code provisions requiring accessible design.

Action: Continue to implement the reasonable accommodation ordinance.

Strategy 3d. The housing needs of persons with disabilities, including persons with developmental disabilities are typically not addressed by Title 24 Regulations. The housing needs of persons with disabilities, in addition to basic affordability, range from slightly modifying existing units to requiring a varying range of supportive housing facilities. The County will encourage the development of supportive housing for persons with disabilities, including developmental disabilities through the following actions.

Action: The County shall seek State and Federal monies, as funding becomes available, for permanent supportive housing construction and rehabilitation targeted for persons with disabilities, including persons with developmental disabilities.

Action: The County shall provide regulatory incentives, such as expedited permit processing and fee waiver, to projects targeted for persons with disabilities, including persons with developmental disabilities.

Action: The County shall reach out to developers of supportive housing as funding becomes available to encourage development of projects targeted for persons with disabilities, including persons with developmental disabilities.

Action: The County shall work with local resource agencies to implement an outreach program informing families within the county of housing and services available for persons with developmental disabilities.

Issue 4: How can the County encourage energy conservation in existing and future residential developments?

Discussion a.

State law requires that jurisdictions analyze opportunities for energy conservation in residential development as part of their Housing Element review process. Controlling energy costs is one important component of the larger housing affordability issue.

b. Goals

Goal 4: Reduce residential energy use within the County.

Strategies and Actions c.

Strategy 4a. Encourage the use of energy conservation features in residential construction, remodeling and existing homes.

> Action: Continue to require new construction and remodeling projects to meet energy conservation requirements.

Action: Provide information regarding energy efficiency measures in the Orange County Housing Opportunities Manual.

Action: Provide clients with information regarding "CalGreen" – California's Green Building Code.

Action: Discuss sustainable provisions from the Board adopted Comprehensive Zoning Code with property owners.

Examples include:

Replace old refrigerators - New refrigerators use significantly less energy than older models. A program sponsored by Southern California Edison (SCE) allows customers to recycle their refrigerators without costs. SCE will pick-up refrigerators and freezers that are older than 10 years of age and pay all charges associated with the recycling of the unit. Some customers may also be eligible to receive a new replacement refrigerator provided by SCE.

Weatherproofing - Weatherproofing strategies such as attic insulation, weather stripping and caulking for air leaks reduce energy costs by increasing efficiency. SCE residents living in a home or apartment with permanently installed electric space heating, may be eligible for free weatherproofing services.

December 10, 201321 X-193

197 of 333

Lighting - Energy-efficient compact fluorescent light bulbs (CFB) operate at cooler temperatures, use 70% less power and last eight to ten times longer than incandescent bulbs. SCE customers may be eligible for several free fluorescent bulbs and outdoor lighting fixtures if existing fixtures will not accept a CFB.

Cooling - Evaporating (or "swamp") coolers can lower air conditioning costs by using the natural cooling effect of evaporating water to lower temperatures of hot, dry outside air before circulating it inside the home.

Interruptible Service Program - SCE offers customers an opportunity to lower rates, provided residents decrease electricity usage. The Interruptible Service Program provides electricity for less than what would otherwise be charged. In return, residents agree to curtail electricity consumption during those periods when total demand could exceed generating capacity.

Issue 5: How can the County increase the availability of child care facilities to lower-income families?

a. Discussion

Many lower-income families face challenges finding child care. The County can encourage the provision of child care facilities in new affordable housing developments by reducing regulatory barriers to such facilities in the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone. Qualifying affordable developments are currently allowed by-right (i.e., no conditional use permit) in the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone. The Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone regulations also allow the provision of a child care facility or family day care home in each development without the requirement for a discretionary permit. Provision of a child care facility or designation of a family day care home is at the discretion of each developer and is not a requirement in the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone regulations. The provision of a child care facility on the premises would make the project eligible for an additional density bonus or incentive which could increase the project's financial feasibility.

The developer of an affordable housing project may elect to include in the development a child care facility that would be set aside exclusively for that use. If a density bonus or incentive is granted as a result of the provision of this facility, the developer must abide by the requirements set forth in density bonus law regarding the operation of the facility for the entire affordability period. The Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone regulations further require that the facility be reserved for use by residents only and that the facility meet all applicable State

laws including size, outdoor play areas and number of children. The facility could be operated either by the developer or by a State-licensed provider under contract to the developer.

A housing development that lacks the space in the development for a separate facility can still provide child care through the designation of a family day care home. This type of day care provider is home-based and would also be required to be State-licensed and to meet all applicable State laws regarding family day care regarding size, outdoor play areas and number of children. The designation of a family day care home does not meet the criteria set forth in density bonus law and therefore the development would not be eligible for an additional density bonus or incentive.

b. Goals

Goal 5. To encourage the provision of child care facilities in new affordable housing developments.

c. Strategies and Actions

Strategy 5. Reduce regulatory obstacles for new child care facilities within affordable housing developments.

Action: Both the Zoning Code and Housing Opportunities Manual allow the provision of child care in affordable housing developments utilizing the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone program. The County's Child Care Coordinator will assist in the development of the criteria and requirements for child care facilities and family day care homes. All conditions and requirements applied to this use will be delineated in the Affordable Housing Agreement entered into between the County and developer for each affordable housing development.

Issue 6: How will the County monitor its accomplishments and make periodic adjustments to programs as necessary to reflect changing circumstances over the course of the planning period?

a. Discussion

Circumstances, needs and funding availability will likely change over the 8-year timeframe of this Housing Element. In order to ensure that the County's efforts result in maximum effectiveness it is important to monitor these changing circumstances and make programmatic adjustments as necessary.

December 10, 201321 X-195

199 of 333

b. Goals

Goal 6. To-mMonitor changing circumstances and make adjustments to County programs as necessary to maximize progress toward established goals and objectives.

c. Strategies and Actions

Strategy 6. Monitor changing circumstances on a continuous basis and make adjustments to programs as necessary to maximize progress toward established goals and objectives. Report annually to the Board of Supervisors, HUD and HCD regarding the County's progress in implementing housing programs.

Action: As circumstances change, the County will make adjustments to program actions to maximize performance toward identified goals and objectives. Each year the County will report its progress in implementing housing programs to the Board of Supervisors, HUD and HCD. If new funding sources become available, new programs may be initiated to take advantage of such funds.

Action Plan Summary

Table X-45 provides a summary of the Housing Action Plan, including identification of responsible parties, objectives, and implementation schedules. The purpose of this table is to add specifics to the goals, strategies and actions identified above, to include anticipated time frames. Many of these details emanate from the results of analysis presented in Sections 3HI and 4IV. Additional details related to several programs are also found in Appendices A through C.

Table X-45 Table 6-2 Action Plan Summary

					Implementation
					Schedule
Strategy	Action	Responsible Agency	Funding Source	Objectives	<u>Timeline</u>
1 New Housing Production					
Strategy 1a. Continue to support affordable housing production as one of the County's priorities.	1a.1 Maintain and expand affordable housing as a priority for the County	OC Planning Development Services, OC Community Resources, County Executive Office (CEO)	General Fund	Continued from previous Housing Element.	2013-2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
	<u>1a.2</u> Facilitate the production of affordable units by offering incentives such as density bonus, expedited permit processing, modifications to development standards, tax-exempt conduit financing, infrastructure financing assistance and direct financial assistance in exchange for a proportional commitment to provide units at affordable prices or rents.	OC Planning Development Services, OC Community Resources	State and federal grants Redevelopment set-aside Bond financing	Continued from previous Housing Element. See Table VI-3	2013 2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
	<u>1a.3</u> Work cooperatively with other governmental agencies, business groups, universities, environmental organizations, housing advocates and the development community to increase public awareness of the importance of affordable housing to the County's long-term viability.	OC Community Resources, OC Planning <u>Development</u> <u>Services</u> (Affordable Housing Project Manager)	General Fund State & federal grants	Continued from previous Housing Element. Increase public awareness of the importance of affordable housing to the County's long-term viability.	2013 2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
	<u>1a.4</u> Aggressively pursue all state and federal housing grant funds for which the County is eligible and is feasible.	OC Community Resources	General Fund State and federal grants	Continued from previous Housing Element. Continue to participate in existing programs (e.g., HOME) and submit applications for all new programs for which the County is eligible.	2013-2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
	1a.5. The County Planning Department's "Affordable Housing Project Manager" will continue to assist affordable housing developers through the County's application review and approval process. The Project Manager will act as liaison between the developer and County staff to ensure that proposed projects are not unnecessarily delayed.	OC Planning <u>Development</u> <u>Services</u>	General Fund	Continued from previous Housing Element. Expedite affordable housing development applications.	2013 2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
	<u>1a.6.</u> Continue to encourage affordable housing development in the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone.	OC Planning <u>Development</u> <u>Services</u>	General Fund	Continued from previous Housing Element. Promote affordable housing development.	2013-2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029

Strategy	Action	Responsible Agency	Funding Source	Objectives	Implementation Schedule <u>Timeline</u>
	<u>1a.7.</u> Create incentives for consolidation of small adjacent parcels by allowing "graduated" density increases for larger parcels resulting in base densities up to 30 units/acre excluding density bonus.	OC Planning <u>Development</u> <u>Services</u>	General Fund	Continued from previous Housing Element. Continue to facilitate affordable housing development in the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone using graduated density increases.	2013 2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
Strategy 1b. Ensure that new large- scale development includes a sufficient range of housing types and densities in appropriate locations to facilitate the production of housing for all economic segments consistent	<u>1b.1</u> Coordinate the location of major housing developments, particularly affordable housing and multi-family units, with existing and proposed highway and transit routes, major employment centers, shopping facilities and other services. (see Appendix B)	OC Planning <u>Development</u> <u>Services</u>	General Fund Development fees	Continued from previous Housing Element. Designate one Explore affordable housing sites at each new major intersection.	See Appendix B Ongoing, 2021- 2029
with the County's quantified objectives.	Encourage the provision of adequate sites at appropriate densities to accommodate affordable housing.	OC Planning	General Fund Development fees	That each new planned community provide sufficient sites at appropriate densities to achieve the objectives of the Housing Opportunities Program, i.e., 10% Low, 10% Moderate I and 5% Moderate II.	2013-2021
	1b.2 Establish affordable housing at the Very-Low-Income level as a priority in negotiating any future development agreements, or renegotiating existing development agreements.	OC Planning Development Services	General Fund Development fees	Continued from previous Housing Element. That all new or renegotiated developments include the provision of very-low-income housing	2013-2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
	Work with cities and LAFCO to ensure that new planned communities in sphere of influence areas provide adequate sites at appropriate densities for affordable housing. (See Appendix A)	OC Planning, CEO	General Fund	That all projects within city spheres of influence provide affordable housing in proportion to the County's overall quantified objectives.	2013-2021

X-198 202 of 333 December 10, 201321

Strategy	Action	Responsible Agency	Funding Source	Objectives	Implementation Schedule Timeline
	1b.3 To aAssist in the development of housing for lower-income households on larger sites, the County will facilitate parcel maps and/or lot line adjustments resulting in parcel sizes that facilitate multifamily developments affordable to lower-income households in light of state, federal and local financing programs (i.e., 2-10 acres). The County will work with property owners and affordable housing developers to target and market the availability of sites with the best potential for development. In addition, the County will offer incentives for the development of affordable housing.	OC Planning <u>Development</u> <u>Services</u> , OC Community Resources	General Fund State and federal grants Redevelopment set-aside	Continued from previous Housing Element. Facilitate development of large parcels for affordable housing.	Throughout the planning period. Ongoing, 2021-2029
Strategy 1c. Work with cities, community organizations and neighborhood groups to facilitate infill housing development in conjunction with neighborhood revitalization and annexation of unincorporated islands.	1c.1 Continue to work with LAFCO to review unincorporated islands and identify priority areas with the highest potential for affordable housing development and annexation. (See Appendix C.)	OC Planning <u>Development</u> <u>Services</u> , OC Community Resources, LAFCO	General Fund State and federal grants Redevelopment set-aside	Continued from previous Housing Element. Develop revitalization and annexation plans for 3 priority areas	Throughout the planning period. Ongoing, 2021- 2029
Strategy 1d. Ensure that the County's policies, codes, development review procedures and fees do not represent unjustified constraints to the development of new housing.	1d.1 Continue to support the Development Processing Review Committee in reviewing existing and proposed codes, procedures and fees to ensure that they do not unreasonably hinder housing production.	OC Planning <u>Development</u> <u>Services</u>	General Fund	Continued from previous Housing Element. Continue to hold DPRC meetings on a monthly basis	Ongoing DPRC meetings; Policy and code amendment recommendations implemented within 1-fiscal year. 2021-2029
Strategy 1e. Continue to pursue policy changes at the state level to remove barriers to the production of affordable housing.	1e.1 Seek concurrence from the state Department of Housing and Community Development that affordable units built in cities and assisted with County funds (i.e., multi-jurisdictional agreement) should be partially credited toward the County's housing production for RHNA purposes in proportion to the amount of County funding.	OC Community Resources, OC Planning <u>Development</u> <u>Services</u>	General Fund	Continued from previous Housing Element. Seek concurrence from state HCD that new units assisted with County funds shall be credited to the County in proportion to the level of funding assistance, and if necessary, propose legislative action.	Ongoing, 2021- 2029

December 10, 201321 X-199

Strategy	Action	Responsible Agency	Funding Source	Objectives	Implementation Schedule Timeline
	1e.2 Support County of Orange policy for departments to coordinate the planning and development of new projects and programs, changes to existing projects and programs, and applications for funding opportunities, and to evaluate their potential impacts on regional housing needs as part of the Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) allocation process.	OC Community Resources, OC Development Services CEO Real Estate, OC Health Care Agency	General Fund	New policy that all projects recently annexed or affordable housing developments funded by the County within City limits be considered for RHNA transfers.	Ongoing, 2021- 2029
	1e.3 As feasible, work with developers to aggressively pursue tax-exempt bond and low-income tax credit allocations to ensure that Orange County receives its fair share of statewide funding under these programs.	OC Community Resources, CEO	General Fund State & federal grants	Continued from previous Housing Element. Obtain Explore a proportionate share of bond and LIHTC allocations for unincorporated Orange County.	2013 2021 (e\(\text{O}\)ngoing 2021- 2029_ as NOFAs and other funding notifications are issued)
	1e.4 Support changes to the California Environmental Quality Act that would allow streamlined procedures in urbanized unincorporated areas similar to those available in cities.	OC Planning <u>Development</u> <u>Services</u>	General Fund	Continued from previous Housing Element. Add this item to the County's legislative agenda	2013-2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
	<u>1e.5</u> Support the removal of barriers to local flexibility in the administration and allocation of federal homeless assistance funding to allow these funds to be used to meet the specific needs of its homeless population.	OC Community Resources, OC Planning <u>Development</u> <u>Services, OC Health Care</u> <u>Agency</u>	General Fund	Continued from previous Housing Element. Facilitate homeless assistance	2013-2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
	<u>1e.6</u> Work with cities and developers to research incentives for sharing Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) credits for units constructed within city boundaries through a multi-jurisdictional agreement.	OC Planning <u>Development</u> <u>Services</u>	General Fund	Continued from previous Housing Element. Pursue RHNA credit commensurate with the level of funding support.	2013-2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
	1e.7 Work with developers to research grants and loans funded by the Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) to address environmental investigations and cleanups on properties with environmental constraints.	OC Community Resources, OC Development Services, CEO Real Estate	State grants and loans	New policy to facilitate development of sites with environmental constraints	Ongoing, 2021- 2029
Strategy 1f. Support and encourage the development of permanent supportive housing including affordable housing opportunities for	1f.1 Encourage developers seeking development agreements to include family rental housing as a part of the developments proposed and as appropriate. Seek funding sources the goal that 10% of new rental units will be for large families.	OC <u>Planning</u> <u>Development</u> <u>Services</u> , OC Community Resources	General Fund	10% of new rental units for large families. New policy to seek funding sources for large families.	2013-2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029

December 10, 2013<u>21</u> X-200

CHAPTER X – HOUSING ELEMENT

Strategy	Action	Responsible Agency	Funding Source	Objectives	Implementation Schedule Timeline
households with incomes less than 30% of area median income (AMI).	1f.2 Continue to support County funding criteria to encourage permanent supportive housing	OC Community Resources	General Fund	10% of new rental units for large families. Continued from previous Housing Element. Continue to release NOFAs for permanent supportive housing.	2013 2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
	1f.3 Enforce Zoning Code ordinance that requires supportive housing to be treated as a residential use.	OC Planning <u>Development</u> <u>Services</u> , OC Community Resources	General Fund State & federal grants	Continued from previous Housing Element. Continue to facilitate supportive housing development	2013 2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
	1f.4Solicit assistance from affordable housing developers and advocates in identifying potential constraints to the development of permanent supportive housing including current standards for traffic maintenance, parking ratios or other potential development standards, and submit suggested actions to the DPRC for review.	OC <u>Planning</u> <u>Development</u> <u>Services</u> , OC Community Resources	General Fund; state & federal grants	Continued from previous Housing Element. Identify and remove constraints to permanent supportive housing	2013-2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
	1f.5 Continue to support the County Housing Authority and its participation in the Section 8 Rental Assistance Program and pursue additional Section 8 rental assistance vouchers when available.	OC Housing Authority	HUD, Section 8	Continued from previous Housing Element. Maintain and expand rental assistance to lower-income households	2013-2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
	1f.6 Continue the goal of producing a portion of units affordable developing 2,700 supportive housing units at or below 30% area median income (AMI) by 2025.	OC Community Resources	State & federal grants; tax credits; bonds, Section 8 (project based)	500 Modified policy to develop 2,700 new extremely-low-income units during the planning period by 2025	2013 2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
	1f.7 Encourage developers seeking development agreements to include housing units affordable to households with incomes of less than 30% area median income (AMI).	OC Planning <u>Development</u> <u>Services</u>	State & federal grants; tax credits; bonds	Continued from previous Housing Element. 120 Encourage development of new extremely- low-income units during the planning period	2013-2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
	1f.8 Continue the Tax-Exempt Single-Family Mortgage Revenue Bond Program for first-time homebuyers.	CEO Public Finance	Bonds	Continued from previous Housing Element. Expand affordable homeownership opportunities	2013 2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
	1f.9 Continue to publish the Affordable Housing Rental List. Housing Referral Directory	OC Community Resources	Dept. budget	Continued from previous Housing Element. Provide information to lower-income renters	2013-2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029

December 10, 2013<u>21</u>

Strategy	Action	Responsible Agency	Funding Source	Objectives	Implementation Schedule Timeline
	1f.10. Monitor all subsidized projects annually to verify compliance with affordability covenants.	OC Community Resources	State and federal grants	Continued from previous Housing Element. Maintain affordability of existing units to the greatest extent possible.	2013 2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
	1f.11Continue to <u>pursue and</u> provide assistance as described in the County's 10 Year Plan to End Homelessness and Continuum of Care program Housing Funding Strategy and Continuum of Care program.	OC Community Resources, OC Health Care Agency	Federal, state and local funding	Continued from previous Housing Element. Provide additional housing opportunities for the homeless	2013-2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
	1f.12 Identify additional sites that are now available or easily made available for shelters for homeless persons and families.	OC Community Resource, Health Care Agency OC Planning Development Services	General Fund, state and federal grants	Continued from previous Housing Element. Provide additional housing opportunities for the homeless	2013 2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
	1f.13 Continue to implement Zoning Code regulations to allowing emergency shelters without a conditional use permit or other discretionary permit in the commercial and industrial portions of the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone subject to appropriate development standards pursuant to Government Code Sec. 65583.a.4.	OC Planning <u>Development</u> <u>Services</u>	General Fund, state and federal grants	Continued from previous Housing Element. Provide additional housing opportunities for the homeless	2013-2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
Strategy 1g. Complete the identified rezoning actions as identified in Appendix B to meet the County's Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) allocation at all income levels for the 2021-2029 planning period.	1g.1 Increase the maximum permitted residential density within the Housing Opportunities Overlay to 65 dwelling units per acre (du/ac).	OC Development Services	General Fund	New policy to identify adequate sites to meet the County's 2021-2029 RHNA allocation.	Within 36 months of adoption of the 6 th Cycle Housing Element.
	1g.2 Discuss and coordinate with the City of Brea for the residential development of the identified parcels within the Brea Canyon Area (as shown in Appendix B).	OC Development Services	General Fund	New policy to identify adequate sites to meet the County's 2021-2029 RHNA allocation.	Within 36 months of adoption of the 6 th Cycle Housing Element.

December 10, 2013<u>21</u>

Strategy	Action	Responsible Agency	Funding Source	Objectives	Implementation Schedule Timeline
	1g.3 Pursuant to State Housing law, candidate sites identified in this Housing Element to accommodate a portion of the County's low- and very low -income RHNA that were identified in previously adopted Housing Elements must be rezoned to allow residential use by right at specified densities for housing developments in which at least 20 percent of the units are affordable to lower income households. By right shall mean the jurisdiction may not require any of the following discretionary actions, except if the project requires a subdivision: • A conditional use permit • A planned unit development permit • Other discretionary, local-government review or approval that would constitute a "project" The County may impose objective design review standards on projects. The County has identified as part of this Housing Element update vacant and nonvacant sites that were used in previous Housing Elements to meet the current RHNA need. To accommodate the provisions of State law, the County shall place a housing overlay zone over all nonvacant sites included in a prior Housing Element and all vacant sites included in two or more consecutive planning periods that permits by right development for projects that meet the requirements of State housing law. These sites are identified in Appendix B.	OC Development Services	General Fund	New policy to comply with recent State law regarding candidate housing sites used in multiple housing elements.	Within 36 months of adoption of the 6th Cycle Housing Element.
2. Rehabilitation and Preservation of Strategy 2a. Continue to support programs designed to rehabilitate deteriorated units and encourage the maintenance and minor repair of structurally sound housing units to prevent their deterioration.	2a.1 Continue to use available housing funding to finance housing rehabilitation.	OC Community Resources	State & federal grants	Continued from previous Housing Element. See Table VI-4, Quantified Objectives	2013 2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029

December 10, 201321 X-203

Strategy	Action	Responsible Agency	Funding Source	Objectives	Implementation Schedule Timeline
Strategy 2b. Preserve the affordability of federal, state and County-subsidized units threatened with conversion to market rates.	<u>2b.1</u> Continue to monitor projects with expiring affordability covenants and take appropriate action to preserve these affordable units whenever possible.	OC Community Resources	State & federal grants General Fund	Continued from previous Housing Element. Contact each owner of projects eligible to convert on an annual basis and negotiate terms to preserve affordability	2013 2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
	<u>2b.2</u> Continue to assist owners or purchasers of existing Mortgage Revenue Bond (MRB) projects to refund their bonds in exchange for augmented and/or extended affordability controls. Contact owners of at-risk units to gauge interest, provide a list of resources available for refund and negotiate terms on a project-by-project basis.	OC Community Resources, CEO Public Finance	State & federal grants	Continued from previous Housing Element. Preserve affordability of at-risk units	2013 2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
Strategy 2c. Enhance the quality of existing residential neighborhoods by maintaining public facilities and	2c.1 Continue the County's code enforcement and graffiti removal programs.	OC Planning <u>Development</u> <u>Services</u>	State & federal grants General Fund	Continued from previous Housing Element. Continue to fund and operate existing program.	2013 2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
requiring residents and landlords to maintain their properties in good condition.	<u>2c.2</u> Continue to provide ongoing infrastructure maintenance in existing residential neighborhoods through the capital improvement program (CIP).	OC Planning <u>Development</u> <u>Services</u> , CEO	State & federal grants General Fund	Continued from previous Housing Element. Provide funding in the CIP for needed infrastructure maintenance	2013-2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
	2c.3 Continue to participate in the CDBG, HOME and related programs.	OC Community Resources	CDBG, HOME	Continued from previous Housing Element. Submit applications to HUD as needed	2013 2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
	2c.4 Identify existing apartment complexes in need of repair and provide financial assistance or other incentives to encourage the owner to make a substantial investment in rehabilitation and ongoing maintenance and guarantee long-term affordability.	OC Community Resources	State & federal grants General Fund	Continued from previous Housing Element. See Table VI-4, Quantified Objectives	2013-2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
	2c.5 Continue to work with LAFCO to review unincorporated islands and identify three priority areas with the highest potential sites for affordable housing development.	OC Planning Development Services, OC Community Resources	General Fund State & federal grants	Modify policy to Delevelop revitalization and annexation plans for 3 priority areas	2013 2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
Strategy 2d. Ensure that the conversion of rental units or mobile home parks to ownership or other uses occurs in a responsible manner to protect the rights of both owners and tenants.	2d.1Continue to enforce the provisions of the County's condominium and mobile home park conversion ordinance (Orange County Code Sec. 7-9-89 and 7-9-92147).	OC Planning <u>Development</u> <u>Services</u>	General Fund	Continued from previous Housing Element. Ensure compliance with code	2013 2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029

December 10, 2013<u>21</u>

Strategy	Action	Responsible Agency	Funding Source	Objectives	Implementation Schedule Timeline
Strategy 2e: Encourage the development of Accessory Dwelling Units.	2e.1 Prepare pre-approved ADU plans to streamline development of ADUs.	OC Development Services	General Fund	New policy to ensure compliance with code	Ongoing, 2021- 2029
3. Equal Housing Opportunity					
Strategy 3a. Continue to support enforcement of fair housing laws and organizations that provide fair housing information and intervention.	<u>3a.1</u> Provide financial assistance from CDBG funds or other sources to fair housing organizations.	OC Community Resources	State & federal grants	Continued from previous Housing Element. Continue to fund fair housing organizations at existing levels	2013 2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
Strategy 3b. Facilitate the education of residents about their fair housing rights and of the process to make appropriate referrals for fair housing complaints.	3b.1 Continue to develop analysis of impediments to fair housing. Provide federal/state/local information regarding discrimination to residents at family briefing sessions, including applicable Fair Housing Information and Discrimination Complaint Forms. Also maintain bilingual staff to assist non-English speaking families and handicap accessible offices.	OC Community Resources	State and federal grants	Continued from previous Housing Element. Continue to provide information of fair housing practices	2013-2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
	3b.2 Continue to work with fair housing agencies to provide information and regarding housing discrimination and intervention to resolve complaints. Literature is made available at County and fair housing agency offices, as well as public libraries.	OC Community Resources	State and federal grants	Continued from previous Housing Element. Continue to provide information of fair housing practices	2013 2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
Strategy 3c. Encourage Promote the removal of architectural barriers in existing residential units, and ensure that new units comply with	<u>3c.1</u> Continue to enforce building code provisions requiring accessible design.	OC Planning <u>Development</u> <u>Services</u>	Development fees	Continued from previous Housing Element. Ensure code compliance	2013 2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
accessibility standards.	<u>3c.2</u> Continue to implement the reasonable accommodation ordinance.	OC Planning <u>Development</u> <u>Services</u>	General Fund	Continued from previous Housing Element. Ensure reasonable accommodation for persons with disabilities.	2013-2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
Strategy 3d. The housing needs of persons with disabilities, including persons with developmental disabilities are typically not addressed by Title 24 Regulations.	Action3d.1. The County shall seek State and Federal monies, as funding becomes available, for permanent supportive housing construction and rehabilitation targeted for persons with disabilities, including persons with developmental disabilities.	OC Community Resources	Grant funds	Continued from previous Housing Element. Facilitate the provision of additional supportive housing.	2013-2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029

December 10, 201321 X-205

Strategy	Action	Responsible Agency	Funding Source	Objectives	Implementation Schedule Timeline
The housing needs of persons with disabilities, in addition to basic affordability, range from slightly modifying existing units to requiring a varying range of supportive	Action: 3d.2 The County shall provide regulatory incentives, such as expedited permit processing and fee waiver, to projects targeted for persons with disabilities, including persons with developmental disabilities.	OC Community Resources Development Services	Grant General funds	Continued from previous Housing Element. Facilitate the provision of additional supportive housing.	2013 2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
housing facilities. The County will encourage the development of supportive housing for persons with disabilities, including developmental disabilities through the following actions.	Action: 3d.3 The County shall reach out to developers of supportive housing as funding becomes available to encourage development of projects targeted for persons with disabilities, including persons with developmental disabilities.	OC Community Resources	Grant funds	Continued from previous Housing Element. Facilitate the provision of additional supportive housing.	2013 2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
actions.	Action: 3d.4 The County shall work with local resource agencies to implement an outreach program informing families within the county of housing and services available for persons with developmental disabilities.	OC Community Resources Health Care Agency	Grant funds	Facilitate the provision of additional supportive housing. Continued from previous Housing Element. Strive to assist residents with developmental disabilities in securing appropriate housing accommodations.	2013 2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
	3d.5 The County will continue to work diligently to accommodate the approval of group homes, ADA retrofit efforts, ADA compliance and/or other measures through the implementation of Title 24 as well as amend its procedures to provide more flexibility in the development of accommodations for persons with physical and developmental disabilities by eliminating the need for a planning variance.	OC Planning Development Services	General Fund	Modify policy to strive to assist residents with developmental disabilities in securing appropriate housing accommodations.	Ongoing, 2021- 2029
4. Energy Conservation					,
Strategy 4a. Encourage the use of energy conservation features in residential construction, remodeling	<u>4a.1</u> Continue to require new construction and remodeling projects to meet energy conservation requirements.	OC Planning <u>Development</u> <u>Services</u>	CDBG Utilities General Fund	Continued from previous Housing Element. Minimize residential energy and water use.	2013 2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
and existing homes.	4a.2 Provide information regarding energy efficiency measures in the Orange County Housing Opportunities Manual.	OC Planning <u>Development</u> <u>Services</u>	General Fund	Continued from previous Housing Element. Publicize available assistance programs through County website and flyers	2013-2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029

December 10, 2013<u>21</u>

Strategy	Action	Responsible Agency	Funding Source	Objectives	Implementation Schedule Timeline
	4a.3 Provide clients with information regarding "CalGreen" – California's Green Building Code.	OC Planning <u>Development</u> <u>Services</u>	General Fund	Continued from previous Housing Element. Provide information through County website and flyers	2013 2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
	4a.4 Discuss sustainable features from Board approved Comprehensive Zoning Code Update with property owners.	OC Development Services	General Fund	New policy to provide information through County website and flyers	Ongoing, 2021- 2029
5. Child Care Facilities					
Strategy 5. Reduce regulatory obstacles for new child care facilities within affordable housing developments	5a.1 Both the Zoning Code and Housing Opportunities Manual allow the provision of child care in affordable housing developments utilizing the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone program. The County's Child Care Coordinator will be invited to assist in the development of the criteria and requirements for child care facilities and family day care homes. All conditions and requirements applied to this use will be delineated in the Affordable Housing Agreement entered into between the County and developer for each affordable housing development.	OC Planning <u>Development</u> <u>Services</u>	General Fund	Continued from previous Housing Element. Continue to implement the Zoning Code and Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone to reduce regulatory barriers to child care facilities.	2013-2021 Ongoing, 2021- 2029
6. Monitoring and Reporting					
Strategy 6a. Monitor changing circumstances on a continuous basis and make adjustments to programs as necessary to maximize progress toward established goals and objectives. Report annually to the Board of Supervisors, HUD and State HCD regarding the County's progress in implementing housing programs.	Action: 6a.1 As circumstances change, the County will make adjustments to program actions to maximize performance toward identified goals and objectives. Each year the County will report its progress in implementing housing programs to the Board of Supervisors, HUD and State HCD. If new funding sources become available, new programs may be initiated to take advantage of such funds.	OC Planning <u>Development</u> <u>Services</u> ; OC Community Resources	General Fund	Continued from previous Housing Element. Monitor circumstances and make periodic adjustments as necessary to best accomplish the County's goals and objectives. Submit annual reports to the Board of Supervisors, HUD and State HCD.	Ongoing, 2021- 2029. Annual Housing Element implementation and CAPER reports.

December 10, 201321 X-207

Strategy	Action	Responsible Agency	Funding Source	Objectives	Implementation Schedule Timeline
Strategy 6b: Create a monitoring program to track ADU development and affordability levels throughout the planning period.	6b.1 This will allow the County to monitor the development of accessory units at all income levels. Additionally, the County will review their ADU development progress within 2 years of the adoption of the 6th cycle Housing Element to evaluate if production estimates are being achieved. If ADUs are not being permitted as assumed in the Housing Element, the County will take the action within 6 months of completion of the ADU review to ensure that adequate capacity at each income level is maintained to meet the County's RHNA needs. These actions may include identification of adequate sites to meet the County's identified unaccommodated need.	OC Development Services	General Fund	New policy to develop the County of Orange pre-approved ADU plans and release to the public to streamline and facilitate the ADU permitting process and will continue to track ADU development by affordability level and report on annual APRs.	Within two years of adoption of the 6 th Cycle Housing Element.
7 Safety Flement Undate and Envir	6b.2 Pursuant to Chapter 727, Statues of 2005 (SB 1087), the County of Orange is required to deliver its adopted Housing Element and any amendments thereto to local water and sewer service providers. This legislation allows for coordination between the County and water and sewer providers when considering approval of new residential projects. Additionally, cooperation with local service providers will support the prioritization of water and sewer services for future residential development, including units affordable to lower-income households. The County will submit the adopted Housing Element to local water and sewer providers for their review and consideration when reviewing new residential projects.	OC Development Services	General Fund	Modify policy to comply with recent State law by making available copies of the adopted 6th Cycle Housing Element to local water and sewer purveyors.	Within 6 months of adoption of the 6th Cycle Housing Element

7. Safety Element Update and Environmental Justice Policies

December 10, 2013<u>21</u> X-208

CHAPTER X – HOUSING ELEMENT

Strategy	Action	Responsible Agency	Funding Source	Objectives	Implementation Schedule <u>Timeline</u>
Strategy 7a. Update the County's Safety Element pursuant to the requirements of State law.	7a.1 SB 1035 requires that the County revise the Safety Element to identify new information relating to flood and fire hazards that was not previously available during the previous revision of the Safety Element. The County will revise the current Safety Element and take the document to the Board of Supervisors for adoption within 12 months of adoption of the 6th Cycle Housing Element.	OC Development Services	General Fund	New policy to update the County's Safety Element to meet the requirements of State law.	Within 12 months of adoption of the 6th Cycle Housing Element
Strategy 7b. Incorporate environmental justice policies within the General Plan pursuant to State law.	7b.1 SB 1000 (2018) requires that the County incorporate environmental justice policies within the General Plan. The County will amend portions of the General Plan to include environmental justice policies within 12 months of adoption of the 6th Cycle Housing Element.	OC Planning Development Services	General Fund	New policy to review and revise, if necessary, the County's General Plan to include environmental justice policies to meet the requirements of State law.	Within 12 months of adoption of the 6 th Cycle Housing Element

December 10, 201321 X-209

Quantified Objectives

1. New Construction

The County's quantified objectives for new construction for each program during the $\frac{6^{th}}{cycle}$ planning period are shown in Table $\frac{X-46}{c}$. It should be noted that achievement of these objectives will be dependent on many factors beyond the County's control, such as funding availability, interest rates and general economic conditions.

Table X-46 <u>Table 6-3</u> New Construction – Quantified Objectives Summary 2014-2021* <u>2021-2029</u>

Program	Extremely Low	Very-Low	Low	Moderate	Above Moderate	Total
Objective	620	1,240	879	979	2,174	5,272
-	020	<u>3,139</u>	<u>1,866</u>	<u>2,040</u>	<u>3,361</u>	<u>10,406</u>

^{*} Per the 56th cycle RHNA period

2. Rehabilitation

Table X-47 shows the County's quantified objectives for rehabilitation programs by income category. The County's rehabilitation program was inactive during 2014-2021. OC Community Resources is continuing to work towards the development of a new single-family rehabilitation program for unincorporated Orange County.

Table X-47 Rehabilitation Quantified Objectives Summary, 2014-2021

Program	Extremely Low	Very Low	Low	Moderate	Above Moderate	TOTAL
Owner Occupied Rehabilitation	5	12	16			33
Manufactured Housing	30	37	32			99
TOTALS	35	49	48			132

Source: OC Community Resources, 2013

3. Preservation/Assistance

The County's objectives for preservation and assistance programs are shown in Table $\underline{X-48}$.

Table X-48 <u>Table 6-4</u> Preservation and Assistance – Quantified Objectives Summary, 201321-20249

Program	Extremely Low	Very-Low	Low	Moderate	Above Moderate	TOTAL
Continuum of Care (CoC) previously referred to as Shelter Plus Care ¹	639 <u>529</u>	55 <u>46</u>	0	0	0	694 <u>575</u>
Housing Choice Vouchers (HCV) Previously referred to as Section 8 Rental Assistance ¹	7,971 <u>8,461</u>	1,616 <u>1,715</u>	295 <u>313</u>	10 <u>11</u>	0	9,892 <u>10,500</u>
Preservation of At Risk Rental Units ¹	217	42	45	0	0	304
TOTALS	8,827 8,990	1,713 <u>1,761</u>	340 313	10 <u>11</u>	0	10,890 11,075

¹CountywideSource: OC Community Resources <u>2021</u>. <u>Projected number of occupancy units is the average between projected and actual active CoC and HCV Program participants from the previous period (2013-2021)</u>.

December 10, 2013<u>21</u> X-211

Appendix A -Evaluation of the 200814-201421 Housing Element

Section 65588(a) of the Government Code requires that jurisdictions evaluate the effectiveness of the existing Housing Element, the appropriateness of goals, objectives and policies, and the progress in implementing programs for the previous planning period. This appendix contains a review the housing goals, policies, and programs of the previous Housing Element and evaluates the degree to which these programs have been implemented during the previous planning period, 200814 through 201321¹². The findings from this evaluation have been instrumental in determining the County's 201321 Housing Implementation Program.

Α. **Program Evaluation**

Table A-1 summarizes the County's accomplishments in implementing the programs contained in the previous Housing Element. Table A-2 shows units built from January 1, 2006 2013 through December 2012 2021. Second units and market-rate apartments have been assigned to the low-income category based on prevailing rents. Tables A-3 and A-4 summarize the County's progress in meeting the quantified objectives from the previous Housing Element. For new construction, only units with affordability covenants have been included in the very-low and low categories.

Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone

The County's Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone allows multi-family residential development by-right at a density of 25 units per acre (or 43.5 units/acre in the R2 and R3 zones), excluding density bonus. The Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone regulations have been in effect since February 2006. Since that time, seven-eight multi-family projects with a total of 337 421 affordable units have been approved under the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone regulations (see Table B-1 for project details). In order to encourage use of Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone development opportunities, Implementation Action 1a. of the previous Housing Element called for expansion of the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone to include parcels conventionally zoned for multi-family (i.e., R2, R3, R4 and RP) along arterial highways. The Zoning Code amendment for that expansion was adopted by the Board of Supervisors on December 9, 2008.

B. **Progress in Meeting Quantified Objectives**

Tables A-2 through A-54 summarize the County's progress in meeting the quantified objectives from the previous Housing Element.

December 10, 201321 A-1

The original planning period for the previous Housing Element was 2008 2014, however the timeline for the 5th cycle in the SCAG region was revised to begin in October 2013.

Table A-1 **Housing Element Program Evaluation** County of Orange – 2008 - 2012 <u>2013-2021</u>

Strategy	Action	Accomplishments
1. New Housing Production		
Strategy 1a. Establish affordable housing production as one of the County's highest priorities. 620	Maintain and expand affordable housing as a priority for the County	Orange County Board of Supervisors adopted Ten Year Plan to End Homelessness and participates on the Commission to End Homelessness. OC Community Services provides staff support to the Ten Year Plan to End Homelessness Commission. OC Community Services provided 2472 new affordable units in Orange County under the Board approved Multi Family Affordable Rental Housing Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA). 1. Since 2014, the Board approved Notices of Funding Availability (NOFA) for project-based vouchers
		and funding remained open throughout 2019 to assist in the development of supportive housing throughout Orange County.
		2. In December 2019 the Board authorized issuance of the 2020 Supportive Housing NOFA for funding and project-based vouchers to facilitate the development of permanent supportive housing through Orange County, including unincorporated areas. OCCR released the 2020 NOFA on January 27, 2020, making \$10 million in MHSA funds, \$3 million in Home Investment Partnership Act (HOME) and Housing Successor Agency (HSA) funds and 200 Housing Choice Project-Based Vouchers (PBVs) available for the development of supportive housing units. The Board approved increases to the NOFA in funding and vouchers to accommodate funding additional projects. The 2020 NOFA is an open process and applications are accepted on a first-come, first-served basis. To date, H&CD has received 15 applications requesting 307 PBVs and approximately \$18.2 million in combined requests.
		3. In March 2019, the Board approved the Orange County Housing Finance Trust (OCHFT) Joint Powers Agreement to facilitate the development of permanent supportive housing in Orange County. The County is a member of the OCHFT along with 23 Orange County Cities. In January 2020, OCHFT Board approved issuance of the 2020 Permanent Supportive Housing NOFA. In May 2020, the OCHFT receive a five-year commitment for a total of \$ 20.5 million in County Mental health Services Act funds and a five-year commitment of County General Fund in the Total amount of \$5 million. Those funds are to be used as sources of matching funds for the Local Housing Trust Fund Program (LHTF). In August 2020, OCHFT applied for LHTF. In October 2020, the OCHFT received notification of an award of more than \$4 million for development of permanent supportive and affordable housing units.
		4. OC Public Works, in collaboration with OC Community Resources, received \$310,000 of SB2 Planning Grant funds to create various administrative documents that will help establish and promote the newly formed OCHFT, and update planning documents to accelerate housing production. OC Public Works also received \$500,000 in LEAP funds to update the housing

December 10, 201321 A-2

Appendix A – Evaluation of the 2008-2014 Housing Element CHAPTER X – HOUSING ELEMENT

Strategy	Action	Accomplishments
		element and prepare other documents to accelerate housing production.
		5. Home Investment Partnership Program (HOME), Housing Successor Agency or Mental Health Services Act/Special Needs Housing Program and No Place Like Home funds were made available during the reporting period to support affordable housing projects. Orange County continues to pursue opportunities to obtain housing grant funds.
		6. In June 2018, the Board approved the Housing Finance Strategy for the development of 2,700 supportive housing units. The strategy calls for the development of these units over the next seven years using existing County resources, as well as through leveraging a range of other housing development funding sources. The Board also approved the MHSA Permanent Supportive Housing Spending Plan for the use of \$70.5 million in MHSA funds in the development of supportive housing for the seriously mentally ill. Since the adoption of the Housing Funding Strategy in 2018, to date, in the county there are a total of 495 supportive and affordable housing units completed or built 620 units under construction or closing their construction loan, and 1,118 units in progress of funding which contributes to the overall accomplishment of supporting and tracking the development of 2,200 supportive and affordable housing units throughout the county.
	Facilitate the production of affordable units by offering incentives such as density bonus, expedited permit processing, modifications to development standards, tax -exempt conduit financing, infrastructure financing assistance and direct financial assistance in exchange for a proportional commitment to provide units at affordable prices or rents.	Orange County continues to provide expedited processing and density bonus incentives to affordable housing projects. The County adopted an ordinance to allow the deferral of development impact fees and to exempt affordable housing projects from local park fees.
	Work cooperatively with other governmental agencies, business groups, universities, environmental organizations, housing advocates and the development community to increase public awareness of the importance of affordable housing to the County's long-term viability.	Orange County continues to work cooperatively with numerous agencies and organizations to increase public awareness of the importance of affordable housing to the County's long-term viability.
	Aggressively pursue all state and federal housing grant funds for which the County is eligible.	Orange County received MHSA, NSP and NSP3 funds from the SB 2 Planning Grant Program, Local Early Action Planning (LEAP) Program, and Covid ESG and Covid CDBG funds during the reporting period. Orange County continues to pursue housing grant funds.
	The County Planning Department will designate an "Affordable Housing Project Manager" who will be responsible for assisting affordable housing developers through the County's application review and approval process. The Project Manager will act as liaison between	An Affordable Housing Manager in OC Planning was identified and tasked to assist facilitate entitlement process (completed 2008).

December 10, 201321 A-3 218 of 333

Appendix A – Evaluation of the 2008-2014 2013-2021 Housing Element CHAPTER X – HOUSING ELEMENT

Strategy	Action	Accomplishments
	the developer and County staff to ensure that proposed projects are not unnecessarily delayed.	
	Expand the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone to include parcels conventionally zoned multi family (i.e., R2, R3, R4 and RP) along arterial highways.	Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone expanded to residential zoned areas (completed 2009).
	Create incentives for consolidation of small adjacent parcels by allowing "graduated" density increases for larger parcels resulting in base densities up to 30 units/acre excluding density bonus.	A Zoning Code amendment to implement a graduated density bonus program to encourage parcel consolidation will be completed by December 2013.
Strategy 1b. Ensure that new large-scale development includes a sufficient range of housing types and densities in appropriate locations to facilitate the production of housing for all economic	Coordinate the location of major housing developments, particularly affordable housing and multi-family units, with existing and proposed highway and transit routes, major employment centers, shopping facilities and other services. (see Appendix B)	The eight affordable housing projects approved since 2006 are located on or adjacent to transportation corridors.
segments consistent with the County's quantified objectives.	Encourage the provision of adequate sites at appropriate densities to accommodate affordable housing.	A Zoning Code amendment to implement a graduated density bonus program to encourage parcel consolidation will be completed by December 2013.
	Establish affordable housing at the Very-Low-Income level as a priority in negotiating development agreements for new planned communities, or renegotiating existing development agreements.	The provision of affordable housing will be encouraged in any future negotiated development agreements
	Work with cities and LAFCO to ensure that new planned communities in sphere of influence areas provide adequate sites at appropriate densities for affordable housing.	One new Planned Community Rancho Mission Viejo Planning Area 3 – The Ranch Plan PC commenced development during the planning period. As a condition of approval, 60 acres of land will be dedicated to the County for affordable housing development.
	To assist the development of housing for lower-income households on larger sites, the County will facilitate parcel maps and/or lot line adjustments resulting in parcel sizes that facilitate multifamily developments affordable to lower-income households in light of state, federal and local financing programs (i.e., 2-10 acres). The County will work with property owners and affordable housing developers to target and market the availability of sites with the best potential for development. In addition, the County will offer incentives for the development of affordable housing.	Orange County will continue to provide information regarding potential sites available for the development of affordable housing projects. In addition, a Zoning Code amendment to implement a graduated density bonus program to encourage parcel consolidation will be completed by December 2013.

December 10, 201321 A-4 219 of 333

$\begin{array}{l} Appendix \ A-Evaluation \ of \ the \ 2008-2014 \ Housing \ Element \\ CHAPTER \ X-HOUSING \ ELEMENT \end{array}$

Strategy	Action	Accomplishments
Strategy 1c. Work with cities, community organizations and neighborhood groups to facilitate redevelopment and infill housing development in conjunction with neighborhood revitalization and annexation of unincorporated islands.	Continue to review unincorporated islands and identify priority areas with the highest potential for affordable housing development and annexation. (See Appendix C.)	In 2010, the Orange County Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) developed the "Unincorporated Islands Incentive Program and Strategy Handbook" to facilitate annexation of unincorporated islands.
Strategy 1d. Ensure that the County's policies, codes, development review procedures and fees do not represent unjustified constraints to the development	Continue to support the Development Processing Review Committee in reviewing existing and proposed codes, procedures and fees to ensure that they do not unreasonably hinder housing production.	The Orange County Development Processing and Review Committee (DPRC), made up on development stakeholders, continues to review and work with County staff to modify, if necessary, application and permit review and approval processes.
of new housing.	Amend the Zoning Code provisions regarding second units in conformance with state law (AB 1866).	A Zoning Code amendment to implement the provisions of AB 1866 regarding second units was completed in 2006 In accordance with the October 2019 passage of AB 68, AB 881, AB 587, AB 671 and SB 13, the Board of Supervisors adopted the Comprehensive Zoning Code Update, which addresses the requirements on ADUs, making the process ministerial and less restrictive to homeowners.
Strategy 1e. Pursue policy changes at the state level to remove barriers to the production of affordable housing.	Seek concurrence from the Department of Housing and Community Development that affordable units built in cities and assisted with County funds should be partially credited toward the County's housing production for RHNA purposes in proportion to the amount of County funding.	Staff has researched strategies to work with cities on a case by case basis to allow the County to receive partial credit for projects assisted with County funds. Further policy direction is pending. A mutually agreed upon RHNA Transfer Agreement between the City of Santa Ana and the County of Orange for the transfer of twenty (20) very low income units, and twenty-two (22) moderate income units was approved by Southern California Association of Governments in June 2021. Another mutually agreed upon RHNA Transfer Agreement between the City of Placentia and the County of Orange for the transfer of twelve (12) very low income units, and twelve (12) moderate income units was approved by Southern California Association of Governments in July 2021. The County of Orange developed a policy on pursuing RHNA Transfers related to annexations, acquisitions and affordable housing projects funded by the County.
	Aggressively pursue tax -exempt bond and low-income tax credit allocations to ensure that Orange County receives its fair share of statewide funding under these programs.	Orange County staff <u>continues to</u> work s with developers on a project-by-project basis to leverage County resources to compete for available tax credits and funding from the State.

December 10, 2013<u>21</u>

Strategy	Action	Accomplishments
	Support legislative reform to strengthen anti-NIMBY laws and to reduce the chilling effect of defect litigation on multi-family and condominium housing production.	Orange County staff continues to monitor legislation that may increase obstacles to affordable housing development.
	Support changes to the California Environmental Quality Act that would allow streamlined procedures in urbanized unincorporated areas similar to those available in cities.	Orange County staff will continue to monitor legislation that may streamline CEQA procedures for projects in the unincorporated area.
Strategy 1f. Ensure that family units are encouraged in new affordable housing development, particularly for large families.	Encourage developers seeking development agreements to include family rental housing as a part of the developments proposed. Seek the goal that 10% of new rental units will be for large families.	The provision of affordable housing will be encouraged in any future negotiated development agreements.
	Continue to support County funding criteria to encourage the addition of large family units in new construction projects.	The County of Orange's NOFA process encourages the inclusion of family units in projects seeking funding. The NOFA per unit subsidy limits were increased for family developments. Large family units will continue to be accommodated as appropriate.
	Develop new financial and/or site standard incentives to encourage affordable housing developers to provide units for large families.	Orange County continues to work with developers to identify constraints to providing family units and how best to address them. The Orange County Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone provides incentives for the development of affordable housing on commercial sites in the unincorporated County by providing administrative approval of entitlements and by-right development.
	Solicit assistance from affordable housing developers and advocates in identifying potential constraints to the development of family units, including current standards for traffic maintenance, parking ratios or other potential development standards, and submit suggested actions to the DPRC for review.	A majority of the affordable housing rental projects approved since 2006 provide family apartments: six of the seven affordable housing rental projects include two bedroom and three-bedroom units. Orange County continues to work with developers to identify constraints and discuss strategies to incorporate large family units.
2. Rehabilitation and Preservation of Ex	isting Neighborhoods	
Strategy 2a. Continue to support programs designed to rehabilitate deteriorated units and encourage the maintenance and minor repair of structurally sound housing units to prevent their deterioration.	Continue to use redevelopment agency housing set- aside funds, federal HOME funds, and other available funding to finance housing rehabilitation.	The County utilizes Federal Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) and State CalHome Funds to assist low income households with needed repairs to their homes. Since 2006, the County's housing rehabilitation program assisted 269 homeowners in maintaining safe living conditions. The County is continuing to work towards the development of a new single-family rehabilitation program for the unincorporated Orange County.
Strategy 2b. Preserve the affordability of federal, state and County-subsidized units threatened with conversion to market rates.	Continue to monitor projects with expiring affordability covenants and take appropriate action to preserve these affordable units whenever possible.	Orange County continues to work toward preserving the affordability of at-risk units on a project-by-project basis.
	Allocate and support potential sources of funds for mortgage refinancing, acquisition and rehabilitation	

December 10, 201321 A-6 221 of 333

Appendix A – Evaluation of the 2008-2014 Housing Element CHAPTER X – HOUSING ELEMENT

Strategy	Action	Accomplishments
	including gap funding for nonprofit housing developers as intermediaries and for rental subsidy assistance for tenants of at-risk units.	
	Continue to assist owners or purchasers of existing Mortgage Revenue Bond (MRB) projects to refund their bonds in exchange for augmented and/or extended affordability controls. Annually contact owners of at-risk units to gauge interest, provide a list of resources available for refund and negotiate terms on a project-by-project basis.	
Strategy 2c. Enhance the quality of existing residential neighborhoods by	Continue the County's code enforcement and graffiti removal programs.	Orange County continues to enforce zoning code and property maintenance requirements throughout the unincorporated area.
maintaining public facilities and requiring residents and landlords to maintain their properties in good condition.	Continue to provide ongoing infrastructure maintenance in existing residential neighborhoods through the capital improvement program (CIP).	Orange County continues to provide infrastructure maintenance and improvements in the unincorporated area.
	Continue to participate in the CDBG program.	Orange County continues to participate in the CDBG program.
	Identify existing apartment complexes in need of repair and provide financial assistance or other incentives to encourage the owner to make a substantial investment in rehabilitation and ongoing maintenance and guarantee long-term affordability.	Orange County continues to provide research various forms of financial assistance for the repair and rehabilitation of existing housing stock.
	Review unincorporated islands and identify three priority areas with the highest potential for affordable housing development.	Orange County has identified potential sites for the development of affordable housing projects.
Strategy 2d. Ensure that the conversion of rental units or mobile home parks to ownership or other uses occurs in a responsible manner to protect the rights of both owners and tenants.	Continue to enforce the provisions of the County's condominium and mobile home park conversion ordinance (Orange County Code Sec. 7-9-147).	Orange County continues to enforce the provisions of the County's condominium and mobile home conversion ordinance (Orange County Zoning Code Section 7-9-14739)
3. Equal Housing Opportunity		
Strategy 3a. Continue to support enforcement of fair housing laws and organizations that provide fair housing information and intervention.	Provide financial assistance from CDBG funds or other sources to fair housing organizations.	Orange County continues to provide financial assistance from CDBG funds, or other sources, to fair housing organizations.
Strategy 3b. Facilitate the education of residents about their fair housing rights and of the process to make appropriate referrals for fair housing complaints.	Provide federal/state/local information regarding discrimination to residents at family briefing sessions, including applicable Fair Housing Information and Discrimination Complaint Forms. Also maintain bilingual	Orange County continues to provide information regarding housing discrimination to residents. The Orange County Board of Supervisors approved the County's 2020-2025 Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice and Fair Housing Action Plan.

December 10, 201321 A-7 222 of 333

Appendix A – Evaluation of the 2008-2014 2013-2021 Housing Element CHAPTER X – HOUSING ELEMENT

Strategy	Action	Accomplishments
	staff to assist non-English speaking families and handicap accessible offices.	
	Continue to work with the Orange County Fair Housing Council to provide information and regarding housing discrimination and intervention to resolve complaints. Literature is made available at County and FHC offices, as well as public libraries.	Orange County continues to work with the Fair Housing Council to provide information to residents and regarding housing discrimination and intervention to resolve complaints.
Strategy 3c. Encourage the removal of architectural barriers in existing residential units, and ensure that new units comply with accessibility standards.	Continue to enforce building code provisions requiring accessible design.	Orange County continues to enforce building code provisions requiring accessible design. A reasonable accommodation ordinance was adopted in 2013.
4. Assistance to Persons in Need		
Strategy 4a. Encourage affordable housing opportunities for households with incomes less than 30% of area median income (AMI), with a goal of creating 2000 additional opportunities countywide by 2014.	Continue to support the County Housing Authority and its participation in the Section 8 Rental Assistance Program and pursue additional Section 8 rental assistance vouchers when available.	The Orange County Housing Authority (OCHA) continues to participate in the Section 8 Rental Assistance Program. OCHA also participates in the Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing Program (VASH) and the Shelter Plus Care Program. Over 2,600 tenants are assisted each month through OCHA's rental assistance program. Housing Choice Voucher Program. OCHA also administers the Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing Program (VASH), the Non-Elderly Disabled (NED), the Mainstream Program, the Family Unification Program (FUP) and the Shelter Plus Care/Continuum of Care (CoC) Program. More than 12,000 households (over 25,000 people) receive housing assistance each month through OCHA's rental assistance programs.
	Continue the goal of producing units affordable at or below 30% AMI in the County's Rental Housing NOFA.	Orange County's NOFA continues to include the goal of producing affordable units to extremely-low income persons and households.
	Encourage developers seeking development agreements to include housing units affordable to households with incomes of less than 30% AMI.	The provision of affordable housing will be encouraged in any future negotiated development agreements.
Strategy 4b. Provide information and financial assistance to help low- and	Continue the Tax -Exempt Single-Family Mortgage Revenue Bond Program for first-time homebuyers.	Orange County continues to provide the Tax-Exempt Single-Family Mortgage Revenue Bond Program for first-time homebuyers.
moderate-income households in obtaining affordable housing.	Continue to publish the Housing Referral Directory	Orange County continues to publish the Affordable Rental Housing List.
Strategy 4c. Ensure that all affordable housing assisted with public funds remains affordable for the required time period, and recapture public funds when directly subsidized units are prematurely sold or otherwise withdrawn from the subsidizing program.	Monitor all bond-financed and other subsidized projects annually to verify compliance with affordability covenants.	Affordable housing projects are monitored on a regular basis to verify continued required affordability.

December 10, 201321 A-8 223 of 333

$\begin{array}{l} Appendix \ A-Evaluation \ of \ the \ 2008-2014 \ Housing \ Element \\ CHAPTER \ X-HOUSING \ ELEMENT \end{array}$

Strategy	Action	Accomplishments
Strategy 4d. Continue to support the existing programs that address the needs of those in need of temporary and transitional housing.	Continue to provide assistance as described in the County's Continuum of Care program.	Orange County continues to provide assistance as described in the County's Continuum of Care Program and the Ten Year Plan to End Homelessness. In June 2018, the Board approved the Housing Finance Strategy for the development of 2,700 supportive housing units. The strategy calls for the development of these units over the next seven years using existing County resources, as well as through leveraging a range of other housing development funding sources. The Board also approved the MHSA Permanent Supportive Housing Spending Plan for the use of \$70.5 million in MHSA funds in the development of supportive housing for the seriously mentally ill. Since the adoption of the Housing Funding Strategy in 2018, to date, in the county there are a total of 495 supportive and affordable housing units completed or built, 620 units under construction or closing their construction loan, and 1,118 units in progress of funding which contributes to the overall accomplishment of supporting and tracking the development of over 2,200 supportive and affordable housing units throughout the county.
	Identify additional sites that are now available or easily made available for transitional shelters for homeless persons and families.	Orange County will continue to provide information regarding the location of sites eligible under its Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone.
	Amend the Zoning Code to establish a definition and clarify that Transitional and Supportive Housing is a residential use subject to the same requirements and procedures as other residential uses of the same type in the same zoning district.	A Zoning Code amendment was adopted to add a definition of transitional and supportive housing in 2013.
	Amend the Zoning Code to include emergency shelters as a permitted use by right in the commercial and industrial portions of the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone subject to appropriate development standards per SB 2.	A Zoning Code amendment was adopted allowing the establishment of emergency shelters "by right" in the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone in 2013.
5. Energy Conservation		
Strategy 5a. Encourage the use of energy conservation features in residential	Continue to require new construction and remodeling projects to meet energy conservation requirements.	Orange County continues to require new construction and remodeling projects to meet energy conservation requirements.
construction, remodeling and existing homes.	Provide information regarding energy efficiency measures in the Orange County Housing Opportunities Manual.	Information regarding energy efficiency measures continues to be included in the Housing Opportunities Overlay Manual. Sustainable best practices are incorporated in the Comprehensive Update to the Zoning Code, and include various measures, such as the option to use pervious materials in driveways and allowing carport roof solar panels with no additional permit requirements. Additional language is proposed relating to electric vehicle charging stations, "hedges" are added as a type of wall or fence, alternative parking calculations are permitted along with new parking lot landscaping requirements.
	Provide clients with information regarding "CalGreen" – California's Green Building Code.	Clients receive information regarding CALGreen (green building code) and energy conservation at County of Orange offices and on its websites.

A-9

Appendix A – Evaluation of the 2008-2014 2013-2021 Housing Element CHAPTER X – HOUSING ELEMENT

Strategy	Action	Accomplishments
6. Child Care Facilities		
Strategy 6. Amend existing regulations to remove regulatory obstacles for new child care facilities within affordable housing developments	Both the Zoning Code and Housing Opportunities Manual will be amended to allow the provision of child care in affordable housing developments utilizing the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone program. The County's Child Care Coordinator will be invited to assist in the development of the criteria and requirements for child care facilities and family day care homes. All conditions and requirements applied to this use will be delineated in the Affordable Housing Agreement entered into between the County and developer for each affordable housing development.	Orange County amended its Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone regulations in 2009 to provide incentives for the inclusion of child care facilities within affordable housing developments.

December 10, 201321 A-10 225 of 333

Table A-2 **Residential Development Summary** County of Orange 2006 - 2012 2013-2021

Location	Project*		Density (du/ac)	Income Level*				Total Project
		Zoning		VL*	Low*	Mod	Upper	Units
Multifamily				•				
Santa Ana	10 Unit and 9 Unit Condominiums "The Heights"	R2	22.75				19	19
Anaheim	Two 4 Unit Condominiums	R3	24.71				8	8
Ladera	4 Unit Multi Family Residence "Castellina"	PC	6.21			4		4
Ladera	Two 4 Unit Multi Family Residences "Castellina"	PC	6.21			8		8
Ladera	4 Unit Multi-Family Residence "Castellina"	PC	6.21			4		4
Ladera	4 Unit Multi Family Residence "Branches"	PC	6.21			4		4
Viidway City	Two 4 unit apartments	R3	22.26		8			8
Midway City	4 Unit Apartment	R3	22.26		4			4
Midway City	3 Unit Apartment	R3	15.75		3			3
adera	2 unit Multi Family Residence "Castellina"	PC	6.21			2		2
Viidway City	Duplex	R3	11.12		2			2
Sunset Beach	Condominium/duplex	SP	32.26				2	2
Sunset Beach	Duplex	SP	32.26				2	2
Sunset Beach	Duplex	SP	32.26				2	2
Sunset Beach	2 Unit Condominium	SP	24.21				2	2
		1				Total	Multifamily	74
Second Units								
Anaheim	Second Units	R1			4			4
Coto de Caza	Second Units	PC			2			2
Laguna Beach	Second Units	R1			2			2
Ladera	Second Units	PC			2			2
Vlidway City	Second Units	R1			13			13
Midway City	Second Units	R3			1			1
Modjeska	Second Units	A1			1			1
Orange	Second Units	E4			3			3
Orange	Second Units	R4			4			4
North Tustin	Second Units	AR			1			1
North Tustin	Second Units	E4			5			5
North Tustin	Second Units	R1			4			4
Santa Ana	Second Units	R1		1	4			4

December 10, 2013<u>21</u> A-11

Appendix A – Evaluation of the 2008-2014 2013-2021 Housing Element CHAPTER X – HOUSING ELEMENT

			Density (du/ac)	Income Level*			<u>*</u>	Total Project
Location	Project*	Zoning		VL*	Low*	Mod	Upper	Units
	·	Total Second Units		46				
Modular Units							•	
San Juan Capistrano	Modular	PC				5		5
	·	·				To	tal Modular	5
Single Family Units								
\naheim		R1					1	1
Anaheim		R2D					5	5
Brea/Tonner Hills	Tonner Hills PC	PC					701	701
Coto de Caza		PC					3	3
Costa Mesa		R4					24	24
-luntington-Beach		PC					113	113
aguna Beach		R1					15	15
Rossmoor		R1					20	20
.adera		PC					226	226
Aidway City		R1					6	6
Aodjeska		A1					5	5
Modjeska		SP					2	2
Orange		A1					1	1
Orange		E4					5	5
Orange		R4					1	1
North Tustin		AR					1	1
North Tustin		RHE					1	1
Jorth Tustin		E4					32	32
Vorth Tustin		R1					4	4
Jorth Tustin		SP					3	3
Silverado		A1					5	5
Sunset Beach		SP					3	3
rabuco Canyon		SP					5	5
ustin		E4					1	1
Tustin		R1					3	3
Tustin		SP					1	1
Fotals					109	27	1,187	1,323

December 10, 201321 A-12 227 of 333

			Density	Income Level*			Total Project	
Location	Project*	Zoning	(du/ac)	VL*	Low*	Mod	Upper	Units

^{*}Excludes deed restricted affordable housing developments (see Table A-3) Lower income apartments and second units are based on prevailing market rents Source: OC Planning, 2012

			Income Level*				
<u>Location</u>	<u>Project*</u>	<u>Zoning</u>	<u>VL*</u>	Low*	Mod	<u>Upper</u>	Total Project Units
Multifamily							_
<u>Anaheim</u>	Cerritos	<u>R1</u>				<u>60</u>	<u>60</u>
Midway City	Potter's Lane	<u>R1</u>				<u>16</u> 37	<u>16</u> <u>37</u>
	15352 Jackson						
Midway City		R3(1950)/35				<u>17</u>	<u>17</u>
Midway City		R3(1950)/35(<u>H)</u>				<u>4</u>	4
Stanton	Stonegate I	<u>C1(H</u>				38 26	38 26
-	Stonegate II						
Rancho Mission Viejo		<u>PC</u>				<u>637</u>	<u>637</u>
Silverado-Modjeska		<u>A1(SR)</u>				<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>
-					<u>Total N</u>	<u>//ultifamily</u>	<u>837</u>
Second Units							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Anaheim		<u>R1</u>				<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>
Anaheim		R2D				<u>1</u>	1
<u>Costa Mesa</u>		<u>R1</u>				1	1
Coto de Caza		<u>S</u>				<u>1</u>	1
Foothill Trabuco		<u>S</u>				1	1
Los Alamitos		<u>R1/28</u> (C3849)				<u>1</u>	1
Midway City		<u>R1</u>				<u>37</u>	<u>37</u>
Midway City		R3(1950)/35 (H)				<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>
North Tustin		<u>100-E4</u>				<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>
North Tustin		<u>125-E4-</u> <u>20000</u>				<u>1</u>	1

December 10, 201321 A-13

				Incon	ne Level*		
<u>Location</u>	Project*	Zoning	VL*	Low*	Mod	Upper	Total Project Units
North Tustin		<u>E4</u>				<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
North Tustin		<u>E4-20000</u>				<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
North Tustin		<u>NTSP</u>				<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>
North Tustin		<u>R1</u>				<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Orange</u>		<u>70-R1</u>				<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Orange</u>		<u>E4-1(E)</u>				<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Orange</u>		E4-1(SR)(E				<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Orange</u>		<u>R4</u>				<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
Rancho Mission Viejo		<u>PC</u>				<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>
Santa Ana		<u> 100 - E4</u>				<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>
					Total Sec	cond Units	<u>67</u>
Modular Units							
<u>Orange</u>		<u>R4</u>				<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
					Tota	al Modular	<u>1</u>
Single Family Units							-
Anaheim		R1				<u>28</u>	28
Anaheim		R2D				<u>1</u>	<u></u>
Costa Mesa		<u>R1</u>				<u>4</u>	<u> 4</u>
Costa Mesa		<u>R4</u>				<u>5</u>	<u> </u>
Coto De Caza		<u>S</u>				14	<u>-</u> 14
Foothill-Trabuco		FTSP				7	7
Ladera Ranch		PC				477	<u>-</u> 477
Laguna Beach		R1(CD)(SR)				28	28
Los Alamitos		R1/28 (C3849)				38	38
Midway City		R1				<u>35</u>	35
Midway City		R3(1950)/35 (H)				<u>8</u>	<u>8</u>
North Tustin		100-E4				<u>8</u>	<u>8</u>
North Tustin		<u>125-E4-</u> 20000				<u>8</u>	<u>8</u>
North Tustin		<u>E4</u>				<u>8</u>	<u>8</u>
North Tustin		E4-20000				3	<u>3</u>
North Tustin		NTSP				<u>5</u>	<u> </u>
North Tustin		<u>R1</u>				<u> </u>	1
North Tustin		R1-18000			<u> </u>	1	<u>+</u> 1

December 10, 201321 A-14

Appendix A – Evaluation of the 2008-2014 Housing Element CHAPTER X – HOUSING ELEMENT

			Income Level*				
<u>Location</u>	Project*	Zoning	VL*	Low*	Mod	<u>Upper</u>	Total Project Units
<u>Orange</u>		<u>E4-1</u>				<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Orange</u>		<u>E4-1(E)</u>				<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Orange</u>		<u>E4-1(SR)(E)</u>				<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Orange</u>		<u>R1</u>				<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Orange</u>		<u>R1(SR)</u>				<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Orange</u>		<u>R1-</u> 10000(SR)				<u>1</u>	1
<u>Orange</u>		<u>R4</u>				<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
Rancho Mission Viejo		<u>PC</u>				<u>2684</u>	<u>2684</u>
Santa Ana		<u> 100 - E4</u>				<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>
Santa Ana		E4-20000				<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
Santa Ana		<u>R1-</u> 10000(SR				<u>1</u>	1
Silverado-Modjeska		<u>A1</u>				<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>
					Total Sin	gle Family	<u>3389</u>
			_				

Lower-income apartments and second units are based on prevailing market rents Source: OC Development Services, 2021

December 10, 201321 A-15

Table A-3
Affordable Housing Projects Completed 2006-20122013-2021
Unincorporated Orange County

						Income Level				
Project	Status	Location	Zoning	Parcel Size (ac)	Density (du/ac)	VL (EL*)	Low	Mod	Above Mod	Total Units
Avenida Villas	Completed and occupied	Anaheim area	R3	0.82	35.4	28 (28)			1***	28
Buena Vista	Completed and occupied	Orange Olive	C2	0.5	34	14 (6)	3**			17
Cornerstone (Summercrest)	Completed and occupied	Anaheim area	CN	1.45	33.8	34(5)	14**		1***	48
Tonner Hills/Jamboree Housing (not in the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone)	Completed and occupied	Brea (recently annexed)	PC	4.5	21	65 (10)	28**		1***	93
Sendero Bluffs	Completed and occupied	Rancho Mission Viejo	<u>PC</u>	<u>2.78</u>	<u>38.4</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>0</u>	1***	<u>107</u>
Esencia Norte	Completed and occupied	Rancho Mission Viejo	<u>PC</u>	<u>4.0</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>0</u>	1***	<u>112</u>
Potter's Lane	Completed and occupied	Midway City	<u>C2</u>	<u>0.41</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	1***	<u>16</u>
Casa Paloma	Completed and occupied	Midway City	<u>C2</u>	<u>1.12</u>	<u>63.4</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>2***</u>	<u>71</u>
TOTALS (deed-restricted affordable units only)						141 (49) 129	4 5 170	<u>0</u>	<u>5</u>	186 <u>306</u>
	Avg. Density of All Projects									
Avg. Density of Projects in Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone										

^{*} Extremely-low-income (30% AMI)

Source: OC Planning Development Services, 201321

^{** 60%} AMI

^{***} Manager's unit (not deed-restricted)

Table A-4 **Progress Towards Meeting New Housing Need** Orange County Unincorporated Area 2006 – 20122013-2021

	Extremely- Low*	Very-Low*	Low*	Moderate*	Above- Moderate	Total
Total RHNA 2006 - 2014 <u>2013-2021</u>	889	888 <u>0</u>	1,445 <u>879</u>	1,597 <u>979</u>	3,159 <u>2,174</u>	7,978 <u>5,272</u>
Quantified Objective	307	700 1,240	1,445 <u>879</u>	1,597 <u>979</u>	3,159 <u>2,174</u>	7,208 <u>5,272</u>
Units Built 2006 2014 2013-2021	49	92 <u>27</u>	45 193	0 1	668 4,157	854 4,378
Total Units Built	49	92 <u>27</u>	<u>45</u> 193	<u>0</u> 1	<u>668</u> 4,157	854 <u>TBD</u>

Note: Includes production from January 1, 2006 2013 through December 31, 2012 2020 per the 4th 5th Cycle RHNA.

Source: SCAG RHNA and the County of Orange/OC Planning, 20132021

December 10, 201321 A-17 232 of 333

^{*} Includes only affordable units with covenants

Table A-5 Rehabilitation Performance Evaluation vs. Quantified Objectives 200813 – 201221

The County's rehabilitation program was inactive in 2013-2021. OC Community Resources is continuing to work towards the development of a new single-family rehabilitation program for unincorporated Orange County scheduled to begin in 2022.

Program	Extremely- Low*	Very-Low	Low	Moderate	Above Moderate	TOTAL
Owner Occupied Rehabilitation		10	20	25		55
Actual	12	26	13	0	0	51
Manufactured Housing		90	95	80		265
Actual	68	84	66	0	0	218

Note: The evaluation period for rehabilitation is 2008-2012 per the 4th Cycle Housing Element planning period

A-18

Table A-6<u>5</u> Preservation and Assistance Performance Evaluation vs. Quantified Objectives 200813 – 201221

Program	Extremely Low	Very Low	Low	Moderate	Above Moderate	TOTAL
Continuum of Care (CoC) (previously referred to as Shelter Plus Care)	576 <u>444</u>	24 <u>54</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>83</u>		600
% Breakdown	<u>74%</u>	<u>9%</u>	<u>3%</u>	<u>14%</u>		<u>100%</u>
Actual	612 <u>408</u>	51 <u>50</u>	10 <u>17</u>	<u>76</u>		673 <u>551</u>
% Breakdown	74%	<u>9%</u>	<u>3%</u>	<u>14%</u>		<u>100%</u>
Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) (previously referred to as Section 8 Rental Assistance)	8,200 <u>5,396</u>	1,800 <u>1,466</u>	<u>433</u>	<u>3,810</u>		10,000 11,105
% Breakdown	<u>49%</u>	<u>13%</u>	<u>4%</u>	<u>34%</u>		<u>100%</u>
Actual	8,053 <u>4,808</u>	1,510 <u>1,314</u>	302 <u>386</u>	201 <u>3,386</u>		10,066 <u>9,894</u>
% Breakdown	<u>49%</u>	<u>13%</u>	<u>4%</u>	<u>34%</u>		<u>100%</u>
Preservation of At Risk Rental Units	100	100				200
	0	0				0

Source: OC Community Resources / Occupancy data on the actual point in time income levels of active CoC and HCV Program participants is derived from the Income Characteristics Report prepared via Housing Pro on June 2021.

December 10, 201321 A-19

Appendix B – Land Inventory

The Housing Element is required to include an inventory of suitable sites for housing development compared to the jurisdiction's assigned share of the region's housing need. The assumptions and methodology for the residential land inventory are provided in this appendix. The sites identified within the Housing Element represent the County of Orange's ability to plan for housing at the designated income levels within the 6th housing cycle planning period (2021-2029).

Table B-1 shows the County's 2021-2029 RHNA need by income category as well as a summary of the sites identified to meet that need. The analysis within this appendix shows that the County of Orange has the capacity to meet their 2021-2029 RHNA allocation through a variety of methods, including:

- <u>Identification of development capacity on sites which either currently permit development of residential uses at or above 30 dwelling units per acre</u>
- Identification of County owned properties suitable for the development of housing
- Future development of accessory dwelling units (ADUs)

<u>Table B-1</u> <u>Summary of RHNA Status and Sites Inventory</u>

	Very Low Income	Low Income	Moderate Income	Above Moderate Income	<u>Total</u>				
RHNA (2021-2029)	<u>3,139</u>	<u>1,866</u>	2,040	<u>3,361</u>	<u>10,406</u>				
Units Constructed in Projection Period (Begins June 31, 2021)	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>				
Casa Paloma (permits issued 2021)	<u>48</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>0</u>	2	<u>71</u>				
Crossroads at Washington (SCAG approved RHNA transfer)	<u>20</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>42</u>				
Placentia Village for Veterans (SCAG approved RHNA transfer)	<u>12</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>24</u>				
Remaining Unmet RHNA	<u>3,059</u>	<u>1,845</u>	<u>2,006</u>	<u>3,359</u>	<u>10,269</u>				
		Inventory – Exi	sting Zoning						
Housing Opportunity Overlay (HOO)		<mark>825</mark> 544	<u>0</u> 178	<u>0</u>	3,825 3,721				
Rancho Mission Viejo	1	<u>65</u>	800	3,200	<u>4,165</u>				
Banning Ranch	<u>4</u>	<mark>43</mark>	<u>811</u>	221	1,475				
Coyote Canyon	2	64	<u>621</u>	<u>171</u>	<u>1,056</u>				
Santa Ana Country Club (Costa Mesa Island)	<u>4</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>435</u>				
Brea Canyon Parcels	1	<u>10</u>	220	<u>770</u>	<u>1,100</u>				
Total Potential Capacity - Existing Sites	5,242 4,518		2,452 1,819	<mark>4,362</mark> 4,141	12,056 10,477				
	Projected ADU Construction								
Projected ADU Construction	<u>5</u>	44	<u>240</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>800</u>				

	Very Low Income	Low Income	Moderate Income	Above Moderate Income	<u>Total</u>				
	Sites Inventory Total								
Total Units towards RHNA		786 062	2,692 2,059	<mark>4,378</mark> 4,157	12,856 11,277				
Total Capacity Over RHNA	+1	6%	+32%	+30% 24%	+124%				
<u>Categories</u>	3	<mark>8%</mark>	<mark>3%</mark>	<mark>24%</mark>	<u>10%</u>				
Surplus/Shortfall	7 1	<mark>81</mark> 57	652 53	1,017 <mark>798</mark>	2,450 1,008				




Figure B-1





Figure B-3



Figure B-5





Figure B-7












Figure B-10












Figure B-145

Figure B-1<mark>56</mark>



Figure B-167




Figure B-178



Figure B-189



Figure B-20






Figure B-1921

Units Approved but Not Built

Housing projects with lower income units that have been completed are summarized below and in Table B-1. These projects include a total of 244 lower-income deed-restricted multi-family units. The income categories for these lower-income units listed in Table B-1 are based on recorded covenants. These projects are located in the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone, are 100% affordable, and were approved administratively ("by-right").

Table B-2 illustrates the affordable housing projects approved within unincorporated Orange County during 2006-2020. The following affordable housing projects were completed during this reporting period (2013-2021):

- Esencia Norte is located at the east side of Esencia Drive between Cow Camp Road and Andaza Street in the unincorporated community of Ranch Mission Viejo, includes a new construction development of 111 units serving large families with rents affordable to households earning 50-60% of area median income (AMI).
- Sendero Bluffs is located at northeast corner of Ortega Highway and Gateway
 Place in Rancho Mission Viejo, includes a new construction development of 106
 units of housing serving seniors with rents affordable to households earning 5060% of area median income (AMI).
- Potter's Lane is a 16-unit affordable housing apartment community per Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone Regulations. The project will be two stories, with 15 Studio units (480 sq. ft.), and one Manager's Unit (480 sq. ft.). The Project will be 100% affordable to low and very-low income households. Based upon its proposed affordability, the project is eligible for a 35% density bonus and three incentives.

The following project is the only affordable housing development currently underway:

• Casa Paloma is expected to be completed in June 2022. Casa Paloma is a 71-unit multi-family affordable housing apartment community in accordance with the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone Regulations. Provisions within GC Section 65915 (AB 1763) allows for "unlimited" density for 100 percent affordable developments (within prescribed income categories) that are located within one-half mile of a major transit stop. The Casa Paloma project qualifies for the unlimited density bonus provision. The project's proximity to a major transit stop also permits use of modified parking standards. Government Code Section 65915 states that parking is not required for the special needs housing and that the parking ratio shall not exceed 0.5 spaces per unit for the other affordable housing units. With these provisions, the project will require a total of 22 parking spaces, where a total of 28 parking spaces are provided.

Table B-<u>2</u>4
Affordable Housing Projects Approved 2006- 20<u>42</u>20
Unincorporated Orange County

Income Level												
							Income	Level				
Project	Status	Location	Zoning	Parcel Size (ac)	Density (du/ac)	Very Low (Extremely Low*)	Low	Mod	Above Mod	Total Units		
Avenida Villas	Completed and occupied	Anaheim area	R3	0.82	35.4	28			1***	28		
Buena Vista	Completed and occupied	Orange-Olive	C2	0.5	34	14 (6)	3			17		
Cornerstone (Summercrest)	Completed and occupied	Anaheim area	CN	1.45	33.8	34 (5)	14		1***	48		
Tonner Hills/Jamboree Housing (Not in Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone)	Completed and occupied	Brea (recently annexed)	PC	4.5	21	65 (10)	28**		1***	93		
Cerritos Family Apartments	Completed and occupied	Anaheim area	<u>R2</u>	<u>2.047</u>	<u>29.3</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>0</u>	1***	<u>60</u>		
Stonegate I	Completed and occupied	Stanton area	<u>C1</u>	<u>1.15</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>0</u>	1***	<u>38</u>		
Stonegate II	Completed and occupied	Stanton area	<u>C1</u>	<u>0.76</u>	<u>34.2</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>0</u>	1***	<u>26</u>		
Sendero Bluffs (Not in Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone)	Completed and occupied	Rancho Mission Viejo	<u>PC</u>	<u>2.781</u>	38.48	<u>32</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>1***</u>	<u>107</u>		
Esencia Norte (Not in Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone)	Completed and occupied	Rancho Mission Viejo	<u>PC</u>	4.0	<u>28</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>0</u>	1***	112		
Potter's Lane	Completed and occupied	Midway City	<u>C2</u>	0.41	<u>39</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	1***	<u>16</u>		
						Su	ıbtotal (Com	pleted and	l Occupied)	186 <u>359</u>		
Cerritos Family Apartments	Approved not occupied	Anaheim area	R2	2.0	30	48 (24)	11		1***	59		
Palm Court	Approved not completed	Midway City	C1	2.33	56.2	39 (4)	91			130		
Stonegate I	Approved not completed	Stanton area	C1	1.15	33.8	26 (4)	7		1***	33		
Stonegate II	Approved not completed	Stanton area	C1	0.76	33.8	18 (3)	4		1***	22		
Casa Paloma	Approved not completed	Midway City	<u>C2</u>	<u>1.12</u>	63.4	<u>48</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>0</u>	2***	<u>71</u>		
						Subto	tal (Approve	ed But Not	Completed)	244 71		
TOTALS (deed-restricted afford	TOTALS (deed-restricted affordable units only)									430		
		all projects	34.75									

							Income	Level		
Project	Status	Location	Zoning	Parcel Size (ac)	Density (du/ac)	Very Low (Extremely Low*)	(Extremely		Above Mod	Total Units
					<u>37.91</u>					
	36.7 <u>39.78</u>									

^{*} Extremely-low-income (30% AMI)
** 60% AMI

*** Manager's Unit (not deed-restricted)
Source: OC Planning <u>Development Services</u>, 201321

- The Palm Court project is the renovation and conversion of an existing motel in the Midway City area. The project includes 130 studio and 1-bedroom units plus a 2-bedroom manager's unit. Of these, 39 units will be very-low-income and 4 will be extremely-low-income. The remaining 92 units will be at the low-income level. The units range from 264 to 528 square feet in size. Incentives include a reduction in development standards including reduced setbacks and parking (one space per unit is required
- Stonegate/Anaheim Family Apartments I, a 38-unit 4-story project by Payne Development includes 26 very-low-income and 12 low-income units. Four of the VL units will be reserved for extremely low-income households. The project was granted a 35% density bonus as well as reduced parking standards, increased height and a modification to other development standards. The project will replace an existing commercial use in the C-1 zone.
- Stonegate/Anaheim Family Apartments II, a 4-story 26-unit project by Payne Development located in the same general area as Anaheim Family Apartments I. The project includes 18 very low-income and 8 low-income units. Three of the VL units will be reserved for extremely-low-income households. The project was granted a 35% density bonus as well as reduced parking standards, increased height and a modification to other development standards. The project will replace an existing commercial use in the C-1 zone.
- Cerritos Family Apartments is a 3-story, 60-unit affordable project by Payne Development located in the Anaheim area. The project includes 48 very-lowincome and 11 low-income units. This project will include 24 units for extremelylow-income households. The project did not request a density bonus since the allowable density in the R-2 zone (43.5 units per acre) is greater than the project density of 30 units/acre. The project was granted modifications to parking, setbacks and other development standards. The project will replace an existing church building in the R-2 zone.

Vacant and Underutilized Land Inventory Analysis

Affordability Assumptions

Pursuant to AB 2348 of 2004, the "default density" for unincorporated Orange County is 30 dwelling units per acre¹³. The default density refers to the density at which lower-income housing development is presumed to be feasible and no analysis is required, although state law

B-27 261 of 333

December 10, 201321

Memo of June 9, 2005 from California Department of Housing and Community Development on AB 2348 of 2004.

allows jurisdictions to propose alternative densities that are sufficient to facilitate affordable housing based on local experience and circumstances.

Table X-34 in Section 3 describes affordable projects assisted by the OC Community Services Department during the previous planning period. The density for these affordable projects ranged from 21 to 62 units per acre, with several projects built at densities less than 25 units per acre. This table demonstrates that affordable housing in Orange County is feasible at densities in the range of 20 to 25 units per acre. In addition, a 2012 feasibility study prepared for the County of San Diego by KMA found that the most feasible density for affordable housing is in the density range of 20 to 24 units per acre. In order to enhance the feasibility of affordable multi-family housing development and also provide an incentive for lot consolidation, Strategy 1a in the Housing Action Plan includes a graduated density program to allow an increase in base density up to 30 units per acre as an incentive for lot consolidation. This graduated density incentive is in addition to potential increases in density based on state Density Bonus Law.

As discussed in the following section, the County's land inventory is comprised of infill and redevelopment sites in North County, and one new large-scale master planned community in South County. The infill sites are regulated by the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone, which allows affordable multi-family housing by-right at a base density (excluding density bonus) of 25-30 units per acre depending on parcel size (see Strategy 1a in Section 5). In The Ranch Plan PC, affordable housing sites totaling 60 acres will permit multi-family development with administrative approval and no public hearing at a base density of 30 units/acre. Since 30 units/acre is the default density for unincorporated Orange County, these housing sites are deemed suitable for lower-income housing under state law.

Inventory of Potential Housing Development Sites

As discussed in Section 4, the County's land inventory is comprised of two major components: the new master-planned community in southeastern Orange County known as The Ranch Plan, and infill development of vacant and underutilized sites in the unincorporated "islands", which are primarily located in the northern portion of the county.

This appendix contains Table B-4, which identifies each candidate housing site within the County of Orange's sites inventory. The sites are identified by assessor parcel number (APN) as well as a unique identifier used to track sites within the inventory. Additionally, the following information is provided for each parcel.

- Address
- Ownership
- Zoning (including Specific Plan areas, Urban Plans, and Overlays, if applicable)
- Size (Net developable acres removing known development constraints)
- **Density**

- Vacancy status
- Previous Housing Element identification
- Potential Development Capacity (Dwelling Units) by income category
- Description of existing use

Selection of Sites

The County has identified parcels throughout the unincorporated areas within the County to meet their anticipated housing needs for the next eight years (2021-2029). The majority of these sites fall within the Housing Opportunity Overlay (HOO) which is described later in this appendix under the "Calculation of Unit Capacity" section. The identified sites have been evaluated to determine the extent to which on-site uses are likely to redevelop within the planning period. It was found that a number of the existing uses have the potential to redevelop based on redevelopment capacity, age of structures, or other existing characteristics. Many of the uses are in multi-tenant commercial centers with one ownership and most show little to no evidence of recent investment or redevelopment.

The County does not have access to most leasing information as these are generally private documents but has conducted an analysis to identify sites that show characteristics indicating they are likely to redevelop within the planning period.

Redevelopment of Non-Vacant Sites for Residential Uses

The County of Orange does not have sufficient vacant land available to accommodate fifty percent of their low/very-low income RHNA. To accommodate the need at all income levels, the County has analyzed sites within non-residentially zoned areas that permit residential development through Specific Plans or through the Housing Opportunity Overlay (HOO) zone.

As part of the candidate housing sites analysis, the County has evaluated previous projects that have redeveloped within the HOO that included residential units. Those projects, including the zoning, use prior to redevelopment, and a project analysis of the approved development plan, are shown in Table B-3. The County's analysis showed that prior uses on these redeveloped sites were similar in nature to the existing uses on sites identified within the sites inventory in **Table B-4**.

The County has also conducted a parcel specific analysis of existing uses for each of the identified sites. This analysis of existing uses, including indicators of a likelihood that the existing use will redevelop within the next eight years, are provided in Table B-4. This analysis is based on information readily available to the County or can be found through online research. The County does not always have access to private lease information but has included information that property owners have shared regarding individual sites.

The following residential development projects have been constructed on parcels within the HOO.

December 10, 201321 B-29 263 of 333

<u>Table B-3</u>
<u>Affordable Housing Developments within the HOO</u>

	Project Address/ APN	<u>Dwelling</u> <u>Units</u>	<u>Size</u>	<u>Zoning</u>	Prior Use	Completed Project
1	9541-9581 W Ball Rd 127-284-01	49	1.45 acres	CN Commercial Neighborhood	Office/Retail Building	Cornerstone includes forty- eight (48) rental units and one (1) manager's unit. Rents fall between 30% and 60% AMI.
2	9602-9612 W Ball Rd 127-341-52	<u>29</u>	0.82 acre	<u>R3</u> <u>Apartment</u>	Medical Office Building	Avenida Villas includes twenty-eight (28) rental units and one (1) manager's unit. Rents fall between 30% and 60% AMI.
3	16451 E Buena <u>Vista St</u> 360-383-02, 360- 383-03	<u>17</u>	0.51 acre	<u>C2</u> <u>General</u> <u>Business</u>	<u>Vacant</u>	Buena Vista includes sixteen (16) rental units and one (1) manager's unit. Rents fall between 30% and 60% AMI.
4	9051 Katella 127-621-06, 127- 621-07	<u>38</u>	<u>1.15 acre</u>	C1 Local Business	Commercial/Car and RV storage	Stonegate I includes thirty- seven (37) rental units and one (1) manager's unit. Rents fall between 30% and 60% AMI.
<u>5</u>	8911 Katella 126-503-29	<u>26</u>	<u>0.76 acre</u>	C1 Local Business	Commercial/ RV rentals	Stonegate II includes twenty-five (25) rental units and one (1) manager's unit. Rents fall between 30% and 60% AMI.
<u>6</u>	9501 W Cerritos 127-401-39	<u>60</u>	2.047 acres	R2 Multifamily Dwellings	<u>Church</u>	Cerritos Family includes fifty-nine (59) rental units and one (1) manager's unit. Rents fall between 30% and 60% AMI.
7	15171 Jackson 107-151-16	<u>16</u>	<u>0.41 acre</u>	<u>C2</u> <u>General</u> <u>Business</u>	Single-Family Residential Unit	Potter's Lane includes fifteen (15) rental units and one (1) manager's unit. Rents are at 30% AMI.
8	15162 Jackson, 15182 Jackson 107-180-11, 107- 180-23	71	<u>1.12 acre</u>	<u>C2</u> <u>General</u> <u>Business</u>	Pottery Manufacturing Facility	Casa Paloma includes sixty-nine (69) rental units and one (1) manager's unit. Rents are at 30% and 50% AMI. Project is anticipated to be completed in June 2022.
Source: OC D	evelopment Services, 2	<u> </u>				

B-30

Development of Large Site Parcels

The County of Orange is unique in its physical composition as it contains a number of large parcels and areas which are planned to be redeveloped as part of either existing specific plans or future master planned efforts.

The majority of the residential development in unincorporated Orange County that has occurred over the past 50 years has been in large-scale master planned communities. Unlike traditional zoning, Planned Community (PC) zoning provides certainty in the development process while allowing the property owner to maintain some degree of flexibility in the specific location of development. This type of zoning is more appropriate than traditional zoning because of the magnitude of the projects under a single ownership. Many planned communities in Orange County have encompassed thousands of acres developed over a period of several years. Previous examples of planned communities in unincorporated Orange County include Mission Viejo and Aliso Viejo, which later incorporated as cities, and Foothill Ranch and Talega, which were later annexed into the cities of Lake Forest and San Clemente, respectively.

The entitlement approval process for a planned community is similar to all other discretionary approvals. However, due to the complexity, size and long-term phasing of each project, the County will adopt several documents which together make up the "development plan" for the planned community. These documents include the Development Agreement, Planned Community Program Text, Statistical Table and Planned Community Development Map. These documents are adopted at the same time that the entitlements, which establishes the Planned Community (PC) zoning, are approved for the project:

- The Development Agreement sets forth the obligations of both the County and developer. It includes a description of the public benefits (e.g., affordable housing units) that will be provided by the developer and the timetable for their completion.
- The Planned Community Program Text describes the site development standards for each type of proposed residential and non-residential "zoning district" or land use, similar to the County's Zoning Code district regulations.
- The Statistical Table controls the allowable number and type of housing units and the amount of non-residential development in each planning area of the project.
- The Planned Community Development Map depicts the various planning areas.

Planned Community zoning is more desirable than conventional zoning for large projects because it allows comprehensive, long-range planning for infrastructure while also providing the development certainty needed for property owners to obtain the financing needed to pay for development and public improvements. It also eliminates the need for frequent zone changes that

December 10, 201321 B-31 265 of 333 would be necessary under conventional zoning to make adjustments due to market conditions or other circumstances. Instead of a zone change that would require public hearings at the Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors, adjustments to unit counts and locations are typically processed either ministerially by staff, or with only Planning Commission approval. This flexibility simplifies the development review process and reduces total development cost.

As previously demonstrated, the County of Orange's land inventory is different from most jurisdictions as it is continually shrinking due to annexations from incorporated cities within the County. While this is a common practice, it serves to deplete the available land the County can identify within the Housing Element. Further justification of each of these potential sites is provided within this section.

Calculation of Unit Capacity – Affordability Assumptions

This section describes the County of Orange's methodology for calculating potential future yield on the identified candidate housing sites. For the following sites, the potential yield and justification is described above:

- Rancho Mission Viejo
- Banning Ranch (Newport Beach Island)
- Coyote Canyon
- Brea Canyon Parcels
- Santa Ana Golf Course (Costa Mesa Island)

The Ranch Plan Planned Community Rancho Mission Viejo

Planned Community Zoning

The majority of the residential development in unincorporated Orange County that has occurred over the past 30-40 years has been in large-scale master planned communities. Unlike traditional zoning, Planned Community (PC) zoning provides certainty in the development process while allowing the property owner to maintain some degree of flexibility in the specific location of development. This type of zoning is more appropriate than traditional zoning because of the magnitude of the projects under a single ownership. Many planned communities in Orange County have encompassed thousands of acres developed over a period of several years. Previous examples of planned communities in unincorporated Orange County include Mission Viejo and Aliso Viejo, which later incorporated as cities, and Foothill Ranch and Talega, which were later annexed into the cities of Lake Forest and San Clemente, respectively.

The entitlement approval process for a planned community is similar to all other discretionary approvals. However, due to the complexity, size and long-term phasing of each project, the County will adopt several documents which together make up the "development plan" for the

planned community. These documents include the Development Agreement, Planned Community Program Text, Statistical Table and Planned Community Development Map. These documents are adopted at the same time that the entitlements, which establishes the Planned Community (PC) zoning, are approved for the project:

- The Development Agreement sets forth the obligations of both the County and developer. It includes a description of the public benefits (e.g., affordable housing units) that will be provided by the developer and the timetable for their completion.
- The Planned Community Program Text describes the site development standards for each type of proposed residential and non-residential "zoning district" or land use, similar to the County's Zoning Code district regulations.
- The Statistical Table controls the allowable number and type of housing units and the amount of non-residential development in each planning area of the project.
- The Planned Community Development Map depicts the various planning areas.

Planned Community zoning is more desirable than conventional zoning for large projects because it allows comprehensive, long-range planning for infrastructure while also providing the development certainty needed for property owners to obtain the financing needed to pay for development and public improvements. It also eliminates the need for frequent zone changes that would be necessary under conventional zoning to make adjustments due to market conditions or other circumstances. Instead of a zone change that would require public hearings at the Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors, adjustments to unit counts and locations are typically processed either ministerially by staff, or with only Planning Commission approval. This flexibility simplifies the development review process and reduces total development cost.

In November 2004 the Board of Supervisors adopted a General Plan Amendment, Development Agreement, Planned Community Program Text with associated Statistical Table and Planned Community Development Map, and a Zoning Ordinance with associated Statistical Summary and Zoning Map for The Ranch Plan Planned Community. This action approved entitlements for the project and established Planned Community zoning on the property allowing the developer to move ahead with the construction of dwelling units, commercial, recreational and other nonresidential uses. Since 2004, the property owner has been working with the County to prepare detailed development and infrastructure plans. RHNA Allocation Plan

When developing the 2014-2021 RHNA Allocation Plan, SCAG utilized Orange County Projections (OCP) 2010, a dataset developed by the Center for Demographic Research under contract to the Orange County Council of Governments (OCCOG). Each local jurisdiction, including the County, participated in the development of OCP 2010 and provided population,

employment and housing growth data for the current planning period. The forecast data submitted by the County included information provided by RMV Community Development, LLC, (Rancho Mission Viejo), the master developer of the Ranch Plan Planned Community. Rancho Mission Viejo forecasted that they would construct 5,160 dwelling units by the end of the planning period. These dwelling units were included in the County's forecast of new construction and are reflected in OCP 2010, the dataset used by SCAG to determine the County's RHNA allocation.

Provision of Affordable Housing Sites

The process regarding the identification of affordable housing sites in The Ranch Plan and the transfer of ownership is addressed both in the Development Agreement and subsequent Affordable Housing Implementation Agreement (AHIA) which was executed on July 18, 2006. The Development Agreement includes a list of public benefits to be received by the County in Exhibit D "Benefits to County and Its Residents." This list includes the provision of 60 gross acres for the development of affordable rental housing units. The following are excerpts from Exhibit D:

- "Item No. 44, 1. Dedicated Land. OWNERS shall provide to COUNTY one or more parcels of land with an aggregate size of 60 gross acres (inclusive of perimeter slope areas) with development pad(s) suitable for the purposes identified in Section 2, below."
- 2. Purpose of Dedicated Land. "COUNTY shall cause the Dedicated Land to be used solely and exclusively for the development, operation and management of one or more affordable housing rental complexes (aka apartment buildings) designed to meet the needs of Very-Low and Low Income households in Orange County."
- 3. Identification, Improvement and Conveyance of Dedicated Land. (a) Preparation of Master Area Plans: Identification of Dedicated Land Acreage. "Preparation of the individual Master Area Plans will occur over time as OWNERS proceed with the phased implementation of the Ranch Plan Project. For each Master Area Plan prepared, OWNERS shall identify the amount of Dedicated Land (if any) located within the relevant Planning Area that will be available for conveyance to COUNTY pursuant to the terms of the Land Agreement.

Pursuant to the Development Agreement, the developer has the flexibility to specify land uses in each Planning Area including sites that will be offered for the development of affordable housing. The Affordable Housing Implementation Agreement describes the process regarding the identification of available site(s), transfer of ownership and subsequent development of affordable housing throughout the phases of development of the planned community. Allocation of affordable housing sites will be based upon the

percentage of the number of total building permits issued for an equivalent measurement of residential and non-residential development uses or "EDUs". The number and size of sites (between 2 to 10 acres each) provided for affordable housing must be provided (as commercially reasonable) according to the following schedule included in the Affordable **Housing Implementation Agreement:**

% of Total Projected EDUs for which		Cumulative Total Number of
building permits are issued	Number of Housing Sites	Housing Sites
25%, which is 2,972.5 EDUs	1-3	13
50%, which is 5,945 EDUs	23	3 6
75%, which is 8,917.5 EDUs	3 4	6 10
100%, which is 11,890 EDUs	As needed	As needed

Note: Equivalent Dwelling Units (EDUs) are a measure of the combined number of dwelling units and non-residential uses for which building permits are issued.

The above schedule will ensure that affordable housing sites will be integrated throughout the entire Ranch Plan Planned Community and will be constructed at the same time as market rate units.

The agreed upon notification and site transfer process set forth in the Affordable Housing Implementation Agreement is summarized below:

- At the time of submittal of a Sub Area plan (covering a planning area or portion thereof) to the County for approval, developer will identify site(s) available for the development of affordable housing
- Developer will provide written notice to the County of Orange of the availability of one or more sites in the Sub Area plan including the location and size of site(s)
- County will then initiate its process to select a developer for each identified site and secure financing
- Conveyance of the deed will occur no later than 30 days after financing has been secured (if an alternative method of site control not used)
- Construction of affordable housing units will occur at the same time as market rate units to ensure seamless integration

Phased Development

The development timetable for The Ranch Plan will be determined by the property owner based on financial and market conditions. Although the recent recession has significantly affected the development schedule, the developer retains the entitlements and zoning necessary to move forward. The County is committed to the following specific actions to facilitate its development.

- The County has designated the Manager, Planned Communities as the primary point of contact for the developer to expedite applications and resolve issues that may arise;
- The Director, OC Community Services/Housing is the primary designated contact for facilitating development of the sites to be dedicated for affordable housing;
- Affordable housing projects shall be approved administratively;
- The County will report annually to HCD and the Board of Supervisors regarding progress toward the development of The Ranch Plan and its affordable housing sites through a required Annual Monitoring Report.

Development Anticipated During the 2013-2021 Planning Period

In 2007 the development plan for Planning Area 1 was submitted by the developer. This Planning Area, which is now under construction, will include approximately 1,287 residential units, an urban activity center which will provide the community's commercial, civic, cultural, professional service and office needs in a location easily accessible from the residential neighborhoods, a community park, recreation center, a transit station and roads. The County has also issued grading permits which cover over 525.3 acres in preparation for building permit issuance. In January 2013, the Master Area Plan for Planning Area 2 was submitted by Rancho Mission Viejo. This Planning Area will include approximately 3,291 dwelling units (950 of which will be age-qualified), an urban activity center, neighborhood center, public facilities, parklands, and open space and was approved by the Planning Commission in March 2013. It is anticipated that plans for Planning Area 3 will be submitted for approval and development commencement within the planning period.

In these first planning areas, Rancho Mission Viejo has projected a total of 5,160 dwelling units constructed by the end of the planning period.

According to the provisions of the Affordable Housing Implementation Agreement (AHIA), the number of affordable housing sites (Dedicated Lands) provided would be "commensurate with the overall pace of development" as in the above table depicting the provision of Dedicated Lands with the percentage of development (equivalent residential and non-residential uses). It is the intent of the AHIA that affordable housing projects would be constructed at the same time as adjacent market-rate projects to ensure a seamless residential neighborhood and to avoid any potential NIMBY ism from residents of market-rate residential units. Pursuant to the AHIA, the developer has notified the County that there are three approximately 4-gross acre sites in Planning Area 2 that are available for the provision of affordable housing. Subject to further CEQA review, it is expected that these sites could produce between 180 and 360 units of affordable housing before the end of the planning period.

In November 2004 the Board of Supervisors adopted a General Plan Amendment, Development Agreement, Rancho Mission Viejo Planned Community Program with associated Statistical Table and Planned Community Development Map, and a Zoning Ordinance with associated Statistical Summary and Zoning Map for Rancho Mission Viejo. This action approved entitlements for the project and established Planned Community zoning on the property allowing the developer to move ahead with the construction of dwelling units, commercial, recreational and other non-residential uses. Since 2004, Rancho Mission Viejo has been working with the County to prepare detailed development and infrastructure plans.

When developing the 2021-2029 RHNA Allocation Plan, SCAG utilized Orange County Projections (OCP) 2018, a dataset developed by the Center for Demographic Research under contract to the Orange County Council of Governments (OCCOG). Each local jurisdiction, including the County, participated in the development of OCP 2018 and provided population, employment and housing growth data for the current planning period. The forecast data submitted by the County included information provided by Rancho Mission Viejo. Rancho Mission Viejo forecasted that they would construct 4,165 dwelling units by the end of the 2021-2019 Housing Element planning period. These dwelling units were included in the County's forecast of new construction and are reflected in OCP 2018, the dataset used by SCAG to determine the County's RHNA allocation.

The development timetable for Rancho Mission Viejo will be determined by the property owner based on financial and market conditions. Although the recent recession has significantly affected the development schedule, the developer retains the entitlements and zoning necessary to move forward. The County is committed to the following specific actions to facilitate its development.

- The County has designated the Manager, Land Development as the primary point of contact for the developer to expedite applications and resolve issues that may arise;
- The Director, OC Community Resources/Housing is the primary designated contact for facilitating development of the sites to be dedicated for affordable housing;
- Affordable housing projects shall be approved administratively:
- The County will report annually to State HCD and the Board of Supervisors regarding progress toward the development of Rancho Mission Viejo and its affordable housing sites through a required Annual Monitoring Report.

December 10, 201321 B-37 271 of 333

Development Anticipated During the 2021-2029 Planning Period

Plans for Rancho Mission Viejo Planning Area 3 is expected to be submitted for approval and is anticipated to accommodate approximately 4,165 units within the 2021-2029 6th Cycle Housing Element Period, 165 of which will be available at the lower very low and low income levels.

December 10, 201321 B-38 272 of 333

Figure B-1 The Ranch Plan Planned Community Land Inventory

This inventory is for descriptive purposes only and was prepared by staff for this use only. Total unit count among Planning Areas may increase or decrease by no more than 10% per the Development Agreement.

APN	Individual Parcel Size (Acres)	Address	Zoning	General Plan	Planning Area Size (Acres)	Potential Units*	Owner	Current Use	Bldg. Size (sf)	Bldg. FAR	Year Built	Imp. Ratio**
125 171 52	15.910	Planning Area	Planned Community	1B	806.497	1,287	RMV	Agricultural	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
125 171 86	114.303	1	"PC"	'10	000.477	units	TXIVIV	(Vacant land)	19/7-1	14/74	14/7-1	14/7-1
125 171 00	117.683		10			proposed		(vacant lana)				
125-172-19	26.494		Uses in this Planning			=====						
125 172 17	0.582		Area will include:			Higher						
125-173-02	93.356		SFD, PCD,			density in						
125 173 02 125 173 03	4.009		MF, E,			MF, AQ						
125-173-04	68.055		AQ, UAC			and UAC						
125 173 05	26.362		714, 0710			and onto						
125-173-06	24.305											
125 173 07	192.254											
125-173-08	2.975											
125 173 09	44.805											
125 173 10	18.582											
125 173 11	1.530											
125 173 12	55.292											
Subtotals						1,287						
125-096-80	97.607	Planning Area	Planned Community	1B	1,183.655	3,291	RMV	Agricultural Agricultural	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
125 161 31	270.096	2	"PC"					(Vacant land)				
125-161-32	9.848					Higher						
125 161 35	136.853		Uses in this Planning			density in						
125-161-38	0.538		Area will include:			UAC						
125 161 39	3.029		SFD, PCD,									
125 161 46	4.501		UAC									
125-161-53	21.428											
125 161 55	229.202											
125-161-64	11.042											
125 161 65	123.329											
125-171-07	73.190											
125 171 82	202.992											
Subtotals						3,291						

	Individual			Camanal	Planning	Detential			Dida	Dlda		l
A DAI	Parcel Size	A -1 -1	7	General	Area Size	Potential	0	0	Bldg.	Bldg.	V D:!4	Imp.
APN	(Acres)	Address	Zoning	Plan	(Acres)	Units*	Owner	Current Use	Size (sf)	FAR	Year Built	Ratio**
125 161 03	216.710	Planning Area	Planned Community	1B	3,336.237	4,731	RMV	Agricultural	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
125-161-04	1.460	3	<u> "PC"</u>					(Vacant land)				
125 161 41	0.011											
125 161 45	1958.231		Uses in this Planning									
125 161 51	1159.825		Area will include:									
			SFD, PCD, MF,									
			AQ, UAC									
Subtotals						4,731						
125 150 42	22.340	Planning Area	Planned Community	1B	1,227.21	480	RMV	Agricultural Agricultural	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
125-150-44	44.070	4	<u>"PC"</u>					(Vacant land)				
125 150 64	18.843											
125 150 65	85.140		Uses in this Planning									
125 150 55	74.598		Area will include:									
125 150 66	163.077		SFD, E									
125 150 73	869.142		,									
Subtotals						480						
125 162 34	230.754	Planning Area	Planned Community	1B	1,445.775	2,440	RMV	Agricultural	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
125 162 39	138.127	5	"PC"					(Vacant land)				
125 162 44	570.266		Uses in this Planning									
125 162 45	506.628		Area will include:									
			SFD, PCD,									
			MF, AQ									
Subtotals			,			2,440						
124-101-24	109.550	Planning Area	Planned Community	1B	2,411.012	550	RMV	Agricultural/Research	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
124 101 26	68.002	8	"PC"		,			(Vacant land)				
124-101-32	374.990							(,				
124 101 33	451.560		Uses in this Planning									
124-101-34	160.910		Area will include:									
124 101 35	855.680		SFD,E, PCD									
124 101 39	390.350		0. 2/2/. 02									
Subtotals	070.000					1,771						
TOTALS	1					14,000					†	
		l				11,000		l				

SFD - Single Family Detached (9 du/ac)

PCD - Planned Concept Detached (greater than 8 du/ac)

MF - Multiple Family (up to 43 du/ac)

AQ — Age Qualified (Senior) (up to 43 du/ac) E — Estate (1 du/ac)

UAC — Urban Activity Center (up to 43 du/ac)

December 10, 2013<u>21</u> B-40

Banning Ranch (Newport Beach Island)

The Newport Beach island includes a lower-income site within the C1 zone. This vacant site is located on the north side of Pacific Coast Highway, bordered by Superior Avenue and the Santa Ana River, and is near Hoag Hospital and other residential neighborhoods. This property is part of the larger ownership known as Banning Ranch, much of which has been used for oil extraction in the past, although the parcels listed in the land inventory are not impacted by oil field operations. This island also includes 34.1 acres of vacant land zoned R4, which is suitable for moderate-income housing. Banning Ranch is within the County of Orange's boundary and the City of Newport Beach's Sphere of Influence.

Banning Ranch was identified in the County's 5th Cycle Housing Element as a site to accommodate future housing needs. During that planning period, a project which would bring residential units to the Banning Ranch site was approved for development by the City, but the project was subsequently denied by the Coastal Commission. The County understands that future opportunities for residential development may still exist for housing development and has included the site within the 2021-2029 Housing Element with modest development yield based on past development projects and potential.

The Banning Ranch property has the potential to feasibly accommodate 1,475 units of development on 30 acres of the considerably larger property. The assumed buildout is therefore projected at 1,475 units, 443 of which are projected to develop affordably.

Covote Canvon

Coyote Canyon is a 375 acre former landfill property that is owned by the County of Orange. The site is located at 20661 Newport Coast Drive, between Bonita Canyon and San Joaquin Hills Roads, in the City of Newport Beach and within the County of Orange Sphere of Influence. The County anticipates negotiation of a transfer agreement with the City for any future development that occurs on this site. A portion of the property is not subject to restrictions put in place regarding the former landfill use. This is the portion of the property identified within the County's Housing Element. The assumed buildout is projected at 1,056 units, 264 of which are projected to develop to be available to residents in the low and very-low income category.

Brea Canyon Parcels

The Brea Canvon Parcels are located along the northern border towards the center of the City of Brea, near the cross streets of Lambert Road and Valencia Avenue. There is a 2005 preannexation agreement between the County of Orange, Brea, and Aera that anticipated a future master-planned community (labeled Brea 265) on the site. This property was not annexed as the project did not move forward as proposed.

The Brea 265 Specific Plan was developed in close consideration of community-driven growth strategies, including Brea Envisions and the City's the General Plan. The conceptual Brea 265 Specific Plan looked to uphold Brea's small-town feel, while providing a diverse range of housing to meet local needs. While this collection of parcels remains in the County of Orange, the City of Brea has long planned for housing to be developed on the site. The City's planning framework with the current General Plan calls for residential uses on the site. The parcels identified have also been pre-zoned as Hillside Residential by the City of Brea.

While some potential environmental constraints associated with the previous oil drilling use exist on the site, the Brea 265 Specific Plan demonstrated that the development of 1,100 residential units was feasible across the 265 acres of land, much of which was to remain natural open space. The plan envisioned a mixture of low-, medium- and high-density residential neighborhoods, parks, recreational amenities and open space, linked together by an extensive trail network with connections to the Tracks at Brea and other regional systems. At buildout, the proposed project would have provided 301 low density units, 273 medium-density units, and 526 high-density units, totaling 1,100 units with an overall average density of approximately 4 dwelling units per acre, provide 18.1 acres of parks/recreations uses and 55.7 acres of open space. Per the City of Brea's current inclusionary housing ordinance, at least 10% of the total units would be required to be provided at affordable below-market prices. It is anticipated that approximately 20% of the total units (largely those in the high-density category) will be affordable at the moderate income level, with the remaining 770 units available at the above moderate income level.

Santa Ana Golf Course (Costa Mesa Island)

The Costa Mesa island includes one high-potential site of approximately 14.5 acres within the C2 zone. The property is currently used as a parking lot for the Santa Ana Country Club and represents a redevelopment opportunity. The site is level and excellent access is available from Newport Blvd. The Santa Ana Golf Course parcel was identified in the County's 5th Cycle. Development potential for this site was identified as 362 potential units based on a density of 25 dwelling units per acre. These units were anticipated to all be developed at the low-income affordability level.

Development potential for the Santa Ana Golf Course in the 6th Cycle Housing Element anticipates an increase in previous assumptions regarding development on the site based on development at a density of 30 dwelling units per acre. Consistent with the assumptions for sites within the Housing Opportunity Overlay (which would include the Santa Ana golf Course currently zoned C2), all 435 units are anticipated to develop at the very low and low income categories.

Accessory Dwelling Units

Accessory dwelling units, or ADUs, are housing units which may be developed in addition to an existing single- or multi-family residential use. These housing units can be free-standing or attached to a primary structure and are intended to provide additional housing on an existing residential lot. Often ADUs provide housing for family members or are rented to members of the community.

In accordance with the 2019 passage of AB 68, AB 881, AB 587, AB 671 and SB 13, the Comprehensive Zoning Code Update address changes to facilitate the development ADUs and JADUs. In 2018, the County issued permits for 19 ADUs, followed by 19 ADUs in 2019 and 50 ADUs in 2020, which is a 263% increase. For calendar year 2021 to early September, the County issued permits for 43 ADUs for development, and 38 are currently in review. This trend indicates a continued increase in ADU activity.

Based on past performance and the SCAG/HCD approved methodology, the County of Orange

December 10, 201321 B-42 276 of 333

has determined that it is appropriate to anticipate the development of 800 accessory dwelling units during the 2021 to 2029 planning period. Approximately 544 of these units are anticipated to be affordable at the low and very-low income categories. 240 ADUs are anticipated to be affordable at the moderate income level and 16 ADUs are anticipated at the above moderate income level. This estimation is based on guidance from SCAG and HCD, along with surveys of existing ADUs in the SCAG region between April and June 2020.

As of September 2021, OC Development Services is preparing pre-approved ADU plans to streamline and facilitate the ADU permitting process, and will continue to track ADU development by affordability level and report on annual APRs.

2. Underutilized Infill Parcels

Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone. The remaining sites identified to meet the County RHNA need are located within the County's Housing Opportunity Overlay (HOO). The HOO is described in further detail in the following section. Each site has been evaluated to ensure there is adequate access to water and sewer connections as well as dry utilities. Each site is situated with a direct connection to a public street that has the appropriate water and sewer mains and other infrastructure to service the candidate site.

The Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone (Section 7-9-148.6 of the Zoning Code) creates the option of affordable housing development on conventionally-zoned commercial and industrial sites located primarily in unincorporated islands in the northern part of the county. The Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone regulations allow development of lower-income multi-family housing by-right on parcels within the following base zoning districts:

- C1 Local Business
- C2 General Business
- CH Commercial Highway
- CN Commercial Neighborhood
- PA Professional and Administrative Office
- M1 Light Industrial
- R2 Residential, Single-Family (arterial highway frontage)
- R3 Apartment (arterial highway frontage)
- R4 Suburban Multifamily Residential (arterial highway frontage)
- RP Residential-Professional (arterial highway frontage)

The Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone regulations require that 100% of the units be reserved for lower-income households, with at least 30% of units reserved for very-low-income households. Currently, the Bbase density in all portions of the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone except the R2 and R3 districts is 25 units/acre, and 43.5 units/acre in the R2 and R3 districts. The County's 6th Cycle Housing Element includes Program 1q.1 which will amend the HOO to permit residential development at 65 dwelling units per acre for all zones where it is

December 10, 201321 B-43 277 of 333 permitted. This density was determined based on discussions with the development community and others with local experience developing affordable housing within the County of Orange. This density allows increased flexibility in the type of development that may occur within these areas and presents additional opportunities for residential development. The County of Orange also commits through Program 1q.1 to amend the associated development standards within the HOO to reflect development at 65 dwelling units per acre. Due to the nature of the HOO as described in this section, all candidate housing sites within the HOO are assumed to develop as 100% affordable to lower-income households.

As reflected in Table B-43 above, the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone has been very successful in stimulating affordable housing development, with 78 new residential projects approved since 2006.

Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone Site Inventory. A review of parcels within the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone was conducted to assess the realistic development potential for housing development. Only those "high potential" sites meeting the following criteria were included in the site inventory:

- Parcel size: one-half acre minimum (smaller sites are included only if adjacent parcels can be consolidated)
- Parcel dimensions: width and depth will accommodate multi-family development (typically minimum 50 feet wide and 100 feet deep at any location on lot)
- For developed residential parcels: current density is less than one-half the allowable density
- For developed commercial parcels: high potential for conversion to residential or mixed-use based on existing site characteristics such as deteriorated or vacant structures, low building value compared to land value, or marginal economic uses (e.g., used car sales)
- No environmental constraints that would preclude development at a higher density (e.g., steep slopes >30%, or significant environmental hazards)
- No easements that would reduce development potential (e.g., roadway or major utility easements)

High potential underutilized sites are clustered in the areas described below. A detailed inventory of parcels is provided in Tables B-4a through B-4i. The land inventory tables show sites that often include multiple adjacent parcels with the potential for consolidation. The analysis includes the potential number of units that could be developed in each parcel using the base density of 25 units/acre or 43.5 units/acre in the R2 and R3 districts, which does not include density bonus. Actual development yield would usually be higher than this estimate because every project in the

December 10, 201321 B-44 278 of 333 Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone will qualify for a density bonus. The analysis also includes the existing use, the size of existing structures, floor area ratio (FAR), the age of existing structure, and the ratio of the assessed value of improvements to total assessed value. Generally speaking, lower FAR, greater structure age and lower improvement ratio may affect the propensity for redevelopment to occur, although many other circumstances can also affect development decisions. It is important to recognize that this inventory of potential development sites is much smaller than the entire Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone because it only includes high potential sites based on the criteria listed above and in the following description of specific communities. Based on the allowable density of 25 units per acre (43.5 units per acre in the R2 and R3 zones), excluding any density bonus, the total capacity of the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone is more than 3,600 additional lower-income units. This is a conservative estimate since any residential project within the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone will also qualify for a density bonus. The 3,654 2,032 potential units identified in the land inventory (see Table B-2 and Tables B-4a through B-4i) represent only about 5629% of the total capacity (excluding density bonus) and only about 42% of capacity when density bonus is included.

- Midway City The Midway City area is surrounded by the City of Westminster. Of the 84-acre area, parcels totaling approximately 22 acres are included in the high potential site inventory. Much of this area was originally developed in the 1940s and '50s with strip commercial uses along the major streets such as Beach Boulevard and Bolsa Avenue, and single-family housing on smaller streets. While some private redevelopment and upgrading has occurred, large portions of the commercially-zoned land are still occupied by marginal strip commercial and low-intensity light industrial uses such as liquor stores, massage parlors, vacant car dealer lots, car and truck repair, used car sales and equipment storage lots (see Table B-4a). Extensive lot consolidation opportunities exist in this area.
- Orange-Olive Heights Island The Orange-Olive Heights overlay zone area is located north of Lincoln Avenue and east of Orange-Olive Road and includes approximately 6 acres of land surrounded by the City of Orange. Of the 6 total acres, parcels totaling 3.5 acres are listed on the high potential site inventory (Table B-4b). These sites include light industrial buildings, a recycling yard, and vacant land, and have excellent lot consolidation potential.
- Del Rio Island The Del Rio area is comprised of approximately 7 acres on the north side of Lincoln Avenue west of Glassell Street, surrounded by the City of Orange. High potential sites contain 5.7 acres currently occupied with a selfstorage yard, self-serve car wash, and nursery supply. These parcels have excellent potential for consolidation (see Table B-4c).

- West Anaheim Island The West Anaheim island is surrounded by the City of Anaheim and encompasses approximately 44 acres, from which parcels totaling 8.6 acres are identified as high-potential sites (see Table B-4d). The majority of these parcels front on Brookhurst Avenue, a major arterial, and contain modest single-family houses originally built in the 1940s and '50s, and strip commercial. Current uses include liquor store, tattoo parlor, beauty shop, thrift store, pawn shop, "payday" loan, massage parlor, hookah bar, nail salon, and bar. These parcels have high potential for consolidation. Redevelopment of older singlefamily homes (such as those along Brookhurst Street) is feasible because most of these homes suffer from deferred maintenance, are in an undesirable residential location fronting on a major arterial, and are on adjacent parcels that could be consolidated.
- <u>Stanton Island</u> The Stanton Island is located along the north side of Katella Avenue near Magnolia Avenue, and is surrounded by the City of Stanton. The area contains four parcels considered high-potential sites totaling 1.5 acres (Table B-4e). These parcels contain low-value structures such as RV rental, bar, liquor store and vacant former used car sales lots. These parcels have excellent consolidation potential.
- Santa Ana Island The Santa Ana island is located near the northeast corner of Tustin Avenue and 17th Street, and contains 11 parcels totaling approximately 5 acres (Table B-4f). The majority of this land is vacant, while two parcels contain marginal commercial buildings occupied by a bar, liquor store, massage parlor, tattoo parlor, cell phone sales, barber shop, and bail bonds. These parcels have excellent consolidation potential.
- Fountain Valley Island The Fountain Valley island includes one high potential site of approximately one half acre currently occupied by an auto repair business (Table B-4g). The structure on the site is of relatively low value, and represents an excellent redevelopment opportunity.
- Costa Mesa Island The Costa Mesa island includes one high-potential site of approximately 15 acres within the C2 zone (Table B-4h). The property is currently used as a parking lot for the Costa Mesa Country Club and represents a redevelopment opportunity. The site is level and excellent access is available from Newport Blvd.
- Newport Beach Island The Newport Beach island includes one high potential lower-income site of approximately 23 acres within the C1 zone (Table B-4i). This vacant site is located on the north side of Coast Highway and is near Hoag Hospital and other residential neighborhoods. This property is part of the larger

ownership known as Banning Ranch, much of which has been used for oil extraction in the past, although the parcels listed in the land inventory are not impacted by oil field operations. This island also includes 34.1 acres of vacant land zoned R4, which is suitable for moderate income housing.

• <u>El Modena Island</u> The El Modena island comprises over 20 acres and is developed primarily with very modest single-family homes, many of which are on substandard-sized lots (Table B-5). This area is zoned R4, which allows a density of 14.5 units/acre. These parcels have been assigned to the moderate income site inventory and have excellent consolidation potential.

Development of Small Site Parcels

The County of Orange has identified several candidate housing sites that are smaller than half an acre in size. Assembly Bill 1397 identifies general size requirements for candidate housing sites of greater than half an acre and less than 10 acres in size. Many of the sites smaller than half an acre that have been identified the likelihood of redeveloping in conjunction with other parcels which collectively meet the half acre requirement. The likelihood of redevelopment was based primarily on common ownership amongst adjacent parcels which share a property line. In most instances, these parcels are currently developed as a single use and it is reasonable to anticipate that the collection of parcels will redevelop as one new development to maximize efficiency and design of the new use.

The County of Orange has previous recent experience developing affordable housing on sites smaller than half an acre. Potter's Lane, a 16-unit affordable housing development on a 0.41-acre site within the County's housing opportunities overlay, was completed in 2017. The development includes 15 units for homeless persons and 1 manager's unit.

As is typical of older urban communities, there are very few large developable parcels remaining in the unincorporated islands in Orange County. A significant number of parcels within the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone are less than one acre in size. As noted above, parcels smaller than ½ acre are not included the inventory unless there is an opportunity for lot consolidation with an adjacent parcel. In some jurisdictions, discretionary approvals required for lot consolidation present a constraint to development. However, the Orange County Zoning Code does not require any formal lot consolidation mechanism or approval prior to development of a project encompassing more than one parcel. A project sponsor who controls two or more adjacent parcels may process a development application that crosses parcel boundaries. Since the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone allows development by right, small parcels do not pose a significant constraint to development or redevelopment. In addition, Strategy 1a in the Housing Action Plan (Section 5) provides a graduated density bonus to allow an increase in base density

B-47

up to 30 units/acre as an incentive for lot consolidation (in addition to any other allowable density bonus based on state affordability criteria).

Table B-2 summarizes the total potential units on sites within the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone that are considered "high potential" and are therefore included in the underutilized land inventory.

Table B-2 High Potential Underutilized Low-Income Sites Inventory Summary **Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone**

Planning Area	Potential Low Income Units*
Costa Mesa	362
Fountain Valley	12
La Habra	0
Midway City	516
Newport Beach Banning Ranch	567
Orange Del Rio	184
Orange El Modena	0
Orange Olive Heights	74
Rossmoor	0
Santa Ana	117
Silverado	0
Stanton	36
West Anaheim	164
Yorba Linda	0
TOTALS	2,032
	•

^{*}See Tables B-4a through B-4i for parcel breakdown

Other Underutilized Parcels. In addition to the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone areas described above, there are many other underutilized parcels in the unincorporated county where the intensity of existing development is significantly less than allowed by current zoning. The largest concentration of such parcels is in the El Modena unincorporated island surrounded by the City of Orange. Approximately 54 acres of land in this neighborhood is zoned R-4, which allows multi-family development at a density up to 14.5 units/acre. This neighborhood is developed predominantly with modest single-family homes, although some infill multi-family projects have been built in recent years. Table B-5 provides a list of such underutilized parcels that are suitable for meeting the County's moderate-income housing needs.

Land Inventory Summary C.

As discussed above, the land inventory analysis of underutilized sites considers the following factors, pursuant to Government Code §65583.2(a)(3) and §65583.2(g):

- A description of the existing use of each property;
- The extent to which existing uses may constitute an impediment to additional residential development;

December 10, 201321 B-48 282 of 333

- Recent development trends and market conditions; and
- The availability of regulatory or other incentives or standards to encourage additional residential development on these sites.

Based on the allowable base density of 25 units per acre (30 units per acre for parcels of one acre or more) and 43.5 units per acre in the R2 and R3 zones, excluding any density bonus, a total of more than 3,825 2,032 new lower-income units could be developed on the "high potential" sites identified in the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone (Table B-42). This is a conservative estimate since any residential project within the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone will also qualify for a density bonus. As noted in Table A-3 B-2, the average density for projects completed in the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone is more than 34 units per acre and every project has achieved at least nearly 373 units per acre. In addition, the legal obligation contained in the Rancho Mission Viejo Ranch Plan Planned Community for dedication of an aggregate of 60 gross acres of land to the County for affordable housing creates the potential for up to approximately 1,800 additional lower-income units (up to 360 units may be developed during this planning period). Together, these vacant and underutilized sites can accommodate nearly 2,700 new lower-income units in the planning period. While the recent downturn in the real estate market has severely curtailed development activity, development activity is now increasing and the County's successful track record of facilitating the development of affordable projects since the adoption of the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone in 2006, combined with the ongoing efforts of Planning and Housing staff, will create the regulatory framework to encourage housing development to the extent as economic conditions improve allow.

Table B-34 summarizes the unincorporated area land inventory compared to the County's RHNA for the 201421-20219 planning period. This table shows that there is adequate capacity in all income categories to accommodate the unincorporated area's <u>RHNA</u> needs. A complete list of high-potential sites the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone is provided in Tables B-4a through B-4f, while underutilized moderate income sites are listed in Table B-5. Maps showing the parcel locations are provided in Figures B-2a through B-21.

B-49

Table B-3 **Land Inventory Summary vs. RHNA**

		14	Income Category				
			Above				
<u>Category</u>	Total Units	Lower	Moderate	Moderate			
Approved projects (Table B 1)	244	244	_	3			
The Ranch Plan Planned Community (Figure B-1)	5,520	360	-	5,160			
Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone (Table B 2)	2,032	2,032	_	_			
Other underutilized parcels (Tables B 4i and B 5)	750	_	750	_			
Total Land Inventory	8,546	2,636	750	5,163			
RHNA Need 201421 20219 (Table X 41)	5,272	2,119	979	2,174			
	<u>3,139</u>	1,866	2,040	3,361			
Adequate Capacity?	Yes	Yes	Yes*	Yes			

Sources: OC Planning 201321; SCAG 201221
*Includes excess lower income units

December 10, 201321 B-50 284 of 333

Table B-4a **Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone Land Inventory** Midway City Unincorporated Island

ADNI	Address	Zanin n	General	Parcel Size	Potential	0	Command Han	Bldg.	Bldg.	Veer Duill	Imp.
APN Cit #1	Address	Zoning	Plan	(Acres)	Units*	Owner	Current Use	Size (sf)	FAR	Year Built	Ratio**
Site #1	14500 D D	00	10	0.05	0.1		NA 15 1 11	/454	0.17	1001	0.00
097 103 31	14582 Beach Blvd	C2	1C	0.85	21	-	Meeting hall	6151	0.17	1921	0.29
Subtotals					21						
Site #2											
097 132 13	8081 Bolsa Ave	C2	1C	0.0826	2	-	Older strip commercial	511	0.14	1927	0.02
097-132-14	8091 Bolsa Ave	C2	1C	0.0826	2	_	Older strip commercial	1714	0.48	1936	0.64
097 132 15	8101 Bolsa Ave	C2	1C	0.2123	5	=	Older strip commercial	0	0.00	na	0.48
097 132 23	8061 Bolsa Ave	C2	1C	0.1653	4	-	Older strip commercial	3596	0.50	1954	0.32
097 132 24	8071 Bolsa Ave	C2	1C	0.1653	4	-	Older strip commercial	848	0.12	1969	0.31
Subtotals					17						
Site #3											
097-133-21	8121 Bolsa Ave	C2	1C	0.4132	10	-	Older strip commercial	4503	0.25	1953	0.41
Subtotals					10						
Site #4											
097 134 09	8181 Bolsa Ave	C2	1C	0.1653	4	A	commercial (used car lot)	1317	0.18	1938	0.53
097 134 10	8191 Bolsa Ave	C2	1C	0.1446	3	A	Older strip commercial	2227	0.35	1965	0.41
097 134 12	8225 Bolsa Ave	C2	1C	0.1653	4	=	Older strip commercial	4115	0.57	1965	0.76
097-134-25	8207 Bolsa Ave	C2	1C	0.2479	6	=	commercial (auto repair)	3075	0.28	1980	0.43
Subtotals					17						
Site #4											
097 141 09	8241 Bolsa Ave	C2	1C	0.1488	3	B	Older strip commercial	2968	0.46	1928	0.50
097 141 10	8249 Bolsa Ave	C2	1C	0.1488	3	B	Older strip commercial	1954	0.30	1961	0.50
097 141 11	8261 Bolsa Ave	C2	1C	0.1488	3	_	Older strip commercial	1977	0.31	1946	0.25
097-141-12	8271 Bolsa Ave	C2	1C	0.1488	3	-	Older strip commercial	1804	0.28	1956	0.42
097 141 13	8281 Bolsa Ave	C2	1C	0.1487	3	_	Older strip commercial	2863	0.44	1946	0.40
Subtotals					15						
Site #5											
097 142 22	8301 Bolsa Ave	C2	1C	0.2975	7	-	Older strip commercial	2566	0.20	1957	0.36
097 142 23	8331 Bolsa Ave	C2	1C	0.4463	11	=	Older strip commercial	6486	0.33	1973	0.52
Subtotals					18						

APN	Address	Zoning	General Plan	Parcel Size (Acres)	Potential Units*	Owner	Current Use	Bldg. Size (sf)	Bldg. FAR	Year Built	Imp. Ratio**
Site #6											
097 143 10	8371 Bolsa Ave	C2	1C	0.1488	3	-	Older strip commercial	786	0.12	1951	0.19
097-143-11	8381 Bolsa Ave	C2	1C	0.1488	3	-	Older strip commercial	727	0.11	1950	0.13
097 143 12	8391 Bolsa Ave	C2	1C	0.1488	3	-	Older strip commercial	2455	0.38	1977	0.44
097 143 22	8361 Bolsa Ave	C2	1C	0.1308	3	-	Older strip commercial	2505	0.44	1958	0.37
Subtotals					12						
Site #7											
097 144 08	8421 Bolsa Ave	C2	1C	0.171	4	C	Older strip commercial	1096	0.15	1942	0.09
097-144-09	8441 Bolsa Ave	C2	1C	0.2039	5	C	Older strip commercial	1105	0.12	1942	0.15
097 144 19	8451 Bolsa Ave	C2	1C	0.27	6	-	Older strip commercial	0	0.00	1960	0.10
Subtotals					15		·				
Site #8											
107 151 02	15021 Jackson St	C2	2A	0.3117	7	E	commercial (used car lot)	1850	0.14	1929	0.06
107 151 06	15032 Adams St	C2	2A	0.191	4	-	Commercial light industrial	1912	0.23	1945	0.09
107-151-15	15161 Jackson St	C2	2 A	0.4132	10	-	Commercial - light industrial	808	0.04	1928	0.80
107 151 16	15171 Jackson St	C2	2A	0.4132	10	-	Commercial light industrial	674	0.04	1928	0.09
107 151 19	15191 Jackson St	C2	2A	0.4132	10	-	Older non conforming SFR	1596	0.09	1928	0.07
107 151 20	15201 Jackson St	C2	2A	0.4132	10	Đ	commercial (parking lot)	898	0.05	1927	0
107-151-21	15211 Jackson St	C2	2 A	0.4126	10	Đ	commercial (parking lot)	674	0.04	1927	0
107 151 30	15212 Beach Blvd	C2	2A	0.4135	3	-	commercial (U Haul)	0	0.00	na	0
107-151-31	15122 Beach Blvd	C2	2A	0.4087	10	-	commercial (car dealership)	3013	0.17	1958	0.09
107-151-32	15142 Beach Blvd	C2	2 A	0.4135	10	-	commercial (auto parts)	875	0.05	na	0.66
107 151 33	15132 Beach Blvd	C2	2A	0.4135	10	-	commercial (car dealership)	1123	0.06	1942	0.23
107 151 36	15081 Jackson St	C2	2A	0.1894	4	-	commercial (parking lot)	675	0.08	1927	0.12
107-151-37	15101 Jackson St	C2	2 A	0.1894	4	-	Older non-conforming SFR	1107	0.13	1927	0.05
107 151 38	15111 Jackson St	C2	2A	0.2841	7	-	Commercial light industrial	1353	0.11	1927	0.15
107 151 42	15052 Adams St	C2	2A	0.2257	5	-	Commercial light industrial	2669	0.27	1952	0.29
107 151 43	15180 Jackson St	C2	1C	0.2066	5	-	Commercial light industrial	1443	0.16	1968	0.22
107-151-44	15181 Jackson St	C2	2 A	0.2066	5	-	Commercial - light industrial	1810	0.20	1927	0.30
107 151 45	15135 Jackson St	C2	2A	0.3994	9	-	Commercial light industrial	525	0.03	1933	0.63
107 151 47	15132 Adams St	C2	2A	0.3359	8	-	Commercial light industrial	2499	0.17	1981	0.17
107 151 48	15131 Jackson St	C2	2A	0.2841	7	-	Commercial light industrial	1058	0.09	1928	0.22
107-151-51	15114 Adams St	C2	2 A	0.3156	7	-	commercial (parking lot)	5720	0.42	1964	0.16
107 151 52	15092 Adams St	C2	2A	0.1578	3	-	commercial (parking lot)	2629	0.38	1963	0.16
107 151 54	15041 Jackson St	C2	2A	0.3078	7	-	Commercial light industrial	3906	0.29	1963	0.67

December 10, 201321 B-52 286 of 333

APN	Address	Zoning	General Plan	Parcel Size (Acres)	Potential Units*	Owner	Current Use	Bldg. Size (sf)	Bldg. FAR	Year Built	Imp. Ratio**
107-151-55	15031 Jackson St	C2	2A	0.1735	4	-	Commercial - light industrial	627	0.08	1945	0.15
107 151 57	8112 Bolsa Ave	C2	2A	0.0432	1	E	commercial (used car lot)	1357	0.72	1965	0.19
107 151 60	15071 Adams St	C2	2A	0.4042	10	F	commercial (parking lot)	3877	0.22	1969	0.46
107 151 61	15082 Adams St	C2	2A	0.1521	3	F	commercial (parking lot)	2405	0.36	1976	0.75
107-151-62	15062 Adams St	C2	2A	0.1825	4	•	commercial (parking lot)	na	na	1976	0.42
Subtotals					187						
Site #9											
107 152 12	15040 Midway Place	C2	2A	1.4729	36	A	parking lot	1130	.02	1959	23.82
Subtotals					36						
Site #9											
107 153 10	15022 Beach Blvd	C2	2A	0.23	5	G	commercial (truck shells)	θ	0.00	1970	0.01
107 153 11	8042 Bolsa Ave	C2	2A	0.1087	2	G	commercial (truck parts)	1085	0.23	1959	0.14
107-153-12	15032 BEACH BLVD	C2	2A	0.11	2	G	parking lot	571	0.12	1955	0.10
Subtotals					9		·				-
Site #10											
107 180 06	15102 Jackson St	C2	1C	0.3718	9	-	undetermined use	1095	0.07	1953	0.16
107 180 08	15122 Jackson St	C2	1C	0.3724	9	H	Commercial light industrial		0.00	1945	0.20
107 180 09	15132 Jackson St	C2	1C	0.372	9	-	Commercial light industrial	870	0.05	1927	0.06
107-180-10	15142 Jackson St	C2	1C	0.372	9	Ħ	Commercial - light industrial	624	0.04	1929	0.05
107-180-11	15161 VAN BUREN ST	C2	1C	0.744	18	-	Commercial - light industrial	680	0.02	1975	0.47
107-180-14	15231 Van Buren	C2	1C	0.13	3	•	Commercial - light industrial	0	0.00	na	0
107 180 15	15222 Jackson St	C2	1C	0.3037	7	-	Commercial light industrial	1153	0.09	1947	0.10
107 180 16	8161 Bishop	C2	1C	0.15	3	-	Older non conforming SFR	2800	0.43	1964	0.45
107 180 17	15232 Jackson St	C2	1C	0.1514	3	-	Commercial light industrial	568	0.09	1949	0.44
107-180-22	15192 Jackson St	C2	1C	0.3713	9	-	commercial (parking lot)	11963	0.74	1961	0.74
107 180 23	15182 Jackson St	C2	1C	0.372	9	-	commercial (parking lot)	1025	0.06	1927	0.64
107 180 24	8122 Bolsa Ave	C2	1C	0.3593	8	-	commercial (used car lot)	2481	0.16	1958	0.24
107-180-25	15062 Jackson St	C2	1C	0.6196	15	-	commercial (parking lot)	5524	0.20	1959	0.24
107 180 28	8180 Bolsa Ave	C2	1C	0.2433	6	-	commercial (used car lot)	1009	0.10	1963	0.06
107 180 29	15112 Jackson St	C2	1C	0.1859	4	-	Commercial light industrial	769	0.09	1927	0.21
107 180 30	15111 Van Buren	C2	1C	0.18	4	-	Commercial light industrial	1661	0.21	1973	0.45
107-180-31	16201 Van Buren	C2	1C	0.57	14	+	Commercial - light industrial	1309	0.05	1966	0.10
107 180 32	15202 Jackson St	C2	1C	0.169	4	ļ	commercial (parking lot)	1628	0.22	1952	0.14
107 180 33	15081 Van Buren	C2	1C	0.18	4	-	Commercial light industrial	θ	0.00	na	0

B-53 287 of 333 December 10, 201321

APN	Address	Zoning	General Plan	Parcel Size (Acres)	Potential Units*	Owner	Current Use	Bldg. Size (sf)	Bldg. FAR	Year Built	Imp. Ratio**
107-180-34	15082 Jackson St	C2	1C	0.186	4	1	Commercial - light industrial	956	0.12	1927	0.13
Subtotals					151						
Site #11											
142-062-15	15451 Beach Blvd	C1	1B	0.35	8		Commercial - light industrial	1119	0.07	1961	0.10
TOTALS					516						

Notes







Underutilized sites in the Midway City area

December 10, 201321

^{*}Based on density of 25 du/ac

^{**}Ratio of improvement value to total assessed value

Table B-4b
Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone Land Inventory
Orange-Olive Heights Unincorporated Island

APN	Address	Zoning	General Plan	Parcel Size (Acres)	Potential Units*	Owner	Current Use	Bldg. Size (sf)	Bldg. FAR	Year Built	Imp. Ratio**
Site #1											
360 384 05	2911 Orange Olive Rd.	M1	1B	0.56	14	-	Light industrial (construction company)	11902	0.49	1928	0.18
360 384 04	8692 Main Street	M1	1B	0.96	24	A	Commercial light industrial	14739	0.35	1948	0.34
360-382-02	16512 Buena Vista	M1	1B	1.46	36	A	Industrial (recycling yard)	2008	0.03	1931	0.21
Totals					74						

Notes





Underutilized sites in the Orange-Olive Heights area

^{*}Based on density of 25 du/ac

^{**}Ratio of improvement value to total assessed value

Table B-4c
Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone Land Inventory
Orange-Del Rio Unincorporated Island

APN	Address	Zoning	General Plan	Parcel Size (Acres)	Potential Units	Owner	Current Use	Bldg. Size (sf)	Bldg. FAR	Year Built	Imp. Ratio**
Site #1											
360-031-23	15777 Lincoln	C2(3359)	1B	5.1	127	-	commercial (self storage)	0	0	na	0
360 011 11	15635 Lincoln	CH	1B	1.0	25	A	commercial (self car wash)	2264	0.05	1975	0.04
360 011 12	15651 Lincoln	CH	1B	1.3	32	Α	commercial (nursery supply/tire store)	1820	0.03	1937	0.05
Totals					184						

Notes





Underutilized sites in the Del Rio area

^{*}Based on density of 25 du/ac

^{**}Ratio of improvement value to total assessed value

Table B-4d **Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone Land Inventory** West Anaheim Unincorporated Island

APN	Address	Zonina	General Plan	Parcel Size (Acres)	Potential Units	Owner	Current Use	Bldg. Size (sf)	Bldg. FAR	Year Built	Imp. Ratio**
Site 1	7 tadi 633	Zorning	Tidii	(16163)	Onits	OWNER	ourrent osc	0120 (31)	1741	Built	Rutio
127 392 21	10471 S. Brookhurst	RP	1B	0.15	3	-	Older SFR	1200	0.18	1954	0.3
127 392 22	10461 S. Brookhurst	RP	1B	0.15	3	-	Older SFR	1200	0.18	1954	0.3
127 392 23	10451 S. Brookhurst	RP	1B	0.15	3		Older SFR	1520	0.23	1954	0.2
127 392 24	10431 S. Brookhurst	RP	1B	0.15	3		Older strip commercial	1204	0.18	1954	0.2
127-392-25	10421 S. Brookhurst	RP	1B	0.15	3	-	Older SFR	1200	0.18	1954	0.2
127 392 26	10411 S. Brookhurst	RP	1B	0.15	3	-	Older SFR	1504	0.23	1954	0.5
127-392-27	10391 S. Brookhurst	RP	1B	0.15	3	-	Older strip commercial	1684	0.26	1955	0.3
Subtotal					21						
Site 2											
127 521 01	10501 S. Brookhurst	RP	1B	0.2	3	-	Older SFR	1139	0.13	1954	0.80
127-521-02	10511 S. Brookhurst	RP	1B	0.2	3	-	Older SFR	1140	0.13	1954	0.42
127 521 03	10531 S. Brookhurst	RP	1B	0.2	3	-	Older SFR	1140	0.13	1954	0.17
127-521-04	10541 S. Brookhurst	RP	1B	0.2	3	-	Older SFR	1140	0.13	1954	0.25
127 521 05	10551 S. Brookhurst	RP	1B	0.2	3]	Older SFR	1140	0.13	1954	0.42
127 521 06	10561 S. Brookhurst	RP	1B	0.2	3] -	Older SFR	1140	0.13	1954	0.59
127-521-07	10571 S. Brookhurst	RP	1B	0.2	3	-	Older SFR	1140	0.13	1954	0.19
127 521 08	10581 S. Brookhurst	RP	1B	0.2	3]	Older SFR	1140	0.13	1954	0.55
127-521-09	10591 S. Brookhurst	RP	1B	0.2	3]	Older SFR	1140	0.13	1954	0.15
127 521 10	10601 S. Brookhurst	RP	1B	0.2	3] -	Older SFR	1200	0.14	1954	0.25
127 521 11	10611 S. Brookhurst	RP	1B	0.2	3]	Older SFR	1140	0.13	1954	0.43
127-521-12	10621 S. Brookhurst	RP	1B	0.2	3	J -	Older SFR	1140	0.13	1954	0.44
127 521 13	10631 S. Brookhurst	RP	1B	0.2	3	J -	Older SFR	1140	0.13	1954	0.44
127-521-14	10651 S. Brookhurst	RP	1B	0.2	3] -	Older SFR	1140	0.13	1954	0.49
127 521 15	9971 Pacific Avenue	RP	1B	0.2	3		Older SFR				
Subtotal					45						
Site 3											
127 341 03	9582 W. Ball Rd.	R3	1B	0.6	26	<u>-</u>	Older multi family	15192	0.58	1989	0.80
Subtotal					26]]]	

December 10, 201321 B-57

APN	Address	Zoning	General Plan	Parcel Size (Acres)	Potential Units	Owner	Current Use	Bldg. Size (sf)	Bldg. FAR	Year Built	Imp. Ratio**
Site 4		į.						, ,			
127-092-24	331 S Brookhurst	C1	1B	0.5885	14	-	Older strip commercial	4170	0.16	1956	0.63
127 092 25	9291 S Brookhurst	C1	1B	0.5638	14	-	Older strip commercial	5673	0.23	1961	0.39
127-092-32	305-S Brookhurst	C1	1B	1.106	27	-	Older strip commercial	5880	0.12	1960	0.24
Subtotal					55						
Site 5											
127 341 59	10012 W. Ball	C1	1B	0.2	5	-	Older SFR	888	0.10	1950	0.24
127-341-60	10042 W. Ball	C1	1B	0.38	9	-	Older SFR	2840	0.17	1948	0.24
127 341 61	9512 W. Ball	C1	1B	0.13	3	-	Strip commercial	1891	0.33	1982	0.77
Subtotal					17						
Totals				•	164						

Notes

^{*}Based on density of 25 du/ac
**Ratio of improvement value to total assessed value





Underutilized sites in the West Anaheim area

December 10, 201321 B-58

Table B-4e
Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone Land Inventory
Stanton Unincorporated Island

APN	Address	Zoning	General Plan	Parcel Size (Acres)	Potential Units	Owner	Current Use	Bldg. Size (sf)	Bldg. FAR	Year Built	Imp. Ratio**
Site 1											
126-503-27	8885 KATELLA AVE	C1	1B	0.44	11	-	Commercial (older bar)	5517	0.28	1961	0.27
Subtotal					11						
Site 2											
127 621 08	9041 KATELLA AVE	C1	1B	0.48	11	_	Vacant (used car lot)	4209	0.20	1970	0.26
127-621-09	10962 S. MAGNOLIA AVE	C1	1B	0.23	5	-	Older commercial	1210	0.12	1969	0.37
127 621 10	9001 KATELLA AVE	C1	1B	0.38	9	-	Older commercial (liquor store)	2400	0.14	1976	0.54
Subtotal					25						
Totals					36						

Notes

^{**}Ratio of improvement value to total assessed value





Underutilized sites in the Stanton area

^{*}Based on density of 25 du/ac

Table B-4f
Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone Land Inventory
Santa Ana Unincorporated Island

APN	Address	Zoning	General Plan	Parcel Size (Acres)	Potential Units*	Owner	Current Use	Bldg. Size (sf)	Bldg. FAR	Year Built	Imp. Ratio**
Site 1											
396-313-03	13912 Ponderosa	C1	1B	0.69	17	-	Older commercial	9992	0.33	1960	0.56
396 313 11	17061 E. 17th St	C1	1B	0.9	22	-	Older office/commercial	na	na	na	0.38
Subtotal					39						
Site 2											
396 312 01	No street address	C1	1B	0.45	11	A	Vacant land	0	0	na	0
396 312 02	13862 N. Tustin Ave.	C1	1B	0.54	13	A	Vacant land	0	0	na	0
396-312-03	13872 N. Tustin Ave.	C1	1B	0.19	4	A	Vacant land	0	0	na	0
396-312-04	13892 N. Tustin Ave.	C1	1B	0.23	5	A	Vacant land	0	θ	na	0
396-312-05	13902 N. Tustin Ave.	C1	1B	0.15	3	A	Vacant land	0	θ	na	0
396 312 06	13922 N. Tustin Ave.	C1	1B	0.85	21	A	Vacant land	0	0	na	0
396 312 07	13946 N. Tustin Ave.	C1	1B	0.18	4	A	Vacant land	0	0	na	0
396 312 08	13952 N. Tustin Ave.	C1	1B	0.18	4	A	Vacant land	0	0	na	0
396 312 11	13972 N. Tustin Ave.	C1	1B	0.52	13	A	Vacant land	0	0	na	0
Subtotal	<u> </u>				78		<u> </u>				
Totals		•	•		117				•	•	

Notes:

^{**}Ratio of improvement value to total assessed value







Underutilized sites in the Santa Ana area

^{*}Based on density of 25 du/ac

Table B-4g Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone Land Inventory Fountain Valley Unincorporated Island

APN	Address	Zoning	General Plan	Parcel Size (Acres)	Potential Units*	Owner	Current Use	Bldg. Size (sf)	Bldg. FAR	Year Built	Imp. Ratio**
Site 1											
144 251 08	16292 Harbor	C1	1C	0.48	12	-	Auto service	θ	Đ	1967	0.10
Totals					12						

Notes

^{*}Based on density of 25 du/ac

^{**}Ratio of improvement value to total assessed value

Table B-4h Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone Land Inventory Costa Mesa Unincorporated Island

APN	Address	Zoning	General Plan	Parcel Size (Acres)	Potential Units*	Owner	Current Use	Bldg. Size (sf)	Bldg. FAR	Year Built	Imp. Ratio**
Site 1											
119 200 21	20242 Newport Blvd.	C2(SR)	5	14.5	362	-	Parking lot	0	0	na	0.19
Totals					362						

Notes

^{*}Based on density of 25 du/ac

^{**}Ratio of improvement value to total assessed value

Table B-4i Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone Land Inventory Newport Beach Unincorporated Island

APN	Address	Zoning	General Plan	Parcel Size (Acres)	Potential Units	Owner	Current Use	Bldg. Size (sf)	Bldg. FAR	Year Built	Imp. Ratio***
Site 1											
114 170 77 114-170-79	5800 Coast Highway	C1	5	22.7	567* (Lower)	A	Vacant	0	θ	na	0
114 170 24 114 170 43 114 170 52 424-041-04		R4	5	34.1	494** (Moderate)	A	Vacant	0	θ	na	θ
Totals					567 Low 494 Mod						

Notes:

^{*}Based on density of 25 du/ac

^{**} Based on density of 14.5 du/ac

^{***}Ratio of improvement value to total assessed value

Table B-5
Underutilized Land Inventory
El Modena Unincorporated Island

			General	Existing	Assumed Density	Parcel Size	Potential	Current	Opportunities/
APN	Address	Zoning	Plan	Units	(du/ac)	(Acres)	Units*	Use	Constraints
093 100 05	18619 E Vine Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1587	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 100 06	18631 E Vine Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1681	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 100 07	18623 E Vine Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1587	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093-100-08	18627 E Vine Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1681	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 100 11	18691 E Vine Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1681	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 100 17	18701 E Vine Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1681	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093-100-19	18681 E Vine Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1681	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 100 27	18671 E Vine Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1681	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093-100-36	18692 E Spring St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1556	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 100 37	18642 E Spring St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1556	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093-100-38	18632 E Spring St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1556	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 100 39	18682 E Spring St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1556	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093-100-40	18626 E Spring St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 100 41	18622 E Spring St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 100 42	18662 E Spring St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1556	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 100 43	18702 E Spring St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1837	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 100 44	18741 E Vine Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 101 07	18542 E Spring St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1613	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 101 08	18552 E Spring St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1613	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093-101-16	11771 Earlham St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0657	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 101 17	18578 E Spring St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093-101-18	18580 E Spring St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 101 19	11781 Earlham St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0657	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093-101-20	11791 Earlham St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1831	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 101 24	18541 E Vine Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093-101-25	18531 E Vine Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 101 30	11761 Earlham St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1465	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 101 31	18551 E Vine Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 101 33	11762 N Esplanade St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0947	1	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 101 34	11772 S Esplanade St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1712	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093-101-35	18562 E Spring St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1441	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 101 38	11751 Earlham St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093-101-40		R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 101 41	11752 S Esplanade St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093-102-03	18552 E Vine Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.2255	3	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 102 04	18562 E Vine Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1472	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation

			General	Existing	Assumed Density	Parcel Size	Potential	Current	Opportunities/
APN	Address	Zoning	Plan	Units	(du/ac)	(Acres)	Units*	Use	Constraints
093 102 05	18582 E Vine Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1811	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093-102-06	11811 Farlham St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 102 10	18571 F. Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1076	1	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093-102-11	18561 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 102 12	18551 F. Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.2324	3	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093-102-18	11851 Farlham St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 102 19	11835 Earlham St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 102 24	11815 Earlham St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0287	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 102 25	18512 F Vine Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1818	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 102 26	11812 S Esplanade St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	9	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 102 28	11832 S Esplanade St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 102 29	11842 S Esplanade St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.125	1	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093-102-31	11817 Farlham St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 102 32	11821 Earlham St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1205	1	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093-102-33	18541 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 102 34	11852 S Esplanade St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093-103-03	11822 Earlham St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 103 04	18642 E Vine Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093-103-05	18652 E Vine Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 103 06	18662 E Vine Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1575	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 103 07	18672 E Vine Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.2736	3	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 103 09	18712 E Vine Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0476	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 103 10	18722 E Vine Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0476	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 103 17	18621 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 103 18	11832 Earlham St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093-103-19	11842 Earlham St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1809	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 103 20	18691 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1581	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093-103-22	18653 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 103 25	18692 E Vine Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1632	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093-103-26	18682 E Vine Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1633	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 103 27	11801 Hewes St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0825	1	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093-103-28	11821 Hewes St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.2938	4	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 103 29	11831 Hewes St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.2882	4	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 103 31	11811 Hewes St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1736	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 103 32	18681 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 103 33	18689 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 103 34	11812 A Earlham	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.124	1	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 103 35	11812 B Earlham	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.125	1	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093-111-02	11879 Earlham St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1937	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 111 19	18572 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5		0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation

December 10, 201321 B-65 299 of 333

			General	Existina	Assumed Density	Parcel Size	Potential	Current	Opportunities/
APN	Address	Zonina	Plan	Units	(du/ac)	(Acres)	Units*	Use	Constraints
093 111 27	18532 F Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	θ	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093-111-28	18552 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 111 29	18562 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093-111-30	11862 S Esplanade St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 111 31	11882 S Esplanade St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093-112-18	18702 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0775	1	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 112 28	18632 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 112 29	18642 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 112 43	11871 Hewes St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 112 45	11901 Hewes St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 112 46	11911 Hewes St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093 112 47	11861 Hewes St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0671	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
093-112-48	11881 Hewes St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 081 13	18862 E Spring St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383-081-14	18874 E Spring St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 081 15	18882 E Spring St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.155	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383-081-17	18912 E Spring St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.163	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 081 18	18916 E Spring St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.155	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383-081-19	18922 E Spring St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 081 20	18932 E Spring St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1782	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 081 21	11741 S Rancho Santiago Blvd	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1576	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 081 22	11751 S Rancho Santiago Blvd	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1498	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 081 23	11761 S Rancho Santiago Blvd	R4	1B	1	14.5		θ	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 081 47	18935 E Vine Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1782	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 081 48	18925 E Vine Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.155	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383-081-54		R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0922	1	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 081 66	18798 E Spring St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1596	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383-081-67	18820 E Spring St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1157	1	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 081 68	11742 Hewes St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383-081-69	18772 E Spring St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1643	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 081 70	18800 E Spring St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1596	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383-081-73	18871 E Vine Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.155	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 081 74	18865 E Vine Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.155	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 081 75	11762 Hewes St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 081 76	18826 E Spring St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1874	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 082 18	11815 S Rancho Santiago Blvd	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1472	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 082 19	11821 S Rancho Santiago Blvd	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1472	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 082 20	11831 S Rancho Santiago Blvd	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.173	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383-082-21	11841 S Rancho Santiago Blvd	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.2831	4	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 082 22	18935 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1638	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation

December 10, 201321 B-66 300 of 333

			General	Existing	Assumed Density	Parcel Size	Potential	Current	Opportunities/
APN	Address -	Zonina	Plan	Units	(du/ac)	(Acres)	Units*	Use	Constraints
383 082 23	18925 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.155	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383-082-24	18915 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.155	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 082 25	18905 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.155	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383-082-26	18895 E Center Ave A	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.155	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 082 27	18893 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	θ	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383-082-29		R4	1B	1	14.5	0.093	1	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 082 30	18861 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.093	1	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 082 31	18851 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1705	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 082 33	18825 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 082 34	18831 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 082 35	18811 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.155	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 082 36	18801 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.155	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383-082-37	18771 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 082 38	18763 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383-082-47	18802 E Vine Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.155	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 082 49	18833 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.155	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383-082-51	18852 E Vine Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 082 54	18869 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.3347	4	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383-082-55	18871 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.3719	5	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 082 56	18882 E Vine Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.3099	4	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 082 62	11811 S Rancho Santiago Blvd	R4	1B	1	14.5	1.4721	21	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 082 64	11852 Hewes St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.4132	5	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 082 65	11836 Hewes St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 082 66	11832 Hewes St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1148	1	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 082 72	18862 E Vine Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.155	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383-271-02	11882 Hewes St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1322	1	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 271 03	18772 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5		0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383-271-04	18782 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1705	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 271 05	18794 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1705	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383-271-06	18802 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.3099	4	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 271 07	18822 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.155	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383-271-08	18832 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.155	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 271 13	18872 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.0	0	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 271 14	18882 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1561	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 271 15	18892 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1561	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 271 16	18902 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1561	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 271 17	18912 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1561	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 271 18	18922 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1561	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383-271-19	18932 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1561	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 271 20	18942 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1561	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation

December 10, 201321 B-67 301 of 333

			General	Existing	Assumed Density	Parcel Size	Potential	Current	Opportunities/
APN	Address	Zoning	Plan	Units	(du/ac)	(Acres)	Units*	Use	Constraints
383 271 21	18952 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1561	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383-271-22	18962 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1561	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 271 23	18972 E Center Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1873	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383-271-24	18971 E Pearl Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1736	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 271 25	18961 E Pearl Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1446	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383-271-26	18951 E Pearl Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1446	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 271 27	18941 E Pearl Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1446	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 271 28	18931 E Pearl Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1446	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 271 29	18921 E Pearl Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1446	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 271 30	18911 E Pearl Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1446	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 271 31	18901 E Pearl Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1446	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 271 32	18891 E Pearl Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1446	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383-271-33	18881 E Pearl Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1446	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 271 34	18871 E Pearl Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1446	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383-271-35	18861 E Pearl Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1492	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 271 37	18841 E Pearl Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.155	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383-271-38	18831 E Pearl Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.155	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 271 43	18781 E Pearl Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1705	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383-271-44	18771 E Pearl Ave	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1705	2	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 271 45	11912 Hewes St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1322	1	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
383 271 46	11902 Hewes St	R4	1B	1	14.5	0.1322	1	SFR	Potential lot consolidation
Totals						20.98 ac	256		

^{*}Assumed moderate income units







Underutilized sites in the El Modena area


WASHINGTON AV MONROE ST BEACH BOULEVARD C2(H) **BOLSA AVENUE** 107-151-54 107-151-63 107-151-30 VESTMINSTER 107-151-47 107-151-45 107 109 11 107-151-32 107-100-11 100 200 BISHOP AVE Assessor Parcel ZONING Commercial City/County Jurisdiction

Figure B-2a Midway City Area

Assessor Parcel ORANGE Light Industrial City/County Jurisdiction ORANGE OLIVE RD **BUENA VISTA ST** UNINCORPORATED M1 (H) OLIVE AVE 360-384-05 M1 (H) M1 (H) 360-384-04 360-382-02 MAIN ST LINCLON AVE 100

Figure B-2b **Orange-Olive Heights Area**

Figure B-2c **Del Rio Area**



December 10, 201321 B-71 305 of 333




Figure B-2d West Anaheim Area (Part 1)

December 10, 201321 B-72




Figure B-2e West Anaheim Area (Part 2)

B-73 307 of 333 December 10, 201321




Figure B-2f West Anaheim Area (Part 3)

December 10, 201321 B-74

MacMURRAY MAGNOLIA AV. 0001-12-001 127-621-08 127-621-09 127-621-07 C1 100-C1-10000 (H) 12-503-27 126-503-29 C1 127-621-10 127-621-06 C1 설(H) (H) C1 .00-C1-10000 C1 100-C1-10000 100-C1-10000 (H) (H) UNINCORPORATED UNINCORPORATED KATELLA AV GARDEN GROVE Assessor Parcel ZONING Commercial (Local Business) City/County Jurisdiction POINSETTIA LN. 50 100 200 300

Figure B-2g **Stanton Area**

B-75 309 of 333 December 10, 201321

SANTA Assessor ANA Parcel Commercial (Local Business) City/County Jurisdiction 100-C1-10000 396-312-02 (H) 100-C1-10000 PONDEROSA ST 396-312-01 (H) 396-312-03 100-C1-10000 UNINCORPORATED 396-312-04 100-C1-10000 396-312-06 100-C1-10000 396-312-05_(H) 396-313-03 C1 100-C1-10000 (H) 396-312-07 100-C1-10000 396-312-08_(H) C1 (H) 396-312-11 396-313-11 396-314-06 100-C1-10000 C1 (H) 17TH ST. SANTA 200 100

Figure B-2h Santa Ana Area

December 10, 201321 B-76




Figure B-2i **Fountain Valley Area**

B-77 311 of 333 December 10, 201321




Figure B-2j **Costa Mesa Area**

December 10, 201321 B-78 312 of 333




Figure B-2k **Newport Beach Area**

December 10, 201321 B-79




Figure B-2l
El Modena Area

December 10, 2013<u>21</u>

<u>Table B-4</u>

<u>Potential Sites to Accommodate County of Orange 2021-2029 RHNA</u>

<u>Unincorporated Orange County (Land Use Capacity)</u>

APN	<u>Unique ID</u>	Consolidation Group	Zoning	General Plan Land Use	<u>SITE ADDRESS</u>	<u>Existing Use</u>	5th Cycle Site	Existing Units	Density	HO Overlay Density	Area (Acres)	Vacant	Low and Very Low Income	Mod	<u>Above</u>
						Figure B-1									
086-521-47	<u>12</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Residential 1b	11061 LOS ALAMITOS BLVD LOS ALAMITOS 90720	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>4.47</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>290</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>086-521-46</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>N/A</u>	C1(SS)(H)	Residential 1b	11031 LOS ALAMITOS BLVD LOS ALAMITOS 90720	Commercial (with Car Wash)	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>1.35</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>87</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
086-521-19	<u>40</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Residential 1b	3352 KATELLA AVE LOS ALAMITOS 90720	<u>Church</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>1.17</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
086-521-23	<u>43</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(SS)(H)</u>	Residential 1b	11131 LOS ALAMITOS BLVD LOS ALAMITOS 90720	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>1.13</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>086-521-11</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>N/A</u>	C2(SS)(H)	Residential 1b	11088 WALLINGSFORD RD LOS ALAMITOS 90720	<u>Church</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0.95</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
086-521-24	<u>92</u>	<u>N/A</u>	C2(SS)(H)	Residential 1b	11171 LOS ALAMITOS BLVD LOS ALAMITOS 90720	Commercial (Gas station)	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0.52</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
						Figure B-2									_
126-503-27	<u>701</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C1 (H)</u>	Residential 1b	8885 KATELLA AVE STANTON 92683	Commercial (Bar/Restaurant)	<u>Yes</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0.45</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>0</u>	0
<u>127-621-10</u>	<u>764</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C1(H)</u>	Residential 1b	9001 KATELLA AVE STANTON 92804	Commercial (Liquor Store)	<u>Yes</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0.34</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
						Figure B-3									

APN	Unique ID	Consolidation Group	Zoning	General Plan Land Use	<u>SITE ADDRESS</u>	Existing Use	5th Cycle Site	Existing Units	Density	HO Overlay Density	Area (Acres)	Vacant	Low and Very Low Income	Mod	<u>Above</u>
<u>127-092-32</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C1(H)</u>	Residential 1b	305 S BROOKHURST ST ANAHEIM 92804	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>1.06</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
127-092-24	<u>80</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C1(H)</u>	Residential 1b	331 S BROOKHURST ST <u>ANAHEIM</u> <u>92804</u>	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0.59</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
127-092-25	<u>84</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C1(H)</u>	Residential 1b	9291 S BROOKHURST ST ANAHEIM 92804	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0.56</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
						Figure B-4									
127-242-18	<u>806</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C1(H)</u>	Residential 1b	801 S BROOKHURST ST ANAHEIM 92804	Medical Center / Urgent Care	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0.26</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
127-241-35	<u>807</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C1(H)</u>	Residential 1b	791 W STONYBROOK DR ANAHEIM 92804	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	0.26	<u>No</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
						Figure B-5									
097-103-31	<u>60</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Residential 1c	14582 BEACH BLVD MIDWAY CITY 92655	<u>Meeting Hall /</u> <u>American Legion</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0.85</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
						Figure B-6									
<u>097-132-16</u>	<u>749</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>R3</u>	Residential 1c	14941 JACKSON ST MIDWAY CITY 92655	Commercial (supplies)	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>65</u>	0.37	<u>No</u>	<u>24</u>	Ю	<u>0</u>
107-180-25	<u>77</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Residential 1c	15062 JACKSON ST MIDWAY CITY 92655	Vacant Commercial	<u>Yes</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	0.62	<u>No</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
107-180-03	<u>79</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Residential 1c	15032 JACKSON ST MIDWAY CITY 92655	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0.59</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>107-180-26</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Residential 1c	15052 JACKSON ST MIDWAY CITY	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0.56</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>

Appendix C – Public Participation Summary CHAPTER X – HOUSING ELEMENT

APN	<u>Unique ID</u>	Consolidation Group	Zoning	General Plan Land Use	SITE ADDRESS	<u>Existing Use</u>	5th Cycle Site	Existing Units	Density	HO Overlay Density	Area (Acres)	Vacant	Low and Very Low Income	Mod	<u>Above</u>
					<u>92655</u>										
097-133-21	<u>720</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Residential 1c	8121 BOLSA AVE MIDWAY CITY 92655	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0.41</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>107-151-60</u>	<u>726</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Residential 1c	15072 ADAMS ST MIDWAY CITY 92655	Commercial (Parking lot)	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	0.4	<u>No</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
107-180-24	<u>756</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Residential 1c	8122 BOLSA AVE MIDWAY <u>CITY</u> <u>92655</u>	Commercial (Used Car Lot)	<u>Yes</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0.36</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>107-151-02</u>	<u>779</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Commercial 2a	15021 JACKSON ST MIDWAY CITY 92655	Commercial (Used Car Lot)	<u>Yes</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0.31</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>107-151-54</u>	<u>783</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Commercial 2a	15041 JACKSON ST MIDWAY CITY 92655	Commercial (US Post Office)	<u>Yes</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0.31</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>107-151-56</u>	<u>812</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Residential 1c	15012 MIDWAY PL MIDWAY <u>CITY</u> 92655	Commercial (Auto Sales)	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0.25</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
						Figure B-7									
<u>107-180-31</u>	<u>82</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Residential 1c	15201 VAN BUREN ST MIDWAY CITY 92655	<u>Commercial</u> (Car wash/Parking <u>Lot)</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0.57</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>107-151-67</u>	<u>88</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Residential 1c	15300 BEACH BLVD, MIDWAY CITY 92683	Commercial (Parking lot)	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0.55</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
107-151-33	<u>713</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Commercial 2a	15132 BEACH BLVD MIDWAY CITY 92655	Commercial (Auto Dealership)	<u>Yes</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0.41</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
107-151-30	<u>714</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Commercial 2a	15212 BEACH BLVD MIDWAY CITY 92655	Commercial (Truck Rental)	<u>Yes</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0.41</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>

APN	<u>Unique ID</u>	Consolidation Group	Zoning	General Plan Land Use	SITE ADDRESS	<u>Existing Use</u>	5th Cycle Site	Existing Units	Density	HO Overlay Density	Area (Acres)	Vacant	Low and Very Low Income	Mod	<u>Above</u>
<u>107-151-32</u>	<u>715</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Commercial 2a	15142 BEACH BLVD MIDWAY CITY 92655	Commercial (Auto Repair)	<u>Yes</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0.41</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>26</u>	0	<u>0</u>
<u>107-151-20</u>	<u>717</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Commercial 2a	15201 JACKSON ST MIDWAY CITY 92655	Commercial (Parking Lot)	<u>Yes</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0.41</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>107-151-19</u>	<u>718</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Commercial 2a	15191 JACKSON ST MIDWAY CITY 92655	Older non-conforming SFR	<u>Yes</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0.41</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>107-151-15</u>	<u>719</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Commercial 2a	15161 JACKSON ST MIDWAY CITY 92655	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	0.41	<u>No</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
107-151-21	<u>723</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Commercial 2a	15211 JACKSON ST MIDWAY CITY 92655	Commercial (Parking lot)	<u>Yes</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	0.41	<u>Yes</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
107-151-31	<u>725</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Commercial 2a	15122 BEACH BLVD MIDWAY CITY 92655	Commercial (Car rental)	<u>Yes</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	0.41	<u>No</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>107-151-45</u>	<u>731</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Commercial 2a	15135 JACKSON ST MIDWAY CITY 92655	Commercial (Auto repair)	<u>Yes</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0.4</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
107-180-23	<u>740</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Residential 1c	15182 JACKSON ST MIDWAY CITY 92655	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	0.37	<u>No</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
107-180-10	<u>741</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Commercial 2a	15142 JACKSON ST MIDWAY CITY 92655	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	0.37	<u>No</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
107-180-09	<u>742</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Residential 1c	15132 JACKSON ST MIDWAY CITY 92655	<u>Commercial</u> (Building supplies)	<u>Yes</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	0.37	<u>No</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
107-151-47	<u>769</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Commercial 2a	15132 ADAMS ST MIDWAY CITY 92655	Commercial (Used auto dealer)	<u>Yes</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	0.34	<u>No</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>

Appendix C – Public Participation Summary CHAPTER X – HOUSING ELEMENT

APN	<u>Unique ID</u>	Consolidation Group	Zoning	General Plan Land Use	<u>SITE ADDRESS</u>	<u>Existing Use</u>	5th Cycle Site	Existing Units	Density	HO Overlay Density	Area (Acres)	Vacant	Low and Very Low Income	po W	Above
<u>107-151-51</u>	<u>778</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Commercial 2a	15114 ADAMS ST MIDWAY <u>CITY</u> <u>92655</u>	Commercial Center	<u>Yes</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	0.32	<u>No</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
107-151-48	<u>792</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Commercial 2a	15131 JACKSON ST MIDWAY <u>CITY</u> <u>92655</u>	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	0.28	<u>No</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
107-151-38	<u>793</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Commercial 2a	15111 JACKSON ST MIDWAY CITY 92655	Commercial (Building supplies)	<u>Yes</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	0.28	<u>No</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
107-151-59	<u>796</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Commercial 2a	15232 BEACH BLVD MIDWAY CITY 92655	Commercial (Fast-food restaurant)	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	0.28	<u>No</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>107-151-67</u>	<u>839</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Residential 1c	ADDRESS NOT AVAILABLE 92683	Commercial (Parking Lot)	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0.14</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>107-151-67</u>	<u>840</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Residential 1c	ADDRESS NOT AVAILABLE 92683	Commercial (Parking Lot)	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0.14</u>	<u>No</u>	9	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>107-151-67</u>	<u>841</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Residential 1c	ADDRESS NOT AVAILABLE 92683	Commercial (Parking Lot)	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0.55</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
						Figure B-8									
097-142-23	<u>702</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Residential 1c	8331 BOLSA AVE MIDWAY <u>CITY</u> <u>92782</u>	<u>Commercial</u> (Auto Repair)	<u>Yes</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0.45</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
097-142-22	<u>787</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Residential 1c	8301 BOLSA AVE MIDWAY CITY 92655	Commercial (Smog check station)	<u>Yes</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	0.3	<u>No</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
097-144-19	<u>801</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Residential 1c	8451 BOLSA AVE MIDWAY CITY 92655	Commercial (Auto repair/Used car dealer)	<u>Yes</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	0.27	<u>No</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
						Figure B-9									
142-062-18	<u>87</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C1(H)</u>	Residential 1b	15441 BEACH BLVD WESTMINSTER	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0.55</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>

APN	<u>Unique ID</u>	Consolidation Group	Zoning	General Plan Land Use	SITE ADDRESS	<u>Existing Use</u>	5th Cycle Site	Existing Units	Density	HO Overlay Density	Area (Acres)	Vacant	Low and Very Low Income	Mod	Above
					<u>92655</u>										
142-062-15	<u>759</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C1(H)</u>	Residential 1b	15451 BEACH BLVD MIDWAY CITY 92655	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0.35</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>142-062-17</u>	<u>842</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>100-C1-</u> 1000(H)	Residential 1b	<u>15401 BEACH BLVD</u> <u>WESTMINSTER</u> <u>92683</u>	<u>Hotel</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>2.26</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>147</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
						Figure B-10									
144-251-08	<u>704</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C1(FP-</u> <u>2)(H)</u>	Residential 1c	16292 HARBOR BLVD SANTA ANA 92677	<u>Commercial</u> (Auto Sales)	<u>Yes</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	0.44	<u>No</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
						Figure B-11									
424-041-04	570	N/A	<u>С1(О)(Н)</u>	Open Space 5	<u>address not available</u> <u>92663</u>	<u>Industrial</u> (Oil fields)	Yes	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	10.81	Yes	13	<u>24</u>	<u> </u>
114 170 43	571	N/A	R4(0)	Open Space 5	<u>address not available</u> <u>92663</u>	<u>Industrial</u> (Oil fields)	Yes	<u>0</u>	14.5	N/A	6.47	Yes	o <mark>b</mark> l	14	<u>4</u>
114 170 51	572	<mark>wa</mark>	R4(0)	Open Space 5	ADDRESS NOT AVAILABLE 92663	industrial (Oil fields) Ownership: SCHOOL COSTA MESA UNION DISTRICT SCHOOL DIST	No	<u>Q</u>	14.5	N/A	1.78	Yes	R	4	<u>1</u>
114 170 74	573	N/A	R4(O)(FP <u>2)</u>	Open Space 5	ADDRESS NOT AVAILABLE 92663	Open Space Ownership: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	No	<u>9</u>	14.5	N/A	14.55	Yes	17	<u>32</u>	9
114 170 24	574	N/A	R4(O)(FP <u>2)</u>	Open Space 5	<u>address not available</u> <u>92663</u>	<mark>Industrial</mark> (Oil fields)	Yes	<u>0</u>	14.5	N/A	0.37	Yes	Q	<u>1</u>	<u>Q</u>
114 170 52	575	N/A	R4(0)	Open Space 5	5800 COAST HWY COSTA MESA	<u>Industrial</u>	Yes	<u>0</u>	14.5	N/A	166.76	Yes	198	363	99

Appendix C – Public Participation Summary CHAPTER X – HOUSING ELEMENT

APN	<u>Unique ID</u>	Consolidation Group	Zoning	General Plan <u>Land Use</u>	SITE ADDRESS	<u>Existing Use</u>	5th Cycle Site	Existing Units	Density	HO Overlay Density	Area (Acres)	Vacant	Low and Very Low Income	Mod	Above
					92663	(Oil fields)									
114 170 73	576	N/A	R4(O)(FP <u>2)</u>	Open Space 5	<u>address not available</u> <u>92663</u>	<u>Industrial</u> (Oil fields)	No	<u>0</u>	14.5	N/A	172.05	Yes	204	374	102
						<u>Figure B-1<mark>1</mark>2</u>									
119-200-11	<u>567</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	<u>Open</u> Space 5	20382 NEWPORT BLVD SANTA ANA 92707	Commercial (Golf course)	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>10.15</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>119-201-21</u>	<u>568</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>A1(SR)</u>	Open Space 5	<u>20491 SANTA ANA AVE</u> <u>92627</u>	Commercial (Golf course)	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0.25</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>110.43</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>398</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
439-101-40	<u>15</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>CN(H)</u>	Commercial 2a	2651 IRVINE AVE COSTA MESA 92627	Commercial Center	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>3.78</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>245</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
						Figure B-1 <mark>23</mark>									
<u>120-571-11</u>	<u>577</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>	ADDRESS NOT AVAILABLE 92657	City of Newport Beach Ownership: County of Orange	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	6.08 units per net acre	<u>N/A</u>	<u>203</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>264</u>	<u>621</u>	<u>171</u>
						Figure B-1 <mark>34</mark>									
<u>320-073-09</u>	<u>556</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>A1(O)</u>	Residential 1b	2601 VALENCIA AVE 92823	Industrial (Oil fields)	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0.25</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>26.65</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>95</u>
320-071-29	<u>557</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>A1(O)</u>	Residential 1b	<u>2603 VALENCIA BREA</u> <u>92823</u>	Industrial (Oil fields)	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0.25</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>65.54</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>234</u>
<u>322-031-01</u>	<u>558</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>A1(O)</u>	Residential 1b	ADDRESS NOT AVAILABLE 92821	Industrial (Oil fields)	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0.25</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>45.69</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>162</u>
322-031-12	<u>559</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>A1(O)</u>	Residential 1b	ADDRESS NOT AVAILABLE 92886	Industrial (Oil fields)	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0.25</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>58.92</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>210</u>
322-031-24	<u>560</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>A1(O)</u>	Public Facilities 4	ADDRESS NOT AVAILABLE 92886	Industrial (Oil fields)	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0.25</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>9.83</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>35</u>

APN	Unique ID	Consolidation Group	Zoning	General Plan <u>Land Use</u>	<u>SITE ADDRESS</u>	Existing Use	5th Cycle Site	Existing Units	<u>Density</u>	HO Overlay Density	Area (Acres)	Vacant	Low and Very Low Income	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Above</u>
322-031-30	<u>561</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>A1(O)</u>	Public Facilities 4	16802 CARBON CANYON RD 92886	Industrial (Oil fields)	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	0.25	<u>N/A</u>	<u>2.26</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>322-031-13</u>	<u>566</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>A1(O)</u>	Residential 1b	ADDRESS NOT AVAILABLE 92886	Industrial (Oil fields)	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0.25</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>7.62</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>27</u>
						Figure B-1 <mark>45</mark>									
349-071-17	<u>22</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C1(SR)(H)</u>	Residential 1b	6821 FAIRLYNN BLVD YORBA LINDA 92886	Commercial Center	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>2.58</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>167</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>349-071-18</u>	<u>91</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C1(SR)(H)</u>	Residential 1b	19851 ESPERANZA RD YORBA LINDA 92886	Commercial (Gas station)	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0.52</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>349-693-37</u>	<u>93</u>	<u>N/A</u>	CH(SS)(H)	Residential 1b	ADDRESS NOT AVAILABLE 92886	<u>Vacant lot</u> <u>Ownership: State of</u> <u>California</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0.51</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
349-071-25	<u>786</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C1(SR)(H)</u>	Residential 1b	19741 ESPERANZA RD YORBA LINDA 92886	Older non-conforming SFR	<u>No</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0.3</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
						<u>Figure B-1<mark>56</mark></u>									
360-031-23	<u>11</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(H)</u>	Residential 1b	15777 W LINCOLN AVE <u>ORANGE</u> 92865	Commercial (Recycling Service)	<u>Yes</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>5.12</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>332</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>360-011-11</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>CH(FP-</u> <u>2)(H)</u>	Residential 1b	15635 W LINCOLN AVE ORANGE 92865	<u>Commercial</u> (with Car Wash/ Storage)	<u>Yes</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>2.31</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>149</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
						Figure B-1 <mark>67</mark>									
<u>360-382-02</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>M1(H)</u>	Residential 1b	8636 OLIVE AVE ORANGE 92865	Industrial (Recycling yard)	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>1.61</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>104</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>360-384-05</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>M1(H)</u>	Residential 1b	2911 ORANGE OLIVE RD <u>ORANGE</u> <u>92865</u>	<u>Industrial</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0.84</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>

Appendix C – Public Participation Summary CHAPTER X – HOUSING ELEMENT

APN	Unique ID	Consolidation Group	Zoning	General Plan <u>Land Use</u>	<u>SITE ADDRESS</u>	Existing Use	5th Cycle Site	Existing Units	Density	HO Overlay Density	Area (Acres)	Vacant	Low and Very Low Income	<u>Mod</u>	<u>Above</u>
<u>360-384-04</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>M1(H)</u>	Residential 1b	2875 ORANGE OLIVE RD <u>ORANGE</u> <u>92865</u>	Commercial (Auto Sales/Industrial)	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0.7</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>45</u>	0	<u>0</u>
						<u>Figure B-1<mark>78</mark></u>									_
093-113-21	<u>75</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(SR)(H)</u>	Residential 1b	<u>18511 CHAPMAN AVE</u> <u>ORANGE</u> <u>92869</u>	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	0.63	<u>No</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
<u>093-114-01</u>	<u>736</u>	<u>N/A</u>	C1(SR)(H)	Residential 1b	11924 EARLHAM ST ORANGE 92869	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0.38</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
093-113-27	<u>810</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>C2(SR)(H)</u>	Residential 1b	18571 E CHAPMAN AVE <u>ORANGE</u> <u>92869</u>	Commercial (Restaurant)	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>0.26</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
						<u>Figure B-1<mark>89</mark></u>									
<u>393-390-12</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>CC</u> (SR)(H)	Residential 1b	10000 CRAWFORD CANYON RD ORANGE 92705	Commercial (Restaurant)	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>7.82</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>508</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
						Figure B-20									
876 034 04	<u>45</u>	N/A	<u>С1(Н)</u>	Residential 1a	28106 SILVERADO CANYON RD SILVERADO 92676	<u>Storage</u>	No	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>1.06</u>	No	69	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
						<u>Figure B-<mark>1921</mark></u>									
<u>125-165-34</u>	<u>590</u>	<u>PA3</u>	<u>PC</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u> <u>92675</u>	<u>Vacant Lot</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	6.08 units per net acre	<u>N/A</u>	<u>62.38</u>	<u>Yes</u>	* -	<u>26</u>	<u>106</u>
<u>125-165-35</u>	<u>591</u>	<u>PA3</u>	<u>PC</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u> <u>92675</u>	<u>Vacant Lot</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	6.08 units per net acre	<u>N/A</u>	<u>63.67</u>	<u>Yes</u>	* -	<u>27</u>	<u>108</u>
<u>125-165-36</u>	<u>603</u>	<u>PA3</u>	<u>PC</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u> 92675	<u>Vacant Lot</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	6.08 units per	<u>N/A</u>	<u>63.67</u>	<u>Yes</u>	* -	<u>27</u>	<u>108</u>

APN	Unique ID	Consolidation Group	Zoning	General Plan Land Use	SITE ADDRESS	<u>Existing Use</u>	5th Cycle Site	Existing Units	<u>Density</u>	HO Overlay Density	Area (Acres)	Vacant	Low and Very Low Income	Mod	Above
									<u>net</u> <u>acre</u>						
<u>125-165-37</u>	<u>580</u>	<u>PA3</u>	<u>PC</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u> 92675	<u>Vacant Lot</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	6.08 units per net acre	<u>N/A</u>	<u>63.67</u>	<u>Yes</u>	* -	<u>27</u>	<u>108</u>
<u>125-165-38</u>	<u>581</u>	<u>PA3</u>	<u>PC</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u> <u>92675</u>	<u>Vacant Lot</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	6.08 units per net acre	<u>N/A</u>	<u>63.67</u>	<u>Yes</u>	* -	<u>27</u>	<u>108</u>
<u>125-165-39</u>	<u>579</u>	<u>PA3</u>	<u>PC</u>	<u>N/A</u>	30241 Ranch Canyon Rancho Mission Viejo 92694	Residential/Recreation Facility	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	6.08 units per net acre	<u>N/A</u>	<u>62.4</u>	<u>Yes</u>	* -	<u>26</u>	<u>106</u>
<u>125-165-40</u>	<u>578</u>	<u>PA3</u>	<u>PC</u>	<u>N/A</u>	31326 Saddle Rancho Mission <u>Viejo</u> 92694	<u>Residential</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	6.08 units per net acre	<u>N/A</u>	<u>62.98</u>	<u>Yes</u>	* -	<u>27</u>	<u>107</u>
<u>125-165-41</u>	<u>602</u>	<u>PA3</u>	<u>PC</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u> 92675	<u>Vacant Lot</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	6.08 units per net acre	<u>N/A</u>	<u>62.11</u>	<u>Yes</u>	* -	<u>26</u>	<u>105</u>
<u>125-165-42</u>	<u>588</u>	<u>PA3</u>	<u>PC</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u> 92675	<u>Vacant Lot</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	6.08 units per net acre	<u>N/A</u>	<u>62.23</u>	<u>Yes</u>	* -	<u>26</u>	<u>105</u>
<u>125-165-43</u>	<u>598</u>	<u>PA3</u>	<u>PC</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u> 92694	<u>Vacant Lot</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	6.08 units per net acre	<u>N/A</u>	<u>120.45</u>	<u>Yes</u>	* -	<u>51</u>	<u>204</u>
<u>125-165-44</u>	<u>606</u>	<u>PA3</u>	<u>PC</u>	<u>N/A</u>	31101 ORTEGA HWY Rancho Mission Viejo 92675	Residential/Vacant Lot	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	6.08 units per net acre	<u>N/A</u>	<u>55.66</u>	<u>Yes</u>	* -	<u>24</u>	<u>94</u>

Appendix C – Public Participation Summary CHAPTER X – HOUSING ELEMENT

APN	Unique ID	Consolidation Group	Zoning	General Plan Land Use	<u>SITE ADDRESS</u>	Existing Use	5th Cycle Site	Existing Units	Density	HO Overlay Density	Area (Acres)	Vacant	Low and Very Low Income	<u>Mod</u>	Above
<u>125-165-45</u>	<u>607</u>	<u>PA3</u>	<u>PC</u>	<u>N/A</u>	31103 Cow Camp Rancho Mission Viejo 92675	<u>Residential</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	6.08 units per net acre	<u>N/A</u>	<u>31.74</u>	<u>Yes</u>	* -	<u>13</u>	<u>54</u>
<u>125-165-51</u>	<u>584</u>	<u>PA3</u>	<u>PC</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u> <u>92693</u>	<u>Vacant Lot</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	6.08 units per net acre	<u>N/A</u>	<u>436</u>	<u>Yes</u>	* -	<u>184</u>	<u>738</u>
<u>125-165-52</u>	<u>582</u>	<u>PA3</u>	<u>PC</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u> 92693	<u>Vacant Lot</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	6.08 units per net acre	<u>N/A</u>	<u>680.3</u>	<u>Yes</u>	* -	<u>288</u>	<u>1,151</u>
	<u>582</u> ordable Housing			<u>N/A</u>	<u>92693</u>	<u>Vacant Lot</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>per</u> net	<u>N/A</u>	<u>680.3</u>	<u>Yes</u>		<u>288</u>	

December 10, 2013<u>21</u>
C-11
325 of 333

Appendix C -**Public Participation Summary**

[To Update in Final Draft]

Public participation is an important component of the planning process. Section 65583 of the Government Code states that, "the local government shall make diligent effort to achieve public participation of all economic segments of the community in the development of the housing element, and the program shall describe this effort." Meaningful community participation is also required in connection with the Assessment of Fair Housing (AFH). As part of the 6th Cycle Housing Element Update process, the County of Orange, and this update to the Housing Element has provided residents and other interested parties numerous opportunities for review and comment. These engagement efforts included community workshops, meetings with Housing Element Resource Team, online and digital outreach, and public hearings During the preparation of the draft element, three meetings were held with the Housing Element Resource Team comprised of recognized leaders and stakeholders representing business, housing advocates, social service providers, environmental organizations, the Building Industry Association, major landowners and developers, and non-profit builders. Study sessions and public hearings were held by the Orange County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors. This proactive outreach effort invited broad involvement throughout the analytical and policy development phases of the project.

The following is a list of meetings and hearings held during the Housing Element update process.

December 19, 2012	Resource Team #1
February 26, 2013	Resource Team #2
July 11, 2013	Resource Team #3
<u>February 16, 2021</u>	Community Workshop #1
February 17, 2021	Resource Team #1
<u>September 27, 2021</u>	Community Workshop #2
<u>September 28, 2021</u>	Resource Team #2
October 27, 2021	Planning Commission Study Session
	Planning Commission hearing
	Board of Supervisors hearing

Notices of all Housing Element public hearings were published in the local newspaper in advance of each meeting, as well as posting the notices on the County's website (www.ocplanning.net https://ocds.ocpublicworks.com/). The draft Housing Element was made available for review at County offices and posted on the website. ttp-INCLUDE-IN-THE
FINAL DRAFT After receiving comments on the draft Housing Element from the State Housing and Community Development Department, a proposed final Housing Element was prepared and made available for public review prior to adoption by the Board of Supervisors.

For this upcoming 2021-2029 Housing Element Update, OC Development Services conducted the following:

- Sent invitations to all of the advisory and non-advisory committees within the County of Orange (North Tustin Planning Advisory Committee, Coto de Caza Planning Advisory Committee, Orange Park Acres, Foothill Trabuco Specific Plan Review Board, Rossmoor Homeowners Association, etc.) for the Community Workshops.
- Requested that Kennedy Commission, Abrazar and La Colonia Independencia community centers send an email notice about the Community Workshops to those on their mailing list.

A community survey was also circulated to various agencies and groups, and translated in Spanish and Vietnamese. Oral translation services were also available upon request. Links to the community survey were posted on the County's social media outlets. The survey generated 249 responses, and the results were as follows:

- The community was able to select 3 areas where they envisioned growth. 74 responses went to Ladera Ranch, 69 responses went to Rancho Mission Viejo, 62 responses to Foothill/Trabuco, 61 responses to Midway City, 59 responses to Silverado-Modjeska, and 56 responses towards North Tustin.
- The community was then asked to select 3 areas for where they want to see more housing. 165 responses went to areas in older shopping centers, and 140 responses supported areas near transit
- When asked what type of housing was most needed, 109 responses were for single-family detached homes, 98 responses for senior housing, and 81 responses for multifamily housing.
- The community was asked to select 3 housing-related concerns. 103 responses were related to low inventory of available housing, 95 responses were for lack of available sites, and 91 responses were for lack of affordable housing.
- There were 80 write-in comments, which included a need for more affordable housing, density (for and against), maintaining existing neighborhoods, opposing ADUs in single family neighborhoods, opposing development in high fire areas (i.e. canyons), higher density near transit, along with some concerns related to homelessness, and traffic.

Table C-1 provides a summary of public comments and how those comments have been addressed in this Housing Element. [TO INCLUDE IN THE FINAL DRAFT]

Table C-2 lists the Housing Element Resource Team.

December 10, 201321 C-3 328 of 333

Table C-1 Summary of Public Comments County of Orange Housing Element

[To Update in Final Draft]

<u>Comment</u>	Response Response
What is the County doing to reach out to the community?	County staff, in collaboration with the consultant, hosted community workshops to discuss the Housing Element Update in February and September 2021. In particular, invitations were circulated to advisory and non-advisory committees (North Tustin Planning Advisory Committee, Coto de Caza Planning Advisory Committee, Orange Park Acres, Foothill Trabuco Specific Plan Review Board, Rossmoor Homeowners Association, etc.); advocacy groups; community centers; and posted on the County's Social Media Outlets. The Housing Element Community Survey and Flyers were translated to Spanish and Vietnamese. Oral translation services were also available upon request.
The County needs more affordable housing.	The County recognizes the need for affordable housing, housing for homeless and special needs, and housing for all income levels. OC Public Works has been collaborating with our housing partners at OC Community Resources on various affordable housing developments within unincorporated Orange County. In particular, the County's Housing Opportunities Overlay has led to the development of eight (8) affordable housing projects since 2006.
What sort of strategies are being incorporated into the County's Housing Element?	To encourage and facilitate the development of Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs), County staff posted an ADU Flyer on our website, and released a Request for Proposal (RFP) to select a consultant to prepare pre-approved ADU plans. In 2020, OC Development Services issued permits for approximately 50 ADUs. For calendar year 2021 to early September, OC Development Services issued permits for 43 ADUs for development, and 38 are currently in review. This trend reflects a continued increase in ADU activity. As for other innovative strategies, the County provided financing and entitlements for Potter's Lane, a 16-unit shipping container development in Midway City. In order to address the number of very-low and low-income RHNA units, County staff is anticipating on increasing density from 25 to 65 units per acre for sites located within the County's Housing
How were the Housing Element sites selected?	In general, the land inventory is a listing of potential sites for development. A developer would have to submit a proposed application for the site to make the development come to fruition, and market conditions would dictate the type of housing to be built and population to be served. Characteristics that have been taken into consideration when evaluating the appropriateness of sites include physical features (e.g. size and shape of the site) and location (e.g. proximity and access to

	transit and other amenities, particularly within unincorporated Anaheim, unincorporated Stanton and Midway City. In particular, since the County's Housing Opportunities Overlay successfully led to the development of multiple affordable housing developments, County staff is anticipating approximately 3,825 units within the Overlay for this upcoming 6th Cycle Housing Element. Also, Rancho Mission Viejo encompasses a large portion of
	unincorporated Orange County so the site inventory reflects 4,165 total units within Rancho Mission Viejo for this upcoming 6th Cycle Housing Element. The County's area is decreasing as a result of annexations, thus smaller sites that may be merged and consolidated have also been incorporated into the site inventory. As an example, Potter's Lane was located on a site less than 1 acre.
Do short-term rentals have an impact on the County's Housing Element?	The County adopted short-term regulations within the Comprehensive Zoning Code Update, which was adopted in July 2020, as a result of the proliferation of short-term rentals in unincorporated Orange County. Since its inception to October 15, 2021, 20 short-term rental permits have been approved, 17 are currently in review, and some unpermitted STRs may still be located in the community. However, based on the number of STRs that we are aware of, there would be a minimal impact in the housing inventory.
Was consideration given to the walkability of jobs and services in the non-residential areas of Rossmoor from nearby residential areas? This is the only remaining commercial area surrounded by residential uses in Rossmoor.	In general, the candidate sites are identified in the inventory as they have potential for residential development; however, the market conditions would dictate whether development comes to fruition. Developments within the County's Housing Opportunities Overlay could either be residential or commercial. The surrounding uses around the Rossmoor commercial center were considered, and the sites were selected due to factors such as lot size. Walkability was considered when selecting candidate sites, and the County has taken into consideration surrounding uses and their proximity to transit and amenities.
The community requested that a Silverado-Modjeska site be removed due to potential concerns related to flood, fire, lack of access to amenities, septic regulations, etc.	After careful consideration of the public comments, the potential Silverado site (Assessor Parcel Number 876-034-04) has been removed from the Draft Housing Element; however, based on upcoming feedback from State HCD, County staff may be required to reassess all sites, including those that have been removed.
Potential sites located within the County's Draft Housing Element have also been identified in other jurisdiction's Draft Housing Elements.	In general, jurisdictions usually identify sites that are within their jurisdictional boundaries; however, Housing Element Law does allow jurisdictions to identify sites within their sphere of influence.
Some of the potential sites within the County's Draft Housing Element are located in close proximity to John Wayne Airport (JWA), thus within JWA Safety Zones, Noise Contours and Part	The Housing Element itself serves as a planning document, which provides potential sites that are appropriately zoned to meet the County's RHNA at all income levels.

December 10, 201321 C-5 330 of 333

77 Obstruction Surface Areas, and Notification Areas.	Environmental concerns, such as safety are addressed in the Draft
	Housing Element. Potential sites within the JWA planning areas will
	require discussions with the Airport Land Use Commission.
	If/when a developer proposes an application on one of the potential
	sites, additional environmental analysis for noise and safety would be
	conducted at that time.

Comment	Response
Although the recession has helped affordability by reducing housing prices and interest rates, apartment rents are rising again. More affordable rental housing is needed.	The County has a 2 pronged strategy for facilitating affordable rental housing. First, the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone creates strong incentives for multi family rental projects in underutilized areas of the county islands. Second, The Ranch Plan Planned Community will provide the potential for up to 1,800 affordable housing units in South County.
There is a great need for low cost housing for persons with disabilities.	Affordable housing opportunities in the Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone and The Ranch Plan will also help low income persons with disabilities. In addition, the County actively pursues grant funding opportunities for all types of affordable housing, including funding targeted for supportive housing. (Please see Housing Action Plan, Goal 3, Strategy 3d.)
Recommendations Submitted by Kennedy Commission (August 26, 2013): 1. Continue the County's partnership with the Commission to facilitate the development of affordable rental homes for lower income working families in the County. 2. Prioritize and commit potential funding sources to proposed extremely low income affordable rental developments that leverage additional funding sources. 3. Encourage the development of housing affordable to extremely low income households through application processing fee waivers for proposed developments with a minimum of ten percent very low income homes. 4. The County should continue its support in encouraging the development of rental homes for lower income families in the Ranch Plan and Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone.	 The County is committed to continuing its long-standing partnership with the Kennedy Commission. The County is also committed to continuing its efforts to facilitate the development of affordable rental housing units for lower income families in the unincorporated area. (Please see Housing Action Plan, Goal 1, Strategy 1a through 1f.) The County is committed to continuing its efforts to obtain funding that may be eligible and available for affordable housing, including for extremely low income families. (Please see Housing Action Plan, Goal 1, Strategy 1f.) The County is in the process of reviewing its financial and operational obligations along with identifying potential budget reductions, if needed. Without grant funding, the County may not be in a position to provide fee waivers that could further impact the General Fund. However, the County will continue its efforts to seek grant funding that may be eligible and available for affordable housing. The County is committed to continuing its efforts to facilitate the development of affordable rental units throughout the unincorporated area including in the Ranch Plan Planned Community and Housing Opportunities Overlay Zone. (Please see Appendix B.—Land Inventory.)

C-6 331 of 333 December 10, 201321

Table C-2 **Housing Element Resource Team** [To Update in Final Draft]

Amanda Carr	Deputy Director, OC Development Services
Nicole Walsh	Senior Assistant County Counsel, Office of County Counsel
Richard Vuong	Planning Manager, OC Development Services
Joanna Chang	Land Use Manager, OC Development Services
Brian Kurnow	Land Use Manager, OC Development Services
Cindy Salazar	Senior Planner, OC Development Services
llene Lundfelt	Associate Planner, OC Development Services
Nicholas Chen	Associate, Kimley-Horn
Molly Mendoza	Planning Analyst, Kimley-Horn
Ines Galmiche	Land Planning Analyst, Kimley-Horn
Erik Mumm	Planning Analyst, Kimley-Horn
Brian Leung	Planning Analyst, Kimley-Horn
Lala Ragen	Director, Performance Management and Policy, County Executive Office
Julia Bidwell	Director, Housing and Community Development, OC Community Resources
Juanita Preciado	Affordable Housing Development Administrator, OC Community Resources
Marie Vu	Manger, Housing Assistance Division, OC Community Resources
Zulima Lundy	Continuum of Care Manager, OC Health Care Agency
Jay Bullock	Vice President, Planning & Entitlement, Rancho Mission Viejo
Mike Balsamo	Senior Vice President, Governmental Relations, Rancho Mission Viejo
Lea Choum	Planning Manager, John Wayne Airport
Julie Fitch	Land Use Manager, John Wayne Airport
Tom Mason	Manager, CEO Real Estate
Brian Bauer	Land Development Manager, CEO Real Estate
Cesar Covarrubias	Executive Director, Kennedy Commission
Allen Baldwin	Director, Orange County Community Housing Corporation
Kenneth W. Babcock	Executive Director, Public Law Center
Mike Balsamo	CEO, Building Industry Association
Art Bashmakian	Planning Manager, City of Westminster
Julia Bidwell	Deputy Director, OC Community Services
Anne Broussard	Child Care Coordinator, OC Social Services Agency
Amy Buch	Division Manager, OC Health Care Agency
Todd Cottle	C&C Development Co, LLC
Sam Couch	Vice President Planning and Entitlement, Rancho Mission Viejo
Cesar Covarrubias	Executive Director, Kennedy Commission
John Douglas	J.H. Douglas and Associates
Jose Elias	OC Planning/Planning Intern
Graham Espley Jones	President, Western Community Housing
Donna Fleming	Chief, Public Health Operations, OC Health Care Agency
Ron Garcia	Vice Chair, OC HCD Comm.
Tom Grable	Vice President Project Management, Tri Pointe Homes
Martina Guilfoil	Executive Director, Orange County Housing Trust
Joseph Jimenez Singh	Executive Director, Community Housing Resources, Inc.
Ed Knight	Interim Director, Community Processing Resources, Inc.
Scott Larson	HomeAid
Jevii Larsvii	Homenia

Appendix C – Public Participation Summary CHAPTER X – HOUSING ELEMENT

Ruby Maldonado	Manager, OC Planning/Advance Planning and Sustainable Development
Michael Massie	Housing Development Director, Jamboree Housing Corporation
Kathryn Mc Culloch	Chair, OC HCD Comm.
Mat Miller	County Counsel, County of Orange
Cynthia A. Parker	President, Bridge Housing
Kevin Payne	Payne Development
Ben Phillips	Regional Director, Mercy Housing
Mike Recupero	President, Recupero and Associates
Allan Roeder	10 Year Plan to End Homelessness Commission
Karen Roper	Director, OC Community Services
Mario Turner	Vice President of Development, AMCAL Multi-Housing Inc.
Sheri Vander Dussen	Director of Planning, City of Anaheim
John Viafora	OC Community Services
Dan Young	President of Community Development, The Irvine Company

December 10, 2013<u>21</u> C-8 333 of 333