Noise Assessment For: **RMV RANCH PLAN** COUNTY OF ORANGE

Prepared For: BONTERRA CONSULTING

151 Kalmus Drive, Suite E-200 Costa Mesa CA, 92621

Submitted By:

MESTRE GREVE ASSOCIATES

Fred Greve, P.E. Matthew B. Jones, P.E. 27812 El Lazo Road Laguna Niguel, CA 92677 949•349•0671 Fax 949•349•0679

> June 7, 2004 Report#04-069b

Table Of Contents

1.0 EXIS	STING SETTING	1
1.1 Pro	ject Description	1
1.2 Bac	kground Information on Noise	4
1.2.1	Noise Criteria Background	4
1.2.2	Noise Assessment Metrics	6
1.3 Noi	se Criteria	9
1.3.1	County of Orange Noise Element	9
1.3.2	County of Orange Noise Ordinance	10
1.3.3	Standard Conditions	12
1.3.3	1 N01 Residential Noise	12
1.3.3	2 N02 Non-Residential Noise	12
1.3.3	3 N08 Noise Generating Equipment (Non-Residential Projects)	12
1.3.3	4 N09 Multi-Family Dwelling Units	13
1.3.3	5 N10 Construction Noise	13
1.3.3.	6 N12 Transportation Corridor Notification	13
1.4 EXI	sting Noise Environment	14
1.4.1	Measured Noise Levels	14
1.4.2	Existing Roadway Noise Levels	15
1.4.3		18
1.4.4	Camp Pendleton Marine Core Base Noise	18
2.0 POT	ENTIAL NOISE IMPACTS	. 20
2.1 Noi	se Impact Criteria	20
2.2 Ten	nporary Impacts	21
2.2 Ten 2.2.1	porary Impacts Construction Noise	21 21
2.2 Ten 2.2.1 2.3 Lor	porary Impacts Construction Noise g Term Off-Site Impacts	21 21 21
2.2 Ten 2.2.1 2.3 Lor 2.3.1	porary Impacts Construction Noise g Term Off-Site Impacts Traffic Noise Impacts Due to Project	21 21 21 23
2.2 Ten 2.2.1 2.3 Lon 2.3.1 2.3.1	Departy Impacts Construction Noise In Traffic Noise Impacts Due to Project 1 Existing Plus Project Impacts	21 21 21 23 23
2.2 Ten 2.2.1 2.3 Lor 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1	Departy Impacts Construction Noise In g Term Off-Site Impacts Traffic Noise Impacts Due to Project 1 Existing Plus Project Impacts	21 21 21 23 23 23 26
2.2 Ten 2.2.1 2.3 Lor 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1	Apporary Impacts Construction Noise Ing Term Off-Site Impacts Traffic Noise Impacts Due to Project 1 Existing Plus Project Impacts	21 21 23 23 26 27
2.2 Ten 2.2.1 2.3 Lon 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1	Apporary Impacts Construction Noise In a construction Noise Traffic Noise Impacts Due to Project Traffic Noise Impacts Due to Project Existing Plus Project Impacts Phase 1 (2010) Impacts Project Buildout (2025) Impacts	21 21 23 23 23 26 27 29
2.2 Ten 2.2.1 2.3 Lon 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.2	Apporary Impacts Construction Noise g Term Off-Site Impacts Traffic Noise Impacts Due to Project 1 Existing Plus Project Impacts 2 Phase 1 (2010) Impacts 3 Project Buildout (2025) Impacts 4 Project + MPAH Amendment Impacts Cumulative Traffic Noise Impacts	21 21 23 23 23 26 27 29 31
2.2 Ten 2.2.1 2.3 Lor 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.2	Apporary Impacts Construction Noise	21 21 23 23 23 23 23 27 29 31 31
2.2 Ten 2.2.1 2.3 Lor 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.2 2.3.2	porary Impacts Construction Noise g Term Off-Site Impacts Traffic Noise Impacts Due to Project 1 Existing Plus Project Impacts 2 Phase 1 (2010) Impacts 3 Project Buildout (2025) Impacts 4 Project + MPAH Amendment Impacts Cumulative Traffic Noise Impacts 1 2010 Cumulative Impacts Due to Project and Other Development	21 21 23 23 23 23 26 27 29 31 31 34
2.2 Ten 2.2.1 2.3 Lor 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.2 2.3.2 2.3.2 2.3.2 2.3.2	porary Impacts Construction Noise	21 21 23 23 23 23 26 27 29 31 31 34
2.2 Ten 2.2.1 2.3 Lor 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.2 2.3.2 2.3.2 2.3.2 Road	porary Impacts Construction Noise g Term Off-Site Impacts Traffic Noise Impacts Due to Project 1 Existing Plus Project Impacts 2 Phase 1 (2010) Impacts 3 Project Buildout (2025) Impacts 4 Project + MPAH Amendment Impacts Cumulative Traffic Noise Impacts 1 2010 Cumulative Impacts 2 2025 Cumulative Impacts Due to Project and Other Development 3 2025 Cumulative Impacts Due to Project, Other Development and Changes in way Network	21 23 23 23 23 23 23 27 29 31 31 34
2.2 Ten 2.2.1 2.3 Lon 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.2 2.3.2 2.3.2 Road 2.3.3	Construction Noise g Term Off-Site Impacts Traffic Noise Impacts Due to Project	21 23 23 23 23 23 26 27 29 31 31 31 34 38 42
2.2 Ten 2.2.1 2.3 Lor 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.2 2.3.2 2.3.2 Road 2.3.3 2.3.4	Construction Noise G Term Off-Site Impacts Traffic Noise Impacts Due to Project 1 Existing Plus Project Impacts 2 Phase 1 (2010) Impacts 3 Project Buildout (2025) Impacts. 4 Project + MPAH Amendment Impacts. Cumulative Traffic Noise Impacts 1 2010 Cumulative Impacts Due to Project and Other Development 3 2025 Cumulative Impacts Due to Project, Other Development and Changes in way Network Future Worst Case Traffic Noise Levels On-Site Activities	21 21 23 23 23 23 23 23 29 31 31 34 34 38 42 49
2.2 Ten 2.2.1 2.3 Lor 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.2 2.3.2 2.3.2 2.3.2 Road 2.3.3 2.3.4 2.4 Lor	Construction Noise Term Off-Site Impacts Traffic Noise Impacts Due to Project 1 Existing Plus Project Impacts 2 Phase 1 (2010) Impacts 3 Project Buildout (2025) Impacts. 4 Project + MPAH Amendment Impacts. Cumulative Traffic Noise Impacts. 1 2010 Cumulative Impacts Due to Project and Other Development 3 2025 Cumulative Impacts Due to Project, Other Development and Changes in way Network. Future Worst Case Traffic Noise Levels On-Site Activities Traffic Noise Impacts .	21 23 23 23 23 23 23 27 29 31 31 31 34 34 42 49 49 49
2.2 Ten 2.2.1 2.3 Lor 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.	Construction Noise g Term Off-Site Impacts Traffic Noise Impacts Due to Project	21 21 23 23 23 23 23 23 27 29 31 31 34 34 38 42 49 49 49
2.2 Ten 2.2.1 2.3 Lor 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.	porary Impacts Construction Noise ig Term Off-Site Impacts Traffic Noise Impacts Due to Project 1 Existing Plus Project Impacts 2 Phase 1 (2010) Impacts 3 Project Buildout (2025) Impacts 4 Project + MPAH Amendment Impacts Cumulative Traffic Noise Impacts 1 2010 Cumulative Impacts 2 2025 Cumulative Impacts Due to Project and Other Development 3 2025 Cumulative Impacts Due to Project, Other Development and Changes in way Network Future Worst Case Traffic Noise Levels On-Site Activities ig Term On-Site Impacts Impacts Impacts <td>21 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 27 29 31 31 31 34 34 38 42 49 49 49 49 49</td>	21 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 27 29 31 31 31 34 34 38 42 49 49 49 49 49
2.2 Ten 2.2.1 2.3 Lor 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.	nporary Impacts	21 23 23 23 23 23 23 29 29 31 31 31 34 34 34 42 49 49 49 54
2.2 Ten 2.2.1 2.3 Lor 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.	nporary Impacts Construction Noise ig Term Off-Site Impacts Traffic Noise Impacts Due to Project 1 Existing Plus Project Impacts 2 Phase 1 (2010) Impacts 3 Project Buildout (2025) Impacts 4 Project + MPAH Amendment Impacts Cumulative Traffic Noise Impacts 2 2025 Cumulative Impacts Due to Project and Other Development 3 2025 Cumulative Impacts Due to Project, Other Development and Changes in way Network Future Worst Case Traffic Noise Levels On-Site Activities in G Term On-Site Impacts I Commercial/Residential Interface 2 Golf Course Operations 3 Sports Park	21 21 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 29 29 31 31 34
2.2 Ten 2.2.1 2.3 Lor 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.2 2	nporary Impacts	21 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 27 29 29 31 31 31 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 49 54 54 54 54
2.2 Ten 2.2.1 2.3 Lor 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.2.2 2.4.2 2.	nporary Impacts	21 21 23 23 23 23 23 23

Table of Contents (Continued)

2.4.4 Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Base	55
2.5 Project Alternatives	56
2.5.1 Alternative B-4R	56
2.5.1.1 Long Term Off-Site Impacts	56
2.5.1.2 Long Term On-Site Impacts	56
2.5.2 Alternative B-5	60
2521 Long Term Off-Site Impacts	60
2.5.2.7 Long Term On-Site Impacts	61
2.5.2. Altornativa R.6	65
2.5.3 Alternative D-0	05
2.5.3.1 Long Term On Site Impacts	05
2.5.3.2 Long Term On-Site Impacts	65
2.5.4 Alternative B-8	66
2.5.4.1 Long Term Off-Site Impacts	66
2.5.4.2 Long Term On-Site Impacts	67
2.5.5 Alternative B-9	68
2.5.5.1 Long Term Off-Site Impacts	68
2.5.5.2 Long Term On-Site Impacts	68
2.5.6 Alternative B-10	69
2.5.6.1 Long Term Off-Site Impacts	69
2.5.6.2 Long Term On-Site Impacts	70
2.5.7 Alternative B-11	71
2.5.7.1 Long Term Off-Site Impacts	71
2.5.7.2 Long Term On-Site Impacts	71
3.0 MITIGATION MEASURES	73
2.1 Tomporary Imports	70
2.2 Long Torm Off Site Importe	73
3.2 Long Term On-Site Impacts	73
3.3 Long Term On-Site Impacts	74
3.3.1 Traffic Noise	74
3.3.2 On-Site Activities	74
4.0 UNAVOIDABLE NOISE IMPACTS	74
	75
Traffic Data Used To Calculate Noise Levels (ADT's in 1.000's)	76
Dav/Evening/Night Traffic Distributions Used For CNFL Calculations	81
Evicting Traffic Noise CNEL Levels	22
Traffic Noise CNEL Changes For Evisting , Dreiset Conditions	02
Trainc Noise UNEL Changes For Existing + Project Conditions	80
2010 Traffic Noise CNEL Changes Due To Phase 1 of Project	90
Traffic Noise CNEL Changes Due To Project	94
2010 Cumulative Traffic Noise CNEL Change Due To Phase 1 of the Project 8	S.
Other Development & Changes In Road Network	102
Cumulative Traffic Noise CNEL Change Due To Project & Other Developmen	t
(Under Committed Circulation System)	106
2025 Cumulative Traffic Noise CNEL Change Due To Project & Other	
Development & Changes In Road Network	110
Future Traffic Noise Levels With Project	114

Future Traffic Noise Levels With Alternative B-4R	119
Future Traffic Noise Levels With Alternative B-5	124

List of Tables

Table	1	County of Orange Interior Noise Standards 1	10
Table 2	2	Orange County Noise Ordinance Standards 1	11
Table	3	Existing Noise Measurements 1	14
Table 4	4	Modeled Existing Roadway Traffic Noise Levels 1	16
Table	5	Existing Plus Project Traffic CNEL Noise Level Increases	24
Table	6	Traffic Noise Level Increase Due to Development of Phase 1 in 2010	 26
Table	7	Traffic Noise CNEL Increases Due to the Project at Buildout (2025) 2	27
Table	8	Traffic Noise CNEL Increases Due to the Project & MPAH Amendment	ts 29
Table	9	2010 Cumulative Traffic Noise CNEL Increases Due to Phase 1 of the Project, Other Development and Changes in the Roadway Network 3	32
Table	10	2025 Cumulative Traffic Noise CNEL Increases Due to Project and Other Development (With Committed Roadway Network)	34
Table	11	Cumulative Traffic Noise CNEL Increases due to the Project, Other Development and Changes in Roadway Network	38
Table	12	Future With Project Traffic Noise Levels	12
Table	13	Future Traffic Noise Levels With Alternative B-4R	45
Table	14	Future Traffic Noise Levels With Alternative B-5	47
Table	15	Future Traffic Noise Levels Impacting the Project	50
Table	16	Traffic Noise Impacts Analysis5	52
Table	17	Future Traffic Noise Levels Impacting Alternative B-4R 5	57
Table	18	Alternative B-4R Traffic Noise Impacts Analysis 5	58
Table	19	Future Traffic Noise Levels Impacting Alternative B-5	51
Table :	20	Alternative B-5 Traffic Noise Impacts Analysis	63

List of Exhibits

Exhibit 1 Vicinity Map	2
Exhibit 2 Site Plan	3
Exhibit 3 Typical A-Weighted Noise Levels	5
Exhibit 4 Typical Outdoor Noise Levels	8
Exhibit 5 Construction Equipment Noise Levels	. 22

1.0 EXISTING SETTING

1.1 Project Description

The approximately 22,815-acre Rancho Mission Viejo (the "Ranch Plan") project site is located in southeastern Orange County and constitutes the remaining undeveloped portions of Rancho Mission Viejo located within unincorporated Orange County. The planned community of Ladera Ranch and the cities of Mission Viejo, San Juan Capistrano, and San Clemente border the Project site on the west. The City of Rancho Santa Margarita borders the northern edge of the Project site; the United States Marine Corps Base (MCB) Camp Pendleton in San Diego County borders the southern edge; and Caspers Wilderness Park and the Cleveland National Forest, as well as several private properties in Riverside and San Diego counties, border the site on its eastern edge. A vicinity map is presented in Exhibit 1.

The Ranch Plan includes up to 14,000 dwelling units, 3.48 million square feet of urban activity center, 500,000 square feet of neighborhood center uses, 1.22 million square feet of business park uses, and 20 acres of golf resort. The project development area is approximately 7,694 acres. The remaining 15,121 acres within the Project site would be retained as open space. Development is proposed to occur over a period of approximately 20 to 25 years. Infrastructure would be constructed to support all of these uses, including road improvements, utility improvements and schools. Ranching and agricultural activities would be retained within a portion of the proposed open space area. A site plan is presented in Exhibit 2.

In addition to the development described above, the Project proposes making several changes to the Master Plan of Arterial Highways (MPAH) which include:

- New Ortega Highway would be added to the Circulation Plan and MPAH as an eastwest arterial highway on the north side of San Juan Creek. The road would provide an east-west link through Rancho Mission Viejo. The alignment would extend from Antonio Parkway to the existing Ortega Highway near the common boundary of Rancho Mission Viejo and Caspers Park.
- Cristianitos Road would be added to the Circulation Plan and the MPAH as a northsouth arterial highway. The roadway would extend from Avenida Pico northerly through Cristianitos and Trampas canyons, crossing San Juan Creek and New Ortega Highway, and connect with a newly proposed interchange with SR-241
- Avenida Talega would be reclassified (downgraded) on the Circulation Plan and the MPAH in unincorporated Orange County from a secondary arterial highway to a collector road (56 feet of right-of-way).
- Crown Valley Parkway would be deleted from the Circulation Plan and the MPAH east of Antonio Parkway.

These changes to the MPAH will change traffic circulation patterns and noise levels along roadways in the vicinity of the Project.





In addition to the Project, this analysis examines seven project alternatives. For two of these alternatives traffic data from the traffic study prepared for the Project was used to quantitatively assess traffic noise impacts. For the remaining five alternatives, traffic data required for a quantitative analysis was not prepared for the traffic study. For these alternatives, impacts will be estimated based on the results of the quantitative analysis for the Project and two alternatives that are quantitatively analyzed.

This study assesses the potential noise impacts associated with the development of the RMV Ranch Plan. Impacts from the construction and operation of the Project are assessed in terms of noise levels generated from activities on the Project site. Off-site noise impacts due to increased traffic noise from vehicle trips generated by the Project are assessed. Potential noise impacts upon the Project site are also examined. First, background information on noise and the applicable noise criteria are presented along with a description of the existing noise environment.

1.2 Background Information on Noise

1.2.1 Noise Criteria Background

Sound is technically described in terms of the loudness (amplitude) of the sound and frequency (pitch) of the sound. The standard unit of measurement of the loudness of sound is the decibel (dB). Decibels are based on the logarithmic scale. The logarithmic scale compresses the wide range in sound pressure levels to a more usable range of numbers in a manner similar to the Richter scale used to measure earthquakes. In terms of human response to noise, a sound 10 dB higher than another is judged to be twice as loud; and 20 dB higher four times as loud; and so forth. Everyday sounds normally range from 30 dB (very quiet) to 100 dB (very loud).

Since the human ear is not equally sensitive to sound at all frequencies, a special frequencydependent rating scale has been devised to relate noise to human sensitivity. The A-weighted decibel scale (dBA) performs this compensation by discriminating against frequencies in a manner approximating the sensitivity of the human ear. Community noise levels are measured in terms of the "A-weighted decibel," abbreviated dBA. Exhibit 3 provides examples of various noises and their typical A-weighted noise level.

Sound levels decrease as a function of distance from the source as a result of wave divergence, atmospheric absorption and ground attenuation. As the sound wave form travels away from the source, the sound energy is dispersed over a greater area, thereby dispersing the sound power of the wave. Atmospheric absorption also influences the levels that are received by the observer. The greater the distance traveled, the greater the influence and the resultant fluctuations. The degree of absorption is a function of the frequency of the sound as well as the humidity and temperature of the air. Turbulence and gradients of wind, temperature and humidity also play a significant role in determining the degree of attenuation. Intervening topography can also have a substantial effect on the perceived noise levels.

SOUND LEVELS AND LOUDNESS OF ILLUSTRATIVE NOISES IN INDOOR AND OUTDOOR ENVIRONMENTS

Numbers in Parentheses are the A-Scale Weighted Sound Levels for that Noise Event

dB(A)	OVER-ALL LEVEL Sound Pressure Level Reference: 0.0002 Microbars	COMMUNITY (Outdoor)	HOME OR INDUSTRY	LOUDNESS Human Judgement of Different Sound Levels
130		Military Jet Aircraft Take-Off With After- Burner From Aircraft Carrier @ 50 Ft. (130)	Oxygen Torch (121)	120 dB(A) 32 Times as Loud
120 110	UNCOMFORTABLY LOUD	Concord Takeoff (113)*	Riveting Machine (110) Rock-N-Roll Band (108-114)	110 dB(A) 16 Times as Loud
100		Boeing 747-200 Takeoff (101)*		100 dB(A) 8 Times as Loud
90	VERY	Power Mower (96) DC-10-30 Takeoff (96)* Motorcycle @25 Ft. (90)	Newspaper Press (97)	90 dB(A) 4 Times as Loud
80		Car Wash @ 20 Ft. (89) Boeing 727 w/ Hushkit Takeoff (96)* Diesel Truck, 40 MPH @ 50 Ft. (84) Diesel Train, 45 MPH @ 100 Ft. (83)	Food Blender (88) Milling Machine (85) Garbage Disposal (80)	80 dB(A) 2 Times as Loud
70	MODERATELY LOUD	High Urban Ambient Sound (80) Passenger Car, 65 MPH @ 25 Ft. (77) Freeway @ 50 Ft. From Pavement Edge, 10:00 AM (76 +or- 6) Boeing 757 Takeoff (76)*	Living Room Music (76) TV-Audio, Vacuum Cleaner	70 dB(A)
60		Propeller Airplane Takeoff (67)* Air Conditioning Unit @ 100 Ft. (60)	Cash Register @ 10 Ft. (65-70) Electric Typewriter @ 10 Ft. (64) Dishwasher (Rinse) @ 10 Ft. (60) Conversation (60)	60 dB(A) 1/2 as Loud
50	QUIET	Large Transformers @ 100 Ft. (50)		50 dB(A) 1/4 as Loud
40		Bird Calls (44) Lower Limit Urban Ambient Sound (40)		40 dB(A) 1/8 as Loud
20	JUST AUDIBLE	(dB[A] Scale Interrupted) Desert at Night		
10	THRESHOLD OF HEARING			

*Aircraft takeoff noise measured 6,500 meters from beginning of takeoff roll

SOURCE: Leo L. Beranek "Noise And Vibration Control," 1971 *Aircraft Levels From FAA Advisory Circular AC-36-3G

Exhibit 3 Typical A-Weighted Noise Levels

Mestre Greve Associates

Noise has been defined as unwanted sound, and it is known to have several adverse effects on people. From these known effects of noise, criteria have been established to help protect the public health and safety and prevent disruption of certain human activities. This criteria is based on such known impacts of noise on people as hearing loss, speech interference, sleep interference, physiological responses and annoyance. Each of these potential noise impacts on people are briefly discussed in the following narratives:

HEARING LOSS is not a concern in community noise situations of this type. The potential for noise induced hearing loss is more commonly associated with occupational noise exposures in heavy industry or very noisy work environments. Noise levels in neighborhoods, even in very noisy airport environs, are not sufficiently loud to cause hearing loss.

SPEECH INTERFERENCE is one of the primary concerns in environmental noise problems. Normal conversational speech is in the range of 60 to 65 dBA and any noise in this range or louder may interfere with speech. There are specific methods of describing speech interference as a function of distance between speaker and listener and voice level.

SLEEP INTERFERENCE is a major noise concern for traffic noise. Sleep disturbance studies have identified interior noise levels that have the potential to cause sleep disturbance. Note that sleep disturbance does not necessarily mean awakening from sleep, but can refer to altering the pattern and stages of sleep.

PHYSIOLOGICAL RESPONSES are those measurable effects of noise on people that are realized as changes in pulse rate, blood pressure, etc. While such effects can be induced and observed, the extent is not known to which these physiological responses cause harm or are sign of harm.

ANNOYANCE is the most difficult of all noise responses to describe. Annoyance is a very individual characteristic and can vary widely from person to person. What one person considers tolerable can be quite unbearable to another of equal hearing capability.

1.2.2 Noise Assessment Metrics

The description, analysis and reporting of community noise levels around communities is made difficult by the complexity of human response to noise and the myriad of noise metrics that have been developed for describing noise impacts. Each of these metrics attempts to quantify noise levels with respect to community response. Most of the metrics use the A-Weighted noise level to quantify noise impacts on humans. As previously identified, A-Weighting is a frequency weighting that accounts for human sensitivity to different frequencies.

Noise metrics can be divided into two categories: single event and cumulative. Single-event metrics describe the noise levels from an individual event such as an aircraft fly over or perhaps a heavy equipment pass-by. Cumulative metrics average the total noise over a specific time period, which is typically 1 or 24-hours for community noise problems. For this type of analysis, a community noise assessment, cumulative noise metrics will be used.

Several rating scales have been developed for measurement of community noise. These account for: (1) the parameters of noise that have been shown to contribute to the effects of noise on man, (2) the variety of noises found in the environment, (3) the variations in noise levels that occur as a person moves through the environment, and (4) the variations associated with the time of day. They are designed to account for the known health effects of noise on people described previously. Based on these effects, the observation has been made that the potential for a noise to impact people is dependent on the total acoustical energy content of the noise. A number of noise scales have been developed to account for this observation. Two of the predominate noise scales are the: Equivalent Noise Level (LEQ) and the Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL). These scales are described in the following paragraphs.

LEQ is the sound level corresponding to a steady-state sound level containing the same total energy as a time-varying signal over a given sample period. LEQ is the "energy" average noise level during the time period of the sample. LEQ can be measured for any time period, but is typically measured for 1 hour. This 1 hour noise level can also be referred to as the Hourly Noise Level (HNL). It is the energy sum of all the events and background noise levels that occur during that time period.

CNEL, Community Noise Equivalent Level, is the predominant rating scale now in use in California for land use compatibility assessment. The CNEL scale represents a time weighted 24-hour average noise level based on the A-weighted decibel. Time weighted refers to the fact that noise that occurs during certain sensitive time periods is penalized for occurring at these times. The evening time period (7 p.m. to 10 p.m.) penalizes noises by 5 dBA, while nighttime (10 p.m. to 7 a.m.) noises are penalized by 10 dBA. These time periods and penalties were selected to reflect people's increased sensitivity to noise during these time periods. A CNEL noise level may be reported as a "CNEL of 60 dBA," "60 dBA CNEL," or simply "60 CNEL." Typical noise levels in terms of the CNEL scale for different types of communities are presented in Exhibit 4.

Ldn, the day-night scale is similar to the CNEL scale except that evening noises are not penalized. It is a measure of the overall noise experienced during an entire day. The time-weighted refers to the fact that noise that occurs during certain sensitive time periods is penalized for occurring at these times. In the Ldn scale, those noise levels that occur during the night (10 pm to 7 am) are penalized by 10 dB. This penalty was selected to attempt to account for increased human sensitivity to noise during the quieter period of a day, where sleep is the most probable activity.

L(%) is a statistical method of describing noise which accounts for variance in noise levels throughout a given measurement period. L(%) is a way of expressing the noise level exceeded for a percentage of time in a given measurement period. For example since 5 minutes is 25% of 20 minutes, L(25) is the noise level that is equal to or exceeded for five minutes in a twenty minute measurement period. It is L(%) that is used for most Noise Ordinance standards. For example most daytime city, state and county Noise Ordinances use an ordinance standard of 55 dBA for 30 minutes per hour or an L(50)level of 55 dBA. In other words the Noise Ordinance states that no noise level should exceed 55 dBA for more that fifty percent of a given period.



Source:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, "Impact Characterization of Noise Including Implications of Identifying and Achieving Levels of Cumulative Noise Exposure," EPA Report NTID 73.4, 1973.

EXHIBIT 4 Typical Outdoor Noise Levels

Mestre Greve Associates

1.3 Noise Criteria

The County of Orange Noise Ordinance and Noise Element of the General Plan contain the County's policies on noise. The Noise Ordinance applies to noise generated on one property impacting a neighboring property. It sets limits on noise levels at a neighboring property from a noise source on another property. The Noise Ordinance is part of the County's Municipal Code and is enforceable throughout unincorporated portions of the County. A project that proposes a zone change to residential uses must provide measures to ensure that existing noise sources do not violate the Noise Ordinance standards. The Noise Ordinance requirements cannot be applied to noise generated by vehicles traveling on public roadways, railroads or aircraft. Control of mobile noise sources on public roads is preempted by federal and State laws. Not withstanding, the Noise Ordinance can be applied to vehicles traveling on private property (e.g. parking lots or loading docks).

The Noise Element of the General Plan identifies limits on noise levels from transportation noise sources, vehicles on public roadways, railroads and aircraft. These limits are imposed on all new developments; i.e., new developments must incorporate the measures to ensure that the limits are not exceeded. The Noise Element and Noise Ordinance policies are presented below in Sections 1.3.1 and 1.3.2.

The County of Orange has several Standard Conditions that are placed upon projects to ensure compliance with the County's policies on noise. The Standard Conditions applicable to the Ranch Plan project are presented in Section 1.3.3.

1.3.1 County of Orange Noise Element

The County of Orange specifies outdoor and indoor noise limits for various land uses impacted by transportation noise sources. The noise limits specified in the County's Noise Element are in terms of the Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL) for residential uses and Leq(h) for commercial uses, where h is the duration of the specific use in hours. Assuming the standard day-evening-night traffic distribution, CNEL levels are 1.4 dB higher than average daytime Leq(h).

The County has established exterior noise standards for residential uses, schools, hospitals, and places of worship. For residential uses the standard is 65 CNEL. For schools, hospitals, and places of worship the standard is 65 Leq(h) which is equivalent to 66 CNEL. These standards are applicable only at Outdoor Living Areas. The County defines Outdoor Living Areas to be spaces that are typically used for passive recreational activities or other noise sensitive uses. Such spaces include patio areas, barbecue areas, Jacuzzi areas, etc. for residential uses. Outdoor areas usually not included in the definition for residential areas include front yard areas, driveways, greenbelts, maintenance areas, and storage areas. For hospital uses Outdoor Living Areas include outdoor patient recovery or resting areas. Outdoor areas at hospitals that are not used for patient activities are not included in the Outdoor Living Area definition. For places of worship, areas that have a significant role in services or other noise sensitive activities are considered Outdoor Living Areas while areas principally used for short-term social gatherings are not. For schools, areas routinely used for educational purposes which may be adversely impacted by noise are considered Outdoor Living Areas while other areas not used for education uses such as play yard areas are not.

Table 1 presents the interior noise standards established by the County. These interior standards are applicable to Habitable Rooms as defined by the County. Closets, pantries, bath or toilet rooms, service rooms, connecting corridors, laundries, unfinished attics, foyers, storage spaces, cellars, utility rooms and similar spaces are not considered Habitable Rooms.

County of Orange Interior Noise Standards			
Use	Standard		
Residential			
All	45 CNEL		
Commercial			
Hotel, Motel	45 CNEL		
Hospital	45 CNEL		
Private Office, Church			
Sanctuary, College, Preschool, Schools (Grade K-12), Board Room, Conference Room, etc.	45 Leq(h) ¹ (46 CNEL ²)		
General Office, Reception	$50 \text{Leq}(h)^1$		
Clerical, etc.	(51 CNEL^2)		
Other Schools and Colleges	$52 \text{ Leq}(h)^1$ (53 CNEL ²)		
Bank Lobby, Retail Store,	$55 \text{Leq}(h)^1$		
Restaurant, Typing Pool, etc.	(56 CNEL^2)		
Manufacturing, Kitchen,	$65 \text{Leq}(h)^1$		
Warehousing, etc.	(66 CNEL^2)		

Table 1

1. H=time duration of usage in hours)

2. Standard is in terms of Leq(h). CNEL limit given assumes standard day-evening-night traffic distribution which results in CNEL level being 1.4 dB higher than daytime Leq(h).

1.3.2 County of Orange Noise Ordinance

Division 6, Section 4.6.1 of the County of Orange Municipal Code (the "Noise Ordinance") prescribes exterior and interior noise standards for the protection of residential zoned areas. Table 2 presents Orange County's Noise Ordinance standards. The Noise Ordinance is designed to control unnecessary, excessive and annoying sounds from sources on private property by setting limits that cannot be exceeded at adjacent properties. The Noise Ordinance requirements cannot be applied to mobile noise sources such as heavy trucks when traveling on public roadways. As previously discussed, control of the mobile noise sources on public roads is preempted by Federal and State laws. However, the Noise Ordinance does apply to vehicles on private property

The County Noise Ordinance specifies dBA noise levels that cannot be exceeded at residential areas for a specified period of time. The time limits are listed in the first column of Table 2. Column 2 lists the equivalent noise metric in terms of "percent noise level" or L%. The percent noise level describes the noise level that is exceeded during a certain percentage of the measurement period. For example, the L50 noise level is the level exceeded 50% of the measurement period or thirty minutes in an hour. Columns 3 and 4 list the daytime and nighttime noise levels for the specified metric that cannot be exceeded under the noise ordinance. Greater noise levels are permitted during the day (7 a.m. to 10 p.m.) as compared to nighttime (10 p.m. to 7 a.m.).

Table 2 Orange County Noise Ordinance Standards

		Noise Levels Not To Be Exceeded In Residential Zone 7 a.m. to 10 p.m. 10 p.m. to 7 a.m. (daytime) (nighttime)		
Maximum Time of Exposure	Noise Metric			
EXTERIOR NOISE ST	CANDAR	DS		
30 Minutes/Hour	L50	55 dBA	50 dBA	
15 Minutes/Hour	L25	60 dBA	55 dBA	
5 Minutes/Hour	L8.3	65 dBA	60 dBA	
1 Minute/Hour	L1.7	70 dBA	65 dBA	
Any period of time	Lmax	75 dBA	70 dBA	
INTERIOR NOISE ST	ANDARI	DS		
5 Minutes/Hour	L8.3	55 dBA	45 dBA	
1 Minute/Hour	L1.7	60 dBA	50 dBA	
Any period of time	Lmax	65 dBA	55 dBA	

The Orange County Noise Ordinance states that the daytime noise level for a noise source measured at an outdoor area of a residential property cannot exceed 75 dBA ever, 70 dBA for more than 1 minute of any hour, 65 dBA for more than 5 minutes of any hour, 60 dBA for more than 15 minutes of any hour, or 55 dBA for more than 30 minutes of any hour. Nighttime noise level limits are reduced by 5 dB to reflect the increased sensitivity to noise occurring during this time period. The noise ordinance also states that the noise level for a source measured at an indoor area of a residential property cannot exceed 65 dBA ever, 60 dBA for more than 1 minute of any hour, and 55 dBA for more than 5 minutes of any hour. The nighttime interior noise level limits are reduced by 10 dB. Note that the ordinance contains a clause that, in the event that the ambient noise level exceeds any of the noise limit categories, the cumulative period applicable to that category shall be increased to reflect the ambient noise level. Additionally, the noise level limits are reduced by 5 dB for noise consisting of a pure tone or primarily speech or music to account for increased sensitivity to these sources.

For daytime noise the outdoor standard is more stringent than the interior standard. This is because a typical residence achieves 12 dB of noise reduction with windows open. That is, the interior noise levels will be at least 12 dB lower than the exterior noise levels with open windows. The Noise Ordinance requires the levels to be only 10 dB lower. This is not so for nighttime noise levels, depending on the characteristics of the noise source (i.e., either the interior or exterior noise standards may be the most stringent).

The County of Orange Noise Ordinance exempts noise generated by construction from the Noise Ordinance standards during the hours between 7 a.m. and 8 p.m. on weekdays and Saturdays.

This exemption does not include Sundays and holidays.

1.3.3 Standard Conditions

1.3.3.1 N01 Residential Noise

The applicant shall sound attenuate all residential lots and dwellings against present and projected noise (which shall be the sum of all noise impacting the project) so that the composite interior standard of 45 dBA CNEL for habitable rooms and a source specific exterior standard of 65 dBA CNEL for outdoor living areas is not exceeded. The applicant shall provide a report prepared by a County-certified acoustical consultant, which demonstrates that these standards will be satisfied in a manner consistent with Zoning Code Section 7-9-137.5, as follows:

- A. Prior to the recordation of a subdivision map or prior to the issuance of grading permits, as determined by the Manager, Building Permits Services, the applicant shall submit an acoustical analysis report to the Manager, Building Permits Services, for approval. The report shall describe in detail the exterior noise environment and preliminary mitigation measures. Acoustical design features to achieve interior noise standards may be included in the report in which case it may also satisfy "B" below.
- B. Prior to the issuance of any building permits for residential construction, the applicant shall submit an acoustical analysis report describing the acoustical design features of the structures required to satisfy the exterior and interior noise standards to the Manager, Building Permits Services, for approval along with satisfactory evidence which indicates that the sound attenuation measures specified in the approved acoustical report have been incorporated into the design of the project.
- C. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, the applicant shall show all freestanding acoustical barriers on the project's plot plan illustrating height, location and construction in a manner meeting the approval of the Manager, Building Permits Services.

1.3.3.2 N02 Non-Residential Noise

Except when the interior noise level exceeds the exterior noise level, the applicant shall sound attenuate all nonresidential structures against the combined impact of all present and projected noise from exterior noise sources to meet the interior noise criteria as specified in the Noise Element and Land Use/Noise Compatibility Manual.

Prior to the issuance of any building permits, the applicant shall submit to the Manager, Building Permit Services, an acoustical analysis report prepared under the supervision of a County-certified acoustical consultant which describes in detail the exterior noise environment and the acoustical design features required to achieve the interior noise standard and which indicates that the sound attenuation measures specified have been incorporated into the design of the project.

1.3.3.3 N08 Noise Generating Equipment (Non-Residential Projects)

Prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, the applicant shall obtain the approval of the Manager, Building Permits Services of an acoustical analysis report and appropriate plans which demonstrate that the noise levels generated by this project during its operation shall be controlled in compliance with Orange County Codified Ordinance, Division 6 (Noise Control).

The report shall be prepared under the supervision of a County-certified Acoustical Consultant and shall describe the noise generation potential of the project during its operation and the noise mitigation measures, if needed, which shall be included in the plans and specifications of the project to assure compliance with Orange County Codified Ordinance, Division 6 (Noise Control).

1.3.3.4 N09 Multi-Family Dwelling Units

Prior to the issuance of any certificates of use and occupancy, the applicant shall perform field testing in accordance with Title 24 Regulations to verify compliance with FSTC and FIIC standards if determined necessary by the Manager, Building Inspection Services. In the event such a test was previously performed, the applicant shall provide satisfactory evidence and a copy of the report to the Manager, Building Inspection Services, as a supplement to the previously required acoustical analysis report.

1.3.3.5 N10 Construction Noise

- A. Prior to the issuance of any grading permits, the project proponent shall produce evidence acceptable to the Manager, Building Permits Services, that:
 - (1) All construction vehicles or equipment, fixed or mobile, operated within 1,000' of a dwelling shall be equipped with properly operating and maintained mufflers.
 - (2) All operations shall comply with Orange County Codified Ordinance Division 6 (Noise Control).
 - (3) Stockpiling and/or vehicle staging areas shall be located as far as practicable from dwellings.
- B. Notations in the above format, appropriately numbered and included with other notations on the front sheet of the project's permitted grading plans, will be considered as adequate evidence of compliance with this condition.

1.3.3.6 N12 Transportation Corridor Notification

Prior to the issuance of certificates of use and occupancy, the developer shall produce evidence to the Manager, Building Inspection Services, that the Department of Real Estate has been notified that the project area is adjacent to a regional transportation corridor. The corridor is expected to be a high capacity, high—speed, limited—access facility for motor vehicles, and will have provisions for bus lanes and other mass transit type facilities.

1.4 Existing Noise Environment

The existing noise environment on and around the project site is described in the following sections. The results of noise measurements are presented along with modeled traffic noise levels along roadways in the vicinity of the project. Noise levels from aircraft operations as well as activities at Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Base are also discussed.

1.4.1 Measured Noise Levels

To determine the existing noise environment at the Project site, ambient noise measurements were made on March 29, 2004 between 12:00 p.m. and 3:00 p.m. at four locations (Sites 1-4) and on January 28, 2004 at one location (Site 5). The locations of the noise measurement sites are shown in Exhibit 1. Noise levels were measured for 15 minutes at each location except at Site 5 where a 30-minute measurement was performed.

The measurement survey utilized a Brüel & Kjær 2236 automated digital noise data acquisition system for short-term (15 min) readings. This instrument automatically calculates both the Equivalent Noise Level (LEQ) and Percent Noise Level (L%) for any specific time period. The noise monitor was equipped with a Brüel & Kjær 1/2-inch electret microphone and was calibrated with a Brüel & Kjær calibrator with calibrations traceable to the National Bureau of Standards. Calibration for the instruments is performed annually and is certified through the duration of the measurements. This measurement system satisfies the ANSI (American National Standards Institute) Standards 1.4 for Type 1 precision noise measurement instrumentation.

The measurement results are presented in Table 3 in terms of the equivalent noise levels (Leq), maximum noise levels, minimum noise levels and percentile noise levels (L%). The L50 percentile level, for example, represents the noise levels exceeded 50 percent of the time, and represents the median ambient noise level. The L90 noise levels represent the background noise levels which are exceeded 90 percent of the time.

LVISUU							
			Sound Level (dBA)				
Site	Start Time	Leq	Lmax	L10	L50	L90	Lmin
1	12:13 PM	51	72	44	38	33	29
1*	12:13 PM	39	49	43	38	32	29
2	1:08 PM	45	54	47	44	41	37
3	1:50 PM	42	53	45	41	39	37
4	2:34 PM	41	53	44	34	31	30
5	8:16 AM	44	56	47	42	38	35

Table 3Existing Noise Measurements

* Effects of vehicles entering the Northrop Grumman TRW Capistrano Test Site removed

The noise measurement sites were near the western perimeter of the Project. The measured noise levels show that even at the perimeter of this primarily undeveloped area, noise levels are relatively low. Noise levels further inside and along the eastern perimeter of the Project site are likely slightly lower as they are removed from areas of activity. In general, the noise sources experienced at the site consisted of birds, wind through vegitation, and distant traffic along with local sources of noise described below.

Site 1 was located near the current terminus of Avenida Pico off of the entry road to the Northrop Grumman TRW Capistrano Test Site. The primary source of noise affecting the recorded noise levels was six vehicles entering the TRW site. Table 3 presents the recorded noise levels during the entire measurement period along with an edited version of the measurement that removed the periods when vehicles passed by the site entering the TRW site. The results of the measurements show very low noise levels when the effect of the vehicles is removed. Background sources of noise included birdcalls and distant traffic noise. One would not expect the average noise level to drop much below the 40 dBA level during the daytime. Nighttime noise levels would be lower as wildlife activity ceased along with levels of traffic on roadways in the vicinity of the site.

Site 2 was located just beyond the end of San Juan Creek Road. The primary sources of noise at Site 2 were distant traffic and noise generated by activities in the nearby residential areas. The noise environment around Site 2 would be characterized as being quiet. An average noise level of 45 dBA is a relatively low noise level.

Site 3 was located approximately 1,000 feet north of Ortega Highway in the existing agricultural operations. The primary source of noise at Site 3 was truck traffic associated with the agricultural operations. Background noise sources included birds, distant traffic, and distant agricultural operation activities.

Site 4 was located near the Santa Margarita Water District Chiquita Water Reclamation Plant. Noise sources experienced at Site 4 included enroute aircraft, birds, and wind through vegetation. No discernable noise from the Water Reclamation Plant was detected. There is no reason to believe that the Water Reclamation Plant was not operating normally when the measurements were made because these types of facilities typically do not generate considerable amounts of noise.

Site 5 was located near the south end of Tesoro High School. Noise experienced at Site 5 included activities at the high school, traffic on Oso Parkway, birds, and distant traffic.

1.4.2 Existing Roadway Noise Levels

An estimate of highway noise levels in terms of CNEL was computed for the roadways anticipated to be affected by traffic generated by the Project. The Highway Noise Model published by the Federal Highway Administration ("FHWA Highway Traffic Noise Prediction Model," FHWA-RD-77-108, December, 1978) was utilized. The CALVINO noise emission curves developed by Caltrans were used with the FHWA model. These curves better model the California vehicle mix. The FHWA Model uses traffic volume, vehicle mix, vehicle speed, and roadway geometry to compute the "equivalent noise level." A computer code has been written which computes equivalent noise levels for each of the time periods used in the calculation of CNEL. Weighting these noise levels and summing them results in the CNEL levels for the traffic projections used.

The distances to the existing 60, 65 and 70 CNEL contours for selected roadways in the vicinity of the Project are given in Table 4. The CNEL at 100 feet from the roadway centerline is also presented. These represent the distance from the centerline of the road to the contour value

shown. The values given in Table 4 represent existing noise levels and do not take into account the effect of any existing noise barriers or topography that may affect ambient noise levels. Where the line of sight between an observer and a roadway is blocked by a substantial object (a berm, block wall or building) the traffic noise levels are reduced by a minimum of approximately 5 dB.

To focus on the roadway segments that are most impacted by projected changes in traffic noise, the segments presented in Table 4 are those that are projected to experience a 0.5 dB or greater traffic noise CNEL increase due to the development of the Project (or alternatives), or are projected to experience a 1.5 dB or greater traffic noise CNEL increase over existing conditions in the future with the Project (or alternatives). Existing traffic noise levels along all roadways assessed in the traffic study prepared for the Project are presented in the appendix.

	CNEL	Distance To CNEL Contour ¹ (fee		
Roadway Segment	@ 100' ¹	70 CNEL	65 CNEL	60 CNEL
1-5				
Avery Pkwy. to Junipero Serra	80.5	499	1,075	2,315
Junipero Serra to Ortega Highway	80.4	490	1,056	2,275
Ortega Highway to San Juan Creek	80.0	465	1,003	2,160
San Juan Creek to Stonehill	80.0	462	996	2,147
Stonehill to Cmno. Las Ramblas	79.7	445	958	2,063
Cmno. Las Ramblas to Cmno. de Los Mares	80.0	465	1,003	2,160
Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa	79.8	454	977	2,105
Vista Hermosa to Avnda. Pico	79.5	432	931	2,007
SR-73				
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	72.5	147	317	684
Crown Valley Pkwy. to I-5	72.4	145	313	673
SR-241				
North of Antonio Pkwy.	69.6	94	204	438
Antonio Pkwy. to Oso Pkwy.	66.1	55	119	255
Oso Parkway				
East of I-5	70.7	111	240	517
West of Marguerite	69.1	88	189	406
Marguerite to Felipe Rd.	69.1	88	189	406
Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy.	69.1	88	189	406
East of Antonio Pkwy.	67.8	72	155	333
West of SR-241	67.4	67	144	310
East of SR-241	66.2	56	121	260
Crown Valley Parkway				
West of Marguerite	69.1	88	189	406
East of Marguerite	68.9	84	182	392
West of Antonio Pkwy.	67.0	63	136	294

Table 4Modeled Existing Roadway Traffic Noise Levels

1. From Roadway Centerline

RW - Contour Does Not Extend Beyond Roadway Right-of-Way

Table 4 (Continued)Modeled Existing Roadway Traffic Noise Levels

T	CNEL	Distance To CNEL Contour ¹ (f		Distance To CNEL Contour ¹ (ntour ¹ (feet)
Roadway Segment	@ 100' ¹	70 CNEL	65 CNEL	60 CNEL	
Junipero Serra					
West of I-5	63.5	RW	80	171	
SR-74 (Ortega Highway)					
I-5 to Rancho Viejo	72.8	154	332	715	
West of La Novia	71.6	128	275	593	
East of La Novia	70.7	112	242	521	
West of La Pata	69.9	98	212	457	
East of New Ortega Highway	65.5	50	108	233	
San Juan Creek Road					
West of La Novia	62.0	RW	64	137	
East of La Novia	61.1	RW	55	118	
Avenida Vista Hermosa					
East of I-5	66.2	56	121	260	
Avenida Pico					
East of I-5	69.0	86	185	399	
West of La Pata	66.8	62	133	286	
La Pata to Vista Hermosa	63.6	RW	81	175	
East of Vista Hermosa	61.0	RW	54	117	
Camino Capistrano					
South of Paseo de Colinas	59.0	RW	40	86	
North of Junipero Serra	59.0	RW	40	86	
Junipero Serra to Roso	62.5	RW	68	146	
Antonio Parkway					
North of SR-241	67.8	72	155	333	
Empressa to SR-241	67.2	65	140	302	
Empressa to Banderas	67.4	67	144	310	
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	67.8	72	155	333	
South of Crown Valley Pkwy.	65.3	48	104	224	
North of New Ortega Highway	64.0	RW	86	185	
North of SR-74	64.0	RW	86	185	
Avenida La Pata					
South of Ortega Highway	58.0	RW	RW	73	
South of Avnda. Pico	60.2	RW	48	103	
Camino Vera Cruz					
Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa	61.6	RW	59	128	
Talega					
East of Vista Hermosa	52.0	RW	RW	RW	

1. From Roadway Centerline

RW - Contour Does Not Extend Beyond Roadway Right-of-Way

Table 4 shows that high traffic noise levels are generated along I-5 and SR-73. Considerable noise levels are generated along SR-241, Oso Parkway, Crown Valley Parkway, SR-74, Avenida

Pico, and Antonio Parkway. Moderate noise levels are experienced along Junipero Serra, San Juan Creek, Avenida Vista Hermosa, Camino Capistrano, and Camino Vera Cruz. Noise levels along Avenida La Pata and Talega are minor.

1.4.3 Existing Aircraft Noise Levels

The Project site is not located in the immediate vicinity of any airfields and is not directly impacted by noise generated by any airport operations. Enroute commercial aircraft overfly the Project site and are audible at times. However, because of the relatively low aircraft noise levels generated on the Project site and the limited time that this occurs, aircraft do not generate noise levels that even begin to approach the County's noise standards presented in Table 1. Military aircraft also fly over and near the project site. Noise generated by military aircraft is discussed below in Section 1.6.

There is a private heliport located at the RMV headquarters within the Project boundaries. However, this heliport is used infrequently, approximately four times a year, for aerial tours of the Ranch or other RMV business. Areas around the heliport are exposed to substantial noise levels as helicopters arrive and depart the heliport. However, because of the infrequency of operations, noise levels in the vicinity of the heliport will not even begin to approach the County's noise standards presented in Table 1.

1.4.4 Marine Core Base Camp Pendleton Noise

MCB Camp Pendleton is located along the southern and eastern boundaries of the project at the southeast corner as shown in Exhibit 1. MCB Camp Pendleton is one of the busiest Department of Defense installations in the United States. Approximately 40-45,000 training events are scheduled at the base each year. These events range from small unit training to larger Regimental and Marine Expeditionary Brigade exercises. Nearly 60,000 service members train at the base each year. Training activities include amphibious landings, use of tracked vehicles, infantry and vehicle maneuvers, artillery and small arms firing, aerial weapons delivery, engineer support operations, logistics support, field combat service support, communications, airlift support for troops and weapons, equipment maintenance, and field medical treatment. In terms of noise generation, the most significant activities are artillery training and aircraft operations.

Camp Pendleton has an airfield where approximately 180 helicopters are based. The Base's airfield is located near the southern end of the base approximately 16 miles south of the Project. There are no fixed wing aircraft based at Camp Pendleton. However, turbo prop and jet aircraft from MCAS Miramar and other local military facilities use the facility for aerial weapons delivery training and other training. There is a Helicopter Outlying Landing Field located approximately 1.2 miles from the Project boundary which is used for night vision goggle training. Both fixed wing and helicopters operate throughout the base including the boundaries of the base.

Much of the central portion of Camp Pendleton consists of two Impact Areas which receive live fire from aircraft, and ground troops. There are Artillery Firing Areas (AFA) situated throughout the base from where ordinance is fired into the Impact Areas. There are no AFA's located within 0.5 miles of the Project Site. Several AFA's are located between 0.5 and 1.0 miles from the Project boundary and many more are located further than 1.0 mile.

A Range Compatible Use Zone (RCUZ) study was prepared for the Base in the early 1990's and approved in 1993. The RCUZ assesses potential impacts, including noise, from the operations at MCB Camp Pendleton. However, Mr. Larry Rannals (Community Plans & Liason Officer MCB Camp Pendleton) indicated that the 1993 RCUZ was out of date in that operations had changed substantially since its preparation. The base is just beginning to update the RCUZ with completion planned for early to mid 2005. In addition, Mr. Rannals indicated that due to current military activities in Iraq, operations at MCB Camp Pendleton are substantially lower than they would be normally, and that any noise monitoring performed at this time would not be representative of typical operations. Therefore, there is no data available to quantitatively assess the noise generated by activities at MCB Camp Pendleton on the Project site.

MCB Camp Pendleton operations noise impacting the project site will be primarily from aircraft and large artillery firings. Generally, these activities do not occur constantly but periodically. However, during short periods, a few days to a couple of weeks, almost constant activity and noise will occur 24-hours a day, during larger training exercises. These busy periods occur several times a year. Noise levels on the project site will be dependent on the specific activities and locations. Based on our understanding of the activities at MCB Camp Pendleton and the Base's relation to the Project site we would not expect that noise levels generated by these activities would exceed the County's CNEL noise criteria discussed in Section 1.2.1.

Some training activities will generate readily audible noise levels at the southern portion of Project site. However, the relative infrequency that these activities occur would result in the CNEL criteria not being exceeded. Note that CNEL is strictly defined as an annual average noise level with the evening and nighttime weightings described in Section 1.1.1. It is possible that CNEL levels on the project site could approach or even possibly exceed the 65 CNEL residential outdoor noise standard on a daily basis during periods of heavy activity at MCB Camp Pendleton. However, including periods with little or no noise being generated by the base in the CNEL level calculation would result in the CNEL level being below 65 CNEL.

2.0 POTENTIAL NOISE IMPACTS

Potential noise impacts are commonly divided into two groups; temporary and long term. Temporary impacts are usually associated with noise generated by construction activities. Long-term impacts are further divided into (i) impacts on surrounding land uses generated by the proposed Project and, (ii) those impacts which occur from activities at the Project site. Potential traffic noise impacts on the Project are also assessed.

2.1 Noise Impact Criteria

Off-site impacts from on-site activities, temporary and long-term, are measured against the County of Orange Noise Ordinance standards discussed previously. Construction activities and commercial area activities must comply with the Noise Ordinance.

Long-term off-site impacts from traffic noise are measured against two criteria. Both criteria must be met for a significant impact to be identified. First, traffic generated by the Project must cause a substantial noise level increase on a roadway segment adjacent to a noise sensitive land use. Second, the resulting "Future With Project" noise level must exceed the criteria level for the noise sensitive land use. In this case the criteria level is Orange County Noise Element 65 CNEL outdoor standard for residential land uses.

In community noise assessment, changes in noise levels greater than 3 dB are often identified as significant, while changes less than 1 dB will not be discernible to local residents. In the range of 1 to 3 dB, residents who are very sensitive to noise may perceive a slight change. In laboratory testing situations involving direct, immediate comparisons of noise levels, humans are able to detect noise level changes of slightly less than 1 dB. In a community noise situation, however, noise exposures are over a long time period, and changes in noise levels occur over years, rather than the immediate comparison made in a laboratory situation. Therefore, the level at which changes in community noise levels become discernible is likely to be some value greater than 1 dB, and 3 dB appears to be appropriate for most people. For this Project, a 3 dB traffic noise level increase due to the Project is considered substantial.

Cumulative impacts are measured in terms of the total noise increase due to the Project and other growth in the area over existing conditions. Because increases over existing conditions will take place over a long period of time, a 3 dB increase over existing conditions will be considered cumulatively substantial. For a cumulative impact to be identified, the project will need to contribute to this increase the resulting "Future With Project" noise level must exceed the criteria level for the noise sensitive land use.

Long-term on-site traffic noise impacts are measured against the noise level limits applied by the County which are presented in Table 1. Long-term on-site impacts from on-site activities are measured against the County of Orange Noise Ordinance standards discussed previously.

2.2 Temporary Impacts

2.2.1 Construction Noise

Construction noise, generally, represents a short-term impact on ambient noise levels. Noise generated by construction equipment and construction activities can reach high levels. Construction equipment noise comes under the control of the Environmental Protection Agency's Noise Control Program (Part 204 of Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations). Examples of construction noise at 50 feet are presented in Exhibit 5. Noise measurements made by Mestre Greve Associates for other projects show that the noise levels generated by commonly used grading equipment (i.e. loaders, graders and trucks) generate noise levels that typically do not exceed the middle of the range shown in Exhibit 5.

The equipment used for site grading will generate the highest construction noise levels. Exhibit 5 shows that the peak noise level generated by the equipment that will be used during grading is 70 to 95 dBA at a distance of 50 feet. When grading occurs directly adjacent to homes, high noise levels can be reached at the yards of the residences, upwards of 100 dBA, but for very short periods of time as a piece of equipment passes by the home. At 150 feet, the peak construction noise levels range from 61 to 86 dBA. At 1,000' the peak noise levels range from 44 to 69 dBA. Note that these noise levels are based upon worst-case conditions. Typically noise levels near the site will be less.

For the most part, the development proposed by the Project is well away from existing noise sensitive uses. The exception to this is at the edge of the Project near Ortega Highway where development will occur directly adjacent to existing homes. Further the Project will be developed in phases which may result in construction occurring adjacent or near to residential areas already constructed by the Project. It is possible that at some point during construction, construction equipment will generate noise levels in excess of the Noise Ordinance limits. However, the County of Orange Noise Ordinance exempts noise generated by construction from the Noise Ordinance limits during the hours between 7 a.m. and 8 p.m. on weekdays and Saturdays. This exemption does not include Sundays and holidays. No noise generating activities are expected outside of these hours. In addition, the County of Orange Standard Condition N10 requires compliance with the Noise Ordinance, the use of mufflers, and locating stock piles away from residential areas. Therefore, the construction of the Project will not cause any significant temporary noise impacts.

2.3 Long Term Off-Site Impacts

This section examines noise impacts from the Project on the surrounding land uses. Increases in traffic noise levels due to traffic generated by the Project are examined. First, traffic noise impacts due to the Project are examined. Second, cumulative traffic noise impacts are assessed. Finally, potential impacts from noise generated on the Project site affecting nearby uses is discussed.



2.3.1 Traffic Noise Impacts Due to Project

Impacts from noise produced by traffic generated by the Project are estimated based on the traffic projections presented in the traffic study prepared for the Project. By comparing the traffic volumes for different scenarios, the changes in noise levels along roadways in the vicinity of the Project can be estimated. To estimate noise level increases and impacts due to the development of Project, the with-project traffic volume is compared to the without-project traffic volume. This analysis is performed below for three scenarios; (1) Existing conditions with and without Project, (2) year 2010 conditions with and without Phase 1 of the Project, and (3) 2025 conditions with and without the Project. Additionally, impacts of the project in combination with the proposed amendments of the MPAH are also analyzed.

For the 2025 analysis, the Project and two alternatives, B-4R and B-5 are assessed. Traffic modeling to provide ADT's by link was not performed for the other alternatives being considered. This precludes a quantitative analysis of the noise level increases due to these alternatives. Section 2.5 discusses potential traffic noise impacts that could result from implementation of the other alternatives being considered.

2.3.1.1 Existing Plus Project Impacts

Table 5 presents the traffic noise level increases along roadways that would be caused by the project if the project were constructed with no other changes to the region. This is done by comparing traffic noise generated under existing conditions to what would be generated based on the existing plus project traffic volumes presented in the traffic study,

To focus on the roadway segments that are most impacted by projected changes in traffic noise, only roadway segments projected to experience noise level increases of greater than 0.5 dB due to the Project are presented in Table 5. Increases due to the Project for all roadway segments analyzed the traffic study are presented in the appendix. Noise level increases in excess of the 3 dB threshold are shown in bold italics. Note that there is not necessarily an impact along the roadway segments with increases greater than 3 dB. Absolute noise levels and impacts are discussed for the segments projected to experience noise level increases greater than 3 dB in the text following Table 5.

Table 5Existing Plus Project Traffic CNEL Noise Level Increases

	Traffic Noise
Roadway Segment	CNEL Change
SR-73	
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	0.6
Crown Valley Pkwy. to I-5	0.7
SR-241	
North of Antonio Pkwy.	1.6
Antonio Pkwy. to Oso Pkwy.	3.5
Oso Parkway	
West of Marguerite	1.9
Marguerite to Felipe Rd.	0.8
Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy.	1.1
East of Antonio Pkwy.	1.9
West of SR-241	2.1
Crown Vallev Parkway	
West of Marguerite	0.7
East of Marguerite	1.0
West of Antonio Pkwy.	1.6
SR-74 (Ortega Highway)	
I-5 to Rancho Vieio	0.5
West of La Novia	0.8
East of La Novia	1.3
West of La Pata	1.9
Eas of New Ortega Highway	0.8
San Juan Creek Road	
East of La Novia	0.5
Avenida Pico	
East of I-5	0.5
West of La Pata	1.4
La Pata to Vista Hermosa	3.7
East of Vista Hermosa	6.5
Rancho Vieio	
North of Ortega Highway	0.5
Antonio Parkway	0.5
South of Crown Valley Pkwy	1.9
North of New Ortega Highway	2.2
North of SR-74	<u> </u>
La Pata	r+7
South of Ortega Highway	2.2
South of Avnda Pico	2.2
Talega	2.0
East of Vista Hermosa	7.8
	,

Table 5 shows that implementation of the Project with no other changes to the surrounding area would increase noise levels over existing conditions by 3 dB or more along five roadway segments. Absolute noise levels along these roadway segments are discussed below. Worst-case

future with project traffic noise levels are presented in Table 12.

SR-241 between Antonio Parkway and Oso Parkway: There are homes located on the northwest side of SR-241 between Antonio Parkway and Oso parkway. Most of these homes are located more than 500 feet from the centerline of SR-241 and are projected to be exposed to noise levels less than 65 CNEL. A few homes at the northeast end of the segment are within the projected future worst case 65 CNEL contour. However, these homes face the corridor and. Therefore, only the front yards (which are not subject to the County's standard, see Section 1.3.1) will experience noise levels in excess of 65 CNEL. The building structures act as noise barriers reducing rear yard noise levels to below 65 CNEL. There are no other noise sensitive uses along this roadway segment. Therefore, the Project will not result in a significant traffic noise impact along this roadway segment.

Avenida Pico between Avenida La Pata and Avenida Vista Hermosa: There are homes located on the north side of this roadway segment. These homes are located outside the projected worst-case future 65 CNEL contour or have sound walls. A detailed analysis of the performance of the sound walls found that all of the homes along this roadway segment are projected to be exposed to future traffic noise levels less than 65 CNEL. Therefore, the Project will not result in a significant traffic noise impact along this roadway segment.

Avenida Pico, East of Avenida Vista Hermosa: There are homes located on the north side of this roadway segment. All of the homes have sound walls. A detailed analysis of the performance of the sound walls found that all of the homes along this roadway segment are projected to be exposed to future traffic noise levels less than 65 CNEL. Therefore, the Project will not result in a significant traffic noise impact along this roadway segment.

Antonio Parkway, North of SR-74 (Ortega Highway): This entire roadway segment is located within the project boundaries. Traffic noise impacts on the development proposed by the project are assessed in Section 2.4.1. Therefore, the Project will not result in a significant traffic noise impact along this roadway segment.

Avenida Talega, East of Avenida Vista Hermosa: There are homes on the both sides of the entire roadway segment. All of the homes have sound walls. A detailed analysis of the performance of the sound walls found that all of the homes along this roadway segment are projected to be exposed to future traffic noise levels less than 65 CNEL. Therefore, the Project will not result in a significant traffic noise impact along this roadway segment.

2.3.1.2 Phase 1 (2010) Impacts

Phase 1 of the Project comprises 5,000 residential dwelling units and a commercial/business center (510,000 square feet). Table 6 presents the traffic noise level increases along roadways that would be caused by the development of Phase 1 in 2010. This is done by comparing traffic noise generated 2010 without the project to what would be generated based on the 2010 with Phase 1 traffic volumes presented in the traffic study.

To focus on the roadway segments that are most impacted by projected changes in traffic noise, only roadway segments projected to experience noise level increases of greater than 0.5 dB due to Phase 1 of the Project are presented in Table 6. Increases due to Phase 1 for all roadway segments analyzed the traffic study are presented in the appendix. Noise level increases in excess of the 3 dB threshold are shown in bold italics. Note that there is not necessarily an impact along the roadway segments with increases greater than 3 dB. Absolute noise levels and impacts are discussed for the segments projected to experience noise level increases greater than 3 dB in the text following Table 6.

Traffic Noise Level Increase Due to Development of Phase 1 in			
Traffic Noise			
Roadway Segment	CNEL Change		
Crown Valley Parkway			
East of Marguerite	0.6		
West of Antonio Pkwy.	0.9		
SR-74 (Ortega Highway)			
I-5 to Rancho Viejo	0.5		
West of La Novia	0.7		
East of La Novia	0.9		
West of La Pata	1.1		
Rancho Viejo			
South of Juniperro	0.5		
Antonio Parkway			
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	0.7		
South of Crown Valley Pkwy.	2.1		
North of New Ortega Highway	3.6		
North of SR-74	2.0		
La Pata			
South of Ortega Highway	1.2		

Table 6				
Traffic Noise Level Ind	crease Due to D	evelopment of I	Phase 1 in 2	2010

Table 6 shows that the project would increase noise levels by 3 dB or more along one roadway segment, Antonio Parkway north of New Ortega Highway. Absolute noise levels along this roadway segment are discussed below. Worst-case future with project traffic noise levels are presented in Table 12.

Antonio Parkway, North of New Ortega Highway: Most of this roadway segment is within the project boundaries. Outside of the project boundaries there are no existing homes located within the worst-case future 65 CNEL contour. Therefore, Phase 1 of the Project will not result in a significant traffic noise impact along this roadway segment.

2.3.1.3 Project Buildout (2025) Impacts

The traffic study prepared for the Project estimated 2025 traffic volumes for several different roadway network scenarios (the traffic study describes the roadway network scenarios in detail). To assess impacts from the project alone, future with and without project traffic volumes for the same roadway network conditions are compared. For the traffic data provided, the roadway network scenario run for the Project and Alternatives B-4R and B-5 and the no project conditions is the Committed Circulation System. As discussed above, traffic data required to calculate traffic noise levels with the other project alternatives was not generated for the traffic study. Section 2.5 discusses off-site traffic noise impacts due to these alternatives.

Table 7 presents the traffic noise level increases due solely to the project under the Committed Circulation System at project buildout (year 2025). To focus on the roadway segments that are most impacted by projected, only roadway segments projected to experience noise level increases of greater than 0.5 dB are presented in Table 7. Increases due to the project for all roadway segments analyzed the traffic study are presented in the appendix. Noise level increases in excess of the 3 dB threshold are shown in bold italics. Note that there is not necessarily an impact along the roadway segments with increases greater than 3 dB. In order for a significant impact to occur, the absolute noise level must be in excess of the County's standard at a sensitive use. Absolute noise levels at each of the areas with increases above the threshold are discussed below.

Traffic Noise CNEL Increases Due to the Project at Buildout (2025) **Traffic Noise CNEL Increase Roadway Segment** Project B-4R **B-5** SR-241 North of Antonio Pkwy. 1.2 1.1 1.1 Antonio Pkwy. to Oso Pkwy. 2.2 2.0 2.4 **Oso Parkway** East of I-5 0.3 0.2 0.5 West of Marguerite 0.3 0.2 0.5 Marguerite to Felipe Rd. 0.7 0.6 1.1 Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy. 0.9 1.5 1.0 East of Antonio Pkwy. 2.6 1.8 1.7 West of SR-241 2.1 2.0 2.8 **Crown Valley Parkway** West of Marguerite 0.5 0.4 0.5 East of Marguerite 0.7 0.5 0.7 West of Antonio Pkwy. 0.9 1.2 1.1 SR-74 (Ortega Highway) I-5 to Rancho Viejo 0.5 0.2 0.5 West of La Novia 0.7 0.3 0.7 East of La Novia 1.0 0.7 1.1 West of La Pata 1.5 1.0 1.6 East of New Ortega Highway 0.7 0.70.4

Table 7

I raffic Noise CNEL Increases Due to the Project			
	Traffic N	oise CNEL	Increase
Roadway Segment	Project	B-4R	B-5
Avenida Vista Hermosa			
Talega to Pico	2.4	1.8	1.8
Avenida Pico			
West of La Pata	1.3	1.2	-0.2
La Pata to Vista Hermosa	<i>4.3</i>	3.9	0.5
East of Vista Hermosa	4.3	4.0	0.0
Antonio Parkway			
South of Crown Valley Pkwy.	1.0	0.7	0.9
North of New Ortega Highway	2.9	2.2	2.8
North of SR-74	3.1	2.5	3.2
Avenida La Pata			
South of Ortega Highway	2.2	1.2	3.0
South of Avnda. Pico	1.8	1.8	1.2
Talega			
East of Vista Hermosa	1.8	1.8	1.8

Table 7 (Continued)Traffic Noise CNEL Increases Due to the Project

Table 7 shows that the Project or Alternatives B-4R and B-5 are projected to result in noise increases greater than the 3 dB threshold along four roadway segments. Three of these segments are the same as those segments discussed/identified in Section 2.3.1.1 (Table 5) projected to experience substantial traffic noise increases under existing plus project conditions. These segments include:

Avenida Pico Avenida La Pata to Avenida Vista Hermosa: East of Avenida Vista Hermosa: Antonio Parkway North of SR-74 (Ortega Highway):

The discussion following Table 5 concluded that future worst-case noise levels at sensitive receptors along all of these roadway segments are projected to be below the County Standards. Therefore, neither the Project nor Alternatives B-4R and B-5 will result in a significant noise impact along these roadway segments

Absolute noise levels at sensitive uses for the remaining segment are described below. Worstcase future with project traffic noise levels are presented in Tables 12, 13, and 14.

Avenida La Pata, South of SR-74 (Ortega Highway): Most of this roadway segment is located within the project boundaries. Outside of the project boundaries there are no existing homes located within the worst-case future 65 CNEL contour from this roadway segment. Therefore, neither the Project nor Alternatives B-4R and B-5 will result in a significant traffic noise impact along this roadway segment.

2.3.1.4 Project + MPAH Amendment Impacts

The preceding analysis compared noise levels with and without the project with the Committed Circulation System. The Project also proposes several changes to the Master Plan of Arterial Highways. These changes will result in a change in the future traffic circulation, traffic volumes along roadways, and resulting noise levels. Table 8, below, assesses the anticipated noise level increases associated with the Project and the proposed MPAH amendments. The noise levels with the Project and buildout of the amended MPAH were compared to the noise levels if the project site were developed in accordance with existing zoning and the "un-amended" MPAH. To focus on the roadway segments that are most impacted by projected changes in traffic noise, only roadway segments with increases in noise levels due to the Project and MPAH amendments greater than 0.5 dB are presented in Table 8. Increases along all roadway segments analyzed are presented in the appendix. Traffic noise CNEL increases greater than the 1 dB threshold are shown in bold-italics.

Traffic volumes for the Project alternatives, under the MPAH buildout were not provided. This precluded an analysis of the affects of these alternatives in combination with the MPAH amendments.

Traffic Noise CNEL Increases Due to the Project & MPAH Amendments

	Traffic Noise
Roadway Segment	CNEL Change
SR-241	
North of Antonio Pkwy.	0.6
Antonio Pkwy. to Oso Pkwy.	0.8
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	2.2
Crown Valley Pkwy. to C St.	2.0
C St. to Ortega Highway	1.2
Ortega Highway to Avnda. Pico	0.6
Oso Parkway	
Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy.	0.5
East of Antonio Pkwy.	1.8
West of SR-241	2.4
East of SR-241	1.5
Crown Valley Parkway	
West of Antonio Pkwy.	0.6
SR-74 (Ortega Highway)	
I-5 to Rancho Viejo	0.5
West of La Novia	0.9
East of La Novia	1.0
West of La Pata	1.5
San Juan Creek Road	
East of La Novia	0.8
West of La Pata	3.0
Avenida Vista Hermosa	
Talega to Pico	1.2

Table 8

	Traffic Noise	
Roadway Segment	CNEL Change	
Avenida Pico		
West of La Pata	1.2	
La Pata to Vista Hermosa	2.5	
East of Vista Hermosa	2.1	
East of SR-241	5.7	
Camino Capistrano		
South of Paseo de Colinas	0.6	
Antonio Parkway		
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	0.7	
South of Crown Valley Pkwy.	1.6	
North of New Ortega Highway	3.5	
North of SR-74	3.3	
La Pata		
South of Ortega Highway	1.5	
Cmno. Las Ramblas to Cmno. del Rio	0.7	
A Street		
South of Oso Pkwy.	4.0	
North of New Ortega Highway	0.8	

Table 8 (Continued) Traffic Noise CNEL Increases Due to the Project & MPAH Amendments

Table 8 shows that five roadway segments are projected to experience traffic noise level increases greater than 3 dB as a result of development of the Project and implementation of the proposed MPAH Amendments.

Noise levels along Antonio Parkway north of SR-74 (Ortega Highway) were discussed/identified in Section 2.3.1.1 (Table 5) as being projected to experience substantial traffic noise increases under existing plus project conditions. The discussion following Table 5 concluded that future worst-case noise levels at sensitive receptors along this roadway segment are projected to be below the County Standards. Therefore, the Project in combination with the MPAH amendments will not result in a significant noise impact along this roadway segment.

Noise levels along Antonio Parkway north of New Ortega Highway were discussed/identified in Section 2.3.1.2 (Table 6) as being projected to experience substantial traffic noise increases due to development of Phase 1 of the project. The discussion following Table 6 concluded that future worst-case noise levels at sensitive receptors along this roadway segment are projected to be below the County Standards. Therefore, the Project in combination with the MPAH amendments will not result in a significant noise impact along this roadway segment.

Absolute noise levels at sensitive uses for the remaining three segments are described below. Worst-case future with project traffic noise levels are presented in Table 12.

San Juan Creek Road, West of La Pata: There are homes located on both sides of this roadway segment. These homes are located outside the projected worst-case future 65 CNEL contour or have sound walls. A detailed analysis of the performance of the sound walls found that all of the homes along this roadway segment are projected to be exposed to future traffic
noise levels less than 65 CNEL. Therefore, the Project in combination with the MPAH amendments will not result in a significant traffic noise impact along this roadway segment.

Avenida Pico, East of SR-241: This is a future roadway segment that will mostly be located within the project boundaries. Outside of the project boundaries there are no existing homes located within the worst-case future 65 CNEL contour from this roadway segment. Therefore, the Project in combination with the MPAH amendments will not result in a significant traffic noise impact along this roadway segment.

A Street, South of Oso Parkway: This is a future roadway that will be within the project. Traffic noise impacts on the Project are assessed in Section 2.4.1. The Project in combination with the MPAH amendments will not result in a significant off-site traffic noise impact along this roadway segment.

2.3.2 Cumulative Traffic Noise Impacts

Cumulative traffic noise impacts are assessed by estimating the traffic noise CNEL increases over existing conditions with the proposed project and all other projected development within the study area. To estimate the noise level increases over existing conditions, the existing traffic volume is compared to the projected future with project traffic volumes. This results in an estimate in the traffic noise level increases due to the proposed project in addition to other projects and general growth projected for the area. Cumulative traffic noise impacts in 2010 with development of Phase 1 of the Project are examined below along with cumulative traffic noise impacts in 2025 with buildout of the Project. For the 2025 buildout conditions cumulative impacts are assessed with the Committed Circulation System. This presents the cumulative noise increases due to the Project and general growth in the area. Cumulative impacts are separately assessed for the other roadway network scenarios analyzed in the traffic study prepared for the area, and changes to the areas roadway network.

2.3.2.1 2010 Cumulative Impacts

Table 9 presents the 2010 with Phase 1 traffic noise CNEL increases over existing conditions with the Project. Three roadway network alternatives were analyzed for the Phase 1 of the Project and are described in the traffic study prepared for the Project. Therefore, a range of noise level increases is presented in the table. Increases greater than the 3 dB threshold are shown in bold italics. To focus on the roadway segments that are most impacted by projected changes in traffic noise, the segments presented in Table 9 are those projected to experience an increase of greater than 1.5 dB. Traffic noise level increases for all road segments assessed in the traffic study are presented in the appendix.

Table 9

2010 Cumulative Traffic Noise CNEL Increases Due to Phase 1 of the Project, Other Development and Changes in the Roadway Network

	Traffic Noise CNEL
Roadway Segment	Change
SR-73	
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	1.6 - 1.7
Crown Valley Pkwy. to I-5	1.5 - 1.6
SR-241	
North of Antonio Pkwy.	1.2 - 1.6
Antonio Pkwy. to Oso Pkwy.	2.1 - 2.7
Oso Parkway	
West of Marguerite	1.6 - 1.7
Crown Valley Parkway	
West of Marguerite	2.0 - 2.2
East of Marguerite	2.2 - 2.4
West of Antonio Pkwy.	2.2 - 2.5
SR-74 (Ortega Highway)	
East of La Novia	1.0 - 1.7
West of La Pata	1.2 - 1.9
San Juan Creek Road	
West of La Novia	1.1 - 1.5
East of La Novia	2.1 - 2.1
Avenida Vista Hermosa	
East of I-5	2.0 - 2.3
Avenida Pico	
East of Vista Hermosa	1.8 - 2.2
Antonio Parkway	
Empressa to Banderas	1.5 - 1.6
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	2.1 - 2.6
South of Crown Valley Pkwy.	3.6 - 4.7
North of New Ortega Highway	4.3 - 5.4
North of SR-74	3.5 - 4.3
La Pata	
South of Ortega Highway	1.2 - 6.0
Camino Vera Cruz	-
Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa	2.5 - 3.0

Table 9 shows that five roadway segments are projected to experience traffic noise level increases over existing conditions greater than 3 dB as a result of (i) the development of the Phase 1 of the Project, (ii) all other projected growth in the study area and (iii) roadway network changes.

Noise levels along Antonio Parkway north of SR-74 (Ortega Highway) were discussed/identified in Section 2.3.1.1 (Table 5) as being projected to experience substantial traffic noise increases under existing plus Project conditions. The discussion following Table 5 concluded that future worst-case noise levels at sensitive receptors along this roadway segment are projected to be

below the County Standards. Therefore, Phase 1 of the Project in combination with all other planned growth in the study area and roadway network changes will not result in a significant cumulative traffic noise impact along this roadway segment.

Noise levels along Antonio Parkway north of New Ortega Highway were discussed/identified in Section 2.3.1.2 (Table 6) as being projected to experience substantial traffic noise increases due to development of Phase 1 of the Project. The discussion following Table 6 concluded that future worst-case noise levels at sensitive receptors along this roadway segment are projected to be below the County Standards. Therefore, Phase 1 of the Project in combination with all other planned growth in the study area and roadway network changes will not result in a significant cumulative traffic noise impact along this roadway segment.

Noise levels along Avenida La Pata south of Ortega Highway were discussed/identified in Section 2.3.1.3 (Table 7) as being projected to experience substantial traffic noise increases due to development the project. The discussion following Table 7 concluded that future worst-case noise levels at sensitive receptors along this roadway segment are projected to be below the County Standards. Therefore, Phase 1 of the Project in combination with all other planned growth in the study area and roadway network changes will not result in a significant cumulative traffic noise impact along this roadway segment.

Absolute noise levels at sensitive uses for the remaining two segments are described below. Worst-case future with project traffic noise levels are presented in Table 12.

Antonio Parkway, South of Crown Valley Parkway: There are homes located on the west side of this roadway segment. These homes are between 25 and 40 feet below the roadway grade. The topography acts as a noise barrier. A detailed analysis of the performance of the topographic noise barrier found that all of the homes along this roadway segment are projected to be exposed to future traffic noise levels less than 65 CNEL. Therefore, Phase 1 of the Project, in combination all other planned growth in the study area, will not result in a significant cumulative traffic noise impact along this roadway segment.

Camino Vera Cruz between Camino de los Mares and Avenida Vista Hermosa: There are homes located along both sides of the entire roadway segment. Some of the homes have sound walls and others do not. A detailed analysis of future worst case noise levels, including the effects of sound walls, showed that homes that back up to Camino Vera Cruz on Pavoreal, Bellow Panorama, Campo Raso, and Camino Oleada are projected to experience future worst case noise levels in excess of the County's 65 CNEL standard. However, the development of the project does not change the traffic noise levels along this roadway segment. Projected traffic volumes with and with the project are the same. The noise level increase is completely due to other projects and growth in the area. Therefore, Phase 1 of the Project, in combination all other planned growth in the study area, will not result in a significant cumulative traffic noise impact along this roadway segment.

2.3.2.2 2025 Cumulative Impacts Due to Project and Other Development

Table 10 presents the 2025 with Project traffic noise CNEL increases over existing conditions with the Project and Alternatives B-4R and B-5 for the Committed Circulation System. Increases greater than the 3 dB threshold are shown in bold italics. To focus on the roadway segments that are most impacted by projected changes in traffic noise, the segments presented in Table 10 are those projected to experience noise level increases of 1.5 dB or greater. Traffic noise level increases for all road segments assessed in the traffic study are presented in the appendix.

Table 10

	Traffic Noise CNEL Increase		
Roadway Segment	Project	B-4R	B-5
I-5			
Avery Pkwy. to Junipero Serra	1.6	1.6	1.6
Junipero Serra to Ortega Highway	1.6	1.6	1.6
Ortega Highway to San Juan Creek	1.7	1.7	1.7
San Juan Creek to Stonehill	1.7	1.7	1.7
Stonehill to Cmno. Las Ramblas	1.8	1.8	1.8
Cmno. Las Ramblas to Cmno. de Los Mares	1.7	1.7	1.7
Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa	1.8	1.8	1.7
Vista Hermosa to Avnda. Pico	1.8	1.8	1.8
SR-73			
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	3.2	3.2	3.1
Crown Valley Pkwy. to I-5	3.1	3.1	3.0
SR-241			
North of Antonio Pkwy.	2.9	2.9	3.0
Antonio Pkwy. to Oso Pkwy.	4.9	4.8	5.1
Oso Parkway			
West of Marguerite	2.2	2.2	2.5
Marguerite to Felipe Rd.	1.3	1.2	1.7
Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy.	1.3	1.2	1.8
East of Antonio Pkwy.	2.2	2.1	3.0
West of SR-241	2.1	2.0	2.8
Crown Valley Parkway			
West of Marguerite	2.5	2.4	2.5
East of Marguerite	2.8	2.6	2.8
West of Antonio Pkwy.	3.2	3.0	3.4
Junipero Serra			
West of I-5	2.0	1.8	1.8
SR-74 (Ortega Highway)			
West of La Novia	1.5	1.1	1.5
East of La Novia	2.2	1.9	2.3
West of La Pata	2.8	2.3	2.9

2025 Cumulative Traffic Noise CNEL Increases Due to Project and Other Development (With Committed Roadway Network)

Table 10 (Continued)

Cumulative Traffic Noise CNEL Increases Due to Project and Other Development (With Committed Roadway Network)

	Traffic Noise CNEL Incr			
Roadway Segment	Project	B-4R	B-5	
San Juan Creek Road				
West of La Novia	1.8	1.8	1.8	
East of La Novia	2.1	2.1	2.1	
Avenida Vista Hermosa				
East of I-5	2.9	2.9	2.8	
Avenida Pico				
East of I-5	1.9	1.9	1.3	
West of La Pata	1.8	1.7	0.4	
La Pata to Vista Hermosa	3.4	3.0	-0.4	
East of Vista Hermosa	6.5	6.2	2.2	
Camino Capistrano				
Junipero Serra to Roso	2.4	2.4	2.1	
Antonio Parkway				
North of SR-241	1.5	1.5	1.5	
Empressa to Banderas	1.5	1.5	1.5	
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	2.4	2.2	2.6	
South of Crown Valley Pkwy.	4.2	3.9	4.1	
North of New Ortega Highway	5.3	4.6	5.2	
North of SR-74	5.5	4.9	5.6	
La Pata				
South of Ortega Highway	2.2	1.2	3.0	
South of Avnda. Pico	2.6	2.6	2.0	
Camino Vera Cruz				
Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa	3.7	3.7	3.7	
Talega				
East of Vista Hermosa	11.8	11.8	11.8	

Table 10 shows that 14 roadway segments are projected to experience 2025 traffic noise level increases over existing conditions greater than 3 dB as a result of (i) development of the Project or Alternatives B-4R and B-5 and (ii) all other projected growth in the area. Seven of these segments are the same as those segments discussed/identified in Section 2.3.1 that are projected to experience substantial traffic noise increases under existing plus Project conditions. These segments include:

SR-241 Antonio Parkway to Oso Parkway Avenida Pico Avenida La Pata to Avenida Vista Hermosa East of Avenida Vista Hermosa Antonio Parkway North of New Ortega Highway North of SR-74 Avenida La Pata South of Ortega Highway Avenida Talega East of Vista Hermosa

The discussions in Section 2.3.1 concluded that future worst-case noise levels at sensitive receptors along all of these roadway segments are projected to be below the County Standards. Therefore, the Project and Alternatives B-4R and B-5, in combination with all other planned growth in the study area will not result in a significant cumulative traffic noise impact along these roadway segments.

Two of these segments are the same as those segments discussed/identified in Section 2.3.2.1 that are projected to experience substantial traffic noise increases over existing conditions in 2010 with development of Phase 1 of the Project. These segments include:

Antonio Parkway South of Crown Valley Parkway Camino Vera Cruz Camino de los Mares to Avenida Vista Hermosa

The discussions in Section 2.3.2.1 concluded that future worst-case noise levels at sensitive receptors along the first of these roadway segments (Antonio Parkway) are projected to be below the County Standards. Future worst-case noise levels at some sensitive receptors along the Camino Vera Cruz segment are projected to be exposed to traffic noise levels greater than the County's Standards. However, the development of the project or either of the Alternatives assessed does not change the traffic noise levels along this roadway segment. Therefore, the Project, in combination with all other planned growth in the study area will not result in a significant cumulative traffic noise impact along either of these roadway segments.

Absolute noise levels along the remaining five roadway segments with projected traffic noise CNEL increases over existing conditions greater than the 3 dB threshold are discussed below.

SR-73 between Oso Parkway and Crown Valley Parkway: There are homes located along both sides of the entire roadway segment. All of the homes have sound walls or elevation differences from the roadway where the roadway structure and/or topography act as a noise barrier. A detailed analysis of the performance of the sound walls and noise barriers found that all of the homes along this roadway segment are projected to be exposed to future traffic noise levels less than 65 CNEL. Therefore, neither the Project nor Alternatives B-4R or B-5, in combination all other planned growth in the study area, will result in a significant cumulative traffic noise impact along this roadway segment.

SR-73 between Crown Valley Parkway and I-5: There are homes located along both sides of the entire roadway segment. All of the homes have sound walls or elevation differences from the roadway where the roadway structure and/or topography act as a noise barrier. A detailed analysis of the performance of the sound walls and noise barriers found that all of the homes along this roadway segment are projected to be exposed to future traffic noise levels less than 65 CNEL. Therefore, neither the Project nor Alternatives B-4R or B-5, in combination all other planned growth in the study area, will result in a significant cumulative traffic noise impact along this roadway segment.

SR-241 North of Antonio Parkway: Table 10 shows that projected cumulative noise level increases due to the project along this roadway segment are in excess of the 3 dB threshold with Alternative B-5. Further, the development of Alternative B-5 would result in 1.2 dB of the cumulative 3.0 dB increase along this roadway segment. The only residential uses on this segment of road are east of SR-241 and north of Antonio Parkway and there is a sound wall between the residences and SR-241. A detailed analysis was performed to assess the performance of the sound wall. It was found that the existing sound wall reduces future projected noise levels at the residences to below 65 CNEL. There are no other noise sensitive uses along this roadway segment. Therefore, Alternative B-5, in combination all other planned growth in the study area, will result in a significant cumulative traffic noise impact along this roadway segment.

Oso Parkway, East of Antonio Parkway: Table 10 shows that projected cumulative noise level increases due to the project along this roadway segment are in excess of the 3 dB threshold with Alternative B-5. Further, the development of Alternative B-5 would result in 2.6 dB of the cumulative 3.0 dB increase along this roadway segment. There are homes along both sides of the roadway east of Antonio Parkway. All of the homes have existing sound walls. A detailed analysis of the performance of the sound walls found that some of the homes backing up to Oso Parkway along Acanthus and Radiance Lane are projected to be exposed to future traffic noise levels in excess of 65 CNEL with the development of Alternative B-5. All of the other existing sound walls are projected to reduce future traffic noise levels to below 65 CNEL. Residences along Oso Parkway east of Antonio Parkway would be subjected to a cumulative noise level increase of greater than 3 dB due to the development of Alternative B-5 and experience a resulting future worst-case traffic noise level of greater than the County's 65 CNEL standard. Additionally, Alternative B-5 considerably contributes to this increase. Therefore, these homes would be significantly cumulatively impacted by traffic noise with the development Alternative B-5. Mitigation is discussed in Section 3.2. Not withstanding the foregoing, noise level increases over existing conditions with the development of the proposed project or Alternative B-4R would be less than 3 dB and therefore, the Project nor Alternative B-4R would not result in a significant cumulative noise impact along this roadway segment.

Crown Valley Parkway, West of Antonio Parkway: There are homes on both sides of the entire roadway segment. Some of the homes have sound walls and others have topographical features that act as noise barriers. A detailed analysis of the performance of the sound walls and topography found that all of the homes along this roadway segment are projected to be exposed to future traffic noise levels in less than 65 CNEL. There are no other noise sensitive uses along this roadway segment. Therefore, neither the Project nor Alternatives B-4R or B-5, in combination all other planned growth in the study area, will result in a significant cumulative traffic noise impact along this roadway segment.

2.3.2.3 2025 Cumulative Impacts Due to Project, Other Development and Changes in Roadway Network

The traffic study estimated traffic volumes for the Project and Alternatives B-4R and B-5 under several different roadway networks. Table 11 presents the range of increases in noise levels over existing conditions projected under the different roadway network configurations. The traffic study describes the different traffic network scenarios. These noise level changes are due to a combination of the project, other development and changes in the roadway network. To focus on the roadway segments that are most impacted by projected changes in traffic noise, the segments presented in Table 11 are those projected to experience noise level increases of 1.5 dB or greater over existing conditions. Noise level increases along all roadway segments presented in the traffic study are presented in the appendix.

Table 11

Cumulative Traffic Noise CNEL Increases due to the Project, Other Development and Changes in Roadway Network

	Traffic Noise CNEL Change			
Roadway Segment	Project	B-4R	B-5	
I-5				
Avery Pkwy. to Junipero Serra	1.3 - 1.6	1.4 - 1.6	1.3 - 1.6	
Junipero Serra to Ortega Highway	1.3 - 1.6	1.3 - 1.6	1.3 - 1.6	
Ortega Highway to San Juan Creek	1.3 - 1.7	1.3 - 1.7	1.2 - 1.7	
San Juan Creek to Stonehill	1.3 - 1.7	1.3 - 1.7	1.2 - 1.7	
Stonehill to Cmno. Las Ramblas	1.3 - 1.8	1.4 - 1.8	1.3 - 1.8	
Cmno. Las Ramblas to Cmno. de Los Mares	1.3 - 1.7	1.3 - 1.7	1.2 - 1.7	
Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa	1.3 - 1.8	1.3 - 1.8	1.3 - 1.7	
Vista Hermosa to Avnda. Pico	1.4 - 1.8	1.4 - 1.8	1.3 - 1.8	
SR-73				
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	2.7 - 3.2	2.7 - 3.2	2.7 - 3.1	
Crown Valley Pkwy. to I-5	2.6 - 3.1	2.7 - 3.1	2.6 - 3.0	
SR-241				
North of Antonio Pkwy.	2.9 - 5.0	2.9 - 4.9	3.0 - 5.1	
Antonio Pkwy. to Oso Pkwy.	4.9 - 7.9	4.8 - 7.8	5.1 - 8.0	
Oso Parkway				
West of Marguerite	1.6 - 2.2	1.6 - 2.2	1.9 - 2.5	
Marguerite to Felipe Rd.	0.9 - 1.3	0.9 - 1.2	1.3 - 1.7	
Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy.	0.9 - 1.3	0.9 - 1.2	1.3 - 1.8	
East of Antonio Pkwy.	1.2 - 2.3	0.9 - 2.2	2.0 - 3.2	
West of SR-241	0.8 - 2.3	0.6 - 2.1	1.4 - 2.9	
Crown Valley Parkway				
West of Marguerite	2.3 - 2.5	2.3 - 2.4	2.4 - 2.5	
East of Marguerite	2.6 - 2.8	2.6 - 2.6	2.8 - 2.8	
West of Antonio Pkwy.	2.9 - 3.2	2.8 - 3.0	3.2 - 3.4	
Junipero Serra				
West of I-5	1.8 - 3.0	1.8 - 1.8	1.8 - 1.8	

Table 11 (Continued)

Cumulative Traffic Noise CNEL Increases due to the Project, Other Development and Changes in Roadway Network

	Traffic Noise CNEL Change			
Roadway Segment	Project	B-4R	B-5	
SR-74 (Ortega Highway)				
West of La Novia	1.1 - 1.5	0.8 - 1.1	1.1 - 1.5	
East of La Novia	1.3 - 2.2	1.4 - 1.9	1.9 - 2.3	
West of La Pata	1.8 - 2.8	1.9 - 2.3	2.4 - 2.9	
East of New Ortega Highway	1.1 - 1.5	0.8 - 1.1	1.1 - 1.5	
San Juan Creek Road				
West of La Novia	1.5 - 1.8	1.5 - 1.8	1.5 - 1.8	
East of La Novia	1.8 - 2.1	2.1 - 2.1	2.1 - 2.1	
Avenida Vista Hermosa				
East of I-5	1.9 - 2.9	2.0 - 2.9	1.9 - 2.8	
Avenida Pico				
East of I-5	0.8 - 1.9	0.9 - 1.9	0.3 - 1.3	
West of La Pata	0.2 - 1.8	0.4 - 1.7	-1.1 - 0.4	
La Pata to Vista Hermosa	3.4 - 3.6	3.0 - 3.2	-0.4 - 0.7	
East of Vista Hermosa	6.5 - 7.1	6.2 - 6.8	1.8 - 4.5	
Camino Capistrano				
South of Paseo de Colinas	0.8 - 2.0	0.8 - 0.8	0.8 - 0.8	
North of Junipero Serra	0.0 - 4.8	0.0 - 0.0	0.0 - 0.0	
Junipero Serra to Roso	2.1 - 2.6	2.1 - 2.4	1.9 - 2.1	
Antonio Parkway				
North of SR-241	1.5 - 1.5	1.5 - 1.5	1.5 - 1.5	
Empressa to SR-241	1.3 - 1.6	1.3 - 1.6	1.3 - 1.6	
Empressa to Banderas	1.5 - 1.8	1.5 - 1.8	1.5 - 1.8	
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	2.4 - 2.8	2.2 - 2.6	2.6 - 3.0	
South of Crown Valley Pkwy.	4.2 - 4.9	3.9 - 4.6	4.1 - 4.8	
North of New Ortega Highway	5.3 - 6.1	4.6 - 5.5	5.2 - 6.1	
North of SR-74	5.5 - 6.8	4.9 - 6.4	5.6 - 6.9	
La Pata				
South of Ortega Highway	2.2 - 9.2	1.2 - 8.8	3.0 - 9.2	
South of Avnda. Pico	2.6 - 3.4	2.6 - 3.0	2.0 - 2.6	
Camino Vera Cruz				
Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa	0.9 - 3.9	3.7 - 3.9	3.7 - 3.7	
Talega				
East of Vista Hermosa	10.4 - 11.8	10.4 - 11.8	10.8 - 11.8	

Table 8 shows that 18 roadway segments are projected to experience traffic noise level increases over existing conditions greater than 3 dB as a result of (i) the development of the project or alternatives, (ii) all other projected growth in the study area and (iii) roadway network changes. Seven of these segments are the same as those segments discussed/identified in Section 2.3.1 projected to experience substantial traffic noise increases under existing plus Project conditions. These segments include:

SR-241 Antonio Parkway to Oso Parkway Avenida Pico Avenida La Pata to Avenida Vista Hermosa East of Avenida Vista Hermosa Antonio Parkway North of New Ortega Highway North of SR-74 Avenida La Pata South of Ortega Highway Avenida Talega East of Vista Hermosa

The discussions presented in Section 2.3.1 concluded that future worst-case noise levels at sensitive receptors along all of these roadway segments, are projected to be below the County Standards. Therefore, the development of the Project or Alternatives B-4R and B-5 in combination all other planned growth in the study area and changes in the area's roadway network will not result in a significant cumulative traffic noise impact along these roadway segments

Of the remaining 11 roadway segments that are projected to experience traffic noise level increases over existing conditions greater than 3 dB due to the Project or Alternatives B-4R and B--5 and all other projected growth in the area, seven of these segments are the same as are projected to experience substantial cumulative traffic noise increases as analyzed in Sections 2.3.2.1 and 2.3.2.2 and subsequent discussion. These segments include:

SR-73 Oso Parkway to Crown Valley Parkway Crown Valley Parkway to I-5 SR-241 North of Antonio Parkway Oso Parkway East of Antonio Parkway Crown Valley Parkway West of Antonio Parkway West of Antonio Parkway Antonio Parkway South of Crown Valley Parkway Camino Vera Cruz Camino de Los Mares to Avenida Vista Hermosa

The discussion in Sections 2.3.2.1 and 2.3.2.2 concluded that future worst-case noise levels at sensitive receptors along all of these roadway segments, except Oso Parkway east of Antonio Parkway with Alternative B-5 and Camino Vera Cruz from Camino de los Mares to Avenida Vista Hermosa for the Project and Alternatives B-4R and B-5 are projected to be below the County Standards. The project, nor either of the alternatives assessed, is not projected to contribute to the noise level increase along Camino Vera Cruz from Camino de los Mares to Avenida Vista Hermosa. Therefore, the project in combination all other planned growth and changes in the area's roadway network will not result in a significant cumulative traffic noise

impact along these roadway segments except Oso Parkway east of Antonio Parkway with Alternative B-5. <u>Along Oso Parkway east of Antonio Parkway, the development of Alternative B-5 in combination all other projected growth in the area and changes in the areas roadway network will result in a significant cumulative traffic noise impact to the homes that back up to Oso Parkway along Acanthus and Radiance Lane. Mitigation is discussed in Section 3.2.</u>

Absolute noise levels along the remaining four roadway segments with projected traffic noise CNEL increases greater than the 1 dB threshold are discussed below.

Junipero Serra, West of I-5: There are no noise sensitive uses located within the future worst case 65 CNEL contour from this roadway segment. Therefore, neither the Project nor Alternatives B-4R and B-5 in combination with all other growth and changes in the areas roadway network will result in a significant traffic noise impact along this roadway segment.

Camino Capistrano, North of Junipero Serra: There are scattered residences located on the west side of this roadway segment. These residences do not have noise barriers and are exposed to existing and future noise levels in excess of 65 CNEL. I-5 is located on the east side of the roadway segment. I-5 dominates the noise environment in this area, and Camino Capistrano only contributes slightly to the overall noise level. The actual noise level increase along this segment is a combination of the increase along Camino Capistrano and the increase along I-5. The actual noise level increase is dependent on the specific receptor location relative to these two roadways. The worst-case location is a receptor to Camino Capistrano and is far from I-5. Detailed modeling was performed for such a worst-case location, and it was determined that the greatest increase in noise level generated by vehicles on Camino Capistrano will increase by more than 3 dB, the total traffic noise level at the residences will not be subjected to increases greater than 3 dB. Therefore, neither the Project nor Alternatives B-4R and B-5 in combination with all other growth and changes in the areas roadway network will result in a significant traffic noise impact along this roadway segment.

Antonio Parkway between Oso Parkway and Crown Valley Parkway: There are homes located on the west side of this roadway segment. These homes are between 25 and 40 feet below the roadway grade. The topography acts as a noise barrier. A detailed analysis of the performance of the topographic noise barrier found that all of the homes along this roadway segment are projected to be exposed to future traffic noise levels less than 65 CNEL. Therefore, neither the Project nor Alternatives B-4R and B-5 in combination with all other growth and changes in the areas roadway network will result in a significant traffic noise impact along this roadway segment.

Avenida La Pata, South of Avenida Pico: There are no existing noise sensitive uses located within the worst-case future 65 CNEL contour from this roadway segment. Therefore, neither the Project nor Alternatives B-4R and B-5 in combination with all other growth and changes in the areas roadway network will result in a significant traffic noise impact along this roadway segment.

2.3.3 Future Worst Case Traffic Noise Levels

The distances to the future 60, 65 and 70 CNEL contours with the proposed project and Alternatives B-4R and B-5 for selected roadways are presented in Table 12, 13, and 14. These represent the distance from the centerline of the road to the contour value shown. The CNEL at 100 feet from the roadway centerline is also presented. These are worst-case noise levels in that the highest traffic volume projected in the traffic study, as compared between the different roadway networks was used to estimate the future noise level. The contours do not take into account the effect of any noise barriers or topography that may affect ambient noise levels. The traffic data used to calculate these noise levels is presented in the appendix.

To focus on the roadway segments that are most impacted by projected changes in traffic noise, the roadway segments presented in Table 12, 13, and 14 are those that are projected to experience a 0.5 dB or greater traffic noise CNEL increase due to the development of the project (or alternatives), or are projected to experience a 1.5 dB or greater traffic noise CNEL increase over existing conditions in the future with the project (or alternatives). Future with project traffic noise levels along all roadways assessed in the traffic study prepared for the project are presented in the appendix.

	CNEL	Distance To CNEL Contour ¹ (feet)		
Roadway Segment	@ 100' ¹	70 CNEL	65 CNEL	60 CNEL
I-5				
Avery Pkwy. to Junipero Serra	82.1	642	1,383	2,979
Junipero Serra to Ortega Highway	82.0	630	1,358	2,926
Ortega Highway to San Juan Creek	81.7	606	1,306	2,813
San Juan Creek to Stonehill	81.7	605	1,303	2,807
Stonehill to Cmno. Las Ramblas	81.6	589	1,269	2,734
Cmno. Las Ramblas to Cmno. de Los Mares	81.8	609	1,311	2,825
Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa	81.6	596	1,283	2,765
Vista Hermosa to Avnda. Pico	81.4	573	1,235	2,660
SR-73				
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	75.7	239	515	1,109
Crown Valley Pkwy. to I-5	75.5	234	504	1,085
SR-241				
North of Antonio Pkwy.	74.6	204	439	946
Antonio Pkwy. to Oso Pkwy.	74.0	184	397	855
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	74.0	184	397	855
Crown Valley Pkwy. to C St.	74.0	184	397	855
C St. to Ortega Highway	73.1	161	347	747
Ortega Highway to Avnda. Pico	73.0	158	341	734

Table 12Future With Project Traffic Noise Levels

1. From Roadway Centerline

Table 12 (Continued)Future With Project Traffic Noise Levels

	CNEL	Distance 1	To CNEL Cor	CNEL Contour ¹ (feet)		
Roadway Segment	@ 100' ¹	70 CNEL	65 CNEL	60 CNEL		
Oso Parkway						
East of I-5	71.3	123	265	571		
West of Marguerite	71.3	123	265	571		
Marguerite to Felipe Rd.	70.5	107	231	498		
Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy.	70.5	107	231	498		
East of Antonio Pkwy.	70.1	102	220	473		
West of SR-241	69.7	95	204	440		
East of SR-241	67.0	63	136	294		
Crown Valley Parkway						
West of Marguerite	71.6	128	276	594		
East of Marguerite	71.7	129	278	600		
West of Antonio Pkwy.	70.2	103	222	479		
Junipero Serra						
West of I-5	66.5	59	126	272		
SR-74 (Ortega Highway)						
I-5 to Rancho Viejo	74.1	186	402	866		
West of La Novia	73.1	161	346	746		
East of La Novia	73.0	158	341	736		
West of La Pata	72.7	152	327	704		
East of New Ortega Highway	67.0	63	136	292		
San Juan Creek Road						
West of La Novia	63.8	RW	83	179		
East of La Novia	63.2	RW	76	163		
West of La Pata	61.1	RW	55	118		
Avenida Vista Hermosa						
East of I-5	69.1	88	189	406		
Talega to Pico	62.3	RW	66	141		
Avenida Pico						
East of I-5	70.9	115	248	535		
West of La Pata	68.7	81	175	378		
La Pata to Vista Hermosa	67.2	65	140	302		
East of Vista Hermosa	68.1	75	162	349		
East of SR-241	67.4	67	144	310		
Camino Capistrano						
South of Paseo de Colinas	61.1	RW	55	118		
North of Junipero Serra	63.8	RW	83	179		
Junipero Serra to Roso	65.1	47	101	217		

1. From Roadway Centerline

Table 12 (Continued)Future With Project Traffic Noise Levels

	CNEL	Distance To CNEL Contour ¹		
Roadway Segment	@ 100' ¹	70 CNEL	65 CNEL	60 CNEL
Antonio Parkway				
North of SR-241	69.3	90	195	420
Empressa to SR-241	68.8	83	179	385
Empressa to Banderas	69.1	88	189	406
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	70.6	110	237	511
South of Crown Valley Pkwy.	70.1	102	220	473
North of New Ortega Highway	70.1	102	220	473
North of SR-74	70.9	114	246	529
La Pata				
South of Ortega Highway	67.2	65	140	302
Cmno. Las Ramblas to Cmno. del Rio	66.6	60	129	277
South of Avnda. Pico	63.6	RW	81	175
Camino Vera Cruz				
Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa	65.5	50	108	232
A Street				
South of Oso Pkwy.	59.0	RW	40	86
North of New Ortega Highway	59.8	RW	45	97
Talega				
East of Vista Hermosa	63.8	RW	83	179

1. From Roadway Centerline

Table 13Future Traffic Noise Levels With Alternative B-4R

				. 1 /
Deschuse: Oserment		Distance To		itour' (feet)
Roadway Segment	@ 100	70 CNEL	65 CNEL	60 CNEL
1-5	001	(10)	1 202	0.070
Avery Pkwy. to Junipero Serra	82.1	642	1,383	2,979
Junipero Serra to Ortega Highway	82.0	630	1,358	2,926
Ortega Highway to San Juan Creek	81.7	605	1,303	2,807
San Juan Creek to Stonehill	81.7	603	1,300	2,801
Stonehill to Cmno. Las Ramblas	81.6	589	1,269	2,734
Cmno. Las Ramblas to Cmno. de Los Mares	81.8	607	1,308	2,819
Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa	81.6	594	1,280	2,758
Vista Hermosa to Avnda. Pico	81.4	572	1,232	2,654
SR-73				
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	75.7	239	515	1,109
Crown Valley Pkwy. to I-5	75.5	232	500	1,077
SR-241				
North of Antonio Pkwy.	74.6	201	434	934
Antonio Pkwy. to Oso Pkwy.	73.9	182	391	843
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	73.6	174	375	808
Crown Valley Pkwy. to C St.	73.6	174	375	808
C St. to Ortega Highway	72.9	155	335	722
Ortega Highway to Avnda. Pico	72.8	153	329	709
Oso Parkway				
East of I-5	71.3	122	262	565
West of Marguerite	71.3	122	262	565
Marguerite to Felipe Rd	70.4	106	228	492
Felipe Rd to Antonio Pkwy	70.1	106	228	492
Fast of Antonio Pkwy	70.0	100	217	466
West of SR-241	69 5	92	198	400
Fact of SP 241	67.0	63	126	
Crown Volley Dorkway	07.0	05	150	294
West of Marguerite	71.5	127	273	588
Fost of Morguerite	71.5	127	275	J00 500
East of Marguerite	71.5	127	215	300 ACC
west of Antonio Pkwy.	/0.0	100	217	400
Junipero Serra	(5.0	40	104	225
West of 1-5	65.3	48	104	225
SR-74 (Ortega Highway)				
I-5 to Rancho Viejo	73.8	180	388	836
West of La Novia	72.7	152	327	704
East of La Novia	72.6	149	322	693
West of La Pata	72.2	140	301	650
East of New Ortega Highway	66.7	60	129	278

1. From Roadway Centerline

Table 13 (Continued)Future Traffic Noise Levels With Alternative B-4R

Tuture Traine Noise Eevels With Alternati				<u> </u>
Deadway Comment				tour' (feet)
Roadway Segment	@ 100	70 CNEL	05 CNEL	BU CNEL
West of La Novia	63.8	DW	83	170
Foot of La Novia	62.0		03 76	1/9
West of La Data	03.2	K W	70	103
west of La Pata				
Avenida vista Hermosa	(0.1	00	100	100
East of I-5	09.1	88	189	406
Talega to Pico	61.7	RW	60	129
Avenida Pico	-		2 4 0	505
East of I-5	70.9	115	248	535
West of La Pata	68.5	80	172	371
La Pata to Vista Hermosa	66.8	62	133	286
East of Vista Hermosa	67.8	72	155	333
East of SR-241	66.6	60	129	277
Camino Capistrano				
South of Paseo de Colinas	59.8	RW	45	97
North of Junipero Serra	59.0	RW	40	86
Junipero Serra to Roso	64.8	45	97	210
Antonio Parkway				
North of SR-241	69.3	90	195	420
Empressa to SR-241	68.8	83	179	385
Empressa to Banderas	69.1	88	189	406
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	70.5	107	231	498
South of Crown Valley Pkwy.	69.8	98	210	453
North of New Ortega Highway	69.6	93	201	433
North of SR-74	70.4	106	228	492
La Pata				
South of Ortega Highway	66.8	62	133	286
Cmno. Las Ramblas to Cmno. del Rio	66.4	58	125	269
South of Avnda. Pico	63.2	RW	76	164
Camino Vera Cruz				
Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa	65.5	50	108	232
A Street				
South of Oso Pkwy.	59.0	RW	40	86
North of New Ortega Highway	59.0	RW	40	86
Talega		, ,		
East of Vista Hermosa	63.8	RW	83	179

1. From Roadway Centerline

Table 14Future Traffic Noise Levels With Alternative B-5

				. 1 /
Deadway Comment				tour' (feet)
T 5	@ 100	70 GNEL	05 CNEL	OU CNEL
Avery Drug to Junipero Serre	82.1	637	1 377	2 0 5 5
Junipero Serre to Ortage Highway	02.1 81.0	625	1,372	2,955
Ortage Highway to Sen Juan Creak	01.9 01.7	602	1,347	2,902
Son Juan Creak to Stanshill	01./ 01.7	600	1,297	2,195
San Juan Creek to Stonenili Standhill ta Creana Lan Damillar	01./ 01.5	000 505	1,292	2,785
Stonenili to Cmno. Las Rambias	81.5 91.7	282	1,201	2,710
Cmno. Las Rambias to Cmno. de Los Mares	δl./	602 599	1,297	2,795
Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa	81.5	588	1,266	2,728
Vista Hermosa to Avnda. Pico	81.3	568	1,223	2,636
SR-73		• • •		
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	75.6	237	511	1,101
Crown Valley Pkwy. to I-5	75.4	230	496	1,069
SR-241				
North of Antonio Pkwy.	74.7	206	444	957
Antonio Pkwy. to Oso Pkwy.	74.1	187	402	866
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	74.3	194	418	901
Crown Valley Pkwy. to C St.	74.3	194	418	901
C St. to Ortega Highway	73.0	158	341	734
Ortega Highway to Avnda. Pico	72.4	144	311	670
Oso Parkway				
East of I-5	71.6	128	276	594
West of Marguerite	71.6	128	276	594
Marguerite to Felipe Rd.	70.9	114	246	529
Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy.	70.9	115	248	535
East of Antonio Pkwy.	71.0	117	251	541
West of SR-241	70.3	105	225	486
East of SR-241	67.2	65	140	302
Crown Valley Parkway				
West of Marguerite	71.7	129	278	600
East of Marguerite	71.7	130	281	606
West of Antonio Pkwy.	70.4	106	228	492
Junipero Serra				
West of I-5	65.3	48	104	225
SR-74 (Ortega Highway)				
I-5 to Rancho Vieio	74.1	189	406	875
West of La Novia	73.1	161	346	746
East of La Novia	73.1	161	346	746
West of La Pata	72.8	154	332	715
Fast of New Ortega Highway	67.0	63	136	292
1 Energy Descharge Controlling	07.0	05	150	

1. From Roadway Centerline

Table 14 (Continued)Future Traffic Noise Levels With Alternative B-5

Tuture Traine Noise Levels with Alterna		D'		1 (6 - 1)
Poodwov Sogmont	@ 100 ¹			
San Juan Creek Road	e 100	TUCINEL	05 CNEL	00 CNEL
West of La Novia	63.8	RW	83	170
Fast of La Novia	63.2	RW	05 76	163
West of La Pata	03.2		70	105
Avenida Vista Hermosa				
Fast of L 5	60.0	86	185	300
Talega to Pico	61.7	RW	60	120
Avenida Dico	01.7	IX VV	00	127
Fact of L 5	70.3	105	225	186
West of La Data	67.2	65	140	302
La Pata ta Vista Harmosa	64.4	42	01	105
East of Vista Hermose	04.4 65.5	42 50	91 109	195
East of VIsta Hermosa	56.2			234 56
East of SR-241	30.2	ĸw	ĸw	30
Camino Capisirano	50.9	DW	15	07
South of Paseo de Collinas	59.8		43	97
North of Junipero Serra	59.0	KW	40	80
Junipero Serra to Roso	64.6	44	94	203
Antonio Parkway	(0.2	00	105	100
North of SR-241	69.3	90	195	420
Empressa to SR-241	68.8	83	179	385
Empressa to Banderas	69.1	88	189	406
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	70.9	114	246	529
South of Crown Valley Pkwy.	70.0	100	217	466
North of New Ortega Highway	70.1	102	220	473
North of SR-74	70.9	115	248	535
La Pata				
South of Ortega Highway	67.2	65	140	302
Cmno. Las Ramblas to Cmno. del Rio	66.6	60	129	277
South of Avnda. Pico	62.8	RW	71	153
Camino Vera Cruz				
Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa	65.3	48	104	225
A Street				
South of Oso Pkwy.	62.0	RW	64	137
North of New Ortega Highway	61.6	RW	59	128
Talega				
East of Vista Hermosa	63.8	RW	83	179

1. From Roadway Centerline

2.3.4 On-Site Activities

Noise from activities on one property impacting another typically only occur where commercial uses abut residential uses. The land use plans for the project and identified alternatives show that commercial areas are not proposed to directly abut any existing residential areas. The nearest commercial uses to existing residential uses are the Urban Activity Center in Planning Area 1. These uses are located more than 1,500 feet from the nearest existing residential use and there will be residential uses developed by the project between the commercial and existing residential uses. The commercial uses will need to comply with the Noise Ordinance at the nearer residential areas developed by the project (see Section 2.4.2) and will definitely not approach the Noise Ordinance limits at the nearest existing residences. Therefore, activities on the project site will not result in a significant noise impact.

2.4 Long Term On-Site Impacts

This section examines potential noise impacts on the proposed uses. Traffic noise impacts are examined first. Second, impacts from on-site activities are examined (i.e., the potential for noise generated by one proposed use to impact another. There are no existing uses in the vicinity of the project that have the potential of impacting the project.

2.4.1 Traffic Noise

It should be noted that the analysis in this section discusses noise levels along the proposed southern extension of SR-241. The analysis is based on the alignment of the proposed southern extension of SR-241 that was selected by the Transportation Corridor Agencies (TCA) as the locally preferred toll road alignment in 1991. This is the alignment reflected on the Master Plan of Arterial Highways (MPAH), local General Plans, and in regional planning documents. The TCA and Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) are currently evaluating the South Orange County Transportation Infrastructure Improvement Project (SOCTIIP), which includes the southern extension of SR-241. Selection of an alignment for the SR-241 extension is anticipated to occur by early 2005, approximately one year after the release of the EIS/EIR on the SOCTIIP study. Should the TCA and FHWA select an alignment for the SR-241 extension different from what is depicted in this document, the Ranch Plan project would be modified to reflect the adopted alignment. The impacts associated with the construction of the extension of SR-241 are being addressed in a separate EIS/EIR on the SOCTIIP study. Because the construction of the toll road is not part of the Ranch Plan project and the project is not dependent on the completion of the toll road, this project is not required to evaluate the impacts associated with the toll road. However, potential noise impacts from traffic on the southern extension of SR-241 are evaluated here for illustrative purposes.

The distances to the future 60, 65 and 70 CNEL contours for the roadways impacting the Project are presented in Table 15. These represent the distance from the centerline of the road to the contour value shown. The CNEL at 100 feet from the roadway centerline is also presented. The contours do not take into account the effect of any noise barriers or topography that may affect ambient noise levels. The traffic data used to calculate these noise levels is presented in the appendix.

Table 15

Future Traffic Noise Lev	els Impacting the Projec
---------------------------------	--------------------------

<u>I uture Traine Noise Levels impacting the Project</u>						
Boadway Segment	@ 100 ¹		65 CNEI			
SR-241	0.00	TOORLE	05 ONLL			
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	74.0	184	397	855		
Crown Valley Pkwy. to C St.	74.0	184	397	855		
C St. to Ortega Hwy.	73.1	161	347	747		
Ortega Hwy, to Avnda, Pico	73.0	158	341	734		
South of Avnda, Pico	71.8	133	286	616		
SR-74 (Ortega Hwy.)	/ 110	100	200	010		
West of La Pata	72.7	152	327	704		
Fast of La Pata	64.1	40	87	186		
West of SR-241	62.5	RW	68	147		
Fast of SR-241	58.5	RW	RW	80		
Fast of C St	58.5	RW	RW	80		
East of New Ortega Hwy	67 0	63	136	292		
San Juan Creek Road	07.0	05	150			
West of La Pata	61.1	RW	55	118		
New Ortega Hwy	01.1	IC VI	55	110		
Antonio Pkwy to A St	69.2	89	191	411		
A St to C St	69.2	89	191	411		
C St. to F St	67.0	63	135	291		
E St. to SR-74	67.6	69	149	322		
Avenida Pico	07.0	0)	147	522		
Fast of SR-241	67.4	67	144	310		
Antonio Parkway	07.4	07	144	510		
North of New Ortega Hwy	70.1	102	220	473		
North of SR-74	70.1	102	246	529		
La Pata	10.9	117	240	547		
South of Ortega Hwy	67.2	65	140	302		
A Street	07.2	05	140	502		
South of Oso Pkwy	59.0	RW	40	86		
North of New Ortega Hwy	59.8	RW	45	00 97		
F Street	57.0	17 44	-L)		
Oso Pkwy to C St	67.2	65	141	303		
C St. to New Ortega Hwy.	64.6	44	94	203		

1. From Roadway Centerline.

RW-Contour does not extend beyond roadway right-of-way.

	CNEL	Distance To CNEL Contour ¹ (feet)			
Roadway Segment	@ 100' ¹	70 CNEL	65 CNEL	60 CNEL	
C Street					
Northeast of F St.	63.2	RW	76	163	
North of New Ortega Hwy.	64.4	42	91	195	
New Ortega Hwy. to Ortega Hwy.	67.2	65	141	303	
Ortega Hwy. to Talega	66.4	57	123	265	
South of Talega	64.1	40	87	187	
Talega					
South of C St.	59.8	RW	45	97	

Table 15 (Continued)Future Traffic Noise Levels Impacting the Project

1. From Roadway Centerline.

RW-Contour does not extend beyond roadway right-of-way.

Table 16 assesses the traffic noise impacts based on the traffic noise level information presented above. At this time detailed plans showing specific locations of uses for the project site have not been developed. Therefore, the analysis presented in Table 16 assumes a worst-case situation where development is located at the edge of the roadway right-of-way. It was assumed that buildings were set back 20 feet from the right-of-way.

The first column of Table 16 presents the roadway segments running through the project. The next two columns examine outdoor noise levels. The second column of the table shows the noise level experienced at the worst-case receiver at the edge of the right-of-way. Noise levels in excess of the 65 CNEL residential outdoor noise standard are shown in bold. The third column shows the maximum height of a noise barrier required to meet the residential 65 CNEL outdoor noise standard. The maximum noise barrier heights presented in Table 16 assume that the barrier can be located at the highest point between the roadway and the outdoor living area. If this cannot be accommodated, then a higher barrier may be required.

Design and construction of a noise barrier (wall, berm, or combination wall/berm) is the most efficient method of reducing outdoor noise exposure levels. The effect of a noise barrier is critically dependent on the geometry between the noise source and the receiver. A noise barrier effect occurs when the "line of sight" between the source and receiver is broken by the barrier. The greater the distance the sound must travel around the barrier to reach the receiver, the greater the noise reduction of the barrier.

To be effective noise barriers are required to have a surface density of at least 3.5 pounds per square foot, and have no openings or cracks. They may be a solid wall, an earthen berm, or a combination of the two. They may be constructed of wood studs with stucco exterior, 1/4 inch plate glass, 5/8 inch plexiglass, any masonry material, or a combination of these materials. Wood and other materials may be acceptable if properly designed as a noise barrier. For patios and balconies, the barriers must run along the entire edge of the patio or balcony from building face to building face.

The last three columns of Table 16 assess the noise reduction required to meet the appropriate indoor noise standard. Typical construction achieves at least 20 dB of outdoor-to-indoor noise

reduction with windows closed. Uses requiring more than 20 dB of noise reduction are shown in bold. With open windows the noise reduction falls to 12 dB. In order to assume that windows can remain closed, adequate ventilation per the Uniform Building Code must be provided. Typically this is provided through a mechanical ventilation or air conditioning system. Note that windows do not need to be sealed shut, but closeable at the occupants' discretion. Detailed calculations based on architectural drawings are required to show that a building can achieve more than 20 dB of outdoor-to-indoor noise reduction. With measures that may include thicker windows, walls, and/or roof/ceiling assemblies, up to approximately 32 dB of outdoor-to-indoor reduction is achievable.

Barrier Building Noise Reduction					
	Unmitigated	Height* for	ht* for Required to Meet In		
Roadway Segment	Noise Level	Area	Residential	Office	a Retail
SR-241					
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	74	9.0	28	23	18
Crown Valley Pkwy. to C St.	74	9.0	28	23	18
C St. to Ortega Hwy.	73	8.5	27	22	17
Ortega Hwy. to Avnda. Pico	73	8.5	27	22	17
South of Avnda. Pico	72	7.5	26	21	16
SR-74 (Ortega Hwy.)					
West of La Pata	77	11.0	30	25	20
East of La Pata	71	7.0	23	18	13
West of SR-241	69	6.5	21	16	11
East of SR-241	65	5.5	17	12	7
East of C St.	65	5.5	17	12	7
East of New Ortega Hwy.	74	8.5	26	21	16
San Juan Creek Road					
West of La Pata	68	5.5	20	15	10
New Ortega Hwy.					
Antonio Pkwy. to A St.	74	8.0	27	22	17
A St. to C St.	74	8.0	27	22	17
C St. to F St.	71	7.0	24	19	14
F St. to SR-74	72	7.5	25	20	15
Avenida Pico					
East of SR-241	72	7.0	25	20	15
Antonio Parkway					
North of New Ortega Hwy.	75	8.5	27	22	17
North of SR-74	75	9.0	28	23	18
La Pata					
South of Ortega Hwy	73	7.5	26	21	16

Table 16Traffic Noise Impacts Analysis

*Maximum Noise Barrier Height to Reduce Outdoor Noise Levels to Below 65 CNEL. Note that the analysis assumes that the barrier can be located at the highest point between the roadway and outdoor living area. If this cannot be accommodated a higher barrier may be required.

i	Unmitigated Outdoor	Barrier Height* for Residential	Building Noise Reduction Required to Meet Interior Noise Standard		
Roadway Segment	Noise Level	Area	Residential	Office	Retail
A Street					
South of Oso Pkwy.	67	5.0	19	14	9
North of New Ortega Hwy.	68	5.0	19	14	9
F Street					
Oso Pkwy. to C St.	74	8.0	26	21	16
C St. to New Ortega Hwy.	71	7.0	23	18	13
C Street					
Northeast of F St.	71	6.5	23	18	13
North of New Ortega Hwy.	72	7.0	24	19	14
New Ortega Hwy. to Ortega Hwy.	75	8.5	27	22	17
Ortega Hwy. to Talega	74	8.0	26	21	16
South of Talega	72	7.0	24	19	14
Talega					
South of C St.	67	5.0	19	14	9

Table 16 (Continued)Traffic Noise Impacts Analysis

*Maximum Noise Barrier Height to Reduce Outdoor Noise Levels to Below 65 CNEL. Note that the analysis assumes that the barrier can be located at the highest point between the roadway and outdoor living area. If this cannot be accommodated a higher barrier may be required.

Table 16 shows that maximum noise barrier heights between 5 and 11 feet will reduce noise levels along all roadways within the project to below the County's most restrictive, residential, outdoor noise standard of 65 CNEL. Greater setbacks and elevation differences between the roadway and outdoor living areas will result in lower wall heights. Further, Table 16 shows a maximum outdoor-to-indoor noise reduction of 30 dB is required to achieve the County's interior noise standards. As discussed above, noise reductions of less than 12 dB are achieved with standard construction and open windows. Noise reductions between 12 and 20 dB are achieved with standard construction and closed windows. Noise reductions between 20 and 26 dB may require upgraded windows to meet the interior noise standard. Noise reductions between 26 and 32 dB typically require upgraded windows and may require thicker walls and upgraded roof/ceiling assemblies, but are achievable. Noise reductions in excess of 32 dB are extremely difficult to achieve.

Application of County of Orange Standard Condition N01 will ensure appropriate noise abatement is included in the project design so that no residential uses are significantly impacted by traffic noise. This condition requires acoustical studies that show compliance with the residential outdoor noise standard to be completed prior to issuance of grading permits. Acoustical studies that demonstrate compliance with the residential interior noise standard are required prior to issuance of building permits. The application of Standard Condition N02 will ensure that non-residential uses proposed by the project are not significantly impacted by traffic noise. Acoustical studies that demonstrate compliance with the applicable interior noise standards are required prior to issuance of building permits.

2.4.2 On-Site Activities

As discussed previously, noise impacts from on-site activities typically occur only where residential areas directly abut commercial areas. At this time the specific uses for the commercial areas are not known. Therefore, a specific analysis of noise impacts on the proposed residential uses from on-site activities at the commercial areas is precluded. Notwithstanding, a general discussion of potential noise impacts from on-site commercial activities is provided below for illustrative purposes. In addition to commercial activity, the proposed golf course facilities and Sports Park could generate noise impacts that may affect residential uses. The plans for the proposed golf course facilities and residential areas are not developed enough to allow a detailed analysis of the noise impacts. Potential noise impacts from golf course operations are described generally below.

2.4.2.1 Commercial/Residential Interface

Noise impacts on residential areas typically occur only where the residential areas directly abut commercial areas. Typical sources of noise from commercial uses adjacent to residential uses that have the potential to impact residential uses include parking lot activity, mechanical equipment, and delivery trucks/loading docks. In addition, specific uses in the commercial portions of the project not yet identified could generate significant noise levels. Restaurants, nightclubs, and bars are often sources of noise issues due to their late night operation.

2.4.2.2 Golf Course Operations

Golfing, by its very nature, is not a significant noise generating activity. Therefore, will not result in a significant noise impact. However, maintenance activities on the golf course have the potential to result in a noise impact. The County of Orange exempts noise associated with the maintenance of real property as long as those activities occur between 7:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m. Monday through Saturday, or between 9:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m. on Sunday or a Federal holiday. Therefore, all maintenance activities occurring within these hours will not result in a significant noise impact. However, golf course operators typically mow greens as early as possible in the morning. Homes located near greens would be subject to early morning mowing noise. Further, some golf course operations begin mowing fairways early in the morning, accordingly, homes located adjacent to fairways could be subject to early morning noise as well.

2.4.2.3 Sports Park

The project proposes a regional Sports Park to be located near the southern boundary of Planning Area 3. The park would provide baseball and soccer fields with night lighting. Noise generated by Sports Park activities is typically limited to the voices of participants and spectators. These noise levels are quite varied and dependent on the specific activity. Larger crowds will tend to generate higher noise levels. Important game (e.g., championship vs. preseason) with close scores will tend to result in higher noise levels. Any amplified speech (e.g. bull-horns) or music could generate substantial noise levels. Noise levels at sensitive receptors will be dependent on their location relative to activity areas at the park and any intervening terrain or walls that act as sound barriers. Section 4-6-7 of the County of Orange Noise Ordinance specifically exempts "Activities conducted on any park or playground, provided such park or playground is owned and operated by a public entity." The park will be publicly owned and operated and designed to County of Orange standards. Therefore noise generated by the park will comply with the County's Noise Ordinance and not result in a significant noise impact.

2.4.2.4 Conclusion

Application of County of Orange Standard Condition N08 will ensure that commercial uses and the golf course facilities proposed by the project will not significantly impact any proposed residential uses. This condition will require a specific noise study for any commercial uses (including golf course facilities) that are deemed to have the potential to generate noise levels in excess of the Noise Ordinance. Measures that may be required to meet the Noise Ordinance include additional setbacks through site design, noise barriers, mufflers/silencers, and/or operational restrictions. If a specific use cannot show that it will meet the provisions of the Noise Ordinance, then it will not be allowed.

Activities at the sports park will comply with the County of Orange Noise Ordinance and not result in a significant noise impact.

2.4.3 Aircraft Noise

As discussed in Section 1.6 the project site is not located in the immediate vicinity of any airfields and is not directly impacted by noise generated from any airport operations. Enroute aircraft overfly the project site and are audible at times. These conditions are not expected to change in the future. Because of the relatively low aircraft noise levels generated on the project site and the limited time that this occurs, aircraft do not generate noise levels that even begin to approach the County's noise standards presented in Table 1.

There is a private heliport located at the RMV headquarters within the project boundaries. Currently, the heliport is used infrequently, approximately four times a year, for aerial tours of the Ranch or other RMV business. Typically, operations do not occur during the nighttime hours. This is not projected to change in the future. Areas around the heliport will exposed to substantial single event noise levels as helicopters arrive and depart the heliport. These levels could be high enough to interfere with speech in the immediate area around the heliport. However, because of the infrequency of operations, noise levels in the vicinity of the heliport will not even begin to approach the County's noise standards presented in Table 1.

The project site is not significantly impacted by aircraft noise.

2.4.4 Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Base

Homes planned for Planning Area 8 would be the most impacted by noise generated from Activities at Camp Pendleton. As discussed in Section 1.3.4, noise levels from Camp Pendleton are not expected to exceed the County's 65 CNEL outdoor residential noise standard within the Project. This would include Planning Area 8. However, noise from activities on the base, aircraft and artillery firings, would be audible in Planning Area 8.

Planning Area 8 is currently leased by Northrop Grumman Space Technology. The lease for this area lasts until 2018 and will preclude development of Planning Area 8 before this time. Activity at Camp Pendleton and their noise impacts on the project may be substantially different than it is today and, as discussed in Section 1.3.4, the existing noise impacts are not quantifiable. The Land Use section of the EIR provides additional analysis of the potential impacts of MCB Camp Pendleton activities on the project. Two mitigation measures MM 4.1-1 and 4.1-2 are called for and require a buyers notification program for residents of Planning Area 8 and use of the most current RCUZ at the time of Area Plan approval to ensure that noise levels in Planning

Area 8 do not exceed the appropriate noise standards. With these mitigation measures, Planning Area 8 will not be significantly impacted by noise from activities at Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Base.

2.5 **Project Alternatives**

2.5.1 Alternative B-4R

Alternative B-4R would develop 6,589 acres of the project site with 10,800 dwelling units, 820,000 square feet of urban activity center, 450,000 square feet of neighborhood center, 1.43 million square feet of Business Park and a 20-acre Golf Resort. The traffic study prepared for the project projected average daily traffic volumes by roadway link for this alternative under several different roadway scenarios. Therefore, traffic noise impacts are quantitatively analyzed. Other potential noise impacts are measured relative to the proposed project.

2.5.1.1 Long Term Off-Site Impacts

Development of Alternative B-4R would develop approximately 1,100 fewer acres than the proposed project and construct 3,200 fewer dwelling units and 2.5 million fewer square feet of commercial uses. To the extent that less construction would be required for development of Alternative B-4R, noise impacts due to construction would be reduced somewhat. Construction activities would still be required to comply with the County of Orange Standard Condition N10 and would not result in a significant noise impact.

Section 2.3.1 analyzed the potential off-site traffic noise impacts from Alternative B-4R. This analysis showed that development of Alternative B-4R would not cause any significant off-site traffic noise impacts. Section 2.3.2 analyzed the potential cumulative off-site traffic noise impacts from Alternative B-4R. This analysis showed that development of Alternative B-4R would not cause any cumulative significant off-site traffic noise impacts. In general, Alternative B-4R, because it generates less traffic than the Project, would generate lower noise levels than the Project. However, traffic noise level changes would not be substantially different than with the proposed project.

Section 2.3.4 analyzed the potential off-site noise impacts from on-site activities due to the Project. This analysis showed that the Project would not result in a significant noise impact due to on-site activities. Areas near existing development that would be developed for Alternative B-4R would occur in generally the same areas as the Project. Therefore, the potential for noise impacts from on-site activities for Alternative B-4R is roughly the same as for the Project. Alternative B-4R would not be expected to result in any significant off-site noise impacts from on-site activities.

2.5.1.2 Long Term On-Site Impacts

The distances to the future 60, 65 and 70 CNEL contours for the roadways impacting Alternative B-4R are presented in Table 17. These represent the distance from the centerline of the road to the contour value shown. The CNEL at 100 feet from the roadway centerline is also presented. The contours do not take into account the effect of any noise barriers or topography that may affect ambient noise levels. The traffic data used to calculate these noise levels is presented in the appendix.

Table 17

CNEL Distance To CNEL Contour¹ (feet) @ 100'¹ 65 CNEL **Roadway Segment** 70 CNEL 60 CNEL **SR-241** Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy. 73.6 174 375 808 Crown Valley Pkwy. to C St. 73.6 174 375 808 C St. to Ortega Hwy. 72.9 155 335 722 Ortega Hwy. to Avnda. Pico 72.8 329 709 153 South of Avnda. Pico 71.7 130 280 603 SR-74 (Ortega Hwy.) 72.2 West of La Pata 140 301 650 East of La Pata 61.0 RW 55 117 East of C St. 58.5 RW RW 80 East of New Ortega Hwy. 66.7 60 129 278 New Ortega Hwy. Antonio Pkwy. to A St. 68.4 78 168 362 A St. to C St. 68.5 79 368 171 C St. to F St. 66.0 54 117 252 F St. to SR-74 66.5 59 126 272 **Avenida Pico** East of SR-241 66.6 60 129 277 **Antonio Parkway** 93 North of New Ortega Hwy. 69.6 201 433 North of SR-74 106 228 492 70.4 La Pata 62 133 286 South of Ortega Hwy. 66.8 A Street South of Oso Pkwy. 59.0 RW 40 86 North of New Ortega Hwy. 59.0 RW 40 86 **F** Street Oso Pkwy. to C St. 66.7 60 129 278 C St. to New Ortega Hwy. 91 64.4 42 195 **C** Street Northeast of F St. 61.1 RW 55 118 63.8 83 179 North of New Ortega Hwy. RW New Ortega Hwy. to Ortega Hwy. 66.0 54 117 252 Ortega Hwy. to Talega 45 97 64.8 210 South of Talega 62.0 64 RW 137 Talega South of C St. 59.0 RW 40 86

Future Traffic Noise Levels Impacting Alternative B-4R

1. From Roadway Centerline.

RW-Contour does not extend beyond roadway right-of-way.

Table 18 assesses the traffic noise impacts based on the traffic noise level information presented above. At this time, detailed plans showing specific locations of uses for Alternative B-4R have

not been developed. Therefore, the analysis presented in Table 18 assumes a worst-case situation where development is located at the edge of the roadway right-of-way.

Table 18 presents the same information for Alternative B-4R that Table 16 presented for the proposed project in Section 2.4.1. The same assumptions and requirements described in the description of Table 16 apply to Table 18. Refer to the description of the contents Table 16, presented prior to the table in Section 2.4.1, for an understanding of the contents of Table 18.

	Unmitigated Outdoor	Barrier Height* for Residential	Building Noise Reduction Required to Meet Interior Noise Standard			
Roadway Segment	Noise Level	Area	Residential	Office	Retail	
SR-241						
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	74	9.0	27	22	17	
Crown Valley Pkwy. to C St.	74	9.0	27	22	17	
C St. to Ortega Hwy.	73	8.5	27	22	17	
Ortega Hwy. to Avnda. Pico	73	8.5	27	22	17	
South of Avnda. Pico	72	7.5	26	21	16	
SR-74 (Ortega Hwy.)						
West of La Pata	77	11.0	30	25	20	
East of La Pata	68	7.0	20	15	10	
East of C St.	65	5.5	17	12	7	
East of New Ortega Hwy.	73	8.5	26	21	16	
New Ortega Hwy.						
Antonio Pkwy. to A St.	73	8.0	26	21	16	
A St. to C St.	73	8.0	26	21	16	
C St. to F St.	71	7.0	23	18	13	
F St. to SR-74	71	7.5	24	19	14	
Avenida Pico						
East of SR-241	71	7.0	24	19	14	
Antonio Parkway						
North of New Ortega Hwy.	74	8.5	27	22	17	
North of SR-74	75	9.0	28	23	18	
La Pata						
South of Ortega Hwy.	73	7.5	25	20	15	
A Street						
South of Oso Pkwy.	66	5.0	18	13	8	
North of New Ortega Hwy.	66	5.0	18	13	8	

Table 18 Alternative B-4R Traffic Noise Impacts Analysis

*Maximum Noise Barrier Height to Reduce Outdoor Noise Levels to Below 65 CNEL. Note that the analysis assumes that the barrier can be located at the highest point between the roadway and outdoor living area. If this cannot be accommodated a higher barrier may be required.

	Unmitigated Outdoor	Barrier Height* for Residential	Building Noise Reduction Required to Meet Interior Noise Standard			
Roadway Segment	Noise Level	Area	Residential	Office	Retail	
F Street						
Oso Pkwy. to C St.	74	8.0	26	21	16	
C St. to New Ortega Hwy.	71	7.0	23	18	13	
C Street						
Northeast of F St.	69	6.5	21	16	11	
North of New Ortega Hwy.	72	7.0	23	18	13	
New Ortega Hwy. to Ortega Hwy.	74	8.5	26	21	16	
Ortega Hwy. to Talega	73	8.0	24	19	14	
South of Talega	70	7.0	22	17	12	
Talega						
South of C St.	66	5.0	18	13	8	

Table 18 (Continued) Alternative B-4R Traffic Noise Impacts Analysis

*Maximum Noise Barrier Height to Reduce Outdoor Noise Levels to Below 65 CNEL. Note that the analysis assumes that the barrier can be located at the highest point between the roadway and outdoor living area. If this cannot be accommodated a higher barrier may be required.

Table 18 shows that maximum noise barrier heights between 5 and 11 feet will reduce noise levels along all roadways within the Alternative B-4R to below the County's outdoor noise standard of 65 CNEL. Greater setbacks and elevation differences between the roadway and outdoor living areas will result in lower wall heights. Further, Table 15 shows a maximum outdoor-to-indoor noise reduction of 30 dB is required to achieve the County's interior noise standards. As discussed above, noise reductions of less than 12 dB are achieved with standard construction and open windows. Noise reductions between 12 and 20 dB are achieved with standard construction and closed windows. Noise reductions between 20 and 26 dB may require upgraded windows to meet the interior noise standard. Noise reductions between 26 and 32 dB typically require upgraded windows and may require thicker walls and upgraded roof/ceiling assemblies, but are achievable. Noise reductions in excess of 32 dB are extremely difficult to achieve.

Application of County of Orange Standard Condition N01 will ensure appropriate noise abatement is included in the project design so that no residential uses are significantly impacted by traffic noise. This condition requires acoustical studies that show compliance with the residential outdoor noise standard to be completed prior to issuance of grading permits. Acoustical studies that demonstrate compliance with the residential interior noise standard are required prior to issuance of building permits. The application of Standard Condition N02 will ensure that non-residential uses proposed by the project are not significantly impacted by traffic noise. Acoustical studies that demonstrate compliance with the applicable interior noise standards are required prior to issuance of building permits.

Alternative B-4R would include the same components as the Project that have the potential to result in a significant noise impact to the residential uses proposed by the project from other activities proposed by the project as discussed in Section 2.4.2. These uses include commercial

uses, a golf course and a Sports Park. As discussed in Section 2.4.2, the application of County of Orange Standard Condition N08 will ensure that commercial uses and the golf course facilities proposed by the project will not significantly impact any proposed residential uses. The Sports Park is specifically exempted from the Noise Ordinance and will not result in a significant noise impact.

2.5.2 Alternative B-5

Alternative B-5 would develop approximately 7,170 acres of the project site with 14,000 dwelling units, 1.14 million square feet of urban activity center, 400,000 square feet of Neighborhood Center, and 4.04 million square feet of business park. The traffic study prepared for the project projected average daily traffic volumes by roadway link for this alternative under several different roadway scenarios. Therefore, traffic noise impacts are quantitatively analyzed. Other potential noise impacts are measured relative to the proposed project.

2.5.2.1 Long Term Off-Site Impacts

Development of Alternative B-5 would develop approximately 5240 fewer acres than the proposed project and construct approximately 380,000 more square feet of commercial uses. To the extent that less grading would be required for development of Alternative B-5, noise impacts due to construction would be reduced somewhat. Construction activities would still be required to comply with the County of Orange Standard Condition N10 and would not result in a significant noise impact.

Section 2.3.1 analyzed the potential off-site traffic noise impacts from Alternative B-5. This analysis showed that development of Alternative B-5 would not cause any significant off-site traffic noise impacts. Section 2.3.2 analyzed the potential cumulative off-site traffic noise impacts from Alternative B-5. This analysis found that residences along Oso Parkway east of Antonio Parkway would be subjected to a cumulative noise level increase of greater than 3 dB due to the development of Alternative B-5 and experience a resulting future worst-case traffic noise level of greater than the County's 65 CNEL standard. Additionally, Alternative B-5 considerably contributes to this increase. Specifically, this occurs at homes backing up to Oso Parkway along Acanthus and Radiance Lane. Therefore, these homes would be significantly cumulatively impacted by traffic noise with the development Alternative B-5. All other homes along this segment of Oso Parkway are projected to experience future worst-case noise levels of less than 65 CNEL and would not be significantly impacted.

A detailed analysis of the performance of the sound walls found that some of the homes backing up to Oso Parkway along Acanthus and Radiance Lane are projected to be exposed to future traffic noise levels in excess of 65 CNEL with the development of Alternative B-5. All of the other existing sound walls are projected to reduce future traffic noise levels to below 65 CNEL. <u>Residences along Oso Parkway east of Antonio Parkway would be subjected to a cumulative noise level increase of greater than 3 dB due to the development of Alternative B-5 and experience a resulting future worst-case traffic noise level of greater than the County's 65 CNEL standard. Additionally, Alternative B-5 considerably contributes to this increase. Therefore, these homes would be significantly cumulatively impacted by traffic noise with the development Alternative B-5.</u>

Alternative B-5 is projected to generate about the same amount of traffic as the Project.

However, most of the development with Alternative B-5 is located in the northerly section of the site where the Project is more distributed. Therefore, more of the project traffic will utilize roadways around north end of the project. Generally, traffic noise level increases on roadways around the northern portion of the site would be greater than the Project and traffic noise level increases would be less on roadways around the southern portion of the site.

Section 2.3.4 analyzed the potential off-site noise impacts from on-site activities due to the Project. This analysis showed that the Project would not result in a significant noise impact due to on-site activities. Areas near existing development that would be developed for Alternative B-5 would occur in generally the same areas as the Project. Therefore, the potential for noise impacts from on-site activities for Alternative B-5 is roughly the same as for the Project. Alternative B-5 would not be expected to result in any significant off-site noise impacts from on-site activities.

2.5.2.2 Long Term On-Site Impacts

The distances to the future 60, 65 and 70 CNEL contours for the roadways impacting Alternative B-5 are presented in Table 19. These represent the distance from the centerline of the road to the contour value shown. The CNEL at 100 feet from the roadway centerline is also presented. The contours do not take into account the effect of any noise barriers or topography that may affect ambient noise levels. The traffic data used to calculate these noise levels is presented in the appendix.

atture frame hoise Levels impacting Atternative B-5							
	CNEL	Distance T	o CNEL Co	ntour ¹ (feet)			
Roadway Segment	@ 100' ¹	70 CNEL	65 CNEL	60 CNEL			
SR-241							
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	74.3	194	418	901			
Crown Valley Pkwy. to C St.	74.3	194	418	901			
C St. to Ortega Hwy.	73.0	158	341	734			
Ortega Hwy. to Avnda. Pico	72.4	144	311	670			
South of Avnda. Pico	71.5	127	273	589			
SR-74 (Ortega Hwy.)							
West of La Pata	72.8	154	332	715			
East of La Pata	64.1	40	87	186			
East of C St.	60.3	RW	49	105			
East of New Ortega Hwy.	67.0	63	136	292			
New Ortega Hwy.							
Antonio Pkwy. to A St.	69.4	91	196	421			
A St. to C St.	69.6	94	203	437			
C St. to F St.	67.8	72	155	333			
F St. to SR-74	68.4	78	168	362			
Avenida Pico							
East of SR-241	56.2	RW	RW	56			

Table 19 Future Traffic Noise Levels Impacting Alternative B-5

1. From Roadway Centerline.

RW-Contour does not extend beyond roadway right-of-way.

Future Traffic Noise Levels Impacting Alternative B-5						
	CNEL	Distance To CNEL Contour ¹ (fe				
Roadway Segment	@ 100' ¹	70 CNEL	65 CNEL	60 CNEL		
Antonio Parkway						
North of New Ortega Hwy.	70.1	102	220	473		
North of SR-74	70.9	115	248	535		
La Pata						
South of Ortega Hwy.	67.2	65	140	302		
A Street						
South of Oso Pkwy.	62.0	RW	64	137		
North of New Ortega Hwy.	61.6	RW	59	128		
F Street						
Oso Pkwy. to C St.	67.8	72	155	333		
C St. to New Ortega Hwy.	65.7	51	111	239		
C Street						
Northeast of F St.	66.2	56	120	259		
North of New Ortega Hwy.	65.5	50	108	232		
New Ortega Hwy. to Ortega Hwy.	65.5	50	108	232		
Ortega Hwy. to Talega	62.8	RW	72	155		
South of Talega	61.1	RW	55	118		
Talega						
South of C St.	59.8	RW	45	97		

Table 19 (Continued)

1. From Roadway Centerline.

RW-Contour does not extend beyond roadway right-of-way.

Table 19 assesses the traffic noise impacts based on the traffic noise level information presented above. At this time, detailed plans showing specific locations of uses for Alternative B-5 have not been developed. Therefore, the analysis presented in Table 17 assumes a worst-case situation where development is located at the edge of the roadway right-of-way.

Table 20 presents the same information for Alternative B-5 that Table 16 presented for the Project in Section 2.4.1. The same assumptions and requirements described in the description of Table 26 apply to Table 20. Refer to the description of the contents Table 16, presented prior to the table, for an understanding of the contents of Table 20.

Table 20Alternative B-5 Traffic Noise Impacts Analysis

	Unmitigated Outdoor	Barrier Height* for Residential	Building Noise Reduction Required to Meet Interior Noise Standard		
Roadway Segment	Noise Level	Area	Residential	Office	Retail
SR-241					
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	74	9.0	28	23	18
Crown Valley Pkwy. to C St.	74	9.0	28	23	18
C St. to Ortega Hwy.	73	8.5	27	22	17
Ortega Hwy. to Avnda. Pico	72	8.5	26	21	16
South of Avnda. Pico	72	7.5	25	20	15
SR-74 (Ortega Hwy.)					
West of La Pata	77	11.0	30	25	20
East of La Pata	71	7.0	23	18	13
East of C St.	67	5.0	19	14	9
East of New Ortega Hwy.	74	8.5	26	21	16
New Ortega Hwy.					
Antonio Pkwy. to A St.	74	8.0	27	22	17
A St. to C St.	74	8.0	27	22	17
C St. to F St.	72	7.0	25	20	15
F St. to SR-74	73	7.5	26	21	16
Avenida Pico					
East of SR-241	61	7.0	14	9	4
Antonio Parkway					
North of New Ortega Hwy.	75	8.5	27	22	17
North of SR-74	75	9.0	28	23	18
La Pata					
South of Ortega Hwy.	73	7.5	26	21	16
A Street					
South of Oso Pkwy.	69	5.0	21	16	11
North of New Ortega Hwy.	68	5.0	20	15	10
F Street					
Oso Pkwy. to C St.	75	8.0	27	22	17
C St. to New Ortega Hwy.	73	7.0	25	20	15
C Street					
Northeast of F St.	74	6.5	26	21	16
North of New Ortega Hwy.	73	7.0	25	20	15
New Ortega Hwy. to Ortega Hwy.	73	8.5	25	20	15
Ortega Hwy. to Talega	71	8.0	22	17	12
South of Talega	69	7.0	21	16	11
Talega					
South of C St.	67	5.0	19	14	9

*Maximum Noise Barrier Height to Reduce Outdoor Noise Levels to Below 65 CNEL. Note that the analysis assumes that the barrier can be located at the highest point between the roadway and outdoor living area. If this cannot be accommodated a higher barrier may be required.

Table 20 shows that maximum noise barrier heights between 5 and 11 feet will reduce noise levels along all roadways within the Alternative B-5 to below the County's outdoor noise standard of 65 CNEL. Greater setbacks and elevation differences between the roadway and outdoor living areas will result in lower wall heights. Further, Table 20 shows a maximum outdoor-to-indoor noise reduction of 30 dB is required to achieve the County's interior noise standards. As discussed above, noise reductions of less than 12 dB are achieved with standard construction and open windows. Noise reductions between 12 and 20 dB are achieved with standard construction and closed windows. Noise reductions between 20 and 26 dB may require upgraded windows to meet the interior noise standard. Noise reductions between 26 and 32 dB typically require upgraded windows and may require thicker walls and upgraded roof/ceiling assemblies, but are achievable. Noise reductions in excess of 32 dB are extremely difficult to achieve.

Application of County of Orange Standard Condition N01 will ensure appropriate noise abatement is included in the project design so that no residential uses are significantly impacted by traffic noise. This condition requires acoustical studies that show compliance with the residential outdoor noise standard to be completed prior to issuance of grading permits. Acoustical studies that demonstrate compliance with the residential interior noise standard are required prior to issuance of building permits. The application of Standard Condition N02 will ensure that non-residential uses proposed by the project are not significantly impacted by traffic noise. Acoustical studies that demonstrate compliance with the applicable interior noise standards are required prior to issuance of building permits.

Alternative B-5 does not include any development in the southern portion of the project site near Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Base. Therefore, Alternative B-5 would not be impacted by noise generated by activities at the base.

Alternative B-5 does not include the development of any golf courses. Therefore the potential impacts from Golf Course operations would not be realized with Alternative B-5. Additionally, a Sports Park is not specifically identified in the design of Alternative B-5. However, this does not eliminate the potential for a similar facility during subsequent Area Plan Review. Alternative B-5 does include development of commercial uses that could be located adjacent to residential uses. As discussed in Section 2.4.2, the application of County of Orange Standard Condition N08 will ensure that commercial uses a proposed by the project will not significantly impact any proposed residential uses. The Sports Park is specifically exempted from the Noise Ordinance and will not result in a significant noise impact.

2.5.3 Alternative B-6

Alternative B-6 would develop approximately 6,740 acres of the project site with 14,000 dwelling units, 1.04 million square feet of urban activity center, 500,000 square feet of Neighborhood Center, and 4.04 million square feet of business park. The traffic study prepared for the project did not project average daily traffic volumes by roadway link for this alternative. Therefore, traffic noise impacts are not quantitatively analyzed. Potential noise impacts are measured relative to the proposed project.

2.5.3.1 Long Term Off-Site Impacts

Development of Alternative B-6 would develop approximately 954 fewer acres than the proposed project and construct approximately 380,000 more square feet of commercial uses. To the extent that less grading would be required for development of Alternative B-6, noise impacts due to construction would be reduced somewhat. Construction activities would still be required to comply with the County of Orange Standard Condition N10 and would not result in a significant noise impact.

The traffic study prepared for the project did estimate the total daily trips generated by Alternative B-6. The uses proposed for Alternative B-6 are projected to generate 183,906 daily vehicle trips. This is 568 trips, or 0.31% greater than the Project. The traffic study indicates that the distribution of traffic for Alternative B-6 would be substantially similar to the Project. Therefore, traffic noise impacts with Alternative B-6 would be effectively the same as the Project. The small increase in traffic with Alternative B-6 would not affect predicted noise levels.

Section 2.3.1 analyzed the potential off-site traffic noise impacts from Project. This analysis showed that development the Project would not cause any significant off-site traffic noise impacts. Therefore, the development of Alternative B-6 would also not be expected to result in any significant off-site impacts. Section 2.3.2 analyzed the potential cumulative off-site traffic noise impacts from the Project. This analysis showed that there would be no significant cumulative off-site traffic noise impacts with the development of the Project. Therefore, no cumulative off-site traffic noise impacts would be expected to occur with the development of Alternative B-6.

Section 2.3.4 analyzed the potential off-site noise impacts from on-site activities due to the Project. This analysis showed that the Project would not result in a significant noise impact due to on-site activities. Areas near existing development that would be developed for Alternative B-6 would occur in generally the same areas as the Project. Therefore, the potential for noise impacts from on-site activities for Alternative B-6 is roughly the same as for the Project. Alternative B-6 would not be expected to result in any significant off-site noise impacts from on-site activities.

2.5.3.2 Long Term On-Site Impacts

As discussed above, average daily traffic volumes with Alternative B-6 would be substantially similar to those generated by the Project. Therefore, traffic noise levels impacting Alternative B-6 would be similar to those impacting the Project. The analysis presented in Section 2.4.1 showed that traffic noise levels with the project would not exceed the County of Orange Noise

Standards discussed in Section 1.3.1 with appropriate noise barriers and building designs. This would be true for Alternative B-6 also.

Application of County of Orange Standard Condition N01 will ensure appropriate noise abatement is included in the project design so that no residential uses are significantly impacted by traffic noise. This condition requires acoustical studies that show compliance with the residential outdoor noise standard to be completed prior to issuance of grading permits. Acoustical studies that demonstrate compliance with the residential interior noise standard are required prior to issuance of building permits. The application of Standard Condition N02 will ensure that non-residential uses proposed by the project are not significantly impacted by traffic noise. Acoustical studies that demonstrate compliance with the applicable interior noise standards are required prior to issuance of building permits.

Alternative B-6 does not include the development of any golf courses. Therefore the potential impacts from Golf Course operations would not be realized with Alternative B-6. Additionally, a Sports Park is not specifically identified in the design of Alternative B-6. However, this does not eliminate the potential for a similar facility during subsequent Area Plan Review. Alternative B-6 does include development of commercial uses that could be located adjacent to residential uses. As discussed in Section 2.4.2, the application of County of Orange Standard Condition N08 will ensure that commercial uses a proposed by the project will not significantly impact any proposed residential uses. The Sports Park is specifically exempted from the Noise Ordinance and will not result in a significant noise impact.

2.5.4 Alternative B-8

Alternative B-8 would develop approximately 3,680 acres of the project site with 8,400 dwelling units, 915,000 square feet of urban activity center, 200,000 square feet of Neighborhood Center, and 1.373 million square feet of business park. The traffic study prepared for the project did not project average daily traffic volumes by roadway link for this alternative. Therefore, traffic noise impacts are not quantitatively analyzed. Potential noise impacts are measured relative to the proposed project.

2.5.4.1 Long Term Off-Site Impacts

Development of Alternative B-8 would develop approximately 4,014 fewer acres than the proposed project and construct approximately 2.712 million fewer square feet of commercial uses. To the extent that less construction would be required for development of Alternative B-8, noise impacts due to construction would be reduced somewhat. Construction activities would still be required to comply with the County of Orange Standard Condition N10 and would not result in a significant noise impact.

The traffic study prepared for the project did estimate the total daily trips generated by Alternative B-8. The uses proposed for Alternative B-8 are projected to generate 126,925 daily vehicle trips. This is 56,413 trips, or 30.8% less than the Project. The traffic study indicates that the distribution of traffic for Alternative B-6 would be substantially similar to the Alternative B-4R except to the south were it is similar to Alternative B-5. Alternative B-6 is projected to generate 10,919 fewer daily vehicle trips than Alternative B-4R. Traffic noise impacts with Alternative B-6 would be substantially similar to the area around the project and similar to Alternative B-5 to the south.
Section 2.3.1 analyzed the potential off-site traffic noise impacts from Alternatives B-4R and B-5. This analysis showed that development the Alternatives B-4R and B-5 would not cause any significant off-site traffic noise impacts. Therefore, the development of Alternative B-8 would also not be expected to result in any significant off-site impacts. Section 2.3.2 analyzed the potential cumulative off-site traffic noise impacts from the Alternatives B-4R and B-5. This analysis showed that there would be no significant cumulative off-site traffic noise impacts with the development of Alternative B-4R. Alternative B-5 would result in an off site impact along Oso Parkway east of Antonio Parkway. However, this is not south of the project and therefore not representative of Alternative B-8. Therefore, no cumulative off-site traffic noise impacts with the development of Alternative B-8.

Section 2.3.4 analyzed the potential off-site noise impacts from on-site activities due to the Project. This analysis showed that the Project would not result in a significant noise impact due to on-site activities. Areas near existing development that would be developed for Alternative B-8 would occur in generally the same areas as the Project. Therefore, the potential for noise impacts from on-site activities for Alternative B-8 is roughly the same as for the Project. Alternative B-8 would not be expected to result in any significant off-site noise impacts from on-site activities.

2.5.4.2 Long Term On-Site Impacts

As discussed above, average daily traffic volumes with Alternative B-8 would be substantially similar to those with the Alternative B-4R for most of the site and similar to Alternative B-5 near the southern end of the site. Therefore, traffic noise levels impacting Alternative B-8 would be similar to those impacting the Alternative B-4R for most of the site and Alternative B-5 to the south. The analysis presented in Sections 2.5.1.1 and 2.5.2.1 showed that traffic noise levels with the project would not exceed the County of Orange Noise Standards discussed in Section 1.3.1 with appropriate noise barriers and building designs under Alternatives B-4R and B-5. This would be true for Alternative B-8 also.

Application of County of Orange Standard Condition N01 will ensure appropriate noise abatement is included in the project design so that no residential uses are significantly impacted by traffic noise. This condition requires acoustical studies that show compliance with the residential outdoor noise standard to be completed prior to issuance of grading permits. Acoustical studies that demonstrate compliance with the residential interior noise standard are required prior to issuance of building permits. The application of Standard Condition N02 will ensure that non-residential uses proposed by the project are not significantly impacted by traffic noise. Acoustical studies that demonstrate compliance with the applicable interior noise standards are required prior to issuance of building permits.

Alternative B-8 does not include any development in the southern portion of the project site near Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Base. Therefore, Alternative B-8 would not be impacted by noise generated by activities at the base.

Alternative B-8 does not include the development of any golf courses. Therefore the potential impacts from Golf Course operations would not be realized with Alternative B-8. Additionally, a Sports Park is not specifically identified in the design of Alternative B-8. However, this does not eliminate the potential for a similar facility during subsequent Area Plan Review.

Alternative B-8 does include development of commercial uses that could be located adjacent to residential uses. As discussed in Section 2.4.2, the application of County of Orange Standard Condition N08 will ensure that commercial uses a proposed by the project will not significantly impact any proposed residential uses. The Sports Park is specifically exempted from the Noise Ordinance and will not result in a significant noise impact.

2.5.5 Alternative B-9

Alternative B-9 would develop approximately 6,582 acres of the site with 13,600 dwelling units, 1.04 million square feet of urban activity center, 500,000 square feet of Neighborhood Center, and 3.66 million square feet of business park. The traffic study prepared for the project did not project average daily traffic volumes by roadway link for this alternative. Therefore, traffic noise impacts are not quantitatively analyzed. Potential noise impacts are measured relative to the proposed project.

2.5.5.1 Long Term Off-Site Impacts

Development of Alternative B-9 would develop approximately 1,112 fewer acres than the proposed project and construct 400 fewer dwelling units. To the extent that less construction would be required for development of Alternative B-9, noise impacts due to construction would be reduced somewhat. Construction activities would still be required to comply with the County of Orange Standard Condition N10 and would not result in a significant noise impact.

The traffic study prepared for the project did not estimate the total daily trips generated by Alternative B-9. However, based on the proposed development described above, Alternative B-9 would be expected to generate slightly lower traffic volumes as the proposed project. Therefore, traffic noise impacts with Alternative B-9 would be effectively the same as the Project. The small decrease in traffic with Alternative B-6 would not affect predicted noise levels.

Section 2.3.1 analyzed the potential off-site traffic noise impacts from Project. This analysis showed that development the Project would not cause any significant off-site traffic noise impacts. Therefore, the development of Alternative B-9 would also not be expected to result in any significant off-site impacts. Section 2.3.2 analyzed the potential cumulative off-site traffic noise impacts from the Project. This analysis showed that there would be no significant cumulative off-site traffic noise impacts with the development of the Project. Therefore, no cumulative off-site traffic noise impacts would be expected to occur with the development of Alternative B-9.

Section 2.3.4 analyzed the potential off-site noise impacts from on-site activities due to the Project. This analysis showed that the Project would not result in a significant noise impact due to on-site activities. Areas near existing development that would be developed for Alternative B-9 would occur in generally the same areas as the Project. Therefore, the potential for noise impacts from on-site activities for Alternative B-9 is roughly the same as for the Project. Alternative B-9 would not be expected to result in any significant off-site noise impacts from on-site activities.

2.5.5.2 Long Term On-Site Impacts

As discussed above, average daily traffic volumes with Alternative B-9 would be substantially similar to those generated by the Project. Therefore, traffic noise levels impacting Alternative B-

9 would be similar to those impacting the Project. The analysis presented in Section 2.4.1 showed that traffic noise levels with the project would not exceed the County of Orange Noise Standards discussed in Section 1.3.1 with appropriate noise barriers and building designs. This would be true for Alternative B-9 also.

Application of County of Orange Standard Condition N01 will ensure appropriate noise abatement is included in the project design so that no residential uses are significantly impacted by traffic noise. This condition requires acoustical studies that show compliance with the residential outdoor noise standard to be completed prior to issuance of grading permits. Acoustical studies that demonstrate compliance with the residential interior noise standard are required prior to issuance of building permits. The application of Standard Condition N02 will ensure that non-residential uses proposed by the project are not significantly impacted by traffic noise. Acoustical studies that demonstrate compliance with the applicable interior noise standards are required prior to issuance of building permits.

A Sports Park is not specifically identified in the design of Alternative B-9. However, this does not eliminate the potential for a similar facility during subsequent Area Plan Review. Alternative B-9 does include development of commercial and golf course uses that could be located adjacent to residential uses. As discussed in Section 2.4.2, the application of County of Orange Standard Condition N08 will ensure that commercial uses a proposed by the project will not significantly impact any proposed residential uses. The Sports Park is specifically exempted from the Noise Ordinance and will not result in a significant noise impact.

2.5.6 Alternative B-10

Alternative B-10 would develop approximately 7,683 acres of the project site with 14,450 dwelling units, 1.08 million square feet of urban activity center, 550,000 square feet of Neighborhood Center, and 3.965 million square feet of business park. The traffic study prepared for the project did not project average daily traffic volumes by roadway link for this alternative. Therefore, traffic noise impacts are not quantitatively analyzed. Potential noise impacts are measured relative to the proposed project.

2.5.6.1 Long Term Off-Site Impacts

Development of Alternative B-10 would develop approximately 10 fewer acres than the proposed project and construct approximately 395,000 more square feet of commercial uses and 450 more dwelling units. To the extent that less grading would be required for development of Alternative B-10, noise impacts due to grading would be reduced somewhat. The increased development (square feet of commercial uses and dwelling unit) would increase the impacts due to structure activities somewhat but grading activities represent the most significant construction activity in terms of noise. Construction activities would still be required to comply with the County of Orange Standard Condition N10 and would not result in a significant noise impact.

The traffic study prepared for the project estimated the total daily trips generated by Alternative B-10. The uses proposed for Alternative B-10 are projected to generate 183,360 daily vehicle trips. This is 22 trips, or 0.01% greater than the Project. The traffic study indicates that the distribution of traffic for Alternative B-10 would be substantially similar to the Project. Therefore, traffic noise impacts with Alternative B-10 would be effectively the same as the Project. The small increase in traffic with Alternative B-10 would not affect predicted noise

levels.

Section 2.3.1 analyzed the potential off-site traffic noise impacts from Project. This analysis showed that development the Project would not cause any significant off-site traffic noise impacts. Therefore, the development of Alternative B-10 would also not be expected to result in any significant off-site impacts. Section 2.3.2 analyzed the potential cumulative off-site traffic noise impacts from the Project. This analysis showed that there would be no significant cumulative off-site traffic noise impacts with the development of the Project. Therefore, no cumulative off-site traffic noise impacts would be expected to occur with the development of Alternative B-10.

Section 2.3.4 analyzed the potential off-site noise impacts from on-site activities due to the Project. This analysis showed that the Project would not result in a significant noise impact due to on-site activities. Areas near existing development that would be developed for Alternative B-10 would occur in generally the same areas as the Project. Therefore, the potential for noise impacts from on-site activities for Alternative B-10 is roughly the same as for the Project. Alternative B-6 would not be expected to result in any significant off-site noise impacts from on-site activities.

2.5.6.2 Long Term On-Site Impacts

As discussed above, average daily traffic volumes with Alternative B-10 would be substantially similar to those generated by the Project. Therefore, traffic noise levels impacting Alternative B-10 would be similar to those impacting the Project. The analysis presented in Section 2.4.1 showed that traffic noise levels with the project would not exceed the County of Orange Noise Standards discussed in Section 1.3.1 with appropriate noise barriers and building designs. This would be true for Alternative B-10 also.

Application of County of Orange Standard Condition N01 will ensure appropriate noise abatement is included in the project design so that no residential uses are significantly impacted by traffic noise. This condition requires acoustical studies that show compliance with the residential outdoor noise standard to be completed prior to issuance of grading permits. Acoustical studies that demonstrate compliance with the residential interior noise standard are required prior to issuance of building permits. The application of Standard Condition N02 will ensure that non-residential uses proposed by the project are not significantly impacted by traffic noise. Acoustical studies that demonstrate compliance with the applicable interior noise standards are required prior to issuance of building permits.

A Sports Park is not specifically identified in the design of Alternative B-10. However, this does not eliminate the potential for a similar facility during subsequent Area Plan Review. Alternative B-10 does include development of commercial uses that could be located adjacent to residential uses. As discussed in Section 2.4.2, the application of County of Orange Standard Condition N08 will ensure that commercial and golf course uses proposed by the project will not significantly impact any proposed residential uses. The Sports Park is specifically exempted from the Noise Ordinance and will not result in a significant noise impact.

2.5.7 Alternative B-11

Alternative B-11 would develop approximately 8,621 acres of the project site with 19,200 dwelling units, 1.28 million square feet of urban activity center, 600,000 square feet of Neighborhood Center, and 1.76 million square feet of business park. The traffic study prepared for the project did not project average daily traffic volumes by roadway link for this alternative. Therefore, traffic noise impacts are not quantitatively analyzed. Potential noise impacts are measured relative to the proposed project.

2.5.7.1 Long Term Off-Site Impacts

Development of Alternative B-110 would develop approximately 927 more acres than the proposed project and construct approximately 1.56 fewer million square feet of commercial uses and 520 more dwelling units. To the extent that more grading would be required for development of Alternative B-11, noise impacts due to grading would be increased somewhat. Construction activities would still be required to comply with the County of Orange Standard Condition N10 and would not result in a significant noise impact.

The traffic study prepared for the project estimated the total daily trips generated by Alternative B-11. The uses proposed for Alternative B-11 are projected to generate 191,911 daily vehicle trips. This is 8,573 trips, or 4.68% greater than the Project. The traffic study indicates that the distribution of traffic for Alternative B-11 would be substantially similar to the Project. While Alternative B-11 would generate more vehicle trips than the Project, traffic noise levels would not increase by more than 0.2 dB over those with the project. Therefore, traffic noise impacts with Alternative B-11 would be effectively the same as the Project. The small increase in traffic noise levels with Alternative B-11 would not affect predicted traffic noise impacts.

Section 2.3.1 analyzed the potential off-site traffic noise impacts from Project. This analysis showed that development the Project would not cause any significant off-site traffic noise impacts. Therefore, the development of Alternative B-11 would also not be expected to result in any significant off-site impacts. Section 2.3.2 analyzed the potential cumulative off-site traffic noise impacts from the Project. This analysis showed that there would be no significant cumulative off-site traffic noise impacts with the development of the Project. Therefore, no cumulative off-site traffic noise impacts would be expected to occur with the development of Alternative B-11.

Section 2.3.4 analyzed the potential off-site noise impacts from on-site activities due to the Project. This analysis showed that the Project would not result in a significant noise impact due to on-site activities. Areas near existing development that would be developed for Alternative B-11 would occur in generally the same areas as the Project. Therefore, the potential for noise impacts from on-site activities for Alternative B-11 is roughly the same as for the Project. Alternative B-6 would not be expected to result in any significant off-site noise impacts from on-site activities.

2.5.7.2 Long Term On-Site Impacts

As discussed above, average daily traffic volumes with Alternative B-11 would be substantially similar to those generated by the Project. Therefore, traffic noise levels impacting Alternative B-11 would be similar to those impacting the Project. The analysis presented in Section 2.4.1

showed that traffic noise levels with the project would not exceed the County of Orange Noise Standards discussed in Section 1.3.1 with appropriate noise barriers and building designs. This would be true for Alternative B-11 as well.

Application of County of Orange Standard Condition N01 will ensure appropriate noise abatement is included in the project design so that no residential uses are significantly impacted by traffic noise. This condition requires acoustical studies that show compliance with the residential outdoor noise standard to be completed prior to issuance of grading permits. Acoustical studies that demonstrate compliance with the residential interior noise standard are required prior to issuance of building permits. The application of Standard Condition N02 will ensure that non-residential uses proposed by the project are not significantly impacted by traffic noise. Acoustical studies that demonstrate compliance with the applicable interior noise standards are required prior to issuance of building permits.

A Sports Park is not specifically identified in the design of Alternative B-11. However, this does not eliminate the potential for a similar facility during subsequent Area Plan Review. Alternative B-11 does include development of commercial uses that could be located adjacent to residential uses. As discussed in Section 2.4.2, the application of County of Orange Standard Condition N08 will ensure that commercial and golf course uses proposed by the project will not significantly impact any proposed residential uses. The Sports Park is specifically exempted from the Noise Ordinance and will not result in a significant noise impact.

3.0 MITIGATION MEASURES

3.1 Temporary Impacts

It is possible that at some point during construction, construction equipment will generate noise levels in excess of the Noise Ordinance limits. However, the County of Orange Noise Ordinance exempts noise generated by construction from complying with the Noise Ordinance limits during the hours between 7 a.m. and 8 p.m. on weekdays and Saturdays. This exemption does not include Sundays and holidays. No noise generating activities are expected outside of these hours. In addition, the County of Orange Standard Condition N10 requires compliance with the Noise Ordinance, the use of mufflers, and locating stock piles away from residential areas. Therefore, the construction of the project will not result in a significant noise impact.

3.2 Long Term Off-Site Impacts

The analysis shows that the development of the proposed project and Alternative B-4R would not result in any significant impacts due to traffic noise increases. Therefore, no mitigation is required for the proposed project or Alternative B-4R.

The analysis presented in Section 2.3.2 shows that cumulative traffic noise increases with Alternative B-5 would significantly impact existing residences along Oso Parkway East of Antonio Parkway (specifically homes that back up to Oso Parkway along Acanthus and Radiance Lane) and the the traffic generated by Alternative B-5 would considerably contribute to this impact.

Design and construction of a noise barrier (wall, berm, or combination wall/berm) is the most efficient method of reducing outdoor noise exposure levels. The effect of a noise barrier is critically dependent on the geometry between the noise source and the receiver. A noise barrier effect occurs when the "line of sight" between the source and receiver is broken by the barrier. The greater the distance the sound must travel around the barrier to reach the receiver, the greater the noise reduction of the barrier. A preliminary analysis indicates that walls with heights of 7 feet or less would be required along Oso Parkway and walls with heights of 9 feet or less would be required along SR-74 (Ortega Highway). These wall heights assume that the wall is constructed at the highest point between the roadway and the residence.

If Alternative B-5 is selected, prior to issuance of Certificates of Occupancy for any portion of the project, where feasible, a noise barrier that will reduce future worst-case with project noise levels to below 65 CNEL shall be constructed for the homes backing up to Oso Parkway along Acanthus and Radiance Lane. Prior to construction of the wall, a detailed study should be performed by a qualified acoustical consultant to determine the specific height and location of the noise barrier required to reduce future worst-case with project noise levels to below 65 CNEL. This study shall be submitted to and approved by the County prior to construction of the noise barrier.

The impacted residences are located at elevations above the roadway. In these cases the most practical place to locate a noise barrier is at the edge of the residential pad. In fact, in some areas it would be infeasible to construct an effective noise barrier at the bottom of the slope. In some cases the slope between the road and the homes is publicly owned and in other cases it is

privately owned. Building barriers on private property would require the consent of the property owner, which cannot be guaranteed at this time. If owner consent cannot be obtained it may be infeasible to construct a reasonable noise barrier within the public right-of-way that reduces noise levels to below 65 CNEL. Therefore, it cannot be guaranteed that the mitigation measure specified above will completely mitigate the identified significant impacts. Therefore, Alternative B-5 could result in significant unavoidable traffic noise impacts.

3.3 Long Term On-Site Impacts

3.3.1 Traffic Noise

Application of County of Orange Standard Condition N01 will ensure appropriate noise abatement is included in the project design so that no residential uses are significantly impacted by traffic noise. The application of Standard Condition N02 will ensure that non-residential uses proposed by the project are not significantly impacted by traffic noise. The analysis presented in Section 2.4.1 shows that the County's noise standards are achievable with feasable measures. No mitigation is required.

3.3.2 On-Site Activities

Application of County of Orange Standard Condition N08 will ensure that commercial uses proposed by the project will not significantly impact any proposed residential uses. The analysis presented in Section 2.4.2 shows that the County's noise standards are achievable without extraordinary measures. No mitigation is required.

4.0 UNAVOIDABLE NOISE IMPACTS

Development of the proposed project or Alternative B-4 would not result in any unavoidable noise impacts.

As discussed in Section 3.2, mitigation of off-site traffic noise impacts with the development of Alternative B-5 may not be possible without permission from impacted residents to construct a sound wall on their property. Sound barriers that can be constructed on public right-of-way in a manner to reduce noise levels at the affected residence to below the County's 65 CNEL standard will fully mitigate the impact. Where this is not possible due to the relative topography between the road and the residence, permission to construct a sound wall on the resident's property will be requested. However, at this time it cannot be guaranteed that this permission will be granted. <u>Unavoidable significant noise impacts could occur with Alternative B-5 where it is not feasible to construct an effective sound wall on public property and the affected resident does not grant permission for construction of a sound wall on their property.</u>

There are no other unavoidable noise impacts associated with the Alternative B-5.

APPENDIX

Traffic Data Use	ed To Calculate No	<u>oise L</u>	evels	<u>s (ADT</u>	<u>"s in 1</u>	:000 <u>،</u> ا	S)																	
						No	Project						Propos	sed Pro	ject (B-4	4)				B-4R A	lt.		B-5 A	it.
						2025	2025	2025	2025				2010			2025					2025			2025
					2010	No	Exist.	Exist.	OCP-	Exist.		2010	(CCS		2025	(CCS		2025		2025	(CCS		2025	(CCS
		Speed			No	Proj.	Zoning	Zoning	2000	Plus	2010	(CCS	+LP	2025	(CCS	+LP	2025	(MPAH	2025	(CCS	+LP	2025	(CCS	+LP
Roadway Segment		(mph)	Mix	Exist.	Proj.	(CCS)	(CCS)	(MPAH)	(MPAH)	Proj.	(CCS)	+LP)	+FTCS)	(CCS)	+LP)	+FTCS)	(MPAH)) +Amnd.)	(CCS)	+LP)	+FTCS)	(CCS)	+LP)	+FTCS)
I-5																								
La Paz Rd.	to Oso Pkwy.	65	2	298	329	345	348	338	351	302	335	334	333	349	348	339	341	341	349	347	339	348	347	339
Oso Pkwy.	to Crown Valley Pkwy.	65	2	281	304	323	324	311	320	281	309	307	305	322	320	311	313	313	322	319	312	319	316	308
Crown Valley Pkwy.	to Avery Pkwy.	65	2	242	277	298	300	282	282	242	280	277	275	296	291	282	283	283	296	291	282	293	288	279
Avery Pkwy.	to Junipero Serra	65	2	233	291	336	340	310	321	237	297	294	291	340	335	317	316	316	340	334	318	336	330	314
Junipero Serra	to Ortega Highway	65	2	227	283	326	331	305	315	232	289	286	283	331	326	309	311	311	331	325	308	327	321	305
Ortega Highway	to San Juan Creek	65	2	210	268	310	312	280	284	212	270	261	261	312	301	283	282	284	311	301	283	309	298	280
San Juan Creek	to Stonehill	65	2	208	266	310	312	276	280	209	268	258	258	311	299	280	278	280	310	299	280	307	295	276
Stonehill	to Cmno. La Ramblas	65	2	196	255	298	301	264	268	197	256	246	246	299	287	267	266	268	299	287	268	296	283	264
Cmno. La Ramblas	to Cmno. Los Mares	65	2	210	268	314	316	279	283	211	269	260	260	314	301	282	282	284	313	301	282	309	296	277
Cmno. de Los Mares	s to Vista Hermosa	65	2	202	258	303	305	275	278	203	259	250	250	304	294	275	278	280	303	293	275	298	288	270
Vista Hermosa	to Avnda. Pico	65	2	188	240	282	286	264	265	193	241	238	238	287	283	260	265	266	286	283	260	283	279	256
South	of Avnda. Pico	65	2	154	177	214	214	193	192	155	177	177	177	215	215	191	193	193	215	215	191	214	214	191
SR-73																								
Oso Pkwy.	to Crown Valley Pkwy.	65	3	45	63	86	89	82	92	52	66	65	65	93	92	84	86	85	93	92	84	92	91	83
Crown Valley Pkwy.	to I-5	65	3	44	60	82	85	76	86	52	63	63	62	90	89	81	81	80	89	89	81	88	87	80
SR-241																								
North	of Antonio Pkwy.	65	4	18	22	27	29	48	66	26	24	24	26	35	37	57	54	55	35	36	56	36	38	58
Antonio Pkwy.	to Oso Pkwy.	65	4	8	12	15	17	39	57	18	13	13	15	25	25	49	46	47	24	24	48	26	26	50
Oso Pkwy.	to Crown Valley Pkwy.	65	4					28	49							49	41	46			45			53
Crown Valley Pkwy.	to C St.	65	4					29	50							49	50	46			45			53
C St.	to Ortega Highway	65	4					29	50							40	50	38			38			39
Ortega Highway	to Avnda. Pico	65	4					31	40							39	37	36			37			34
South	of Avnda. Pico	65	4					27	30							30	27	28			29			28
La Paz Road																								
l-5	to Marguerite	50	1	30	28	29	30	29	32	32	29	28	29	31	31	30	30	30	31	31	30	31	31	30
Marguerite	to Felipe Rd.	50	1	21	21	21	21	21	22	22	21	21	21	22	22	21	21	21	22	22	21	22	22	21
Oso Parkway																								
West	of Cabot Rd.	50	1	30	34	35	35	35	37	31	34	34	34	36	36	36	35	35	36	36	36	37	37	37
Cabot Rd.	to I-5	50	1	45	49	51	51	52	55	46	50	50	50	52	52	52	52	52	52	52	52	53	53	53
East	of I-5	50	1	56	61	61	61	58	65	60	62	61	63	65	64	61	60	61	64	64	61	69	68	64
West	of Marguerite	50	1	39	56	61	61	53	61	60	57	56	58	65	64	56	55	56	64	64	56	69	68	60
Marguerite	to Felipe Rd.	50	1	39	45	45	47	44	55	47	48	47	49	53	53	49	47	48	52	52	48	58	58	53
Felipe Rd.	to Antonio Pkwy.	50	1	39	42	42	45	43	58	50	45	45	47	53	53	49	47	48	52	52	48	59	58	53
East	of Antonio Pkwy.	50	1	29	31	32	37	25	33	45	32	33	39	48	49	38	28	38	47	48	36	58	60	46
West	of SR-241	50	1	26	23	26	27	18	24	42	24	24	32	42	44	31	22	31	41	42	30	49	51	36
East	of SR-241	50	1	20	23	24	24	17	17	20	23	23	23	24	24	24	17	24	24	24	24	25	25	25

Traffic Data Use	ed To Calculate N	oise Le	vels	<u>(ADT'</u>	s in 1	,000's	s)																	
						No	Project						Propos	ed Proj	ject (B-4	4)				B-4R AI	t.		B-5 Alt	Ł.
						2025	2025	2025	2025				2010			2025					2025			2025
					2010	No	Exist.	Exist.	OCP-	Exist.		2010	(CCS		2025	(CCS		2025		2025	(CCS		2025	(CCS
		Speed			No	Proj.	Zoning	Zoning	2000	Plus	2010	(CCS	+LP	2025	(CCS	+LP	2025	(MPAH	2025	(CCS	+LP	2025	(CCS	+LP
Roadway Segment	-	(mph)	MIX	Exist.	Proj.	(CCS)	(CCS)	(MPAH)	(MPAH)	Proj.	(CCS)	+LP)	+FICS)	(CCS)	+LP)	+FICS)	(MPAH)	+Amnd.)	(CCS)	+LP)	+FICS)	(CCS)	+LP)	+FICS)
Crown valley Parkwa	ay	= 0	_	00	00	00	10					00											00	
west	of Moulton Pkwy.	50	1	36	39	39	40	38	38	36	39	39	39	39	39	39	38	38		39	39	39	39	
	to Greenfield	50	1	41	41	43	43	40	40	41	41	41	41	42	42	42	40	39	42	42	42	43	43	43
Greenfield	to SR-241	50	1	56	56	59	59	58	59	56	57	57	56	59	59	59	58	58		59	59	60	60	
SR-241	10 I-5	50	1	60	59	61	61	60	62	61	60	60	60	62	61	61	60	61		61	61	62	62	62
East	0T I-5	50	<u> </u>	70	82	86	8/	85	96	12	86	84	83	88	86	86	86	85		86	86	88	86	86
west	of Marguerite	50	1	39	59	62	65	63	81	46	65	63	62	69	68	67	67	66	68	67	66	70	69	68
East	of Marguerite	50	1	37	56	60	63	62	83	47	64	62	61	70	68	68	69	67	68	67	6/		70	
vvest	of Antonio Pkwy.	50	<u> </u>	24	35	39	41	41	64	35	43	41	40	50	48	48	49	47	48	46	47	52	50	50
East		50	<u> </u>					13	53								15							
VVest	01 SR-241	50	<u> </u>					8	14								15							
East	01 SR-241	50	1					/	/								8							
Paseo de Colinas	of Ook at Dd	45	_	00	07	07	00	0.1	0.1	00	07	07	07	07	07	07	0.1	0.1	07	07	07	07	07	07
vvest	of Cabot Rd.	45	<u> </u>	23	27	27	28	24	24	23	27	27	27	27	27	27	24	24		27	27		27	
	10 I-5	45	<u> </u>	17	19	20	20	16	17		19	19	19	20	20	19	16	16		20	19	20	20	
West	OT I-5	45	1	28	23	33	33	33	33	28	23	23	23	34	34	33	21	33	34	34	33	34	34	33
Avery	to Morrowskite	45	_	00	07	07	07	07	07	00	07	07	07	07	00	00	00	00	07	00	00	00	00	04
1-5 Fast	to Marguerite	45	<u> </u>	30	3/	3/	3/	3/	3/	30	3/	3/	3/	3/	30	30	30	30		30	30	30	30	
Easi Comine les Dodres	or marguerite	45	<u> </u>	10	10	11	11	11	11	10	11	10	10	11	10	10	10	10		10	10	10	10	10
Camino ios Padres	of LE	45	-					10	10								10	10						
	01 1-5	40	-					12	12								13	13						
Junipero Serra	of LE	45	-	14	10	01	01	06	07	14	10	10	10	00	01	01	00	00	01	01	01	01	01	01
	01 I-5	40		14	10	21	21	20	2/	14	10	10	10	22	21	<u></u>	20	20		21			21	
EdSI	01 1-5	45	_	10	17	19	19	19	19	16	17	17	17	19	18	18	19	19	19	19	18	19	18	18
Cmpa Capactropa	to Dol Obiono	50	E	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	14	14	12	12	12	10	14	14	14	14
Woot		50	5	27	20	10	10	40	40	- 13	20	20	40	13	14	14	10	13	10	40	14	14	14	14
	to Panaha Visio	50	<u> </u>	37	59	42	42	40	40	50	59	- 39 - 50	40	43	43 57	43	40 57	<u> </u>	 	42	4 <u>2</u> 54	43	43	43
	of La Novia	50	5	40	20	 		49	 	 	30	JZ	20	40	37	30	37	 		 	<u></u>	10	37	
East	of La Novia	50	5	- 34	20	97	40	20		- 41	40	97	39	40	40	44	47	40	44	42	41	40	40	44
	of La Rota	50	5	20	<u></u>	21	42		40	30	41	37	20	47	43	40	40	25	40	27	40	40	43	40
East	of La Pata	50	5	15	20	16	30	20	40	50	15	32	15	 	41	40	20	5 		21		40	41	6
	of SD 041	50	5	15	15	10	24	10	40	5	15	15	15	5	0	5	17	5		3	<u> </u>	0	0	0
East	of SP 241	45	5		15			10	19		15	15	15			<u> </u>	1/	<u> </u>						
East	of C St	45	5		15			13	14		15	15	15			2	14	2						
	of Now Ortogo Hung	40	<u> </u>	10	10					10	10	10	10	10	10		14			10	10	10		
EdS Son Juan Crook Boo		40	5	10	10	11	12			12	10	10	10	13	13	14	14	14	12	12	13	13	14	14
Sall Juan Creek noa	of 15	45	4	10	22	24	24	24	20	10	22	22	01	24	22	00	25	25	- 24	24	24	24	02	00
Laoi Eact	of Valle Rd	40		10	16	10	10	<u>24</u> 15	20	10	17	15	15	<u>- 24</u>	16	16	17	16	<u> </u>	16	16	<u>24</u>	16	
Lasi Woot		40		10	14	10	19	10	10	10	1/	10	10	15	14	14	1/	10	1/	14	10	1/	1/	14
Fact		40 15	<u> </u>	0	14	10	10	10	10	0	14	10	10	10	14	14	10	14	10	14	14	10	19	14
Lasi Woot	of La Pata	40	1	0	12	12	-	10	10	3	10	10	10	- 13	-	10	0	<u>اک</u>	10	13	13	10	13	10
**531	UI La l'ala	40	I					4	10								3	0						

Traffic Data Use	ed To Calculate No	oise Le	evels	(ADT	<u>'s in 1</u>	,000's	S)																	
						No	Project						Propos	sed Proj	ject (B-4	4)				B-4R A	lt.		B-5 AI	it.
						2025	2025	2025	2025				2010			2025					2025			2025
					2010	No	Exist.	Exist.	OCP-	Exist.		2010	(CCS		2025	(CCS		2025		2025	(CCS		2025	(CCS
		Speed			No	Proj.	Zoning	Zoning	2000	Plus	2010	(CCS	+LP	2025	(CCS	+LP	2025	(MPAH	2025	(CCS	+LP	2025	(CCS	+LP
Roadway Segment		(mph)	Mix	Exist.	Proj.	(CCS)	(CCS)	(MPAH)	(MPAH)	Proj.	(CCS)	+LP)	+FTCS)	(CCS)	+LP)	+FTCS)	(MPAH)	+Amnd.)	(CCS)	+LP)	+FTCS)	(CCS)	+LP)	+FTCS)
New Ortega Highway																								
	to A St.	45	1							48	35	35	21	49	51	52		51	40	42	43			54
A St.	to C St.	45	1							48	33	33	20	49	51	52			40	43				
<u>C St.</u>	to FSt.	45	1							29	11	11	6	30	31	29		30	24	25	24	36		
F St.	to SR-74	45	1							34				35	35	36		35	27	27	28	42	42	43
	of LT	45	-	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
East	of Compa Las Mares	45	1	10	12	13	13	13	13	10	12	12	12	13	13	13	12	12	13	13	13	13	13	13
West Crans. do Los Moror	or Umno. Los Mares	45	-					<u> </u>									6	<u> </u>						
Comino de Los Mares		45	1					0	/								0	0						
East	of 15	45	4	25	26	40	40	25	25	25	26	26	26	20	20	26	24	24	20	20	26	20	20	26
West	of Cmpo Vora Cruz	45	1	 	15	10	20	16	16	<u> </u>	15	14	1/	10	17	17	16	16	10	18	17	10	<u> </u>	17
	to Cmpo dol Pio	45	-	0	10	19	10	10	10	0	10	14	10	19	17	17	10	10	19	10	17	19	17	
North	of Cmpo del Rio	45	1	9	12	12	12	12	12	9	12	10	10	12			12	12	12			12		
Camino del Rio		+5	-																					
Cmno. de Los Mares	s to La Pata	45	1		5			8	9		5	5	5			5	8	8		5	5		5	5
Avenida Vista Hermo			•		5			0	5		5	5	5			5	0	0		5	5		<u> </u>	J
East	of I-5	50	1	20	34	38	39	29	31	21	34	32	32	39	39	32	31	31	39	38	32	38	38	31
Cmno Vera Cruz	to La Pata	50	1		35	40	41	24	26		35	35	35	42	43	31	25	25	42	43	31	41	43	31
La Pata	to Talega	50	1		21	25	25	16	17		21	18	18	26	22	18	16	17	26	22	18	27	23	19
Talega	to Pico	50	1		3	4	4	6	8		3	5	5	7	7	8	7	8	6	7	7	6	7	7
Avenida Pico					-			-	_			-	-			-		_	_			-		
West	of I-5	50	1	25	25	28	29	29	29	26	25	25	25	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	29	28	28	28
East	of I-5	50	1	38	47	54	57	42	45	43	48	41	41	59	54	47	44	46	59	54	47	51	47	41
West	of La Pata	50	1	23	21	26	30	18	24	32	21	18	18	35	34	26	21	24	34	33	25	25	24	18
La Pata	to Vista Hermosa	50	1	11	8	9	14	14	26	26	8	9	9	24	24	25	21	25	22	23	23	10	10	13
East	of Vista Hermosa	50	1	6	10	10	16	19	34	27	10	9	9	27	27	31	27	31	25	25	29	10	9	17
East	of SR-241	50	1					7	32	22				22	22	26	24	26	20	19	22	2		
Cabot Street																								
North	of Oso Pkwy.	45	1	20	22	23	23	23	24	20	22	22	22	23	23	23	23	23	23	23	23	23	23	23
South	of Oso Pkwy.	45	1	16	14	15	15	15	15	16	15	15	14	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
North	of Crown Valley Pkwy.	45	1	11	12	13	13	13	13	11	12	12	12	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13
Crown Valley Pkwy.	to Paseo de Colinas	45	1	11	9	10	10	10	10	11	9	9	9	10	9	9	10	9	10	10	10	10	10	9
Greenfield																								
South	of SR-73	45	1	23	23	24	24	23	24	23	23	23	23	24	24	24	23	23	24	24	24	25	25	24
Marguerite																								
North	of La Paz Rd.	50	1	36	34	35	35	34	34	36	35	35	35	35	35	34	34	34	35	35	34	35	35	34
South	of La Paz Rd.	50	1	34	36	38	39	38	40	36	37	37	37	40	40	39	39	39	39	39	39	40	40	39
North	of Oso Pkwy.	50	1	32	33	34	36	35	37	34	34	34	33	36	36	35	36	35	36	36	35	37	37	36
South	of Oso Pkwy.	50	1	27	30	31	31	31	31	27	30	30	29	31	31	30	30	30	31	31	31	30	30	30
Oso Pkwy.	to Crown Valley Pkwy.	50	1	32	35	37	38	37	38	32	36	35	35	37	37	36	37	36	37	37	36	37	36	36
South	of Crown Valley Pkwy.	50	1	27	25	26	26	25	28	28	25	25	25	27	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	27	27	26
North	of Avery	50	1	30	29	30	30	29	29	30	29	29	29	29	28	28	28	28	29	28	28	29	28	28

Trainc Data US	ed to calculate no	JISE L	eveis		SIII	1,000 \$	5)						-			•								
						NC	Project	0005	0005				Propo	sed Pro	ject (B-4	4) 0005				B-4R A	lt.		B-5 AI	t.
		Speed			2010 No	2025 No Proj.	2025 Exist. Zoning	2025 Exist. Zoning	2025 OCP- 2000	Exist. Plus	2010	2010 (CCS	2010 (CCS +LP	2025	2025 (CCS	2025 (CCS +LP	2025	2025 (MPAH	2025	2025 (CCS	2025 (CCS +LP	2025	2025 (CCS	2025 (CCS +LP
Roadway Segment		(mph)	Mix	Exist.	Proj.	(CCS)	(CCS)	(MPAH)	(MPAH)	Proj.	(CCS)	+LP)	+FTCS)	(CCS)	+LP)	+FTCS)	(MPAH)	+Amnd.)	(CCS)	+LP)	+FTCS)	(CCS)	+LP)	+FTCS)
Rancho Viejo																								
South	of Avery	45	1	16	18	19	19	18	18	16	18	18	18	19	19	18	18	18	19	19	18	19	19	18
North	of Trabuco Canyon	45	1	10	11	12	12	11	11	10	11	11	10	12	12	11	11	11	12	12	11	12	12	11
Trabuco Canyon	to Junipero Serra	45	1	10	11	12	13	12	12	11	11	11	11	13	12	12	12	12	13	12	12	13	12	12
South	of Juniperro	45	1	9	9	11	11	11	12	9	10	10	10	11	11	10	12	11	11	11	10	11	11	10
North	of Ortega Highway	45	1	9	10	10	11	11	12	10	10	10	10	11	11	11	12	12	11	11	11	11	11	11
Felipe Road																								
North	of La Paz Rd.	45	1	15	15	16	16	17	17	15	16	16	16	16	16	16	17	17	16	16	15	16	16	15
South	of La Paz Rd.	45	1	16	16	17	17	18	19	17	17	17	17	18	18	17	18	18	18	18	17	18	18	17
Oso Pkwy.	to Marguerite Pkwy.	45	1	12	12	12	12	12	13	12	12	12	11	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12
Golden Lantern																								
North	of Crown Valley Pkwy.	45	1	23	27	32	32	30	32	23	28	28	28	31	31	31	31	31	32	31	31	32	31	31
Crown Valley Pkwy.	to Paseo de Colinas	45	1	26	27	30	31	29	31	26	28	28	28	31	30	30	29	29	31	30	30	31	30	30
Paseo de Colinas	to Marina Mills	45	1	35	38	42	42	39	40	35	39	38	38	42	41	40	39	39	42	41	40	42	41	40
Camino Capistrano																								
South	of Paseo de Colinas	45	1	5	5	6	6	7	7	5	5	5	5	6	6	6	8	8	6	6	6	6	6	6
North	of Junipero Serra	45	1	5	5	5	5	14	14	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	15	15	5	5	5	5	5	5
Junipero Serra	to Roso	45	1	11	15	18	18	19	20	11	15	15	15	19	18	18	20	20	19	18	18	18	18	17
Roso	to Ortega Highway	45	1	13	14	15	16	14	14	13	14	14	14	16	15	15	14	14	16	15	15	16	15	15
Ortega Highway	to Del Obispo	45	1	14	17	19	19	16	16	14	17	16	16	19	18	18	16	16	19	18	18	19	18	18
Del Obispo	to San Juan Creek	45	1	19	23	26	26	23	25	19	23	22	22	26	25	24	23	23	26	25	24	26	25	24
	San Juan Creek																							
South	of Rd.	45	1	24	29	32	32	30	31	24	29	29	29	32	31	30	30	30	32	31	30	32	31	30
Antonio Parkway																								
North	of SR-241	50	1	29	38	41	41	40	41	29	38	38	38	41	41	41	41	41	41	41	41	41	41	41
Empressa	to SR-241	50	1	25	34	35	34	34	35	25	34	34	34	34	36	35	35	35	34	36	35	34	36	35
Empressa	to Banderas	50	1	26	37	37	37	36	37	26	38	38	37	37	39	37	36	37	37	39	37	37	39	37
South	of Banderas	50	1	34	43	44	44	41	44	35	45	45	44	44	46	42	41	41	44	46	41	45	47	42
North	of Oso Pkwy.	50	1	35	42	43	43	41	43	36	44	45	44	44	46	41	41	41	43	46	41	45	47	42
Oso Pkwy.	to Crown Valley Pkwy.	50	1	29	43	49	51	44	56	30	51	53	47	50	55	52	47	52	48	53	50	53	58	54
South	of Crown Valley Pkwy.	50	1	16	28	33	34	34	42	25	45	47	37	42	48	48	47	49	39	46	46	41	47	48
North	of New Ortega Hwy	50	1	12	17	21	21	22	31	20	39	42	32	41	47	48	45	49	35	43	43	40	47	49
North	of SR-74	50	1	12	17	21	21	22	31	33	27	32	30	43	58	45	40	47	37	52	40	44	59	46
La Pata																								
South	of Ortega Highway	50	1	3	3	3	4	14	23	5	4	12	12	5	25	12	22	20	4	23	10	6	25	12
San Juan Creek Rd.	to Cmno. La Ramblas	50	1					13	17			12	12		25	12	14	14		23	10		25	12
Cmno. La Ramblas	to Cmno. del Rio	50	1					11	14			11	11		22	9	13	13		21	8		22	9
Cmno. del Rio	to Vista Hermosa	50	1					20	23			12	12		24	14	22	22		23	13		24	13
Vista Hermosa	to Avnda. Pico	50	1		28	33	34	31	34		28	30	30	35	41	31	33	34	34	40	30	33	40	30
South	of Avnda. Pico	50	1	5	3	6	6	10	13	8	3	3	3	9	10	11	11	11	9	9	10	8	8	9
Camino Vera Cruz				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	-
Cmno, de Los Mare	s to Vista Hermosa	45	1	9	16	21	21	11	11	9	16	18	17	21	22	22	11	11	21	22	22	21	21	21

Traffic Data Us	sed To Calculate N	oise L	evels	i (ADT	"s in 1	l,000's	s)																	
						No	Project						Propos	sed Pro	ject (B-	4)				B-4R AI	t.		B-5 Al	t.
Deedwee Compati		Speed	Mix	Eviot	2010 No	2025 No Proj.	2025 Exist. Zoning	2025 Exist. Zoning	2025 OCP- 2000	Exist. Plus	2010	2010 (CCS	2010 (CCS +LP	2025	2025 (CCS	2025 (CCS +LP	2025	2025 (MPAH	2025	2025 (CCS	2025 (CCS +LP	2025	2025 (CCS	2025 (CCS +LP
Camino las Rambla	00	(mpn)	IVIIX	EXISI.	Proj.	(003)	(003)			Pioj.	(003)	+LP)	+F103)	(003)	+LP)	+F1C5)		+Amnu.)	(003)	+LP)	+F103)	(003)	+LP)	+F103)
	of L5	45	1	10	12	13	13	13	13	10	12	12	12	13	13	13	12	12	13	13	13	13	13	13
West	of Cmno Los Mares	45	1					6	7								6	6						
Cmno, de Los Mare	es to La Pata	45	1					6	7								6	6						
A Street			-						_									-						
South	of Oso Pkwy.	45	1				8	2	7	5				5	5	5		5	4	4	5	10	10	10
North	of New Ortega Hwy	45	1				6	5	47	6	6	6	6	6	6	6		6	5	5	5	9	9	9
West	of SR-241	45	1					5	47															
East	of SR-241	45	1					2	33															
F Street																								
Oso Pkwy.	to C St.	45	1							33			14	33	33				29	29		38	38	
C St.	to New Ortega Hwy	45	1							18			5	18	18				16	17		22	23	
C Street																								
Northeast	of FSt.	45	1							13				13	13	13		13	8	8	8	26	26	26
North	of New Ortega Hwy	45	1							17	10	10	7	17	17	15		15	15	14	13	18	18	22
New Ortega Hwy	to Ortega Hwy	45	1							33				33	28	24		23	25	20	18	22	17	17
Ortega Highway	to Talega	45	1							27				27	20	15		14	19	12	9	12	5	5
South	of Talega	45	1							16				16	13	8		8	10	7	5	8		
Talega																								
East	of Vista Hermosa	45	1	1	10	10	10	10	11	6	10	11	11	15	12	12	10	11	15	12	11	15	12	12
South	of C St.	45	1							6				6	1	1		1	5	1	1	6	1	1

Day/Evening/Night Traffic Distributions Used For CNEL Calculations

1. Arterial Roadways

	Day	Eve	Night
Auto	75.51%	12.57%	9.34%
МТ	1.56%	0.09%	0.19%
HT	0.64%	0.02%	0.08%

2. I-5

	Day	Eve	Night
Auto	74.65%	11.48%	9.57%
МТ	1.48%	0.23%	0.19%
HT	1.87%	0.29%	0.24%

3. SR-73

	Day	Eve	Night
Auto	77.14%	11.87%	9.89%
МТ	0.70%	0.11%	0.09%
HT	0.16%	0.02%	0.02%

4. SR-241

	Day	Eve	Night
Auto	73.32%	11.28%	9.40%
МТ	2.34%	0.36%	0.30%
HT	2.34%	0.36%	0.30%

5. SR-74

	Day	Eve	Night
Auto	68.87%	10.60%	8.83%
МТ	2.57%	0.40%	0.33%
HT	6.55%	1.01%	0.84%

_

Existing Traffic Noise CNEL Levels

	CNEL	Distance T	o CNEL Cor	ntour ¹ (feet)
Roadway Segment	@ 100' ¹	70 CNEL	65 CNEL	60 CNEL
I-5				
La Paz Rd. to Oso Pkwy.	81.5	588	1,266	2,728
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	81.3	565	1,218	2,623
Crown Valley Pkwy. to Avery Pkwy.	80.6	512	1,102	2,375
Avery Pkwy. to Junipero Serra	80.5	499	1,075	2,315
Junipero Serra to Ortega Highway	80.4	490	1,056	2,275
Ortega Highway to San Juan Creek	80.0	465	1,003	2,160
San Juan Creek to Stonehill	80.0	462	996	2,147
Stonehill to Cmno. Las Ramblas	79.7	445	958	2,063
Cmno. Las Ramblas to Cmno. de Los Mares	80.0	465	1,003	2,160
Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa	79.8	454	977	2,105
Vista Hermosa to Avnda. Pico	79.5	432	931	2,007
South of Avnda. Pico	78.7	378	815	1,757
SR-73				
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	72.5	147	317	684
Crown Valley Pkwy. to I-5	72.4	145	313	673
SR-241				
North of Antonio Pkwy.	69.6	94	204	438
Antonio Pkwy. to Oso Pkwy.	66.1	55	119	255
La Paz Road				
I-5 to Marguerite	68.0	73	158	341
Marguerite to Felipe Rd.	66.4	58	125	269
Oso Parkway				
West of Cabot Rd.	68.0	73	158	341
Cabot Rd. to I-5	69.8	96	207	447
East of I-5	70.7	111	240	517
West of Marguerite	69.1	88	189	406
Marguerite to Felipe Rd.	69.1	88	189	406
Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy.	69.1	88	189	406
East of Antonio Pkwy.	67.8	72	155	333
West of SR-241	67.4	67	144	310
East of SR-241	66.2	56	121	260
Crown Valley Parkway				
West of Moulton Pkwy.	68.8	83	179	385
Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield	69.3	90	195	420
Greenfield to SR-241	70.7	111	240	517
SR-241 to I-5	71.0	117	251	541
East of I-5	71.7	129	278	600
West of Marguerite	69.1	88	189	406
East of Marguerite	68.9	84	182	392
West of Antonio Pkwy.	67.0	63	136	294

Existing Traffic Noise CNEL Levels

	CNEL	Distance T	o CNEL Cor	ntour ¹ (feet)
Roadway Segment	@ 100' ¹	70 CNEL	65 CNEL	60 CNEL
Paseo de Colinas				
West of Cabot Rd.	65.7	51	111	239
Cabot Rd. to I-5	64.4	42	91	195
West of I-5	66.5	59	126	272
Avery				
I-5 to Marguerite	67.6	69	149	322
East of Marguerite	62.0	RW	64	137
Junipero Serra				
West of I-5	63.5	RW	80	171
East of I-5	64.1	40	87	187
SR-74 (Ortega Highway)				
Cmno. Capastrano to Del Obispo	67.4	67	145	312
West of I-5	72.0	135	291	627
I-5 to Rancho Viejo	72.8	154	332	715
West of La Novia	71.6	128	275	593
East of La Novia	70.7	112	242	521
West of La Pata	69.9	98	212	457
East of La Pata	68.0	74	159	344
East of New Ortega Highway	65.5	50	108	233
San Juan Creek Road				
East of I-5	64.6	44	94	203
East of Valle Rd.	63.2	RW	76	163
West of La Novia	62.0	RW	64	137
East of La Novia	61.1	RW	55	118
Camino las Ramblas				
East of I-5	62.0	RW	64	137
Camino de Los Mares				
East of I-5	67.5	68	147	316
West of Cmno. Vera Cruz	64.6	44	94	203
Cmno. Vera Crus to Cmno. del Rio	61.6	RW	59	128
Avenida Vista Hermosa				
East of I-5	66.2	56	121	260
Avenida Pico				
West of I-5	67.2	65	140	302
East of I-5	69.0	86	185	399
West of La Pata	66.8	62	133	286
La Pata to Vista Hermosa	63.6	RW	81	175
East of Vista Hermosa	61.0	RW	54	117

Existing Traffic Noise CNEL Levels

	CNEL	Distance T	o CNEL Co	ntour ¹ (feet)
Roadway Segment	@ 100' ¹	70 CNEL	65 CNEL	60 CNEL
Cabot Street				
North of Oso Pkwy.	65.1	47	101	217
South of Oso Pkwy.	64.1	40	87	187
North of Crown Valley Pkwy.	62.5	RW	68	146
Crown Valley Pkwy. to Paseo de Colinas	62.5	RW	68	146
Greenfield				
South of SR-73	65.7	51	111	239
Marguerite				
North of La Paz Rd.	68.8	83	179	385
South of La Paz Rd.	68.5	80	172	371
North of Oso Pkwy.	68.3	77	165	356
South of Oso Pkwy.	67.5	68	148	318
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	68.3	77	165	356
South of Crown Valley Pkwy.	67.5	68	148	318
North of Avery	68.0	73	158	341
Rancho Viejo				
South of Avery	64.1	40	87	187
North of Trabuco Canyon	62.0	RW	64	137
Trabuco Canyon to Junipero Serra	62.0	RW	64	137
South of Juniperro	61.6	RW	59	128
North of Ortega Highway	61.6	RW	59	128
Felipe Road				
North of La Paz Rd.	63.8	RW	83	179
South of La Paz Rd.	64.1	40	87	187
Oso Pkwy. to Marguerite Pkwy.	62.8	RW	72	155
Golden Lantern				
North of Crown Valley Pkwy.	65.7	51	111	239
Crown Valley Pkwy. to Paseo de Colinas	66.2	56	120	259
Paseo de Colinas to Marina Mills	67.5	68	147	316
Camino Capistrano				
South of Paseo de Colinas	59.0	RW	40	86
North of Junipero Serra	59.0	RW	40	86
Junipero Serra to Roso	62.5	RW	68	146
Roso to Ortega Highway	63.2	RW	76	163
Ortega Highway to Del Obispo	63.5	RW	80	171
Del Obispo to San Juan Creek	64.8	45	97	210
South of San Juan Creek Rd.	65.8	53	114	245

Existing Traffic Noise CNEL Levels

Existing frame noise onel Levels				
	CNEL	Distance T	o CNEL Cor	ntour ¹ (feet)
Roadway Segment	@ 100 ' ¹	70 CNEL	65 CNEL	60 CNEL
Antonio Parkway				
North of SR-241	67.8	72	155	333
Empressa to SR-241	67.2	65	140	302
Empressa to Banderas	67.4	67	144	310
South of Banderas	68.5	80	172	371
North of Oso Pkwy.	68.7	81	175	378
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	67.8	72	155	333
South of Crown Valley Pkwy.	65.3	48	104	224
North of New Ortega Highway	64.0	RW	86	185
North of SR-74	64.0	RW	86	185
La Pata				
South of Ortega Highway	58.0	RW	RW	73
South of Avnda. Pico	60.2	RW	48	103
Camino Vera Cruz				
Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa	61.6	RW	59	128
Camino las Ramblas				
East of I-5	62.0	RW	64	137
Talega				
East of Vista Hermosa	52.0	RW	RW	RW
		-		

1. From Roadway Centerline

RW - Contour Does Not Extend Beyond Roadway Right-of-Way

	Traffic Noise
Roadway Segment	CNEL Change
I-5	
La Paz Rd. to Oso Pkwy.	0.1
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	0.0
Crown Valley Pkwy. to Avery Pkwy.	0.0
Avery Pkwy. to Junipero Serra	0.1
Junipero Serra to Ortega Highway	0.1
Ortega Highway to San Juan Creek	0.0
San Juan Creek to Stonehill	0.0
Stonehill to Cmno. La Ramblas	0.0
Cmno. La Ramblas to Cmno. de Los Mares	0.0
Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa	0.0
Vista Hermosa to Avnda. Pico	0.1
South of Avnda. Pico	0.0
SR-73	
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	0.6
Crown Valley Pkwy. to I-5	0.7
SR-241	
North of Antonio Pkwy.	1.6
Antonio Pkwy. to Oso Pkwy.	3.5
La Paz Road	
I-5 to Marguerite	0.3
Marguerite to Felipe Rd.	0.2
Oso Parkway	
West of Cabot Rd.	0.1
Cabot Rd. to I-5	0.1
East of I-5	0.3
West of Marguerite	1.9
Marguerite to Felipe Rd.	0.8
Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy.	1.1
East of Antonio Pkwy.	1.9
West of SR-241	2.1
East of SR-241	0.0
Crown Valley Parkway	
West of Moulton Pkwy.	0.0
Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield	0.0
Greenfield to SR-241	0.0
SR-241 to I-5	0.1
East of I-5	0.1
West of Marguerite	0.7
East of Marguerite	1.0
West of Antonio Pkwy.	1.6

I raffic Noise CNEL Changes For	Existing + Project Col
Deadway Comment	I raffic Noise
Roadway Segment	CNEL Change
Wast of Cabot Dd	0.0
West of Cabot Rd.	0.0
	0.0
West of 1-5	0.0
Avery	0.0
I-5 to Marguerite	0.0
East of Marguerite	0.0
Junipero Serra	
West of I-5	0.0
East of I-5	0.0
SR-74 (Ortega Highway)	
Cmno. Capastrano to Del Obispo	0.0
West of I-5	0.1
I-5 to Rancho Viejo	0.5
West of La Novia	0.8
East of La Novia	1.3
West of La Pata	1.9
East of La Pata	-4.8
East of New Ortega Highway	0.8
San Juan Creek Road	
East of I-5	0.0
East of Valle Rd	0.0
West of La Novia	0.0
East of La Novia	0.5
Camino las Ramblas	
East of I-5	0.0
Camino de Los Mares	0.0
East of I-5	0.0
West of Cmno Vera Cruz	0.0
Cmno Vera Crus to Cmno del Rio	0.0
Avonida Vista Hormosa	0.0
Fost of L 5	0.2
East of 1-3	0.2
Avenida Pico	0.2
West of 1-5	0.2
East of 1-5	0.5
West of La Pata	1.4
La Pata to Vista Hermosa	3.7
East of Vista Hermosa	6.5
Cabot Street	
North of Oso Pkwy.	0.0
South of Oso Pkwy.	0.0
North of Crown Valley Pkwy.	0.0
Crown Valley Pkwy. to Paseo de Colinas	0.0

Traffic Noise CNEL Changes For E	xisting + Project Co
	Traffic Noise
Roadway Segment	CNEL Change
Greeniieid	0.0
South of SR-73	0.0
Marguerite	0.0
North of La Paz Rd.	0.0
South of La Paz Rd.	0.2
North of Oso Pkwy.	0.3
South of Oso Pkwy.	0.0
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	0.0
South of Crown Valley Pkwy.	0.2
North of Avery	0.0
Rancho Viejo	
South of Avery	0.0
North of Trabuco Canyon	0.0
Trabuco Canyon to Junipero Serra	0.4
South of Juniperro	0.0
North of Ortega Highway	0.5
Felipe Road	
North of La Paz Rd.	0.0
South of La Paz Rd.	0.3
Oso Pkwy. to Marguerite Pkwy.	0.0
Golden Lantern	
North of Crown Valley Pkwy.	0.0
Crown Valley Pkwy. to Paseo de Colinas	0.0
Paseo de Colinas to Marina Mills	0.0
Camino Capistrano	
South of Paseo de Colinas	0.0
North of Junipero Serra	0.0
Junipero Serra to Roso	0.0
Roso to Ortega Highway	0.0
Ortega Highway to Del Obispo	0.0
Del Obispo to San Juan Creek	0.0
South of San Juan Creek Rd	0.0
Antonio Parkway	010
North of SR-241	0.0
Empressa to SR-241	0.0
Empressa to Banderas	0.0
South of Banderas	0.0
North of Oso Pkwy	0.1
Aso Pkwy to Crown Valley Pkwy	0.1
South of Crown Valley Drug	1 0
North of New Ortage Highway	1.7
North of SP 74	2.2 1 1
$101 \text{ m} \text{ O} \text{ I} \text{ J} \text{ N}^{-}/4$	7.7

	Traffic Noise
Roadway Segment	CNEL Change
La Pata	
South of Ortega Highway	2.2
South of Avnda. Pico	2.0
Camino Vera Cruz	
Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa	0.0
Camino las Ramblas	
East of I-5	0.0
Talega	
East of Vista Hermosa	7.8

Roadway SegmentCNEL ChangeI-5La Paz Rd. to Oso Pkwy.0.1Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.0.1Crown Valley Pkwy. to Avery Pkwy.0.0Avery Pkwy. to Junipero Serra0.1Junipero Serra to Ortega Highway0.1Ortega Highway to San Juan Creek0.0San Juan Creek to Stonehill0.0Stonehill to Cmno. La Ramblas0.0Cmno. La Ramblas to Cmno. de Los Mares0.0Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa0.0Vista Hermosa to Avnda. Pico0.0South of Avnda. Pico0.0Suth ermosa to Avnda. Pico0.0Suth ermosa to Avnda. Pico0.0Suth of Antonio Pkwy.0.2Crown Valley Pkwy. to I-50.2SR-73Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.0.2Oso Pkwy. to Oso Pkwy.0.3La Paz Road1I-5 to Marguerite0.2Marguerite to Felipe Rd.0.0Oso ParkwayWest of Cabot Rd.0.0West of Cabot Rd.0.1Marguerite to Felipe Rd.0.3Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy.0.1West of SR-2410.2East of SR-2410.2East of SR-2410.0Crown Valley Parkway0.0West of Marguerite0.1SR-241 to I-50.1East of I-50.1East of I-50.1East of I-50.1East of I-50.1East of I-50.2West of Marguerite0.4Antonio Pkw		Traffic Noise
I-50.1Use Par Rd. to Oso Pkwy.0.1Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.0.1Crown Valley Pkwy. to Avery Pkwy.0.0Avery Pkwy. to Junipero Serra0.1Junipero Serra to Ortega Highway0.1Ortega Highway to San Juan Creek0.0San Juan Creek to Stonehill0.0Stonehill to Cmno. La Ramblas0.0Cmno. La Ramblas to Cmno. de Los Mares0.0Cmno. La Ramblas to Cmno. de Los Mares0.0South of Avnda. Pico0.0South of Avnda. Pico0.0South of Avnda. Pico0.0Suth of Avnda. Pico0.0Suth of Antonio Pkwy.0.2Crown Valley Pkwy. to I-50.2SR-2410.2North of Antonio Pkwy.0.3La Paz Road0.0I-5 to Marguerite0.1Marguerite to Felipe Rd.0.0Oso Parkway0.3West of Cabot Rd.0.0Cabot Rd. to I-50.1East of I-50.1West of SR-2410.2East of SR-2410.2East of SR-2410.2East of SR-2410.0Crown Valley Parkway0.0West of Moulton Pkwy.0.1West of Marguerite0.1SR-241 to I-50.1East of I-50.1SR-241 to I-50.1SR-241 to I-50.1SR-241 to I-50.1East of I-50.2West of Marguerite0.4East of Marguerite0.4 <t< th=""><th>Roadway Segment</th><th>CNEL Change</th></t<>	Roadway Segment	CNEL Change
La Paz Rd. to Oso Pkwy. 0.1 Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy. 0.1 Crown Valley Pkwy. to Avery Pkwy. 0.0 Avery Pkwy. to Junipero Serra 0.1 Junipero Serra to Ortega Highway 0.1 Ortega Highway to San Juan Creek 0.0 San Juan Creek to Stonehill 0.0 Stonehill to Cmno. La Ramblas 0.0 Cmno. La Ramblas to Cmno. de Los Mares 0.0 Cmno. La Ramblas to Cmno. de Los Mares 0.0 Stonehill to Cmno. La Ramblas 0.0 Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa 0.0 South of Avnda. Pico 0.0 South of Avnda. Pico 0.0 Suth of Avnda. Pico 0.0 Suth of Avnda. Pico 0.0 SR-73 0.5 Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy. 0.2 Crown Valley Pkwy. to I-5 0.2 SR-241 0.4 North of Antonio Pkwy. 0.3 La Paz Road $1-5$ to MargueriteI-5 to Marguerite 0.1 West of Cabot Rd. 0.0 Cabot Rd. to I-5 0.1 West of Marguerite 0.1 Marguerite to Felipe Rd. 0.3 Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy. 0.1 West of SR-241 0.2 East of SR-241 0.2 East of SR-241 0.0 Crown Valley ParkwayWest of Moulton Pkwy.West of Moulton Pkwy. 0.0 Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield 0.0 Greenfield to SR-241 0.1 SR-241 to I-5 0.1 East of Marguerite 0.4	1-5	0.4
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.0.1Crown Valley Pkwy. to Avery Pkwy.0.0Avery Pkwy. to Junipero Serra0.1Junipero Serra to Ortega Highway0.1Ortega Highway to San Juan Creek0.0San Juan Creek to Stonehill0.0Stonehill to Cmno. La Ramblas0.0Cmno. La Ramblas to Cmno. de Los Mares0.0Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa0.0Suth ermosa to Avnda. Pico0.0South of Avnda. Pico0.0Suth ermosa to Avnda. Pico0.0Suth of Avnda. Pico0.2Crown Valley Pkwy. to I-50.2SR-730.3Crown Valley Pkwy. to I-50.2SR-2410.1North of Antonio Pkwy.0.4Antonio Pkwy. to Oso Pkwy.0.3La Paz Road0.0I-5 to Marguerite0.1Usst of Cabot Rd.0.0Cabot Rd. to I-50.1West of Cabot Rd.0.3Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy.0.3East of I-50.1West of SR-2410.2East of SR-2410.2East of SR-2410.0Crown Valley Parkway0.0West of Moulton Pkwy.0.0Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield0.0Greenfield to SR-2410.1SR-241 to I-50.1East of I-50.2West of Marguerite0.4Antonio Pkwy.0.0Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield0.0Greenfield to SR-2410.1SR-241 to I-50.1	La Paz Rd. to Oso Pkwy.	0.1
Crown Valley Pkwy. to Avery Pkwy. 0.0 Avery Pkwy. to Junipero Serra 0.1 Junipero Serra to Ortega Highway 0.1 Ortega Highway to San Juan Creek 0.0 San Juan Creek to Stonehill 0.0 Stonehill to Cmno. La Ramblas 0.0 Cmno. La Ramblas to Cmno. de Los Mares 0.0 Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa 0.0 Vista Hermosa to Avnda. Pico 0.0 South of Avnda. Pico 0.0 Suuth of Antonio Pkwy. 0.2 Crown Valley Pkwy. to I-5 0.2 SR-241 0.2 North of Antonio Pkwy. 0.4 Antonio Pkwy. to Oso Pkwy. 0.3 La Paz Road 1.5 to MargueriteI-5 to Marguerite 0.1 West of Cabot Rd. 0.0 Cabot Rd. to I-5 0.1 West of Marguerite 0.1 Marguerite to Felipe Rd. 0.3 Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy. 0.1 West of SR-241 0.2 East of Antonio Pkwy. 0.1 West of SR-241 0.2 East of SR-241 0.0 Crown Valley Parkway 0.0 West of Moulton Pkwy. 0.0 Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield 0.0 Greenfield to SR-241 0.1 SR-241 to I-5 0.1 East of I-5 0.2 West of Marguerite 0.4 East of Marguerite<	Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	0.1
Avery Pkwy. to Junipero Serra0.1Junipero Serra to Ortega Highway0.1Ortega Highway to San Juan Creek0.0San Juan Creek to Stonehill0.0Stonehill to Cmno. La Ramblas0.0Cmno. La Ramblas to Cmno. de Los Mares0.0Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa0.0Vista Hermosa to Avnda. Pico0.0South of Avnda. Pico0.0South of Avnda. Pico0.0Suth of Avnda. Pico0.0SR-730so Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.0.2Crown Valley Pkwy. to I-50.2SR-2410.4North of Antonio Pkwy.0.3La Paz Road0.0I-5 to Marguerite0.2Marguerite to Felipe Rd.0.0Oso Parkway0.1West of Cabot Rd.0.0Cabot Rd. to I-50.1East of I-50.1West of SR-2410.2East of SR-2410.2East of SR-2410.2East of SR-2410.0Crown Valley Parkway0.0West of Moulton Pkwy.0.0Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield0.0Greenfield to SR-2410.1SR-241 to I-50.1East of I-50.2West of Marguerite0.1West of Moulton Pkwy.0.0Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield0.0Greenfield to SR-2410.1SR-241 to I-50.1East of I-50.2West of Marguerite0.4East of Marguerite0.4E	Crown Valley Pkwy. to Avery Pkwy.	0.0
Junipero Serra to Ortega Highway 0.1 Ortega Highway to San Juan Creek 0.0 San Juan Creek to Stonchill 0.0 Stonchill to Cmno. La Ramblas 0.0 Cmno. La Ramblas to Cmno. de Los Mares 0.0 Cmno. La Ramblas to Vista Hermosa 0.0 Suth of Avnda. Pico 0.0 South of Avnda. Pico 0.0 South of Avnda. Pico 0.0 Suth of Avnda. Pico 0.2 Crown Valley Pkwy. to I-5 0.2 Strata 0.0 Suth of Antonio Pkwy. 0.3 La Paz Road 0.0 I-5 to Marguerite 0.0 Oso Parkway 0.0 West of Cabot Rd. 0.0 Cabot Rd. to I-5 0.1 East of I-5 0.1 West of Marguerite 0.1 Marguerite to Felipe Rd. 0.3 East of SR-241 0.2 East of SR-241 0.0 Crown Valley Parkway 0.0 West of Moulton Pkwy. 0.0 Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield 0.0	Avery Pkwy. to Junipero Serra	0.1
Ortega Highway to San Juan Creek 0.0 San Juan Creek to Stonehill 0.0 Stonehill to Cmno. La Ramblas 0.0 Cmno. La Ramblas to Orno. de Los Mares 0.0 Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa 0.0 Vista Hermosa to Avnda. Pico 0.0 South of Avnda. Pico 0.0 Suth of Avnda. Pico 0.0 SR-73 0.2 Crown Valley Pkwy. to I-5 0.2 SR-241 0.2 North of Antonio Pkwy. 0.4 Antonio Pkwy. to Oso Pkwy. 0.3 La Paz Road 1.5 to MargueriteI-5 to Marguerite 0.0 Oso Parkway $West$ of Cabot Rd.West of Cabot Rd. 0.0 Cabot Rd. to I-5 0.1 West of Marguerite 0.1 Marguerite to Felipe Rd. 0.3 East of I-5 0.1 West of SR-241 0.2 East of SR-241 0.2 East of SR-241 0.0 Crown Valley Parkway 0.0 West of Moulton Pkwy. to Greenfield 0.0 Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield 0.0 Greenfield to SR-241 0.1 SR-241 to I-5 0.1 East of I-5 0.2 West of Marguerite 0.4 East of I-5 0.2 West of Marguerite 0.4 East of Marguerite 0.4 East of Marguerite 0.4 </td <td>Junipero Serra to Ortega Highway</td> <td>0.1</td>	Junipero Serra to Ortega Highway	0.1
San Juan Creek to Stonehill 0.0 Stonehill to Cmno. La Ramblas 0.0 Cmno. La Ramblas to Cmno. de Los Mares 0.0 Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa 0.0 Vista Hermosa to Avnda. Pico 0.0 South of Avnda. Pico 0.0 South of Avnda. Pico 0.0 Swith Presson 0.0 Suth of Avnda. Pico 0.0 SR-73 0.2 Crown Valley Pkwy. to I-5 0.2 SR-241 0.2 North of Antonio Pkwy. 0.4 Antonio Pkwy. to Oso Pkwy. 0.3 La Paz Road 1.5 I-5 to Marguerite 0.0 Oso Parkway 0.0 West of Cabot Rd. 0.0 Cabot Rd. to I-5 0.1 East of I-5 0.1 West of Marguerite 0.1 Marguerite to Felipe Rd. 0.3 East of Antonio Pkwy. 0.1 West of SR-241 0.2 East of SR-241 0.2 East of SR-241 0.0 Crown Valley Parkway 0.0 West of Moulton Pkwy. to Greenfield 0.0 Greenfield to SR-241 0.1 SR-241 to I-5 0.1 East of I-5 0.2 West of Marguerite 0.4 East of I-5 0.2 West of Marguerite 0.4 East of I-5 0.2 West of Marguerite 0.4 East of Marguerite 0.4 <t< td=""><td>Ortega Highway to San Juan Creek</td><td>0.0</td></t<>	Ortega Highway to San Juan Creek	0.0
Stonehill to Cmno. La Ramblas0.0Cmno. La Ramblas to Cmno. de Los Mares0.0Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa0.0Vista Hermosa to Avnda. Pico0.0South of Avnda. Pico0.0South of Avnda. Pico0.0Swept and the end of the end	San Juan Creek to Stonehill	0.0
Cmno. La Ramblas to Cmno. de Los Mares0.0Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa0.0Vista Hermosa to Avnda. Pico0.0South of Avnda. Pico0.0Suth of Avnda. Pico0.0Swr730so Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.0.2Crown Valley Pkwy. to I-50.2SR-2410.4North of Antonio Pkwy.0.4Antonio Pkwy. to Oso Pkwy.0.3La Paz Road0.0I-5 to Marguerite0.2Marguerite to Felipe Rd.0.0Oso Parkway0.1West of Cabot Rd.0.0Cabot Rd. to I-50.1East of I-50.1West of Marguerite0.1Marguerite to Felipe Rd.0.3East of I-50.1West of SR-2410.2East of SR-2410.2East of SR-2410.0Crown Valley Parkway0.0West of Moulton Pkwy.0.1West of Moulton Pkwy. to Greenfield0.0Greenfield to SR-2410.1SR-241 to I-50.1East of I-50.2West of Marguerite0.4East of Marguerite0.6West of Antonio Pkwy.0.9East of Antonio Pkwy.0.9East of Antonio Pkw	Stonehill to Cmno. La Ramblas	0.0
Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa 0.0 Vista Hermosa to Avnda. Pico 0.0 South of Avnda. Pico 0.0 Suth of Avnda. Pico 0.0 SR-73 0 Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy. 0.2 Crown Valley Pkwy. to I-5 0.2 SR-241 0.4 North of Antonio Pkwy. 0.4 Antonio Pkwy. to Oso Pkwy. 0.3 La Paz Road 0.0 I-5 to Marguerite 0.2 Marguerite to Felipe Rd. 0.0 Oso Parkway 0.0 West of Cabot Rd. 0.0 Cabot Rd. to I-5 0.1 East of I-5 0.1 West of Marguerite 0.1 Marguerite to Felipe Rd. 0.3 Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy. 0.3 East of SR-241 0.2 East of SR-241 0.2 East of SR-241 0.0 Crown Valley Parkway 0.0 West of Moulton Pkwy. 0.0 Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield 0.0 Greenfield to SR-241 0.1 SR-241 to I-5 0.1 East of I-5 0.2 West of Moulton Pkwy. 0.2 West of Marguerite 0.4 East of Marguerite 0.4 East of Marguerite 0.4 East of Marguerite 0.6 West of Antonio Pkwy. 0.9 East of Antonio Pkwy. 0.9 East of Antonio Pkwy. 0.9 East of Antonio Pkwy. 0.9	Cmno. La Ramblas to Cmno. de Los Mares	0.0
Vista Hermosa to Avnda. Pico 0.0 South of Avnda. Pico 0.0 SR-73 0.0 Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy. 0.2 Crown Valley Pkwy. to I-5 0.2 SR-241 0.4 North of Antonio Pkwy. 0.4 Antonio Pkwy. to Oso Pkwy. 0.3 La Paz Road 0.0 I-5 to Marguerite 0.2 Marguerite to Felipe Rd. 0.0 Oso Parkway 0.0 West of Cabot Rd. 0.0 Cabot Rd. to I-5 0.1 East of I-5 0.1 West of Marguerite 0.1 Marguerite to Felipe Rd. 0.3 Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy. 0.3 East of SR-241 0.2 East of SR-241 0.2 East of SR-241 0.0 Crown Valley Parkway 0.0 West of Moulton Pkwy. 0.0 Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield 0.0 Greenfield to SR-241 0.1 SR-241 to I-5 0.1 East of I-5 0.2 West of Marguerite 0.4 East of I-5 0.2 West of Marguerite 0.4 East of Marguerite 0.4 East of Marguerite 0.6 West of Antonio Pkwy. 0.9 East of Antonio Pkwy. 0.9	Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa	0.0
South of Avnda. Pico 0.0 SR-73 0 Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy. 0.2 Crown Valley Pkwy. to I-5 0.2 SR-241 0.4 North of Antonio Pkwy. 0.3 La Paz Road 0.0 I-5 to Marguerite 0.2 Marguerite to Felipe Rd. 0.0 Oso Parkway 0.0 West of Cabot Rd. 0.0 Cabot Rd. to I-5 0.1 East of I-5 0.1 West of Marguerite 0.1 Marguerite to Felipe Rd. 0.3 Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy. 0.3 East of SR-241 0.2 East of SR-241 0.2 East of SR-241 0.0 Crown Valley Parkway 0.0 West of Mouton Pkwy. 0.0 Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield 0.0 Greenfield to SR-241 0.1 SR-241 to I-5 0.1 East of I-5 0.2 West of Mouton Pkwy. 0.0 Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield 0.0 Greenfield to SR-241 0.1 SR-241 to I-5 0.1 East of I-5 0.2 West of Marguerite 0.4 East of Marguerite 0.4 East of Marguerite 0.6 West of Antonio Pkwy. $$	Vista Hermosa to Avnda. Pico	0.0
SR-73 0.2 Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy. 0.2 Crown Valley Pkwy. to I-5 0.2 SR-241 0.4 North of Antonio Pkwy. 0.3 La Paz Road 0.2 I-5 to Marguerite 0.2 Marguerite to Felipe Rd. 0.0 Oso Parkway 0.3 West of Cabot Rd. 0.0 Cabot Rd. to I-5 0.1 East of I-5 0.1 West of Marguerite 0.1 Marguerite to Felipe Rd. 0.3 Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy. 0.3 East of I-5 0.1 West of SR-241 0.2 East of SR-241 0.2 East of SR-241 0.0 Crown Valley Parkway 0.0 West of Moulton Pkwy. 0.0 Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield 0.0 Greenfield to SR-241 0.1 SR-241 to I-5 0.1 East of I-5 0.2 West of Marguerite 0.4 East of I-5 0.2 West of Marguerite 0.4 East of I-5 0.2 West of Marguerite 0.4 East of I-5 0.2 West of Marguerite 0.6 West of Antonio Pkwy. 0.9 East of Antonio Pkwy. 0.9	South of Avnda. Pico	0.0
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy. 0.2 Crown Valley Pkwy. to I-5 0.2 SR-241 0.4 North of Antonio Pkwy. 0.3 La Paz Road 0.2 I-5 to Marguerite 0.2 Marguerite to Felipe Rd. 0.0 Oso Parkway 0.0 West of Cabot Rd. 0.0 Cabot Rd. to I-5 0.1 East of I-5 0.1 West of Marguerite 0.1 Marguerite to Felipe Rd. 0.3 Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy. 0.3 East of SR-241 0.2 East of SR-241 0.0 Crown Valley Parkway 0.0 West of Moulton Pkwy. 0.0 Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield 0.0 Greenfield to SR-241 0.1 SR-241 to I-5 0.1 East of I-5 0.2 West of Marguerite 0.4 East of I-5 0.2 West of Moulton Pkwy. 0.0 Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield 0.0 Greenfield to SR-241 0.1 SR-241 to I-5 0.1 East of I-5 0.2 West of Marguerite 0.4 East of I-5 0.2 West of Marguerite 0.6 West of Antonio Pkwy. 0.9 East of Antonio Pkwy. 0.9	SR-73	
Crown Valley Pkwy. to I-5 0.2 SR-2410.4North of Antonio Pkwy. to Oso Pkwy. 0.3 La Paz Road1-5 to Marguerite 0.2 Marguerite to Felipe Rd. 0.0 Oso Parkway0.0West of Cabot Rd. 0.0 Cabot Rd. to I-5 0.1 East of I-5 0.1 West of Marguerite 0.1 Marguerite to Felipe Rd. 0.3 Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy. 0.3 East of SR-241 0.2 East of SR-241 0.2 East of SR-241 0.0 Crown Valley Parkway 0.0 West of Moulton Pkwy. 0.0 Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield 0.0 Greenfield to SR-241 0.1 SR-241 to I-5 0.1 East of I-5 0.2 West of Marguerite 0.4 East of I-5 0.2 West of Moulton Pkwy. 0.9 East of I-5 0.2 West of Marguerite 0.4 East of I-5 0.2 West of Marguerite 0.4 East of I-5 0.2 West of Antonio Pkwy. 0.9 East of Antonio Pkwy. 0.9 East of Antonio Pkwy. 0.9 East of Antonio Pkwy. 0.9	Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	0.2
SR-241North of Antonio Pkwy. 0.4 Antonio Pkwy. to Oso Pkwy. 0.3 La Paz Road $1-5$ to Marguerite 0.2 Marguerite to Felipe Rd. 0.0 Oso Parkway 0.0 West of Cabot Rd. 0.0 Cabot Rd. to I-5 0.1 East of I-5 0.1 West of Marguerite 0.1 Marguerite to Felipe Rd. 0.3 Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy. 0.3 East of SR-241 0.2 East of SR-241 0.2 East of SR-241 0.0 Crown Valley Parkway 0.0 West of Moulton Pkwy. 0.0 Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield 0.0 Greenfield to SR-241 0.1 SR-241 to I-5 0.1 East of I-5 0.2 West of Marguerite 0.4 East of I-5 0.2 West of Marguerite 0.4 East of Marguerite 0.4 East of I-5 0.2 West of Antonio Pkwy. 0.9 East of Antonio Pkwy. 0.9	Crown Valley Pkwy. to I-5	0.2
North of Antonio Pkwy. 0.4 Antonio Pkwy. to Oso Pkwy. 0.3 La Paz Road $1-5$ to Marguerite 0.2 Marguerite to Felipe Rd. 0.0 Oso Parkway 0.0 West of Cabot Rd. 0.0 Cabot Rd. to $1-5$ 0.1 East of $1-5$ 0.1 West of Marguerite 0.1 Marguerite to Felipe Rd. 0.3 Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy. 0.3 East of SR-241 0.2 East of SR-241 0.2 East of SR-241 0.0 Crown Valley Parkway 0.0 West of Moulton Pkwy. 0.0 Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield 0.0 Greenfield to SR-241 0.1 SR-241 to $1-5$ 0.1 East of I-5 0.2 West of Moulton Pkwy. 0.0 Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield 0.0 Greenfield to SR-241 0.1 SR-241 to $1-5$ 0.1 East of I-5 0.2 West of Marguerite 0.4 East of I-5 0.2 West of Marguerite 0.6 West of Antonio Pkwy. 0.9 East of Antonio Pkwy. 0.9	SR-241	
Antonio Pkwy. to Oso Pkwy. 0.3 La Paz Road $1-5$ to Marguerite 0.2 Marguerite to Felipe Rd. 0.0 Oso Parkway 0.0 West of Cabot Rd. 0.0 Cabot Rd. to I-5 0.1 East of 1-5 0.1 West of Marguerite 0.1 Marguerite to Felipe Rd. 0.3 Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy. 0.3 East of SR-241 0.2 East of SR-241 0.2 East of SR-241 0.0 Crown Valley Parkway 0.0 West of Moulton Pkwy. to Greenfield 0.0 Greenfield to SR-241 0.1 SR-241 to I-5 0.1 East of I-5 0.2 West of Marguerite 0.4 East of I-5 0.2 West of Marguerite 0.4 East of Antonio Pkwy. 0.9 East of Antonio Pkwy. 0.9	North of Antonio Pkwy.	0.4
La Paz Road 0.2 I-5 to Marguerite to Felipe Rd. 0.0 Oso Parkway 0.0 West of Cabot Rd. 0.0 Cabot Rd. to I-5 0.1 East of I-5 0.1 West of Marguerite 0.1 Marguerite to Felipe Rd. 0.3 Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy. 0.3 East of SR-241 0.2 East of SR-241 0.0 Crown Valley Parkway 0.0 West of Moulton Pkwy. 0.0 Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield 0.0 Greenfield to SR-241 0.1 SR-241 to I-5 0.1 East of I-5 0.2 West of Marguerite 0.4 East of Antonio Pkwy. 0.9 East of Antonio Pkwy. 0.9	Antonio Pkwy. to Oso Pkwy.	0.3
I-5 to Marguerite 0.2 Marguerite to Felipe Rd. 0.0 Oso Parkway 0.0 West of Cabot Rd. 0.0 Cabot Rd. to I-5 0.1 East of I-5 0.1 West of Marguerite 0.1 Marguerite to Felipe Rd. 0.3 Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy. 0.3 East of SR-241 0.2 East of SR-241 0.2 East of SR-241 0.0 Crown Valley Parkway 0.0 West of Moulton Pkwy. 0.0 Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield 0.0 Greenfield to SR-241 0.1 SR-241 to I-5 0.1 East of I-5 0.2 West of Marguerite 0.4 East of Marguerite 0.6 West of Marguerite 0.6 West of Antonio Pkwy. 0.9	La Paz Road	
Marguerite to Felipe Rd.0.0Oso Parkway0.0West of Cabot Rd.0.0Cabot Rd. to I-50.1East of I-50.1West of Marguerite0.1Marguerite to Felipe Rd.0.3Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy.0.3East of SR-2410.2East of SR-2410.0Crown Valley Parkway0.0West of Moulton Pkwy.0.0Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield0.0Greenfield to SR-2410.1SR-241 to I-50.1East of I-50.2West of Marguerite0.4East of Marguerite0.6West of Antonio Pkwy.0.9East of Antonio Pkwy.0.9	I-5 to Marguerite	0.2
Oso Parkway0.0West of Cabot Rd.0.0Cabot Rd. to I-50.1East of I-50.1West of Marguerite0.1Marguerite to Felipe Rd.0.3Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy.0.3East of Antonio Pkwy.0.1West of SR-2410.2East of SR-2410.0Crown Valley Parkway0.0West of Moulton Pkwy.0.0Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield0.0Greenfield to SR-2410.1SR-241 to I-50.1East of I-50.2West of Marguerite0.4East of Marguerite0.6West of Antonio Pkwy.0.9East of Antonio Pkwy.0.9	Marguerite to Felipe Rd.	0.0
West of Cabot Rd. 0.0 Cabot Rd. to I-5 0.1 East of I-5 0.1 West of Marguerite 0.1 Marguerite to Felipe Rd. 0.3 Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy. 0.3 East of Antonio Pkwy. 0.1 West of SR-241 0.2 East of SR-241 0.0 Crown Valley Parkway 0.0 West of Moulton Pkwy. 0.0 Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield 0.0 Greenfield to SR-241 0.1 SR-241 to I-5 0.1 East of I-5 0.2 West of Marguerite 0.4 East of Marguerite 0.6 West of Antonio Pkwy. 0.9 East of Antonio Pkwy. 0.9	Oso Parkway	
Cabot Rd. to I-5 0.1 East of I-5 0.1 West of Marguerite 0.1 Marguerite to Felipe Rd. 0.3 Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy. 0.3 East of Antonio Pkwy. 0.1 West of SR-241 0.2 East of SR-241 0.0 Crown Valley ParkwayWest of Moulton Pkwy. 0.0 Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield 0.0 Greenfield to SR-241 0.1 SR-241 to I-5 0.1 East of I-5 0.2 West of Marguerite 0.4 East of Marguerite 0.6 West of Antonio Pkwy. 0.9 East of Antonio Pkwy. 0.9	West of Cabot Rd.	0.0
East of I-50.1West of Marguerite0.1Marguerite to Felipe Rd.0.3Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy.0.3East of Antonio Pkwy.0.1West of SR-2410.2East of SR-2410.0Crown Valley Parkway0.0West of Moulton Pkwy.0.0Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield0.0Greenfield to SR-2410.1SR-241 to I-50.1East of I-50.2West of Marguerite0.4East of Marguerite0.6West of Antonio Pkwy.0.9East of Antonio Pkwy.0.9	Cabot Rd. to I-5	0.1
West of Marguerite0.1Marguerite to Felipe Rd.0.3Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy.0.3East of Antonio Pkwy.0.1West of SR-2410.2East of SR-2410.0Crown Valley ParkwayWest of Moulton Pkwy.0.0Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield0.0Greenfield to SR-2410.1SR-241 to I-50.1East of I-50.2West of Marguerite0.4East of Marguerite0.6West of Antonio Pkwy.0.9East of Antonio Pkwy	East of I-5	0.1
Marguerite to Felipe Rd.0.3Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy.0.3East of Antonio Pkwy.0.1West of SR-2410.2East of SR-2410.0Crown Valley ParkwayWest of Moulton Pkwy.0.0Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield0.0Greenfield to SR-2410.1SR-241 to I-50.1East of I-50.2West of Marguerite0.4East of Marguerite0.6West of Antonio Pkwy.0.9East of Antonio Pkwy	West of Marguerite	0.1
Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy.0.3East of Antonio Pkwy.0.1West of SR-2410.2East of SR-2410.0Crown Valley Parkway0.0West of Moulton Pkwy.0.0Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield0.0Greenfield to SR-2410.1SR-241 to I-50.1East of I-50.2West of Marguerite0.4East of Marguerite0.6West of Antonio Pkwy.0.9East of Antonio Pkwy	Marguerite to Felipe Rd.	0.3
East of Antonio Pkwy.0.1West of SR-2410.2East of SR-2410.0Crown Valley Parkway0.0West of Moulton Pkwy.0.0Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield0.0Greenfield to SR-2410.1SR-241 to I-50.1East of I-50.2West of Marguerite0.4East of Marguerite0.6West of Antonio Pkwy.0.9East of Antonio Pkwy	Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy.	0.3
West of SR-2410.2East of SR-2410.0Crown Valley Parkway0.0West of Moulton Pkwy.0.0Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield0.0Greenfield to SR-2410.1SR-241 to I-50.1East of I-50.2West of Marguerite0.6West of Antonio Pkwy.0.9East of Antonio Pkwy	East of Antonio Pkwy.	0.1
East of SR-2410.0Crown Valley Parkway0.0West of Moulton Pkwy.0.0Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield0.0Greenfield to SR-2410.1SR-241 to I-50.1East of I-50.2West of Marguerite0.4East of Marguerite0.6West of Antonio Pkwy.0.9East of Antonio Pkwy	West of SR-241	0.2
Crown Valley Parkway0.0West of Moulton Pkwy.0.0Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield0.0Greenfield to SR-2410.1SR-241 to I-50.1East of I-50.2West of Marguerite0.4East of Marguerite0.6West of Antonio Pkwy.0.9East of Antonio Pkwy	East of SR-241	0.0
West of Moulton Pkwy.0.0Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield0.0Greenfield to SR-2410.1SR-241 to I-50.1East of I-50.2West of Marguerite0.4East of Marguerite0.6West of Antonio Pkwy.0.9East of Antonio Pkwy	Crown Valley Parkway	
Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield0.0Greenfield to SR-2410.1SR-241 to I-50.1East of I-50.2West of Marguerite0.4East of Marguerite0.6West of Antonio Pkwy.0.9East of Antonio Pkwy	West of Moulton Pkwy.	0.0
Greenfield to SR-2410.1SR-241 to I-50.1East of I-50.2West of Marguerite0.4East of Marguerite0.6West of Antonio Pkwy.0.9East of Antonio Pkwy	Mounton Pkwy, to Greenfield	0.0
SR-241 to I-50.1East of I-50.2West of Marguerite0.4East of Marguerite0.6West of Antonio Pkwy.0.9East of Antonio Pkwy	Greenfield to SR-241	0.1
East of I-50.2West of Marguerite0.4East of Marguerite0.6West of Antonio Pkwy.0.9East of Antonio Pkwy	SR-241 to I-5	0.1
West of Marguerite0.2West of Marguerite0.4East of Marguerite0.6West of Antonio Pkwy.0.9East of Antonio Pkwy	East of I-5	0.2
East of Marguerite0.4East of Marguerite0.6West of Antonio Pkwy.0.9East of Antonio Pkwy	West of Marguerite	0.4
West of Antonio Pkwy.0.9East of Antonio Pkwy	Fast of Marguerite	0.6
East of Antonio Pkwy.	West of Antonio Pkwy	0.0
	Fast of Antonio Pkwy	
West of SR-241	West of SR_{241}	
Fast of SR-241	Fast of SR-241	

2010 Traffic Noise CNEL Changes Due To Phase 1 of Project

2010 Traffic Noise CNEL Changes	Due to Phase 1 of Pr
	Traffic Noise
Roadway Segment	CNEL Change
Paseo de Colhas	0.0
West of Cabot Rd.	0.0
Cabot Rd. to 1-5	0.0
West of I-5	0.0
Avery	0.0
I-5 to Marguerite	0.0
East of Marguerite	0.4
Junipero Serra	0.0
West of I-5	0.0
East of I-5	0.0
SR-74 (Ortega Highway)	
Cmno. Capastrano to Del Obispo	0.0
West of I-5	0.0
I-5 to Rancho Viejo	0.5
West of La Novia	0.7
East of La Novia	0.9
West of La Pata	1.1
East of La Pata	0.0
West of SR-241	0.0
East of SR-241	0.0
East of C St.	0.0
East of New Ortega Highway	0.0
San Juan Creek Road	
East of I-5	0.0
East of Valle Rd.	0.3
West of La Novia	0.0
East of La Novia	0.3
Camino las Ramblas	
East of I-5	0.0
Camino de Los Mares	
East of I-5	0.0
West of Cmno. Vera Cruz	0.0
Cmno. Vera Crus to Cmno. del Rio	0.0
Camino del Rio	
Cmno. de Los Mares to La Pata	0.0
Avenida Vista Hermosa	
East of I-5	0.0
Cmno. Vera Cruz to La Pata	0.0
La Pata to Talega	0.0
Talega to Pico	0.0

2010 Traffic Noise CNEL Changes Due To Phase 1 of Project

2010 Traffic Noise CNEL Changes D	ue To Phase 1 of I
	Traffic Noise
Roadway Segment	CNEL Change
Avenida Pico	0.0
West of 1-5	0.0
East of I-5	0.1
West of La Pata	0.0
La Pata to Vista Hermosa	0.0
East of Vista Hermosa	0.0
Cabot Street	
North of Oso Pkwy.	0.0
South of Oso Pkwy.	0.3
North of Crown Valley Pkwy.	0.0
Crown Valley Pkwy. to Paseo de Colinas	0.0
Greenfield	
South of SR-73	0.0
Marguerite	
North of La Paz Rd.	0.1
South of La Paz Rd.	0.1
North of Oso Pkwy.	0.1
South of Oso Pkwy.	0.0
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	0.1
South of Crown Valley Pkwy.	0.0
North of Avery	0.0
Rancho Vieio	
South of Avery	0.0
North of Trabuco Canvon	0.0
Trabuco Canvon to Junipero Serra	0.0
South of Juniperro	0.5
North of Ortega Highway	0.0
Felipe Road	0.0
North of La Paz Rd.	0.3
South of La Paz Rd	0.3
Oso Pkwy to Marguerite Pkwy	0.0
Golden Lantern	0.0
North of Crown Valley Pkwy	0.2
Crown Valley Pkwy, to Paseo de Colinas	0.2
Paseo de Colinas to Marina Mills	0.2
Comino Conistrono	0.1
South of Passo de Colines	0.0
North of Juniners Serve	0.0
Inorm of Junipero Serra	0.0
Jumpero Serra lo Koso	0.0
Koso to Urtega Highway	0.0
Ortega Highway to Del Obispo	0.0
Del Obispo to San Juan Creek	0.0
South of San Juan Creek Rd.	0.0

To Phase 1 of P 2010 Traffia Naia **.**.... roject

T	Traffic Noise
Roadway Segment	CNEL Change
Antonio Parkway	
North of SR-241	0.0
Empressa to SR-241	0.0
Empressa to Banderas	0.1
South of Banderas	0.2
North of Oso Pkwy.	0.2
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	0.7
South of Crown Valley Pkwy.	2.1
North of New Ortega Highway	3.6
North of SR-74	2.0
La Pata	
South of Ortega Highway	1.2
Vista Hermosa to Avnda. Pico	0.0
South of Avnda. Pico	0.0
Camino Vera Cruz	
Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa	0.0
Camino las Ramblas	
East of I-5	0.0
Talega	
East of Vista Hermosa	0.0

2010 Traffic Noise CNEL Changes Due To Phase 1 of Project

e 5 1 1
) [])
) 1 1)
1 1)
1
L))
)
)
1
1
,
_
)
)
)
i
,
2
J J J J J J J J Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z Z

Traine Noise ONEE Onanges Due	Traffic N	oise CNFI	Change
Roadway Segment	Project	B-4R	B-5
Paseo de Colinas			
West of Cabot Rd.	0.0	0.0	0.0
Cabot Rd. to I-5	0.0	0.0	0.0
West of I-5	0.1	0.1	0.1
Avery			
I-5 to Marguerite	0.0	0.0	-0.1
East of Marguerite	0.0	0.0	-0.4
Junipero Serra			
West of I-5	0.2	0.0	0.0
East of I-5	0.0	0.0	0.0
SR-74 (Ortega Highway)			
Cmno. Capastrano to Del Obispo	0.0	0.0	0.3
West of I-5	0.1	0.1	0.1
I-5 to Rancho Viejo	0.5	0.2	0.5
West of La Novia	0.7	0.3	0.7
East of La Novia	1.0	0.7	1.1
West of La Pata	1.5	1.0	1.6
East of La Pata	-5.1	-7.3	-4.3
East of New Ortega Highway	0.7	0.4	0.7
San Juan Creek Road			
East of I-5	0.0	0.0	0.0
East of Valle Rd.	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2
West of La Novia	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3
East of La Novia	0.3	0.3	0.3
Camino las Ramblas			
East of I-5	0.0	0.0	0.0
Camino de Los Mares			
East of I-5	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
West of Cmno. Vera Cruz	0.0	0.0	0.0
Cmno. Vera Crus to Cmno. del Rio	0.0	0.0	0.0
Avenida Vista Hermosa			
East of I-5	0.1	0.1	0.0
Cmno. Vera Cruz to La Pata	0.2	0.2	0.1
La Pata to Talega	0.2	0.2	0.3
Talega to Pico	2.4	1.8	1.8
Avenida Pico			
West of I-5	0.2	0.2	0.0
East of I-5	0.4	0.4	-0.2
West of La Pata	1.3	1.2	-0.2
La Pata to Vista Hermosa	4.3	3.9	0.5
East of Vista Hermosa	4.3	4.0	0.0

	Traffic N	Traffic Noise CNEL Change		
Roadway Segment	Project	B-4R	B-5	
Cabot Street				
North of Oso Pkwy.	0.0	0.0	0.0	
South of Oso Pkwy.	0.0	0.0	0.0	
North of Crown Valley Pkwy.	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Crown Valley Pkwy. to Paseo de Colinas	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Greenfield				
South of SR-73	0.0	0.0	0.2	
Marguerite				
North of La Paz Rd.	0.0	0.0	0.0	
South of La Paz Rd.	0.2	0.1	0.2	
North of Oso Pkwy.	0.2	0.2	0.4	
South of Oso Pkwy.	0.0	0.0	-0.1	
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	0.0	0.0	0.0	
South of Crown Valley Pkwy.	0.2	0.0	0.2	
North of Avery	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	
Rancho Viejo				
South of Avery	0.0	0.0	0.0	
North of Trabuco Canyon	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Trabuco Canyon to Junipero Serra	0.3	0.3	0.3	
South of Juniperro	0.0	0.0	0.0	
North of Ortega Highway	0.4	0.4	0.4	
Felipe Road				
North of La Paz Rd.	0.0	0.0	0.0	
South of La Paz Rd.	0.2	0.2	0.2	
Oso Pkwy. to Marguerite Pkwy.	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Golden Lantern				
North of Crown Valley Pkwy.	-0.1	0.0	0.0	
Crown Valley Pkwy. to Paseo de Colinas	0.1	0.1	0.1	
Paseo de Colinas to Marina Mills	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Camino Capistrano				
South of Paseo de Colinas	0.0	0.0	0.0	
North of Junipero Serra	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Junipero Serra to Roso	0.2	0.2	0.0	
Roso to Ortega Highway	0.3	0.3	0.3	
Ortega Highway to Del Obispo	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Del Obispo to San Juan Creek	0.0	0.0	0.0	
South of San Juan Creek Rd.	0.0	0.0	0.0	

	Traffic Noise CNEL Change		
Roadway Segment	Project	B-4R	B-5
Antonio Parkway			
North of SR-241	0.0	0.0	0.0
Empressa to SR-241	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
Empressa to Banderas	0.0	0.0	0.0
South of Banderas	0.0	0.0	0.1
North of Oso Pkwy.	0.1	0.0	0.2
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	0.1	-0.1	0.3
South of Crown Valley Pkwy.	1.0	0.7	0.9
North of New Ortega Highway	2.9	2.2	2.8
North of SR-74	3.1	2.5	3.2
La Pata			
South of Ortega Highway	2.2	1.2	3.0
Vista Hermosa to Avnda. Pico	0.3	0.1	0.0
South of Avnda. Pico	1.8	1.8	1.2
Camino Vera Cruz			
Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa	0.0	0.0	0.0
Camino las Ramblas			
East of I-5	0.0	0.0	0.0
Talega			
East of Vista Hermosa	1.8	1.8	1.8

	Traffic Noise
Roadway Segment	CNEL Change
I-5	
La Paz Rd. to Oso Pkwy.	0.0
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	0.0
Crown Valley Pkwy. to Avery Pkwy.	0.0
Avery Pkwy. to Junipero Serra	0.1
Junipero Serra to Ortega Highway	0.1
Ortega Highway to San Juan Creek	0.1
San Juan Creek to Stonehill	0.1
Stonehill to Cmno. La Ramblas	0.1
Cmno. La Ramblas to Cmno. de Los Mares	0.1
Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa	0.1
Vista Hermosa to Avnda. Pico	0.0
South of Avnda. Pico	0.0
SR-73	
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	0.2
Crown Valley Pkwy. to I-5	0.2
SR-241	
North of Antonio Pkwy.	0.6
Antonio Pkwy. to Oso Pkwy.	0.8
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	2.2
Crown Valley Pkwy. to C St.	2.0
C St. to Ortega Highway	1.2
Ortega Highway to Avnda. Pico	0.6
South of Avnda. Pico	0.2
La Paz Road	
I-5 to Marguerite	0.1
Marguerite to Felipe Rd.	0.0
Oso Parkway	
West of Cabot Rd.	0.0
Cabot Rd. to I-5	0.0
East of I-5	0.2
West of Marguerite	0.2
Marguerite to Felipe Rd.	0.4
Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy.	0.5
East of Antonio Pkwy.	1.8
West of SR-241	2.4
East of SR-241	1.5

Roadway Segment	Traffic Noise CNEL Change
Crown Valley Parkway	
West of Moulton Pkwy.	0.0
Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield	-0.1
Greenfield to SR-241	0.0
SR-241 to I-5	0.1
East of I-5	0.0
West of Marguerite	0.2
East of Marguerite	0.3
West of Antonio Pkwy.	0.6
Paseo de Colinas	
West of Cabot Rd.	0.0
Cabot Rd. to I-5	0.0
West of I-5	0.0
Avery	
I-5 to Marguerite	-0.1
East of Marguerite	-0.4
Camino los Padres	
West of I-5	0.3
Junipero Serra	
West of I-5	0.3
East of I-5	0.0
SR-74 (Ortega Highway)	
Cmno. Capastrano to Del Obispo	0.0
West of I-5	0.1
I-5 to Rancho Viejo	0.5
West of La Novia	0.9
East of La Novia	1.0
West of La Pata	1.5
East of La Pata	-3.4
West of SR-241	-3.0
East of SR-241	-8.1
East of C St.	
East of New Ortega Highway	
San Juan Creek Road	
East of I-5	0.2
East of Valle Rd.	0.3
West of La Novia	0.3
East of La Novia	0.8
West of La Pata	3.0
Camino las Ramblas	
East of 1-5	-0.3
West of Cmno. de Los Mares	0.0
Cmno. de Los Mares to La Pata	0.0

De a durar Os arra ent	Traffic Noise
Roadway Segment	CNEL Change
Camino de Los Mares	0.1
East of Crange Vore Cranz	-0.1
West of Cmno. Vera Cruz	0.0
Cmno. Vera Crus to Cmno. del Rio	0.0
North of Cmno. del Rio	0.0
Camino del Rio	0.0
Cmno. de Los Mares to La Pata	0.0
Avenida Vista Hermosa	0.2
East of I-5	0.3
Cmno. Vera Cruz to La Pata	0.2
La Pata to Talega	0.3
Talega to Pico	1.2
Avenida Pico	
West of I-5	0.0
East of I-5	0.4
West of La Pata	1.2
La Pata to Vista Hermosa	2.5
East of Vista Hermosa	2.1
East of SR-241	5.7
Cabot Street	
North of Oso Pkwy.	0.0
South of Oso Pkwy.	0.0
North of Crown Valley Pkwy.	0.0
Crown Valley Pkwy. to Paseo de Colinas	-0.5
Greenfield	
South of SR-73	0.0
Marguerite	
North of La Paz Rd.	0.0
South of La Paz Rd.	0.1
North of Oso Pkwy.	0.0
South of Oso Pkwy.	-0.1
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	-0.1
South of Crown Valley Pkwy.	0.2
North of Avery	-0.2
Rancho Vieio	
South of Avery	0.0
North of Trabuco Canvon	0.0
Trabuco Canvon to Junipero Serra	0.0
South of Juniperro	0.0
North of Ortega Highway	0.0
Feline Road	0.7
North of La Paz Rd	0.0
South of La Daz Dd	0.0
Oso Drwy to Marguarita Dirwy	0.0
Uso r kwy. to marguente r kwy.	0.0

	Traffic Noise
Roadway Segment	CNEL Change
Golden Lantern	
North of Crown Valley Pkwy.	0.1
Crown Valley Pkwy. to Paseo de Colinas	0.0
Paseo de Colinas to Marina Mills	0.0
Camino Capistrano	
South of Paseo de Colinas	0.6
North of Junipero Serra	0.3
Junipero Serra to Roso	0.2
Roso to Ortega Highway	0.0
Ortega Highway to Del Obispo	0.0
Del Obispo to San Juan Creek	0.0
South of San Juan Creek Rd.	0.0
Antonio Parkway	
North of SR-241	0.1
Empressa to SR-241	0.1
Empressa to Banderas	0.1
South of Banderas	0.0
North of Oso Pkwy.	0.0
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	0.7
South of Crown Valley Pkwy.	1.6
North of New Ortega Highway	3.5
North of SR-74	3.3
La Pata	
South of Ortega Highway	1.5
San Juan Creek Rd. to Cmno. La Ramblas	0.3
Cmno. La Ramblas to Cmno. del Rio	0.7
Cmno. del Rio to Vista Hermosa	0.4
Vista Hermosa to Avnda. Pico	0.4
South of Avnda. Pico	0.4
Camino Vera Cruz	
Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa	0.0
Camino las Ramblas	
East of I-5	-0.3
West of Cmno. de Los Mares	0.0
Cmno. de Los Mares to La Pata	0.0
A Street	
South of Oso Pkwy.	4.0
North of New Ortega Highway	0.8
Talega	
East of Vista Hermosa	0.4

	Traffic Noise
Roadway Segment	CNEL Change
I-5	
La Paz Rd. to Oso Pkwy.	0.5 - 0.5
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	0.4 - 0.4
Crown Valley Pkwy. to Avery Pkwy.	0.6 - 0.6
Avery Pkwy. to Junipero Serra	1.0 - 1.1
Junipero Serra to Ortega Highway	1.0 - 1.0
Ortega Highway to San Juan Creek	0.9 - 1.1
San Juan Creek to Stonehill	0.9 - 1.1
Stonehill to Cmno. La Ramblas	1.0 - 1.2
Cmno. La Ramblas to Cmno. de Los Mares	0.9 - 1.1
Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa	0.9 - 1.1
Vista Hermosa to Avnda. Pico	1.0 - 1.1
South of Avnda. Pico	0.6 - 0.6
SR-73	
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	1.6 - 1.7
Crown Valley Pkwy. to I-5	1.5 - 1.6
SR-241	
North of Antonio Pkwy.	1.2 - 1.6
Antonio Pkwy. to Oso Pkwy.	2.1 - 2.7
La Paz Road	
I-5 to Marguerite	-0.30.1
Marguerite to Felipe Rd.	0.0 - 0.0
Oso Parkway	
West of Cabot Rd.	0.5 - 0.5
Cabot Rd. to I-5	0.5 - 0.5
East of I-5	0.4 - 0.5
West of Marguerite	1.6 - 1.7
Marguerite to Felipe Rd.	0.8 - 1.0
Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy.	0.6 - 0.8
East of Antonio Pkwy.	0.4 - 1.3
West of SR-241	-0.3 - 0.9
East of SR-241	0.6 - 0.6
Crown Valley Parkway	
West of Moulton Pkwy.	0.3 - 0.3
Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield	0.0 - 0.0
Greenfield to SR-241	0.0 - 0.1
SR-241 to I-5	0.0 - 0.0
East of I-5	0.7 - 0.9
West of Marguerite	2.0 - 2.2
East of Marguerite	2.2 - 2.4
West of Antonio Pkwy.	2.2 - 2.5

2010 Cumulative Traffic Noise CNEL Change Due To Phase 1 of the Project & Other Development & Changes In Road Network
	Traffic Noise
Roadway Segment	CNEL Change
Paseo de Colinas	
West of Cabot Rd.	0.7 - 0.7
Cabot Rd. to I-5	0.5 - 0.5
West of I-5	-0.90.9
Avery	
I-5 to Marguerite	0.1 - 0.1
East of Marguerite	0.0 - 0.4
Junipero Serra	
West of I-5	1.1 - 1.1
East of I-5	0.3 - 0.3
SR-74 (Ortega Highway)	
Cmno. Capastrano to Del Obispo	0.0 - 0.0
West of I-5	0.2 - 0.3
I-5 to Rancho Viejo	0.5 - 0.9
West of La Novia	0.6 - 1.2
East of La Novia	1.0 - 1.7
West of La Pata	1.2 - 1.9
East of La Pata	0.0 - 0.0
East of New Ortega Highway	0.0 - 0.0
San Juan Creek Road	
East of I-5	0.7 - 0.9
East of Valle Rd.	0.6 - 1.2
West of La Novia	1.1 - 1.5
East of La Novia	2.1 - 2.1
Camino las Ramblas	
East of I-5	0.8 - 0.8
Camino de Los Mares	
East of I-5	0.1 - 0.1
West of Cmno. Vera Cruz	-1.10.8
Cmno. Vera Crus to Cmno. del Rio	0.5 - 1.2
Avenida Vista Hermosa	
East of I-5	2.0 - 2.3
Avenida Pico	
West of I-5	0.0 - 0.0
East of I-5	0.3 - 1.0
West of La Pata	-1.10.4
La Pata to Vista Hermosa	-1.40.9
East of Vista Hermosa	1.8 - 2.2
Cabot Street	
North of Oso Pkwy.	0.4 - 0.4
South of Oso Pkwy.	-0.60.3
North of Crown Valley Pkwy.	0.4 - 0.4
Crown Valley Pkwy, to Paseo de Colinas	-0.90.9

2010 Cumulative Traffic Noise CNEL Change Due To Phase 1 of the Project & Other Development & Changes In Road Network

<u></u>	Traffic Noise
Roadway Segment	CNEL Change
Greenfield	<u> </u>
South of SR-73	0.0 - 0.0
Marguerite	
North of La Paz Rd.	-0.10.1
South of La Paz Rd.	0.4 - 0.4
North of Oso Pkwy.	0.1 - 0.3
South of Oso Pkwy.	0.3 - 0.5
Oso Pkwy, to Crown Valley Pkwy,	0.4 - 0.5
South of Crown Valley Pkwy.	-0.30.3
North of Avery	-0.10.1
Rancho Vieio	
South of Avery	0.5 - 0.5
North of Trabuco Canvon	0.0 - 0.4
Trabuco Canyon to Junipero Serra	0.4 - 0.4
South of Juniperro	0.5 - 0.5
North of Ortega Highway	0.5 - 0.5
Felipe Road	
North of La Paz Rd.	0.3 - 0.3
South of La Paz Rd.	0.3 - 0.3
Oso Pkwy. to Marguerite Pkwy.	-0.4 - 0.0
Golden Lantern	
North of Crown Valley Pkwy.	0.9 - 0.9
Crown Valley Pkwy. to Paseo de Colinas	0.3 - 0.3
Paseo de Colinas to Marina Mills	0.4 - 0.5
Camino Capistrano	
South of Paseo de Colinas	0.0 - 0.0
North of Junipero Serra	0.0 - 0.0
Junipero Serra to Roso	1.3 - 1.3
Roso to Ortega Highway	0.3 - 0.3
Ortega Highway to Del Obispo	0.6 - 0.8
Del Obispo to San Juan Creek	0.6 - 0.8
South of San Juan Creek Rd.	0.8 - 0.8
Antonio Parkway	
North of SR-241	1.2 - 1.2
Empressa to SR-241	1.3 - 1.3
Empressa to Banderas	1.5 - 1.6
South of Banderas	1.1 - 1.2
North of Oso Pkwy.	1.0 - 1.1
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	2.1 - 2.6
South of Crown Valley Pkwv.	3.6 - 4.7
North of New Ortega Highway	4.3 - 5.4
North of SR-74	3.5 - 4.3

2010 Cumulative Traffic Noise CNEL Change Due To Phase 1 of the Project & Other Development & Changes In Road Network

2010 Cumulative Traffic Noise CNEL Change Due To Phase 1 of the Project & Other Development & Changes In Road Network

	Traffic Noise
Roadway Segment	CNEL Change
La Pata	
South of Ortega Highway	1.2 - 6.0
South of Avnda. Pico	-2.22.2
Camino Vera Cruz	
Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa	2.5 - 3.0
Camino las Ramblas	
East of I-5	0.8 - 0.8
Talega	
East of Vista Hermosa	10.0 - 10.4

	Traffic	Noise CNEL C	hange
Roadway Segment	Project	B-4R	B-5
<u>I-5</u>	•		
La Paz Rd. to Oso Pkwy.	0.7	0.7	0.7
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	0.6	0.6	0.6
Crown Valley Pkwy. to Avery Pkwy.	0.9	0.9	0.8
Avery Pkwy. to Junipero Serra	1.6	1.6	1.6
Junipero Serra to Ortega Highway	1.6	1.6	1.6
Ortega Highway to San Juan Creek	1.7	1.7	1.7
San Juan Creek to Stonehill	1.7	1.7	1.7
Stonehill to Cmno. La Ramblas	1.8	1.8	1.8
Cmno. La Ramblas to Cmno. Los Mares	1.7	1.7	1.7
Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa	1.8	1.8	1.7
Vista Hermosa to Avnda. Pico	1.8	1.8	1.8
South of Avnda. Pico	1.4	1.4	1.4
SR-73			
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	3.2	3.2	3.1
Crown Valley Pkwy. to I-5	3.1	3.1	3.0
SR-241			
North of Antonio Pkwy.	2.9	2.9	3.0
Antonio Pkwy. to Oso Pkwy.	4.9	4.8	5.1
La Paz Road			
I-5 to Marguerite	0.1	0.1	0.1
Marguerite to Felipe Rd.	0.2	0.2	0.2
Oso Parkway			
West of Cabot Rd.	0.8	0.8	0.9
Cabot Rd. to I-5	0.6	0.6	0.7
East of I-5	0.6	0.6	0.9
West of Marguerite	2.2	2.2	2.5
Marguerite to Felipe Rd.	1.3	1.2	1.7
Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy.	1.3	1.2	1.8
East of Antonio Pkwy.	2.2	2.1	3.0
West of SR-241	2.1	2.0	2.8
East of SR-241	0.8	0.8	1.0
Crown Valley Parkway			
West of Moulton Pkwy.	0.3	0.3	0.3
Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield	0.1	0.1	0.2
Greenfield to SR-241	0.2	0.2	0.3
SR-241 to I-5	0.1	0.1	0.1
East of I-5	1.0	1.0	1.0
West of Marguerite	2.5	2.4	2.5
East of Marguerite	2.8	2.6	2.8
West of Antonio Pkwy.	3.2	3.0	3.4

Cumulative Traffic Noise CNEL Change Due To Project & Other Development (Under Committed Circulation System)

	Traffic	Noise CNFL C	hange
Roadway Segment	Proiect	B-4R	B-5
Paseo de Colinas			_ •
West of Cabot Rd.	0.7	0.7	0.7
Cabot Rd. to I-5	0.7	0.7	0.7
West of I-5	0.8	0.8	0.8
Avery			
I-5 to Marguerite	0.1	0.1	0.0
East of Marguerite	0.4	0.4	0.0
Junipero Serra			
West of I-5	2.0	1.8	1.8
East of I-5	0.7	0.7	0.7
SR-74 (Ortega Highway)			
Cmno. Capastrano to Del Obispo	0.0	0.0	0.3
West of I-5	0.7	0.7	0.7
I-5 to Rancho Viejo	1.2	1.0	1.3
West of La Novia	1.5	1.1	1.5
East of La Novia	2.2	1.9	2.3
West of La Pata	2.8	2.3	2.9
East of La Pata	-4.8	-7.0	-4.0
East of New Ortega Highway	1.1	0.8	1.1
San Juan Creek Road			
East of I-5	1.2	1.2	1.2
East of Valle Rd.	1.2	1.2	1.2
West of La Novia	1.8	1.8	1.8
East of La Novia	2.1	2.1	2.1
Camino las Ramblas			
East of I-5	1.1	1.1	1.1
Camino de Los Mares			
East of I-5	0.5	0.5	0.5
West of Cmno. Vera Cruz	0.2	0.2	0.2
Cmno. Vera Crus to Cmno. del Rio	1.2	1.2	1.2
Avenida Vista Hermosa			
East of I-5	2.9	2.9	2.8
Avenida Pico			
West of I-5	0.6	0.6	0.5
East of I-5	1.9	1.9	1.3
West of La Pata	1.8	1.7	0.4
La Pata to Vista Hermosa	3.4	3.0	-0.4
East of Vista Hermosa	6.5	6.2	2.2
Cabot Street			
North of Oso Pkwy.	0.6	0.6	0.6
South of Oso Pkwy.	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3
North of Crown Valley Pkwy.	0.7	0.7	0.7
Crown Valley Pkwy. to Paseo de Colinas	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4

Cumulative Traffic Noise CNEL Change Due To Project & Other Development (Under Committed Circulation System)

Development (onder obhinnited on					
Roadway Segment	Proiect	B-4R	B-5		
Greenfield			¥ ¥		
South of SR-73	0.2	0.2	0.4		
Marguerite		0.2			
North of La Paz Rd.	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1		
South of La Paz Rd.	0.7	0.6	0.7		
North of Oso Pkwy	0.5	0.5	0.6		
South of Oso Pkwy	0.6	0.6	0.5		
Oso Pkwy, to Crown Valley Pkwy.	0.6	0.6	0.6		
South of Crown Valley Pkwy.	0.0	-0.2	0.0		
North of Avery	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1		
Rancho Vieio	0.1	0.1	011		
South of Avery	07	0.7	07		
North of Trabuco Canyon	0.8	0.8	0.8		
Trabuco Canyon to Junipero Serra	1.1	1.1	1.1		
South of Juniperro	0.9	0.9	0.9		
North of Ortega Highway	0.9	0.9	0.9		
Feline Road	0.9	0.7	0.9		
North of La Paz Rd	03	03	03		
South of La Paz Rd	0.5	0.5	0.5		
Oso Pkwy to Marguerite Pkwy	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Colden I antern	0.0	0.0	0.0		
North of Crown Valley Pkwy	13	1 /	1 /		
Crown Valley Plyny, to Passo do Colinas	1.5	1.4	1.4		
Paseo de Colinas to Marina Mills	0.8	0.8	0.8		
Camina Canistrana	0.0	0.0	0.0		
South of Passo do Colinas	0.8	0.8	0.8		
North of Junipero Serra	0.8	0.8	0.8		
Junipero Serra to Doso	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Page to Ortage Highway	2.4	2.4	2.1		
Ortage Highway to Del Obiene	0.9	0.9	0.9		
Del Obiene te Sen Juen Creek	1.5	1.5	1.5		
South of Son Juan Crook Rd	1.4	1.4	1.4		
South of San Juan Creek Rd.	1.2	1.2	1.2		
North of SD 241	15	15	15		
Empresse to SR 241	1.5	1.5	1.3		
Empressa to SR-241	1.5	1.5	1.5		
Empressa to Banderas	1.5	1.5	1.5		
South of Banderas	1.1	1.1	1.2		
North of Uso Pkwy.	1.0	0.9	1.1		
Uso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	2.4	2.2	2.6		
South of Crown Valley Pkwy.	4.2	3.9	4.1		
North of New Ortega Highway	5.3	4.6	5.2		
North of SR-74	5.5	4.9	5.6		

Cumulative Traffic Noise CNEL Change Due To Project & Other Development (Under Committed Circulation System)

Cumula	ative Tra	affic No	ise CNEL	Change	Due T	o Proje	ect & Oth	ner
Develo	pment (Under (Committee	d Circula	tion S	ystem)		

	Traffic	hange	
Roadway Segment	Project	B-4R	B-5
La Pata			
South of Ortega Highway	2.2	1.2	3.0
South of Avnda. Pico	2.6	2.6	2.0
Camino Vera Cruz			
Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa	3.7	3.7	3.7
Camino las Ramblas			
East of I-5	1.1	1.1	1.1
Talega			
East of Vista Hermosa	11.8	11.8	11.8

<u>Dettelephone</u> a onangeo in rioda na	Traffic Noise CNEL Change					
Roadway Segment	Project B-4R					
<u>I-5</u>		_				
La Paz Rd. to Oso Pkwy.	0.6 - 0.7	0.6 - 0.7	0.6 - 0.7			
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	0.4 - 0.6	0.5 - 0.6	0.4 - 0.6			
Crown Valley Pkwy. to Avery Pkwy.	0.7 - 0.9	0.7 - 0.9	0.6 - 0.8			
Avery Pkwy. to Junipero Serra	1.3 - 1.6	1.4 - 1.6	1.3 - 1.6			
Junipero Serra to Ortega Highway	1.3 - 1.6	1.3 - 1.6	1.3 - 1.6			
Ortega Highway to San Juan Creek	1.3 - 1.7	1.3 - 1.7	1.2 - 1.7			
San Juan Creek to Stonehill	1.3 - 1.7	1.3 - 1.7	1.2 - 1.7			
Stonehill to Cmno. La Ramblas	1.3 - 1.8	1.4 - 1.8	1.3 - 1.8			
Cmno. La Ramblas to Cmno. Los Mares	1.3 - 1.7	1.3 - 1.7	1.2 - 1.7			
Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa	1.3 - 1.8	1.3 - 1.8	1.3 - 1.7			
Vista Hermosa to Avnda. Pico	1.4 - 1.8	1.4 - 1.8	1.3 - 1.8			
South of Avnda. Pico	0.9 - 1.4	0.9 - 1.4	0.9 - 1.4			
SR-73						
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	2.7 - 3.2	2.7 - 3.2	2.7 - 3.1			
Crown Valley Pkwy. to I-5	2.6 - 3.1	2.7 - 3.1	2.6 - 3.0			
SR-241						
North of Antonio Pkwy.	2.9 - 5.0	2.9 - 4.9	3.0 - 5.1			
Antonio Pkwy. to Oso Pkwy.	4.9 - 7.9	4.8 - 7.8	5.1 - 8.0			
La Paz Road						
I-5 to Marguerite	0.0 - 0.1	0.0 - 0.1	0.0 - 0.1			
Marguerite to Felipe Rd.	0.0 - 0.2	0.0 - 0.2	0.0 - 0.2			
Oso Parkway						
West of Cabot Rd.	0.7 - 0.8	0.8 - 0.8	0.9 - 0.9			
Cabot Rd. to I-5	0.6 - 0.6	0.6 - 0.6	0.7 - 0.7			
East of I-5	0.4 - 0.6	0.4 - 0.6	0.6 - 0.9			
West of Marguerite	1.6 - 2.2	1.6 - 2.2	1.9 - 2.5			
Marguerite to Felipe Rd.	0.9 - 1.3	0.9 - 1.2	1.3 - 1.7			
Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy.	0.9 - 1.3	0.9 - 1.2	1.3 - 1.8			
East of Antonio Pkwy.	1.2 - 2.3	0.9 - 2.2	2.0 - 3.2			
West of SR-241	0.8 - 2.3	0.6 - 2.1	1.4 - 2.9			
East of SR-241	0.8 - 0.8	0.8 - 0.8	1.0 - 1.0			
Crown Valley Parkway						
West of Moulton Pkwy.	0.2 - 0.3	0.3 - 0.3	0.3 - 0.3			
Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield	-0.2 - 0.1	0.1 - 0.1	0.2 - 0.2			
Greenfield to SR-241	0.2 - 0.2	0.2 - 0.2	0.2 - 0.3			
SR-241 to I-5	0.1 - 0.1	0.1 - 0.1	0.1 - 0.1			
East of I-5	0.8 - 1.0	0.9 - 1.0	0.9 - 1.0			
West of Marguerite	2.3 - 2.5	2.3 - 2.4	2.4 - 2.5			
East of Marguerite	2.6 - 2.8	2.6 - 2.6	2.8 - 2.8			
West of Antonio Pkwy.	2.9 - 3.2	2.8 - 3.0	3.2 - 3.4			

2025 Cumulative Traffic Noise CNEL Change Due To Project & Other Development & Changes In Road Network

2025 Cumulative Traffic Noise CNEL Change Due To Project & Other
Development & Changes In Road Network

	Traffic Noise CNEL Change			
Roadway Segment	Project	B-4R	B-5	
Paseo de Colinas				
West of Cabot Rd.	0.2 - 0.7	0.7 - 0.7	0.7 - 0.7	
Cabot Rd. to I-5	-0.3 - 0.7	0.5 - 0.7	0.7 - 0.7	
West of I-5	0.7 - 0.8	0.7 - 0.8	0.7 - 0.8	
Avery				
I-5 to Marguerite	0.0 - 0.1	0.0 - 0.1	-0.2 - 0.0	
East of Marguerite	0.0 - 0.4	0.0 - 0.4	0.0 - 0.0	
Junipero Serra				
West of I-5	1.8 - 3.0	1.8 - 1.8	1.8 - 1.8	
East of I-5	0.5 - 0.7	0.5 - 0.7	0.5 - 0.7	
SR-74 (Ortega Highway)				
Cmno. Capastrano to Del Obispo	0.0 - 0.3	0.0 - 0.3	0.3 - 0.3	
West of I-5	0.4 - 0.7	0.6 - 0.7	0.7 - 0.7	
I-5 to Rancho Vieio	0.9 - 1.2	0.8 - 1.0	0.9 - 1.3	
West of La Novia	11-15	0.8 - 1.1	11-15	
Fast of La Novia	13-22	14-19	19-23	
West of La Pata	1.8 - 2.8	19-23	24 - 29	
Fast of La Pata	-4.84.0	-7070	-4040	
East of New Ortega Highway	11-15	-7.07.0	11-15	
San Juan Creek Road	1.1 - 1.5	0.0 - 1.1	1.1 - 1.5	
East of L 5	11 11	12 12	11 12	
East of Valle Pd	1.1 - 1.4	1.2 - 1.2	1.1 - 1.2	
West of La Novia	0.9 - 1.2 15 18	0.9 - 1.2 1.5 1.8	0.9 - 1.2 1.5 1.8	
Fast of La Novia	1.3 - 1.0 18 21	1.3 - 1.0 2 1 2 1	1.3 - 1.0 2 1 2 1	
Camina las Ramblas	1.0 - 2.1	2.1 - 2.1	2.1 - 2.1	
East of L 5	08 11	11 11	11 11	
East of 1-J Coming do Los Mores	0.8 - 1.1	1.1 - 1.1	1.1 - 1.1	
East of L 5	01 05	01 05	0105	
East of Compo. Voro Cruz	-0.1 - 0.3	0.1 - 0.3	0.1 - 0.3	
West of Chino. Vera Cruz	-0.3 - 0.2	-0.2 - 0.2	-0.2 - 0.2	
Cmno. vera Crus to Cmno. del Rio	0.9 - 1.2	0.9 - 1.2	0.9 - 1.2	
Avenida vista Hermosa	10 20	20.20	10 20	
East of I-5	1.9 - 2.9	2.0 - 2.9	1.9 - 2.8	
Avenida Pico				
West of I-5	0.6 - 0.6	0.6 - 0.6	0.5 - 0.5	
East of I-5	0.8 - 1.9	0.9 - 1.9	0.3 - 1.3	
West of La Pata	0.2 - 1.8	0.4 - 1.7	-1.1 - 0.4	
La Pata to Vista Hermosa	3.4 - 3.6	3.0 - 3.2	-0.4 - 0.7	
East of V1sta Hermosa	6.5 - 7.1	6.2 - 6.8	1.8 - 4.5	
Cabot Street				
North of Oso Pkwy.	0.6 - 0.6	0.6 - 0.6	0.6 - 0.6	
South of Oso Pkwy.	-0.30.3	-0.30.3	-0.30.3	
North of Crown Valley Pkwy.	0.7 - 0.7	0.7 - 0.7	0.7 - 0.7	
Crown Valley Pkwy. to Paseo de Colinas	-0.90.4	-0.40.4	-0.90.4	

2025 Cumulative Traffic Noise CNEL Change Due To Project & Othe
Development & Changes In Road Network

<u></u>	Traffic Noise CNEL Change				
Roadway Segment	Project	B-4R	B-5		
Greenfield					
South of SR-73	0.0 - 0.2	0.2 - 0.2	0.2 - 0.4		
Marguerite					
North of La Paz Rd.	-0.20.1	-0.20.1	-0.20.1		
South of La Paz Rd.	0.6 - 0.7	0.6 - 0.6	0.6 - 0.7		
North of Oso Pkwy.	0.4 - 0.5	0.4 - 0.5	0.5 - 0.6		
South of Oso Pkwy.	0.5 - 0.6	0.6 - 0.6	0.5 - 0.5		
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	0.5 - 0.6	0.5 - 0.6	0.5 - 0.6		
South of Crown Valley Pkwy.	-0.2 - 0.0	-0.20.2	-0.2 - 0.0		
North of Avery	-0.30.1	-0.30.1	-0.30.1		
Rancho Viejo					
South of Avery	0.5 - 0.7	0.5 - 0.7	0.5 - 0.7		
North of Trabuco Canyon	0.4 - 0.8	0.4 - 0.8	0.4 - 0.8		
Trabuco Canyon to Junipero Serra	0.8 - 1.1	0.8 - 1.1	0.8 - 1.1		
South of Juniperro	0.5 - 0.9	0.5 - 0.9	0.5 - 0.9		
North of Ortega Highway	0.9 - 1.2	0.9 - 0.9	0.9 - 0.9		
Felipe Road					
North of La Paz Rd.	0.3 - 0.5	0.0 - 0.3	0.0 - 0.3		
South of La Paz Rd.	0.3 - 0.5	0.3 - 0.5	0.3 - 0.5		
Oso Pkwy. to Marguerite Pkwy.	0.0 - 0.0	0.0 - 0.0	0.0 - 0.0		
Golden Lantern					
North of Crown Valley Pkwy.	1.3 - 1.3	1.3 - 1.4	1.3 - 1.4		
Crown Valley Pkwy. to Paseo de Colinas	0.5 - 0.8	0.6 - 0.8	0.6 - 0.8		
Paseo de Colinas to Marina Mills	0.5 - 0.8	0.6 - 0.8	0.6 - 0.8		
Camino Capistrano					
South of Paseo de Colinas	0.8 - 2.0	0.8 - 0.8	0.8 - 0.8		
North of Junipero Serra	0.0 - 4.8	0.0 - 0.0	0.0 - 0.0		
Junipero Serra to Roso	2.1 - 2.6	2.1 - 2.4	1.9 - 2.1		
Roso to Ortega Highway	0.3 - 0.9	0.6 - 0.9	0.6 - 0.9		
Ortega Highway to Del Obispo	0.6 - 1.3	1.1 - 1.3	1.1 - 1.3		
Del Obispo to San Juan Creek	0.8 - 1.4	1.0 - 1.4	1.0 - 1.4		
South of San Juan Creek Rd.	1.0 - 1.2	1.0 - 1.2	1.0 - 1.2		
Antonio Parkway					
North of SR-241	1.5 - 1.5	1.5 - 1.5	1.5 - 1.5		
Empressa to SR-241	1.3 - 1.6	1.3 - 1.6	1.3 - 1.6		
Empressa to Banderas	1.5 - 1.8	1.5 - 1.8	1.5 - 1.8		
South of Banderas	0.8 - 1.3	0.8 - 1.3	0.9 - 1.4		
North of Oso Pkwy.	0.7 - 1.2	0.7 - 1.2	0.8 - 1.3		
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	2.4 - 2.8	2.2 - 2.6	2.6 - 3.0		
South of Crown Valley Pkwy.	4.2 - 4.9	3.9 - 4.6	4.1 - 4.8		
North of New Ortega Highway	5.3 - 6.1	4.6 - 5.5	5.2 - 6.1		
North of SR-74	5.5 - 6.8	4.9 - 6.4	5.6 - 6.9		

2025 Cumulative Traffic Noise CNEL Change Due To Project & Othe	er
Development & Changes In Road Network	

	Traffic Noise CNEL Change			
Roadway Segment	Project	B-4R	B-5	
La Pata				
South of Ortega Highway	2.2 - 9.2	1.2 - 8.8	3.0 - 9.2	
South of Avnda. Pico	2.6 - 3.4	2.6 - 3.0	2.0 - 2.6	
Camino Vera Cruz				
Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa	0.9 - 3.9	3.7 - 3.9	3.7 - 3.7	
Camino las Ramblas				
East of I-5	0.8 - 1.1	1.1 - 1.1	1.1 - 1.1	
Talega				
East of Vista Hermosa	10.4 - 11.8	10.4 - 11.8	10.8 - 11.8	

_

	CNEL	Distance T	o CNEL Cor	ntour ¹ (feet)
Roadway Segment	@ 100' ¹	70 CNEL	65 CNEL	60 CNEL
I-5				
La Paz Rd. to Oso Pkwy.	82.2	653	1,407	3,031
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	81.9	619	1,333	2,873
Crown Valley Pkwy. to Avery Pkwy.	81.5	585	1,261	2,716
Avery Pkwy. to Junipero Serra	82.1	642	1,383	2,979
Junipero Serra to Ortega Highway	82.0	630	1,358	2,926
Ortega Highway to San Juan Creek	81.7	606	1,306	2,813
San Juan Creek to Stonehill	81.7	605	1,303	2,807
Stonehill to Cmno. Las Ramblas	81.6	589	1,269	2,734
Cmno. Las Ramblas to Cmno. de Los Mares	81.8	609	1,311	2,825
Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa	81.6	596	1,283	2,765
Vista Hermosa to Avnda. Pico	81.4	573	1,235	2,660
South of Avnda. Pico	80.1	473	1,019	2,194
SR-73				
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	75.7	239	515	1,109
Crown Valley Pkwy. to I-5	75.5	234	504	1,085
SR-241				
North of Antonio Pkwy.	74.6	204	439	946
Antonio Pkwy. to Oso Pkwy.	74.0	184	397	855
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	74.0	184	397	855
Crown Valley Pkwy. to C St.	74.0	184	397	855
C St. to Ortega Highway	73.1	161	347	747
Ortega Highway to Avnda. Pico	73.0	158	341	734
South of Avnda. Pico	71.8	133	286	616
La Paz Road				
I-5 to Marguerite	68.1	75	162	349
Marguerite to Felipe Rd.	66.6	60	129	277
Oso Parkway				
West of Cabot Rd.	68.8	83	179	385
Cabot Rd. to I-5	70.4	106	228	492
East of I-5	71.3	123	265	571
West of Marguerite	71.3	123	265	571
Marguerite to Felipe Rd.	70.5	107	231	498
Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy.	70.5	107	231	498
East of Antonio Pkwy.	70.1	102	220	473
West of SR-241	69.7	95	204	440
East of SR-241	67.0	63	136	294

		CNEL Distance To CNEL Contour ¹			
Roadway Segment	© 100 ¹	70 CNEL	65 CNEL COR	60 CNEL	
Crown Valley Parkway					
West of Moulton Pkwy.	69.1	88	189	406	
Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield	69.5	92	198	427	
Greenfield to SR-241	70.9	115	248	535	
SR-241 to I-5	71.1	119	257	553	
East of I-5	72.7	151	324	699	
West of Marguerite	71.6	128	276	594	
East of Marguerite	71.7	129	278	600	
West of Antonio Pkwy.	70.2	103	222	479	
Paseo de Colinas					
West of Cabot Rd.	66.4	57	123	265	
Cabot Rd. to I-5	65.1	47	101	217	
West of I-5	67.4	67	144	310	
Avery					
I-5 to Marguerite	67.7	71	152	328	
East of Marguerite	62.5	RW	68	146	
Camino los Padres					
West of I-5	63.2	RW	76	163	
Junipero Serra					
West of I-5	66.5	59	126	272	
East of I-5	64.8	45	97	210	
SR-74 (Ortega Highway)					
Cmno. Capastrano to Del Obispo	67.7	71	152	328	
West of I-5	72.6	149	322	693	
I-5 to Rancho Viejo	74.1	186	402	866	
West of La Novia	73.1	161	346	746	
East of La Novia	73.0	158	341	736	
West of La Pata	72.7	152	327	704	
East of La Pata	64.1	40	87	186	
West of SR-241	62.5	RW	68	147	
East of SR-241	58.5	RW	RW	80	
East of C St.	58.5	RW	RW	80	
East of New Ortega Highway	67.0	63	136	292	
San Juan Creek Road					
East of I-5	66.0	54	117	252	
East of Valle Rd.	64.4	42	91	195	
West of La Novia	63.8	RW	83	179	
East of La Novia	63.2	RW	76	163	
West of La Pata	61.1	RW	55	118	

	CNFI		ntour ¹ (feet)	
Roadway Segment	@ 100' ¹	70 CNEL	65 CNEL	60 CNEL
New Ortega Highway				
Antonio Pkwy. to A St.	69.2	89	191	411
A St. to C St.	69.2	89	191	411
C St. to F St.	67.0	63	135	291
F St. to SR-74	67.6	69	149	322
Camino las Ramblas				
East of I-5	63.2	RW	76	163
West of Cmno. de Los Mares	59.8	RW	45	97
Cmno. de Los Mares to La Pata	59.8	RW	45	97
Camino de Los Mares				
East of I-5	68.0	73	157	339
West of Cmno. Vera Cruz	64.8	45	97	210
Cmno. Vera Crus to Cmno. del Rio	62.8	RW	72	155
North of Cmno. del Rio	58.1	RW	RW	74
Camino del Rio				
Cmno. de Los Mares to La Pata	61.1	RW	55	118
Avenida Vista Hermosa				
East of I-5	69.1	88	189	406
Cmno. Vera Cruz to La Pata	69.6	93	201	433
La Pata to Talega	67.4	67	144	310
Talega to Pico	62.3	RW	66	141
Avenida Pico				
West of I-5	67.8	72	155	333
East of I-5	70.9	115	248	535
West of La Pata	68.7	81	175	378
La Pata to Vista Hermosa	67.2	65	140	302
East of Vista Hermosa	68.1	75	162	349
East of SR-241	67.4	67	144	310
Cabot Street				
North of Oso Pkwy.	65.7	51	111	239
South of Oso Pkwy.	63.8	RW	83	179
North of Crown Valley Pkwy.	63.2	RW	76	163
Crown Valley Pkwy. to Paseo de Colinas	62.0	RW	64	137
Greenfield				
South of SR-73	65.8	53	114	245

Roadway Segment	CNEL @ 100' ¹	Distance T 70 CNEL	o CNEL Cor 65 CNEL	ntour ¹ (feet) 60 CNEL
Marguerite				
North of La Paz Rd.	68.7	81	175	378
South of La Paz Rd.	69.2	89	192	413
North of Oso Pkwy.	68.8	83	179	385
South of Oso Pkwy.	68.1	75	162	349
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	68.9	84	182	392
South of Crown Valley Pkwy.	67.5	68	148	318
North of Avery	67.8	72	155	333
Rancho Viejo				
South of Avery	64.8	45	97	210
North of Trabuco Canyon	62.8	RW	72	155
Trabuco Canyon to Junipero Serra	63.2	RW	76	163
South of Juniperro	62.5	RW	68	146
North of Ortega Highway	62.8	RW	72	155
Felipe Road				
North of La Paz Rd.	64.4	42	91	195
South of La Paz Rd.	64.6	44	94	203
Oso Pkwy. to Marguerite Pkwy.	62.8	RW	72	155
Golden Lantern				
North of Crown Valley Pkwy.	67.0	63	135	291
Crown Valley Pkwy. to Paseo de Colinas	67.0	63	135	291
Paseo de Colinas to Marina Mills	68.3	77	165	356
Camino Capistrano				
South of Paseo de Colinas	61.1	RW	55	118
North of Junipero Serra	63.8	RW	83	179
Junipero Serra to Roso	65.1	47	101	217
Roso to Ortega Highway	64.1	40	87	187
Ortega Highway to Del Obispo	64.8	45	97	210
Del Obispo to San Juan Creek	66.2	56	120	259
South of San Juan Creek Rd.	67.1	64	138	297
Antonio Parkway				
North of SR-241	69.3	90	195	420
Empressa to SR-241	68.8	83	179	385
Empressa to Banderas	69.1	88	189	406
South of Banderas	69.8	98	210	453
North of Oso Pkwy.	69.8	98	210	453
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	70.6	110	237	511
South of Crown Valley Pkwy.	70.1	102	220	473
North of New Ortega Highway	70.1	102	220	473
North of SR-74	70.9	114	246	529

	CNEL	CNEL Distance To CNEL Co			
Roadway Segment	@ 100' ¹	70 CNEL	65 CNEL	60 CNEL	
La Pata					
South of Ortega Highway	67.2	65	140	302	
San Juan Creek Rd. to Cmno. Las Ramblas	67.2	65	140	302	
Cmno. Las Ramblas to Cmno. del Rio	66.6	60	129	277	
Cmno. del Rio to Vista Hermosa	67.0	63	136	294	
Vista Hermosa to Avnda. Pico	69.3	90	195	420	
South of Avnda. Pico	63.6	RW	81	175	
Camino Vera Cruz					
Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa	65.5	50	108	232	
Camino las Ramblas					
East of I-5	63.2	RW	76	163	
West of Cmno. de Los Mares	59.8	RW	45	97	
Cmno. de Los Mares to La Pata	59.8	RW	45	97	
A Street					
South of Oso Pkwy.	59.0	RW	40	86	
North of New Ortega Highway	59.8	RW	45	97	
West of SR-241					
East of SR-241					
F Street					
Oso Pkwy. to C St.	67.2	65	141	303	
C St. to New Ortega Highway	64.6	44	94	203	
C Street					
Northeast of F St.	63.2	RW	76	163	
North of New Ortega Highway	64.4	42	91	195	
New Ortega Highway to Ortega Highway	67.2	65	141	303	
Ortega Highway to Talega	66.4	57	123	265	
South of Talega	64.1	40	87	187	
Talega					
East of Vista Hermosa	63.8	RW	83	179	
South of C St.	59.8	RW	45	97	

1. From Roadway Centerline

RW - Contour Does Not Extend Beyond Roadway Right-of-Way

	CNFI	Dietance T		ntour ¹ (feet)
Roadway Segment	@ 100 ⁻¹	70 CNFI	65 CNFI	60 CNFI
I-5				
La Paz Rd. to Oso Pkwy.	82.2	653	1.407	3.031
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	81.9	619	1,333	2,873
Crown Valley Pkwy. to Avery Pkwy.	81.5	585	1,261	2,716
Avery Pkwy. to Junipero Serra	82.1	642	1,383	2,979
Junipero Serra to Ortega Highway	82.0	630	1,358	2,926
Ortega Highway to San Juan Creek	81.7	605	1,303	2,807
San Juan Creek to Stonehill	81.7	603	1,300	2,801
Stonehill to Cmno. Las Ramblas	81.6	589	1,269	2,734
Cmno. Las Ramblas to Cmno. de Los Mares	81.8	607	1,308	2,819
Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa	81.6	594	1,280	2,758
Vista Hermosa to Avnda. Pico	81.4	572	1,232	2,654
South of Avnda. Pico	80.1	473	1,019	2,194
SR-73				
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	75.7	239	515	1,109
Crown Valley Pkwy. to I-5	75.5	232	500	1,077
SR-241				
North of Antonio Pkwy.	74.6	201	434	934
Antonio Pkwy. to Oso Pkwy.	73.9	182	391	843
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	73.6	174	375	808
Crown Valley Pkwy. to C St.	73.6	174	375	808
C St. to Ortega Highway	72.9	155	335	722
Ortega Highway to Avnda. Pico	72.8	153	329	709
South of Avnda. Pico	71.7	130	280	603
La Paz Road				
I-5 to Marguerite	68.1	75	162	349
Marguerite to Felipe Rd.	66.6	60	129	277
Oso Parkway				
West of Cabot Rd.	68.8	83	179	385
Cabot Rd. to I-5	70.4	106	228	492
East of I-5	71.3	122	262	565
West of Marguerite	71.3	122	262	565
Marguerite to Felipe Rd.	70.4	106	228	492
Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy.	70.4	106	228	492
East of Antonio Pkwy.	70.0	100	217	466
West of SR-241	69.5	92	198	427
East of SR-241	67.0	63	136	294

	CNEL	Distance To CNEL Contour ¹ (
Roadway Segment	@ 100' ¹	70 CNEL	65 CNEL	60 CNEL
Crown Valley Parkway				
West of Moulton Pkwy.	69.1	88	189	406
Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield	69.5	92	198	427
Greenfield to SR-241	70.9	115	248	535
SR-241 to I-5	71.1	119	257	553
East of I-5	72.7	151	324	699
West of Marguerite	71.5	127	273	588
East of Marguerite	71.5	127	273	588
West of Antonio Pkwy.	70.0	100	217	466
Paseo de Colinas				
West of Cabot Rd.	66.4	57	123	265
Cabot Rd. to I-5	65.1	47	101	217
West of I-5	67.4	67	144	310
Avery				
I-5 to Marguerite	67.7	71	152	328
East of Marguerite	62.5	RW	68	146
Junipero Serra				
West of I-5	65.3	48	104	225
East of I-5	64.8	45	97	210
SR-74 (Ortega Highway)				
Cmno. Capastrano to Del Obispo	67.7	71	152	328
West of I-5	72.6	149	322	693
I-5 to Rancho Viejo	73.8	180	388	836
West of La Novia	72.7	152	327	704
East of La Novia	72.6	149	322	693
West of La Pata	72.2	140	301	650
East of La Pata	61.0	RW	55	117
East of C St.	58.5	RW	RW	80
East of New Ortega Highway	66.7	60	129	278
San Juan Creek Road				
East of I-5	65.8	53	114	245
East of Valle Rd.	64.4	42	91	195
West of La Novia	63.8	RW	83	179
East of La Novia	63.2	RW	76	163
West of La Pata				
New Ortega Highway				
Antonio Pkwy. to A St.	68.4	78	168	362
A St. to C St.	68.5	79	171	368
C St. to F St.	66.0	54	117	252
F St. to SR-74	66.5	59	126	272
Camino las Ramblas				
East of I-5	63.2	RW	76	163

				atour ¹ (foot)
Boadway Segment	@ 100 ¹	70 CNFI	65 CNEL COI	60 CNFI
Camino de Los Mares				JU UNLL
East of I-5	68.0	73	157	339
West of Cmno. Vera Cruz	64.8	45	97	210
Cmno. Vera Crus to Cmno. del Rio	62.8	RW	72	155
Camino del Rio				
Cmno. de Los Mares to La Pata	59.0	RW	40	86
Avenida Vista Hermosa				
East of I-5	69.1	88	189	406
Cmno. Vera Cruz to La Pata	69.6	93	201	433
La Pata to Talega	67.4	67	144	310
Talega to Pico	61.7	RW	60	129
Avenida Pico				
West of I-5	67.8	72	155	333
East of I-5	70.9	115	248	535
West of La Pata	68.5	80	172	371
La Pata to Vista Hermosa	66.8	62	133	286
East of Vista Hermosa	67.8	72	155	333
East of SR-241	66.6	60	129	277
Cabot Street				
North of Oso Pkwy.	65.7	51	111	239
South of Oso Pkwy.	63.8	RW	83	179
North of Crown Valley Pkwy.	63.2	RW	76	163
Crown Valley Pkwy. to Paseo de Colinas	62.0	RW	64	137
Greenfield				
South of SR-73	65.8	53	114	245
Marguerite				
North of La Paz Rd.	68.7	81	175	378
South of La Paz Rd.	69.1	88	189	406
North of Oso Pkwy.	68.8	83	179	385
South of Oso Pkwy.	68.1	75	162	349
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	68.9	84	182	392
South of Crown Valley Pkwy.	67.4	67	144	310
North of Avery	67.8	72	155	333
Rancho Viejo				
South of Avery	64.8	45	97	210
North of Trabuco Canyon	62.8	RW	72	155
Trabuco Canyon to Junipero Serra	63.2	RW	76	163
South of Juniperro	62.5	RW	68	146
North of Ortega Highway	62.5	RW	68	146

CNEL Distance To CNEL Contou $@ 100^{11}$ to CNEL SECOND	ir' (feet)			
	CNEL			
64.1 40 87	187			
64.6 44 04	203			
04.0 44 54	205			
Kwy. 02.8 Kw 72	155			
(7.1 (4 12)	207			
wy. 67.1 64 138	297			
seo de Colinas 67.0 63 135	291			
a Millis 68.3 // 165	330			
50.0 DIV 45	07			
59.8 RW 45	9/			
59.0 RW 40	86			
64.8 45 97	210			
64.1 40 87	187			
oispo 64.8 45 97	210			
eek 66.2 56 120	259			
.d. 67.1 64 138	297			
69.3 90 195	420			
68.8 83 179	385			
69.1 88 189	406			
69.8 98 210	453			
69.8 98 210	453			
y Pkwy. 70.5 107 231	498			
wy. 69.8 98 210	453			
way 69.6 93 201	433			
70.4 106 228	492			
66.8 62 133	286			
no. Las Ramblas 66.8 62 133	286			
no. del Rio 66.4 58 125	269			
rmosa 66.8 62 133	286			
Pico 69.2 89 192	413			
63.2 RW 76	164			
sta Hermosa 65.5 50 108	232			
63.2 RW 76	163			
res				
Pata				
59.0 RW 40	86			
590 RW 40	86			
way 69.6 93 201 70.4 106 228 no. Las Ramblas 66.8 62 133 ino. del Rio 66.4 58 125 rmosa 66.8 62 133 Pico 69.2 89 192 63.2 RW 76 sta Hermosa 65.5 50 108 res Pata Pata System 59.0 RW 40	433 492 286 269 286 413 164 232 163 86 86			

Future Trainc Noise Levels with Alternative B-4R				
Roadway Segment	CNEL @ 100 ¹¹	Distance To CNEL Contour ¹ (feet)		
		70 CNEL	65 CNEL	60 CNEL
F Street				
Oso Pkwy. to C St.	66.7	60	129	278
C St. to New Ortega Highway	64.4	42	91	195
C Street				
Northeast of F St.	61.1	RW	55	118
North of New Ortega Highway	63.8	RW	83	179
New Ortega Highway to Ortega Highway	66.0	54	117	252
Ortega Highway to Talega	64.8	45	97	210
South of Talega	62.0	RW	64	137
Talega				
East of Vista Hermosa	63.8	RW	83	179
South of C St.	59.0	RW	40	86

1. From Roadway Centerline

RW - Contour Does Not Extend Beyond Roadway Right-of-Way

Future Trainc Noise Levels with Alter		Distance To CNEL Contour ¹ (feet)			
Boadway Segment	@ 100 ¹				
I-5					
La Paz Rd. to Oso Pkwy.	82.2	652	1.404	3.025	
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	81.8	615	1,325	2,855	
Crown Valley Pkwy, to Avery Pkwy.	81.5	581	1.252	2.697	
Avery Pkwy. to Junipero Serra	82.1	637	1,372	2,955	
Junipero Serra to Ortega Highway	81.9	625	1,347	2,902	
Ortega Highway to San Juan Creek	81.7	602	1,297	2,795	
San Juan Creek to Stonehill	81.7	600	1,292	2,783	
Stonehill to Cmno. Las Ramblas	81.5	585	1,261	2,716	
Cmno. Las Ramblas to Cmno. de Los Mares	81.7	602	1,297	2,795	
Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa	81.5	588	1,266	2,728	
Vista Hermosa to Avnda. Pico	81.3	568	1,223	2,636	
South of Avnda. Pico	80.1	471	1,015	2,188	
SR-73			·	·	
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	75.6	237	511	1,101	
Crown Valley Pkwy. to I-5	75.4	230	496	1,069	
SR-241				·	
North of Antonio Pkwy.	74.7	206	444	957	
Antonio Pkwy. to Oso Pkwy.	74.1	187	402	866	
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	74.3	194	418	901	
Crown Valley Pkwy. to C St.	74.3	194	418	901	
C St. to Ortega Highway	73.0	158	341	734	
Ortega Highway to Avnda. Pico	72.4	144	311	670	
South of Avnda. Pico	71.5	127	273	589	
La Paz Road					
I-5 to Marguerite	68.1	75	162	349	
Marguerite to Felipe Rd.	66.6	60	129	277	
Oso Parkway					
West of Cabot Rd.	68.9	84	182	392	
Cabot Rd. to I-5	70.5	107	231	498	
East of I-5	71.6	128	276	594	
West of Marguerite	71.6	128	276	594	
Marguerite to Felipe Rd.	70.9	114	246	529	
Felipe Rd. to Antonio Pkwy.	70.9	115	248	535	
East of Antonio Pkwy.	71.0	117	251	541	
West of SR-241	70.3	105	225	486	
East of SR-241	67.2	65	140	302	

		Distance To CNEL Contour ¹ (feet)			
Roadway Segment	@ 100' ¹	70 CNEL	65 CNEL	60 CNEL	
Crown Valley Parkway					
West of Moulton Pkwy.	69.1	88	189	406	
Mounton Pkwy. to Greenfield	69.6	93	201	433	
Greenfield to SR-241	71.0	117	251	541	
SR-241 to I-5	71.1	119	257	553	
East of I-5	72.7	151	324	699	
West of Marguerite	71.7	129	278	600	
East of Marguerite	71.7	130	281	606	
West of Antonio Pkwy.	70.4	106	228	492	
Paseo de Colinas					
West of Cabot Rd.	66.4	57	123	265	
Cabot Rd. to I-5	65.1	47	101	217	
West of I-5	67.4	67	144	310	
Avery					
I-5 to Marguerite	67.6	69	149	322	
East of Marguerite	62.0	RW	64	137	
Junipero Serra					
West of I-5	65.3	48	104	225	
East of I-5	64.8	45	97	210	
SR-74 (Ortega Highway)					
Cmno. Capastrano to Del Obispo	67.7	71	152	328	
West of I-5	72.6	149	322	693	
I-5 to Rancho Viejo	74.1	189	406	875	
West of La Novia	73.1	161	346	746	
East of La Novia	73.1	161	346	746	
West of La Pata	72.8	154	332	715	
East of La Pata	64.1	40	87	186	
East of C St.	60.3	RW	49	105	
East of New Ortega Highway	67.0	63	136	292	
San Juan Creek Road					
East of I-5	65.8	53	114	245	
East of Valle Rd.	64.4	42	91	195	
West of La Novia	63.8	RW	83	179	
East of La Novia	63.2	RW	76	163	
New Ortega Highway					
Antonio Pkwy. to A St.	69.4	91	196	421	
A St. to C St.	69.6	94	203	437	
C St. to F St.	67.8	72	155	333	
F St. to SR-74	68.4	78	168	362	

Roadway Segment	©NEL @ 100 ¹¹	Distance To CNEL Contour' (feet)				
Camino las Ramblas	6 100	TUCINEL	05 CINEL	00 CIVEL		
Fast of L5	63.2	RW	76	163		
Camino de Los Mares	03.2	IX VV	10	105		
East of L5	68.0	73	157	330		
West of Cmno. Vera Cruz	64.8	15	07	210		
Cmpo Vera Crus to Cmpo del Rio	62.8	RW	77	155		
Camino del Rio	02.0	IX VV	12	155		
Cmpo. de Los Mares to La Pata	59.0	D W	40	86		
Avanida Vista Harmosa	59.0	IX VV	40	80		
East of L 5	60.0	86	185	300		
Compo Voro Cruz to La Data	69.0	02	201	122		
Le Dete to Telege	09.0 67.5	93 68	201	433		
La Fala lo Talega	07.3 61.7		140 60	120		
A non-ida D ias	01.7	ĸw	00	129		
Avenida Pico West of L 5	677	70	151	226		
West of I-5	07.7	/0	151	320		
East of 1-5	70.5	103	223	480		
west of La Pata	67.2	65	140	302		
La Pata to Vista Hermosa	64.4	42	91 100	195		
East of Vista Hermosa	65.5	50	108	234		
East of SR-241	56.2	RW	RW	56		
Cabot Street	- - -					
North of Oso Pkwy.	65.7	51	111	239		
South of Oso Pkwy.	63.8	RW	83	179		
North of Crown Valley Pkwy.	63.2	RW	76	163		
Crown Valley Pkwy. to Paseo de Colinas	62.0	RW	64	137		
Greenfield						
South of SR-73	66.0	54	117	252		
Marguerite						
North of La Paz Rd.	68.7	81	175	378		
South of La Paz Rd.	69.2	89	192	413		
North of Oso Pkwy.	68.9	84	182	392		
South of Oso Pkwy.	68.0	73	158	341		
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	68.9	84	182	392		
South of Crown Valley Pkwy.	67.5	68	148	318		
North of Avery	67.8	72	155	333		
Rancho Viejo						
South of Avery	64.8	45	97	210		
North of Trabuco Canyon	62.8	RW	72	155		
Trabuco Canyon to Junipero Serra	63.2	RW	76	163		
South of Juniperro	62.5	RW	68	146		
North of Ortega Highway	62.5	RW	68	146		

Roadway Segment	CNEL @ 100 ^{'1}	70 CNEL	o CNEL Cor 65 CNEL	60 CNEL		
Felipe Road						
North of La Paz Rd.	64.1	40	87	187		
South of La Paz Rd.	64.6	44	94	203		
Oso Pkwy. to Marguerite Pkwy.	62.8	RW	72	155		
Golden Lantern						
North of Crown Valley Pkwy.	67.1	64	138	297		
Crown Valley Pkwy. to Paseo de Colinas	67.0	63	135	291		
Paseo de Colinas to Marina Mills	68.3	77	165	356		
Camino Capistrano						
South of Paseo de Colinas	59.8	RW	45	97		
North of Junipero Serra	59.0	RW	40	86		
Junipero Serra to Roso	64.6	44	94	203		
Roso to Ortega Highway	64.1	40	87	187		
Ortega Highway to Del Obispo	64.8	45	97	210		
Del Obispo to San Juan Creek	66.2	56	120	259		
South of San Juan Creek Rd.	67.1	64	138	297		
Antonio Parkway						
North of SR-241	69.3	90	195	420		
Empressa to SR-241	68.8	83	179	385		
Empressa to Banderas	69.1	88	189	406		
South of Banderas	69.9	99	213	460		
North of Oso Pkwy.	69.9	99	213	460		
Oso Pkwy. to Crown Valley Pkwy.	70.9	114	246	529		
South of Crown Valley Pkwy.	70.0	100	217	466		
North of New Ortega Highway	70.1	102	220	473		
North of SR-74	70.9	115	248	535		
La Pata						
South of Ortega Highway	67.2	65	140	302		
San Juan Creek Rd. to Cmno. Las Ramblas	67.2	65	140	302		
Cmno. Las Ramblas to Cmno. del Rio	66.6	60	129	277		
Cmno. del Rio to Vista Hermosa	67.0	63	136	294		
Vista Hermosa to Avnda. Pico	69.2	89	192	413		
South of Avnda. Pico	62.8	RW	71	153		
Camino Vera Cruz						
Cmno. de Los Mares to Vista Hermosa	65.3	48	104	225		
Camino las Ramblas						
East of I-5	63.2	RW	76	163		
A Street						
South of Oso Pkwy.	62.0	RW	64	137		
North of New Ortega Highway	61.6	RW	59	128		

Future Traffic Noise Levels with Alternative B-5				
Roadway Segment	CNEL @ 100' ¹	Distance To CNEL Contour ¹ (feet)		
		70 CNEL	65 CNEL	60 CNEL
F Street				
Oso Pkwy. to C St.	67.8	72	155	333
C St. to New Ortega Highway	65.7	51	111	239
C Street				
Northeast of F St.	66.2	56	120	259
North of New Ortega Highway	65.5	50	108	232
New Ortega Highway to Ortega Highway	65.5	50	108	232
Ortega Highway to Talega	62.8	RW	72	155
South of Talega	61.1	RW	55	118
Talega				
East of Vista Hermosa	63.8	RW	83	179
South of C St.	59.8	RW	45	97

Troffic Noise Levels With Alte ativa **C**..+.

1. From Roadway Centerline RW - Contour Does Not Extend Beyond Roadway Right-of-Way