

CARLSBAD CLOVIS IRVINE LOS ANGELES PALM SPRINGS POINT RICHMOND RIVERSIDE ROSEVILLE SAN LUIS OBISPO

MEMORANDUM

DATE:	November 15, 2024				
То:	Amanda Johnson, Senior Environmental Planner, LSA, Project Manager				
FROM:	Kelly Vreeland, M.Sc., Senior Paleontologist				
Subject:	Results of Paleontological Resources Analysis for Inclusion in the Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration for the Orange County Juvenile Hall Youth Transition Center Project (OYC2001.48)				

INTRODUCTION

This memorandum documents the results of the paleontological analysis conducted for the proposed Orange County Juvenile Hall Youth Transition Center Project (project) in the City of Orange, Orange County, California. This analysis was conducted to determine the potential for the project to impact paleontological resources in compliance with all applicable State and County of Orange (County) regulations and requirements regarding paleontological resources, as well as the standards of the Society of Vertebrate Paleontology (SVP, 2010). The applicable regulations and requirements include the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA): Public Resources Code (PRC) Division 13, Chapter 2.6; the *State CEQA Guidelines*: California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Chapter 3, Appendix G; PRC §5097.5; and the Conservation and Open Space Element from the City General Plan (County of Orange, 2015). Information from this paleontological memorandum is intended for incorporation in the Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration being prepared for this project.

GEOLOGY AND SOILS

Methods

To assess the impacts of the project with respect to paleontological resources, LSA reviewed geologic maps of the project site and relevant geological and paleontological literature to determine which geologic units are present within the project site and whether fossils have been recovered within the project site or from those or similar geologic units elsewhere in the region. In addition, a fossil locality search was conducted through the Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County (NHMLAC) to determine the status and extent of previously recorded paleontological resources within and surrounding the project site.

Setting

Results of the literature review indicate that the project area is within the Peninsular Ranges Geomorphic Province, a 900-mile-long northwest-southeast trending structural block with similarly

trending faults, that extends from the Transverse Ranges in the north to the tip of Baja California in the south and includes the Los Angeles Basin (California Geological Survey, 2002; Norris and Webb, 1976). The total width of this province is 225 miles, extending from the Colorado Desert in the east, across the continental shelf, to the southern Channel Islands (Santa Barbara, San Nicolas, Santa Catalina, and San Clemente) in the west (Sharp, 1976). This province is characterized by a series of mountain ranges and valleys that trend in a northwest-southeast direction roughly parallel to the San Andreas Fault Zone (Norris and Webb, 1976; Sharp, 1976). It contains extensive pre-Cenozoic (more than 66 million years ago [Ma]) igneous and metamorphic rocks covered by Cenozoic (less than 66 Ma) sedimentary deposits (Norris and Webb, 1976).

Geologic mapping by Morton and Miller (2006) shows that the entire project site is underlain by Late Pleistocene to Holocene (2.58 million years ago to 11,700 years ago) Young Alluvial Fan Deposits. While not mapped by Morton and Miller (2006), Artificial Fill is likely present from the prior construction of the project site and adjacent roads. These geologic units and their paleontological sensitivities are described in more detail below. Dates for the geologic time intervals referenced in this report are derived from the *International Chronostratigraphic Chart* published by the International Commission on Stratigraphy (Cohen et al., 2023).

Artificial Fill

Artificial Fill consists of sediments that have been removed from one location and transported to another location by human activity, rather than by natural means. The transportation distance can vary from a few feet to many miles, and composition depends on the source and purpose. Artificial Fill will sometimes contain modern debris such as asphalt, wood, bricks, concrete, metal, glass, plastic, and even plant material.

Although Artificial Fill may contain fossils, these fossils have been removed from their original location and are thus out of stratigraphic context. Therefore, they are not considered important for scientific study, and Artificial Fill has no paleontological sensitivity.

Young Alluvial Fan Deposits

The Young Alluvial Fan Deposits are Holocene to late Pleistocene in age (less than 126,000 years ago) and consist of unconsolidated silt, sand, and gravel (Morton and Miller, 2006). Cobble- and bouldersize clasts are also present and become more abundant closer to the hills and mountains (Morton and Miller, 2006). These sediments were eroded from higher elevations, carried by flooding streams and debris flows, and deposited in a fan or lobe shape at the base of the hills. They show slight to moderate dissection by erosional gullies (Morton and Miller, 2006).

Although Holocene (less than 11,700 years ago) deposits can contain remains of plants and animals, only those from the middle to early Holocene (4,200 to 11,700 years ago; Walker et al., 2012) are considered scientifically important (SVP, 2010), and fossils from this time interval are not very common. These Holocene deposits overlie older, Pleistocene deposits, which have produced scientifically important fossils elsewhere in the region (Jefferson 1991a, 1991b; Miller 1971; Reynolds and Reynolds 1991; Springer et al. 2009). These older, Pleistocene deposits span the end of the Rancholabrean North American Land Mammal Age (NALMA), which dates from 11,000 to 240,000 years ago (Sanders et al., 2009) and was named for the Rancho La Brea fossil site in central Los Angeles.

The presence of Bison defines the beginning of the Rancholabrean NALMA (Bell et al. 2004), but fossils from this time also include other large and small mammals, reptiles, fish, invertebrates, and plants (Jefferson 1991a, 1991b; Miller 1971; Reynolds and Reynolds 1991; Springer et al. 2009). There is a potential to find these types of fossils in the older sediments of this geologic unit, which may be encountered below a depth of approximately 10 ft. Therefore, these deposits are assigned a low paleontological sensitivity above a depth of 10 ft and a high sensitivity below that mark.

Fossil Locality Search

According to the locality search conducted by the NHMLAC, there are no known fossil localities within the boundaries of the project. However, this search noted a few of fossil localities near to the project within sediments similar to those found in the project area. Locality LACM VP 1652 produced remains of Sheep (*Ovis*) at Rio Vista Avenue, south of Lincoln Avenue in Pleistocene sediments. In Richfield (south of Yorba Linda), LACM VP 3292 produced Elephant (Proboscidae) from Pleistocene Terrace Deposits. From the former El Toro marine base, LACM VP 7867 produced Rodent (Rodentia) from Pleistocene sediments. Localities LACM VP 4185 – 4201 from Coyote Creek adjacent to Clark Regional Park produced several fossils including Bison (*Bison*), camel (*Camelops*), horse (*Equus*), mammoth (*Mammuthus*), mastodon (*Mamut*), elephant (Proboscidea), dire wolf (*Canis dirus*), Coyote (*C. latrans*), deer (Odocoileus), dwarf pronghorn (*Capromeryx*), unidentified artiodactyl, and sea duck (*Chendytes*). *A* copy of the NHMLAC fossil locality search results letter is included in Attachment A.

Mitigation

To ensure that potential impacts to undiscovered paleontological resources remain less than significant, preparation of a Paleontological Resources Impacts Mitigation Program (PRIMP), paleontological monitoring of construction activities, appropriate treatment of newly discovered resources, and preparation of a final paleontological monitoring report would be required, as outlined in the following Mitigation Measure (MM) PALEO-1.

MM PALEO-1 Paleontological Resources. Prior to the commencement of ground-disturbing activities, a qualified, professional paleontologist who meets the standards set by the Society of Vertebrate Paleontology (SVP) shall be retained to develop a Paleontological Resources Impact Mitigation Program (PRIMP) for this project. The PRIMP shall be consistent with the guidelines of the SVP and shall include the methods that will be used to protect paleontological resources that may exist within the project limits, as well as procedures for monitoring, fossil preparation and identification, curation into a repository, and preparation of a report at the conclusion of ground disturbance.

If ground-disturbing activities occur in deposits with high paleontological sensitivity (i.e. Young Alluvial Fan Deposits below a depth of 10 feet), those activities shall be monitored by a qualified paleontological monitor following the PRIMP. If paleontological resources are encountered during the course of ground disturbance, the paleontological monitor shall have the authority to temporarily redirect construction away from the area of the find in order to assess its significance. Once soils have been monitored during the excavation stage and determined to lack the presence of paleontological resources,

monitoring of these soils would no longer be necessary for the remainder of grading activities. In the event that paleontological resources are encountered when a paleontological monitor is not present, work in the immediate area of the find shall be redirected and the paleontologist or paleontological monitor shall be contacted to assess the find for scientific significance. If determined to be scientifically significant, the fossil shall be collected from the field.

Collected resources shall be prepared to the point of identification, identified to the lowest taxonomic level possible, cataloged, and curated into the permanent collections of a museum repository. At the conclusion of the monitoring program, a report of findings shall be prepared to document the results of the monitoring program.

References

- Bell, C.J., E.L. Lundelius Jr., A.D. Barnosky, R.W. Graham, E.H. Lindsay, D.R. Ruez Jr., H.A. Semken Jr., S.D.
 Webb, and R.J. Zakrzewski. 2004. The Blancan, Irvingtonian, and Rancholabrean Mammal
 Ages, p. 232–314. In M.O. Woodburne (ed.), *Late Cretaceous and Cenozoic Mammals of* North America: Biostratigraphy and Geochronology. Columbia University Press, New York.
- California Geological Survey. 2002. California Geomorphic Provinces. *California Geologic Survey Note* 36. California Department of Conservation.
- County of Orange. 2015. Resources Element, Chapter VI of County of Orange General Plan. Available online at https://ocds.ocpublicworks.com/service-areas/oc-developmentservices/planning-development/codes-and-regulations/general-plan. Accessed November 2024.
- Cohen, K.M., S.C. Finney, P.L. Gibbard, and J.-X. Fan. 2023. The ICS International Chronostratigraphic Chart. Updated September 2023. Episodes 36: 199-204.
- Jefferson, George T. 1991a. A Catalogue of Late Quaternary Vertebrates from California: Part One: Nonmarine Lower Vertebrate and Avian Taxa. *Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County Technical Reports* No. 5, Los Angeles.
- _____. 1991b. A Catalogue of Late Quaternary Vertebrates from California: Part Two: Mammals. Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County Technical Reports No. 7, Los Angeles.
- Miller, Wade E. 1971. Pleistocene Vertebrates of the Los Angeles Basin and Vicinity (Exclusive of Rancho La Brea). Los Angeles County Museum of Natural History Bulletin, Science: No. 10.
- Morton, Douglas M., and Fred K. Miller. 2006. Geologic Map of the *San Bernardino* and *Santa Ana* 30minute by 60-minute quadrangles, California. Digital preparation by Pamela M. Cosette and Kelly R. Bovard. Prepared by the United States Geological Survey (USGS) in cooperation with the California Geological Survey. USGS Open File Report 2006-1217. Map Scale 1:100,000.
- Norris, R.M., and R.W. Webb. 1976. Geology of California. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., Santa Barbara.
- Reynolds, R.E., and R.L. Reynolds. 1991. The Pleistocene Beneath our Feet: Near-surface Pleistocene Fossils in Inland Southern California Basins. In M.O. Woodburne, R.E. Reynolds, and D.P. Whistler, eds., Inland Southern California: The Last 70 Million Years. San Bernardino County Museum Special Publication 38(3 and 4): 41–43. Redlands, California.
- Sanders, A.E., R.E. Weems, and L.B. Albright. 2009. Formalization of the Middle Pleistocene "Ten Mile Beds" in South Carolina with Evidence for Placement of the Irvingtonian-Rancholabrean Boundary. *Museum of Northern Arizona Bulletin* 64:369–375.
- Sharp, R.P. 1976. *Geology: Field Guide to Southern California. Second Edition.* Kendall/Hunt Publishing Company. p. 181.

- Society of Vertebrate Paleontology (SVP). 2010. Standard Procedures for the Assessment and Mitigation of Adverse Impacts to Paleontological Resources. Society of Vertebrate Paleontology. Impact Mitigation Guidelines Revision Committee. p. 11.
- Springer, Kathleen, Eric Scott, J. Christopher Sagebiel, and Lyndon K. Murray. 2009. The Diamond Valley Lake Local Fauna: Late Pleistocene Vertebrates from Inland Southern California. In L.B. Albright, III, ed. Papers in Geology, Vertebrate Paleontology, and Biostratigraphy in Honor of Michael O. Woodburne. *Museum of Northern Arizona Bulletin* 65:217–236.
- Walker, M.J.C., M. Berkelhammer, S. Bjorck, L.C. Cwynar, D.A. Fisher, A.J. Long, J.J. Lowe, R. Newnham, S.O. Rasmussen, and H. Weiss. 2012. Formal Subdivision of the Holocene Series/Epoch: A Discussion Paper by a Working Group of INTIMATE (Integration of Ice-Core, Marine and Terrestrial Records) and the Subcommision on Quaternary Stratigraphy (International Commission on Stratigraphy). Journal of Quaternary Science 27:649-659.



ATTACHMENT A

RESULTS OF THE FOSSIL LOCALITY SEARCH AT THE NATURAL HISTORY MUSEUM OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY

Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County 900 Exposition Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90007

tel 213.763.DINO www.nhm.org

Research & Collections

e-mail: paleorecords@nhm.org

November 3, 2024



LSA Attn: Kelly Vreeland

re: Paleontological resources records search for the Orange County Juvenile Hall Youth Transition Center Redevelopment Project (LSA Proj. # OCY2001.48)

Dear Kelly:

I have conducted a thorough search of our paleontology collection records for the locality and specimen data for proposed development at the Orange County Juvenile Hall Youth Transition Center Redevelopment Project area as outlined on the portion of the Anaheim USGS topographic quadrangle map that you sent to me via e-mail on October 28, 2024. We do not have any fossil localities that lie directly within the proposed project area, but we do have fossil localities nearby from the same sedimentary deposits that may occur in the proposed project area, either at the surface or at depth.

The following table shows the closest known localities in the collection of the Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County (NHMLA).

Locality				
Number	Location	Formation	Таха	Depth
	Rio Vista Avenue			Unknown
LACM VP	south of Lincoln	Alluvium		(excavations for
1652	Avenue	(Pleistocene)	Sheep (<i>Ovis</i>)	housing project)
LACM VP	Richfield, CA (south	Terrace deposits	Elephant clade	
3292	of Yorba Linda)	(Pleistocene)	(Proboscidae)	Unknown
LACM VP	Former El Toro	Unknown formation		
7867	Marine Base	(Pleistocene)	Rodent (Rodentia)	25 feet bgs
	Coyote Creek,	La Habra Formation	Bison (<i>Bison</i>), camel (<i>Camelops</i>), horse (<i>Equus</i>), mammoth (<i>Mammuthus</i>), mastodon (<i>Mamut</i>), elephant clade (Proboscidea), dire wolf (<i>Canis dirus</i>), Coyote (<i>C.</i> <i>latrans</i>), deer (<i>Odocoileus</i>), dwarf pronghorn	
LACM VP 4185-4201	adjacent to Ralph B Clark Regional Park in West Coyote Hills	(Pleistocene; sandy silt shot through with caliche)	(<i>Capromeryx</i>), unidentified artiodactyl; sea duck (<i>Chendytes</i>)	Surface, in creek bed

VP, Vertebrate Paleontology; IP, Invertebrate Paleontology; bgs, below ground surface

This records search covers only the records of the NHMLA. It is not intended as a paleontological assessment of the project area for the purposes of CEQA or NEPA. Potentially fossil-bearing units are present in the project area, either at the surface or in the subsurface. As such, NHMLA recommends that a full paleontological assessment of the project area be conducted by a paleontologist meeting Federal (43 Code of Federal Regulations Part 49.110) or Society of Vertebrate Paleontology standards.

Sincerely,

Alyssa Bell

Alyssa Bell, Ph.D. Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County

enclosure: invoice