

From: [Lundfelt, Ilene](#)
To: [Leahy, Marissa](#)
Cc: [Duggan, Scarlet](#)
Subject: FW: Planning Application P21-064 Hearing October 2nd 2025
Date: Tuesday, September 30, 2025 10:01:33 AM

Hello Marissa,

Second comment letter.

Sincerely,

Ilene Lundfelt, Associate Planner

OC Public Works | Development Services

601 N. Ross St. Santa Ana, CA 92701 |(714) 667-9697



From: Andrews Fitchie [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, September 29, 2025 10:29 AM
To: Lundfelt, Ilene <Ilene.Lundfelt@ocpw.ocgov.com>
Subject: Planning Application P21-064 Hearing October 2nd 2025

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Sirs

We refer to the notification received this week regarding the above Planning Application due to be considered at 1.30pm on October 2nd next.

1. We respectfully repeat all the written objections we voiced in March last year. In addition, the condition of Halfway Road has worsened due in part to the constraints imposed upon it by the Applicant's unapproved narrowing. We understand that the Applicants assert ownership of part of Halfway Road. If this is legally correct, then that ownership would ordinarily imply a duty to maintain that part of the road for safe and needed vehicular use. The road is not being maintained.
2. There is no structural, architectural, privacy or esthetic justification for the Applicants' seeking permission for a 12 foot high fence along our shared boundary with their property. We note that for some reason our written objection to this unpermitted structure has not been reviewed or referred to in the Staff Report. Nor are there any photographs of its position which we submitted last year. The grounds of our objection

are different from the objections concerning fence height at the street side of the Applicants' property: the 8ft side fence the length of our garden impact the amenities of our property directly. Adding a further 4ft will impact our garden even more and will serve no reasonably discernable purpose for the Applicants. The current 8' foot fence was erected by the Applicants without our consent and without any planning permission and already impacts our garden by needlessly blocking sunshine from 11am to 4pm, a natural benefit we have always enjoyed for nearly 25 years. The fence itself is now also shrouded by the growth of bush and tree growth, a further reason making more height pointless.

3. As we have mentioned, we read the applicable regulations as requiring "clean hands" ie the removal of the unpermitted fence/its re-scaling to 6' before any new application can be made. The Applicants' documentation, as we read it, requests a 30 ft long side fence upto 12ft high. There is no white or other colored fence in the immediate vicinity or indeed anywhere on Halfway Road that is 12ft high with a similar and normal function.

Thank you for calling for our views and for drawing our continuing objection to the attention of the Zoning Administrator for consideration and thank you also for your work on this matter.

Prof. Annie Loui
Andrew Fitchie

