

From: [Duggan, Scarlet](#)
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [Salazar, Cindy](#)
Subject: RE: Application number PA25-0072
Date: Thursday, December 11, 2025 2:53:42 PM

Hi Azita,

We have received your email below. Please note that your email will be provided to the Zoning Administrator as it relates to the 12/18/25 public hearing of PA25-0072.

Thanks,

Scarlet Duggan, Land Use Manager

OC Public Works | Development Services

601 N. Ross Street, Santa Ana, CA 92701 | (714) 667-1606



From: Azita Eslamdoust [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, December 11, 2025 10:24 AM
To: Duggan, Scarlet <scarlet.duggan@ocpw.ocgov.com>; Salazar, Cindy <Cindy.Salazar@ocpw.ocgov.com>
Subject: Fwd: Application number PA25-0072

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Azita

Begin forwarded message:

From: Azita Esla [REDACTED]
Date: December 4, 2025 at 08:40:29 PST
To: Scarlet Duggan <scarlet.duggan@ocpw.ocgov.com>
Subject: Application number PA25-0072 Public hearing 12/18

To whom this may concern,

I would like to send you this email letting you know that I drove in all streets of

Cameo Association (Highland and Shore) maintaining one call with only one person (the call lasted 14 minutes in cameo highland and 17 minutes in cameo shore) the call dropped only one time and that was in the intersection of Cameo highland and PCH. I have an Iphone 16 and AT&T as a network provider. (I have snapshots of call duration, and my location during the call on my iPhone documenting duration of the calls and location of the phone. Showing no connection issue while inside vehicle.(contrary to applicant's claim)

Another neighbor informed me that she stayed in the same call going around the community and her call did not drop at all. She has Verizon as a network provider. I would like to inform you that the statement of significant lack in coverage does not apply to our area and thus this application does not have a reason to go forward.

Additionally I want to inform you that our association spent a substantial amount of money to redo the landscape of Surrey park and the slope along Surrey drive, and is still paying for the maintenance of them. In case this application goes forward with the zoning permit and that they follow up with building their towers, the applicant and their stakeholders would be liable.

The access for the towers' building and maintenance is located in the middle of our community's property and adjacent to our children's park, our HOA expressed opposition to the project , already published in the public comments of the hearing.

I personally know of at least 6 other neighbors who sent you letters of opposition on Tuesday Dec 2nd and asked the zoning administrator to publish them in public comments and they were not published as of today.

Also, I sent you a video I took showing the basketball hoop under location of the towers. Children play there everyday

All above statements have proofs that will be provided in case there is a challenge at the court regarding the zoning department's decision. Documents proving my statements above will be provided at the request of the court. Many other documentation regarding statements about "lack of coverage" and need for new cell towers are also available but will only be provided in case there's a need to go to the court.

Kindly requesting that this email and other emails from my community be published in the documentation of public records for this application.

Sincerely
Azita Mokhtari

